A DESCRIPTION OF THE BASIC ALGORITHM USED IN
THE DETAB/65 PREPROCESSOR
By A. E. Chapman and M. Callahan

## INTRODUCTION

While many papers and articles have been written on the uses and advantages of decision tables, only a few have been written on the algorithms used for converting a decision table to computer instructions. ${ }^{1}$ Additionally, except for a few of the algorithms used in current decision table compilers or preprocessors ${ }^{2}$, none have yet been formally described. This paper describes the conversion algorithm used in the DELAB/65 preprocessor, which converts decision tables into COBOL. Since the preprocessor and the language associated with it were developed for COBOL users, the preprocessor was written in a modular form in required COBOL-61. Thus, any COBOL user on any computer can use the preprocessor or easily modify it for his use.

While the use of a preprocessor introduces inefficiencies due to the campile-time of the preprocessor and the run-time associated with COBOL, the advantages of this method were great enough to have warranted the effort.

[^0]The preprocessor accepts a decision table within COBOL language called DETAB/653, which was itself developed from a language called DETAB-X. 4 It operates prior to the COBOL compllens and, in operation, does not process regular COBOL statements, but merely passes them along to the compiler. However, any decision tables found within the COBOL program are converted by the preprocessor into COBOL statements that are then passed along to the compiler. Each decision table will be considered a COBOL Section, thus making any basic change to the COBOL compiler itself unnecesary. Although the generation of efficient code fram decision tables may require careful selection of the sequence for testing conditions, and may even involve intermixing of decision and actions, it was felt that this type of optimization would require the preprocessor to be too camplex, especially since the preprocessor was basically built to allow a great variety of users to experiment with using decision tables.

The preprocessor will accept limited-, extended-, and mixed entry decision tables (see Figure 1 below).

|  | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $E L S$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A=B$ | - | $Y$ | $N$ | - |
| $C=D$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - | - |
| $E=F$ | $N$ | - | $Y$ | - |
| $X$ | $X$ | - | $X$ | - |
| $Y$ | - | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

Limited-Entry


Extended-Entry


Mixed-Entry

DECISION TABLES
Figure 1

3 Developed by SIGPIAN Working Group 2 of the Los Angeles Chapter of the ACM, 1965.

4 Developed by the Systems Group of CODASYL, September 1962.

Since the algorithm only handles limited-entry decision tables, both extendedand mixed-entry tables are transformed into limited-entry decision tables, which are then converted. It is not the purpose of this paper to explain this transformation; suffice it to say that both extended-and mixed-entry tables can be described as limited-entry decision tables.

DECISION TABLE CHARACTERISTICS


A decision table (hereafter known as a DT) can be logically divided into four sections (See Figure 2 above). The upper two sections (Condition Stub and Condition Entry) describes the set or string of conditions that is to be tested. The lower two sections (Action Stub and Action Entry) describe the set or string of actions that is to be taken upon satisfaction of a set of conditions. A rulc consists of a set of conditions plus a set of actions, and a DT typically consists of several rules.
Every DETAB/65 DT must contain a special rule called the ELSE-RUTE. The ELSE-RULE must not have any entries in the condition-entry section of the DT, but may ar may not have entries in the action entry of the table ${ }^{5}$. It is generally considered the error exit of the DT. Same decision-table definitions are defined below:

1. A redundancy exists in a decision table if two or more rules do not have

[^1]at least one $Y, N$ pair in any of the rows and the actions specified are identical.

|  | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | - | $N$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $A_{1}$ | $X$ | $X$ |
| $A_{2}$ | - | - |

2. A contradiction or logic error exists in a decision table if two or more rules do not have at least one $Y, N$ pair in any of the rows and the actions specified are not identical.

|  | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{3}$ | - | $N$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $A_{1}$ | $X$ | - |
| $A_{2}$ | - | $X$ |

3. Any rules not specified or implied in the table are assumed to be part of the EISE-RULE. Only the action part of the ELSE-RUE is pertinent.
4. An incomplete decision table is one where there are less than $2^{n}$ non-redundant rules (n equals the number of conditions in the table). The ETSE-RUEE, however, does not count as one of the $2^{n}$ rules.
5. Each rule number, except for the EISE-RULE, will be denoted by $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}, \ldots$. where $R_{1}$ is the rule number, $1=1,2,3, \cdots-2^{n}$.
6. Each condition $w 111$ be denoted by $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \ldots$ where $C_{1}$ is any string of conditional COBOL statements; $1=1,2,3, \ldots, n$.
7. Each action will be noted by $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$ where $A_{k}$ is any string of nonconditional COBOL statements; $K=1,2,3, \ldots$,
8. Any entry in the condition and/or action-entry section of a rule will be referred to as an element of the $D T$; thus, a rule can be considered a column of elements of the DT.

