

2000-X Committee

-1-

meeting with E. G. Law

Dec 1, 1958

- (1) military are only ones willing to experiment to learn about DDP even cos. with military contracts are pressed.
- (2) Commercial customers tend to underestimate needs of new application - "we have been doing these before" - unsympathetic to new approaches -- will listen to reductions or simplifications only.
"Hard-headedness" - anything which does not contribute directly to building planes is suspect.

- has been modified by 200 machines somewhat - military does require data processing in compatible form.

E.g. B70, F108 - programs ^{each} would require $3\frac{1}{2}$ 705's to support logistics even more in rocket programs.

military takes tapes from one installation to another - tapes must be "compatible form" -- (convertors - are needed)
compatibility is important. Air force can't specify which machine

In GUIDE there is feeling that we are close to satisfying our requirements than in SHARE. - many companies feel that ~~the~~ whole job load is close Pacific Mutual Insurance Cos. - ~~they~~ bought Unisac because it "would do the job" indefinitely - (They are planning to get Unisac 2, however.)

Uses other than bookkeeping, etc?

- initial rush of enthusiasm - Then realize that ~~the~~ job was too big - then drop back to payroll, etc. - Then more leisurely attack on new areas - e.g. real inventory control - consolidating into single system -

- I.D.P. ?

- term is new as such, implying "in line" - more integration of previously separate jobs into single jobs.

- in-line processing: not just second corner - equipment capacity will be well.

as, Boeing Wichita - not considered greatly successful by most GUIDE people.

STANAMATIC - low cost remote units - magnetic ink -

GUIDE members had goals of advancing into:

1. - new areas with conventional methods. (areas presently done manually)
2. - refining present methods. (esthetics, clean up, improve efficiencies)
3. - small groups (2+3 people) studying "long range" in-line processing.

getting on machine first time - learn a lot which can help later.

Open shop - competing - very important for new developments

eg. vibration & flutter code - Lockheed did job in much less time than North American - due to 2 individuals who did jobs.

- Topics at GUIDE meetings.

1. new techniques of doing some jobs.
2. operating practices & equipment.
3. administration of an over-all effort - prog, training, operating.

GUIDE meetings - more supervisors than "bosses" as contrast to SHARE.

- need & desire for new equipment - (opinion from informal discussions with GOODE people - as result of 7070 announcement.)
(1) sound interrupt feature.
(2) - ESSO, New Signal Supply.

Each installation has one or more applications of in-line type - which they would try if there were an interrupt system.

Commercial enthusiasm would be great if machine were cheaper, or same cost - more applications.

- reprogramming costs - amortized over ~~as~~ too long a period. - (e.g. 5 years inertia not to change can be overcome by ~~cost of machine~~ really don't last this long.
(7070 was much lower unit cost than 705, 705 II.
GOODE felt IBM pricing had goofed?)

resistance to reprog. is large.

e.g. ~ $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{1}{3}$ of total cost are reprog. costs

- fraction of orig. prog. costs which reprog will cost - varies from installation to installation.

- 7050 would be easier.

- new machine - They would look at other machines, but original sales position would still be to go to IBM.

question of simulation prof?

- as interim measure would be good to ease reprog. pains, but not a final step.

new areas?

- smaller machine is deviation to go for untapped market.
- large companies are already in arizona.

Character of typical clients members.

General remarks concerning characteristics of ~~the~~ ^{Opportunities} clients