A rule may consist of any one of the five following entries:

1. " Y " meaning YES
2. " N " meaning NO
3. " " meaning BLANK
4. " -" meaning DASH
5. " X " meaning X

## Definition

This condition is to be tested to see if it is true. This condition is to be tested to see if it is false. This condition does not apply, or this action is not to be taken when this rule is satisfied

Same as 3.
This action is to be taken when all conditions for this rule are satisfied.

## INPUTS

The inputs to the algorithmic generator (hereafter called the generator) consists of:

1. A matrix (which will be called the $D T$ ) composed of $Y^{\prime} s, N^{\prime} s$, and blanks (dashes are converted to blanks). There are the elements of the DT.
2. A vector, $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$, consisting of the $n$ conditions to be satisfled.
3. A vector, $\mathrm{Z}_{1}, \mathrm{Z}_{2}, \mathrm{Z}_{3}, \ldots \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ consisting of the series (or string) of actions to be performed depending upon the conditions to be satisfied.
4. An EISE-RULE (which is, however, not considered an integral part of the DT matrix).

OUTPUT
All output from the generator consists of simple COBOL conditional statements of the following type:

$$
\text { IF } C_{n} \text { GO TO }\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { DXN } \\
\text { AZM } \\
\text { ELOOI }
\end{array}\right][\cdot]\left[\text { ELSE GO TO }\left[\begin{array}{l}
A Z P \\
\text { ELOOI }
\end{array}\right] \quad \cdot\right]
$$

where:

1. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the nth condition being tested.
2. DXN is the statement label designating the paragraph name where further checks are to be made by the conditions ( $N$ is a 3-digit number).
3. ATM is the statement label that precedes user-defined actions for specified rules (ATP is another action label; the two are not the same). $M$ and $P$ are 3-digit no.'s which are based upon the no. of the rule for which the solution was generated.
4. ELOO1 is the error or condition not covered exit. The brackets are used to group the possible cambinations.

## THE ALGORITHM

With the above table characteristics, definitions, and easily deducible corollaries, we can now describe the steps involved in converting a typical decision table (Figure 3) to a series of test instructions. Except for elimination of obviously unnecessary tests, no test optimization is attempted.

GENERAL
Generally, several "passes" are made through the DT, with each pass generating COBOL code, that makes tests on the various conditions and leads to actions that constitute a solution for one or more of the rules. These rules are then deleted from the DT and the process continues until no more rules exist in the DT. The DT is then considered to be solved. The number of passes will never be more than $2^{n-1}$, (where $n={ }^{\prime} s$ number of conditions in the DT) and may in fact be less. Bach condition-entry section will contain one of the following classes of $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{S}$, N's and blanks. It is their satisfaction which will provide information for the generation of output code.

Class 1 - contains one or more $Y^{\prime} s, N^{\prime} s$ and blanks in any combination.
Class 2 - contains one or more $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{1} \mathrm{~s}$ in any combination.
Class 3 - contains one or more $Y^{\prime} s$ and blanks in any combination.
Class 4 - contains one or more $N^{\prime} s$ and blanks in any combination.

Class 5 - contains all Y's.
Class 6 - contains all $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$.
Class 7 - contains all blanks.
For each pass through the DT, we start with the nth condition in the DT. The " $n$ " is initially set to one and the following steps are done:

Step 1 - Examining the Condition Entry Section
The nth condition entry section elements are examined for one of the above 7 classes. The following rules are then applied to determine what COBOL code to generate.

1. If at least one $Y$ and/or at least one $N$ and any, but not all blaniks are found in any combination the generated code will be:

IF $C_{n}$ GO TO DXN.
(where DXN is a generated label which is saved in all cases by placing it in a push-down list from which it can be "popped" up to provide a COBOL statement label when needed. This provides for a "last in-first out" requirement)。
2. If only Y's are found the generated code is:

IF $C_{n}$ GOTO DXN ELSE GO TO ELOOL.
and a statement label is "popped" from the push-down list.
3. If only $N^{\prime}$ s are found the generated code is:

$$
\text { IF } C_{n} \text { GO TO ELOOL. }
$$

4. If only blanks and/or 'B's'6 are found, no COBOL code is generated. The elements above the blanks (or B) ace then examined and the following tests performed:
a. If there are no blanks above this condition, that condition's element is changed to a ' $Y$ '.

[^2]b. If there are any blanks above this condition, a ' $B$ ' is placed in that condition's element.

This continues until all elements of that condition have been examined. Then the next step is performed.

## Step 2 - Preventing Unnecessary Testing of Rules

Before the above mentioned code is implemented a check is made after a $Y$ or an $N$ is found in a rule to determine if the remaining elements in that rule contain all blanks. If they are not all blanks we go to Step 3; otherwise, since one solution for this rule has been found we generate either an AZM or AZP action label for inclusion within the previously generated code (if a Y-generate AZM, or if an $N$-generate AZP). The number of the rule determines what $M$ or $P$ will be; i.e., Rule 1 - Generate AZOO1; Rule 2.- AZOO2, etc.).

Step 3 - Last Condition Analysis
If this is not the last condition go to Step 4; otherwise, the following analysis
is made:

1. If the $D T$ contains only one rule whose last condition equals $Y$ generate the following code:

$$
\text { IF } C_{n} \text { GO TO ATM ELSE GO TO ELOOL }
$$

2. If the DT contains one rule whose last condition equals $N$ generate the following code:

$$
\text { IF } C_{n} \text { GO TO ELOOL ELSE GO TO ATP. }
$$

3. If the DT contains two rules whose last conditions contain a $Y$ and an $N$ generate the following code:

$$
\text { IF } C_{n} \text { GO TO ATM ELSE GO TO ATP ( } M==^{\prime} Y \text { rule no., } P={ }^{\prime} N^{\prime} \text { rule no.) }
$$

4. If anything else is indicated there exists an inconsistency or redundancy in the logic of the DT. Frror messages are generated but conversion is continued.

After this step is performed control goes to Step 6.
Step 4 - Modifying the DT
Each time a condition-entry section has been examined a modified DT is formed (the original DT is saved). This is done by deleting from the DT all rules whose nth condition-entry section have a $Y$ in it. However, if only $Y^{\prime} s$ are found in this condition entry section, no deletions are done and the modified DT remains the same as the original (unmodified) DT.

Step 5 - Iteration
Next increase n by 1 and go back to Step 1.
Step 6 - Handling the Solutions
When the last condition-entry section has been examined, one or more solutions to the original DT have been found. These solutions are then deleted by examining the rules which correspond to these solutions and applying the following criteria:

1. If a SOLUTION rule contains only ' $Y^{\prime} s^{\prime}$ and ' $N$ 's', or if the only blanks found are contained in the last consecutive elements (i.e., $n, n-1$, etc., contains blanks) of the rule, it is deleted from the original DT.

Any other case indicates that there is more than one solution to the ruie. The solution just found is deleted by introducing a delimiter, which we shall call ' $B$ '. By setting blanks equal to ' $B$ ', the generator is able to step backwards up the rule (once each pass) and generate code for all possible combinations of conditions in a given rule. The stepping is done in SIEP 7, where the solution vector is compared with the original DT and a modified DT formed containing the next solution for this rule and possibly solutions to other rules. This is done in the following manner.
2. The rule is examined for ' $B^{\prime}$ 's' and:
a. If a ' $B$ ' is found and there are no blanks in the elements above ' $B$ ' in the rule, the element containing the ' $B$ ' is changed to ' $Y$ ' and Step 6 is repeated. If there are one or more blanks above the ' $B$ ', then the blank nearest the ' $B$ ' is changed to a ' $B$ ' and all other ' $B$ 's' are replaced with blanks.
b. If no 'B's' but any blanks are found, the lowest blank in the rule is replaced with a 'B'.

## Step 7 - Setting Up for Next Pass

If the original DT now does not contain any rules, all of the code necessary for testing the conditions has been generated and a complete solution of the jT has been found. The generators work is complete. If one or more rules still exist within the original DT conversion of the DT continues.

First, a statement label is "popped" from the push-down list. Next, one of the solutions (Rules) just found is saved, $n$ is set to one, and all blanks in the rule (hereafter called the solution vector) are set to ' $N$ '. Following that, the nth element in the solution vector is compared with each element in the nth condition entry section of the original DT. If there are any elements in the nth condition-entry section equal to either blanks or the nth element in the solution vector, a special modified DT is found by deleting all rules (from the original $D T$ ) whose nth element is not equal to either blanks or the nth element in the solution vector. The $n$ is set equal to $n+1$ and the above process repeated until a DT is found which does not satisfy the above conditions. 7 The $n$ is set to $n+1$ and the next "pass" started at Step 1 using the special modified DT.

[^3]
## ERROR CHECKING

COBOL code is always generated. Errors in the logic of the DT may or may not produce errors in the generated code. Redundancies and inconsistencies are checked for and all errors detected are flagged. Some of these will prevent completion of the conversion, others serve only as a warning.

## CONVERSION EXAMPLE

The following is a step by step example of the conversion of a DT:

| $C_{1}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{2}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - |
| $C_{3}$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - | $N$ | - |
| $A_{2}$ | $X$ | - | $X$ | - | - |
| $A_{2}$ | $X$ | $X$ | - | - | - |
| $A_{3}$ | - | $X$ | - | $X$ | - |
| $A_{4}$ | - | - | - | - | $X$ |
|  | $Z_{1}$ | $Z_{2}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{4}$ | $Z_{6}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{5}=\text { ELSE-RULE } \\
& Z_{n}=\text { action string to } \\
& \text { be performed. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\mathrm{n}=1$ and perform Step 1 for $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. Since there is both a Y and an N in $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, the following code is generated:

$$
\text { IF } C_{1} \text { GO TO DXOO1. }
$$

Since DXOOL will be used later as a statement label, it is saved in the pusji- uown list.

Next, Step 4 is performed and the $D T$ becomes:

|  | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | $N$ | $N$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| $C_{3}$ | - | $N$ |
|  | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{4}$ |

$N$ is set equal to 2 and Step $l$ is performed for $C_{2}$. There is both a $Y$ and an $N$ in $C_{2}$, but $C_{3}$ in $R_{3}$ is blank and Step 2 is applied resulting in the following
generated code:

$$
\text { IF } \mathrm{C}_{2} \text { GO TO AZOO3 }
$$

Step 4 is again applied and the DT becomes:

|  | $R_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | $N$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $N$ |
| $C_{3}$ | $N$ |
|  | $Z_{4}$ |

$N$ is set to 3 and Step 1 is performed for $C_{3}$. Since this is the last condition Step 3 is performed and the resulting code is IF $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ GO TO ELOOL ELSE GO TO AZOO4.
Now since one pass has been made through the DT, Step 6 is performed and the original DT becomes

| becomes | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $C_{3}$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
|  | $Z_{1}$ | $Z_{2}$ |

Since there are 2 rules left in the DT, Step 7 is performed resulting in $n$ being set to 2 .

First, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is examined, which generates the following code:

$$
\text { IF } C_{2} \text { GO TO DXOO2 EISE GO TO ELOO1: }
$$

The label DXOO2 is saved in the push-down list and a modified DT is formed; however, since $C_{2}$ contains only $Y$ 's the DT remains the same.

Since there are no $\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ condition-entry section, the statement label DXOO2 is set up from the "push-down" 1ist. Then $n$ is set to 3 and Step 1 is performed for $C_{3}$. Since $C_{3}$ contains both a $Y$ and an $N$, and is also the last
condition, Step 3 states that the output code will be:

$$
\text { IF } C_{3} \text { GO TO AZOO2 ELSE GO TO AZOO1. }
$$

Steps 6 and 7 are then performed resulting in an original DT with no rules in it. This signifies that all rules have been solved and a complete solution of the DT exists. The generator is now finished with its job. The generated COBOL code is thus:
(PASS \#1) IF C $C_{1}$ GO TO DXOO1. (Step 1.1)
IF $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ GO TO AZOO3. (Step 1 and Step 2)
IF $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ GO TO ELOO1 ELSE GO TO AZOO4. (Step 3.2)
(PASS \#2) DXOO1. (Step 7)
IF $C_{2}$ GO TO DXOO2 ELSE GO TO ELOOL. (Step 1.2)
DX002.
IF $C_{3}$ GO TO AZOO2 ELSE GO TO AZOO1. (Step 3.3)
ACTION PROCESSING
Upon the generator's completion, each of the series of actions specified for each of the rules (including the ELSE-RULE, which is labeled ELOO1) are set up as individual $C O B O L$ paragraphs, to be performed in the occurring sequence (i.e., $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \ldots$ ) Each rule thus has its own sequence of actions (some of which may be redundant with another rule). As an example, the following would be generated for the example above:

AZOO1.
$\mathrm{A}_{1}$
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$.
GO TO DEXIT.
AZ002.
$\mathrm{A}_{2}$.
$A_{3}$
GO TO DEXIT

AZOO3.
$A_{1}$.
GO TO DEXIT.
AZOO4
$\mathrm{A}_{3}$
GO TO DEXIT.
ELOO1.
$\mathrm{A}_{4}$
DEXIT. EXIT.

GO TO DEXIT is almost always generated since a branch may not exist to allow normal exit from the DT. DEXIT is the normal return fram the decision table if the DT is operated as a closed COBOL subroutine. If it is not, the last action performed by any rules action string must be an unconditional GO TO. If it is, then the "GO TO DEXIT" will not be generated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Decision Tables endow a user with the ability to provide a graphical representation of a complex procedure in such a way that one individual is able to readily understand a program written by another.

DETAB-65 is the decision table language which the preprocessor converts to COBOL statements for subsequent processing by an appropriate COBOL campiler. This manual's purpose is to describe to a user how a DETAB-65 decision table should be written for inclusion within a COBOL program. It also describes the necessary linkages, formats, and restrictions used in construction of the decision table.

Since not all definitions will be defined in this manual, it is recommended that the user first study the DETAB-65 documents accompanying this manual. In addition, a test program is documented in Appendix B.

## 2. STRUCTURE OF A DECISION TABLE

A decision table can be logically divided into four sections (See Figure 1 below). The upper two sections (Condition Stub and Condition Entry) describe the set or string of conditions that is to be tested. The lower two sections (Action Stub and Action Entry) describe the set or string of actions that is to be taken upon satisfaction of a set of conditions. A rule consists of a set of conditions plus a set of actions, and a decision table typically consists' of several rules.

| Condition <br> Stub |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Action <br> Stub | Action Entry |
|  |  |
|  | Figure 1 |

The three types of decision tables in current use today are the limited-, extended-, and mixed-entry types (see Figure 2 below). Eventually it will be possible to convert all three types of tables via the preprocessor; however, at the present time the preprocessor is restricted to limited-entry tables.


LIMITED ENIRY


EXIENDED-ENTRY
Figure ?

|  | $R_{2}$ | $R_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{1}$ | $=58$ | $Y$ |
| $C_{2}$ | $\neq J$ | $N$ |
| $A_{2}$ | $X$ | - |
| $A_{2}$ | - | $X$ |

MIXED-ENTRY
3. PROGRAM FORMAT

The format for a COBOL program containing DENAB-65 decision tables must conform to the requirements for any COBOL program, except that a decision table is inserted in the COBOL PROCEDURE DIVISION as a SECTION, and is referred to by en appropriate COBOL statement (see Table Linkage).

The DATA DIVISION of a COBOL program incorporating a DEIAB-65 decision table is treated as is any other COBOL DATA DIVISION. Any symbolic data reference, data structure, constant, or working storage used in a decision table must be declared in the DATA DIVISION.

The decision table(s) are placed at the end of the PROCEDURE DIVISION since they are to be treated as $C O B O L$ subroutines. This is the only difference between a COBOL program and a COBOL with decision table(s) program.
4. TABLE LINKAGE

In compilation a decision table will be treated as a closed COBOL subroutine. Thus, a decision table should not be entered via the normal operating sequence,
but only by using COBOL GO TO or PERFORM verbs. ${ }^{1}$
Since a GO TO results in an uncondition transfer, a return or transfer poinc must be specified by the user in the decision tables action stub or the processing sequence will be lost. It is recamended that the GO TO verb not be used when referring to a table from the main sequence of the program. When transferring control to a decision table by the use of a COBOL PERFORM verb, a normal return to the processing sequence will be made by the compiler unless the user specifies otherwise in his actions. Specifically, the preprocessor will generate a "GO TO DEXIT.", for every rule whose last action does not end in a "GO TO ---." no matter how the table was entered.

Tables may be chained together by placing GO TO's and PERFORM's in the Action Stub of one or more tables. However, it is advisable to keep very, very close track of this as it is possible to generate errors due to the way various COBOL campilers set up their procedure sections.

## 5. DEFINITIONS

The following is a series of decision table definitions to be used in describing a DETAB-65 decision table:
5.1 TABLE-ID

Identifies to the preprocessor that a DETAB-65 decision table has been encountered. The ID is always 4 numeric characters consisting of 4 zeros (0000).
5.2 RULE-ID

Identifies to the preprocessor that the rule-card is present (for error checking purposes). The ID is always 4 numeric characters in length and consists of (0001).
5.3 TABLE-NAME

This is a 30 alphanumeric character or less name which is then used to identify the COBOL section generated by the preprocessor.

[^4]```
5.4 FORM
Designates the kind of table is present (i.e., limited-, extended-,
    and mixed-entry tables) and is always an alphabetic character (L, E,
    M, left-justified).
5.5 COND ROWS
    Designates the number of conditions in the Condition Stub of the table.
    This is 3 numeric characters (right-justified).
5.6 ACTION ROWS
    Designates the number of actions in the Action Stub of the table.
    This is 3 numeric characters (right-justified).
5.7 RUIES
    Designates the number of rules in a table. This includes the ELSE-RULE
    and is 3 numeric characters (right-justified).
5.8 RULE NUMBERS
    These are 3 numeric characters each used to identify each rule. The
    ELSE-RULE is the only excertion and is designated by the 3 alphabetic
    characters EIS.
5.9 CONDITION STUB
    Contains logical, arithmetic, or relational conditions answerable by
    a yes (Y) or a no (N).
5.10 CONDITION ENIRIES
    These indicate which condition must be met to satisfy a rule. This
        can be a Y, N, BLANK ( ), or DASH (-). A blank or dash means that
        the user does not care if the rule is Y or N as it makes no difference.
        Also known as the elements of a task.
5.11 ACTION STUB
    Contains imperative statements to be performed as indicated by the
    ACTION ENIRIES of a rule when the rule is satisfied.
5.12 ACTION ENIRIES
    These indicate which actions must be performed if a rule is satisfied.
    The character used to signify this is an X.
```


## 6. CONVENTIONS AND RESTRICTIONS

When writing DETAB-65 decision tables the following words cannot be used (this is in addition to COBOL restricted words) 6.1-6.6.
6.1 DXN (where $N$ is a 3 digit number)
6.2 AZM (where $M$ is a 3 digit number)
6.3 AZP (where $P$ is a 3 digit number)
6.4 ELOO1
6.5 DEXIT
6.6 ELS
6.7 Maximum of 50 entries in Condition Stub.
6.8 Maximum of 50 entries in Action Stub.
6.9 Maximum of 50 rules (includes ELSE-RULE).
6.10 Maximum of 12 and minimum of 3 columns in a rule.
6.11 Maximum of 58 and minimum of 12 columns in the Condition \& Action Stubs.
6.12 ELSE-RULE (ELS) must always be present.
6.13 TABLE END card ( $\$$ in Column 7) must always be present.
6.14 EOF card (999X in Columns $4-7$ must always be present.
7. DETAB-65 DECISION TABLE

The table itself is written in a mixture of fixed and free formats. The following
lists the various sections showing theproper way to set up a DETAB-65 decision table.
7.1 Header

The header contains information used by the preprocessor to initate the conversion of the table and allow it to check for errors.

| COLUMN(S) | DEFINITI ON |
| :---: | :--- |
| $4-7$ | TABLE ID |
| $9-38$ | TABLE NAME |
| $39-40$ | FORM |
| $41-43$ | COND-ROWS |
| $44-46$ | ACTION-ROWS |
| $47-49$ | RULES |

All other columns in the header are blank. 4

### 7.2 Rule

The rule part of the DETAB-65 table contains information which allows the preprocessor to determine the size of the stub area. The size of the largest entry in either the condition or action stub determines the size of the stub area.

| $\frac{\text { COLURNU(S) }}{4-7}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DEFINITION <br> $9-\mathrm{XX}$ | Blank. This is the size of the stub area. |

The rules (RULE-NUMBiRRS) start immediately after the last column in the stub area and are terminated by a dollar sign (\$). The only exception is if the last rule occupies column 80 , thus putting the dollar sign in column 81.
7.3 Body

The body part of the table contains the Condition and Action Stubs and Entries. All actions are performed in the order of their occurrence; if it is desired to do series of action in a different order, it is necessary to repeat them in that order in the action stub.
7.4 End

The end part notifies the preprocessor when the input is over
and conversion is to begin. It consists of a dollar sign ( $\$$ ) in column 7 .
Preprocessor Output
The preprocessor will convert a DELAB-65 decision table into COBOL statements of the following format:

$$
\text { IF C GO TO } \begin{aligned}
& \text { DXNN } \\
& \text { AZM } \\
& \text { ELOOL }
\end{aligned} \quad . \quad \text { ELSE GO TO } \begin{aligned}
& \text { AZP } \\
& \text { ELOOL }
\end{aligned} .
$$

Where $C_{n}$ is the condition to be tested, and the brackets output formats.
Frrors
All errors detected during the preprocessors operation will be listed upon the list tape. For a full description of all errors see Appendix A.

[^5]
## 8. EOF Card

To tell the preprocessor when to terminate operations a special card is used called the EOF card. This consists of a 999X in columns $4-7$, and is the last card processed. This means that if more than one program is to be processed the EOF card is placed after the last program.

## Deck Outline

The following shows the deck structure of a COBOL program containing a DETAB-65 decision table.


TABLE TIN CARD

table rule
CARD
TABLE HEADER CARD

COBOL PROGRAM
CARDS
Sample COBOL, DETAB-65 Program Deck

## APPENDIX A

1. PRESENTLY TABLE RESTRICTED TO LIMITED ENTRIES

FORM does not contain an 'L'.
2. TABLE-NAME MISSING FROM HEADER CARD

Processing will be halted and table skipped.
3. RULES CARD MISSING

Processing will be halted and table skipped.
4. LESS THAN 3 RULE COLUMNS SPECIFIED

A rule contains 2 or less columns for processing, conversion will be halted and table skipped.
5. PRESENTLY, CONIINUED RULES NOT IMPLEMENTEED

Column 8 has an entry.
6. CONDITION STUB EXCEEEDS 58 COLUMNS

Condition stub exceeds limit processing halted and table skipped.
7. NUMBER OF RULES ENCOUNTERED DISAGREES WITH RUTE CARD

Number of rules entered in header card (RULES) differs from that specified by the user.
8. MORE THAN 50 ACTION OR CONDITION ENIRIES

Action or Condition stub contains more than 50 entries, processing halted and table skipped.
9. DECISION TABLE LOGIC ERROR. PROCESSING HALIED.

Check over rules for either redundancy, inconsistency or both.

## - BEGIN JOB 296 08/10/65

*CJOP, 9n101, ASTABS5, S/1S,56, 55555.4.
*COBOL, $X$.
IDENTIFICATION DIVISION.
PROGRAM=ID, PLAYBOY,
AUTHOR. CHARLES CREE.
DATE-COMPILED. O8/1O/65
REMARKS, THIS IS A SIMPLE DAYA RETRIEVAL PROQRAM TO ILLUSTRATE THE USE OF DETAB/65.
ENVIRONMENT DIVISION,
SOURCEFCOMPUTER. CONTROL DAYA 1604-A
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { SOURCE=COMPUTER, } & \text { CONFROL DAYA } 1604-A . \\ \text { OBJECT=COMPUTER, } & \text { CONFROL DAFA } 1604=A .\end{array}$
OBJECT=COMPUTER, CONTROL DATA 1604-A

## INPUT-OUPPUT

select Ca
CET ANDIDATES ASSIGN TO SYSTEM-INDUT=TAPE.
DATA DIVISION
FILE SECTION.
D ANEL RECORDS ARE OMITTED
DATA RECORD IS INREC.
01 INREC.
O2 FILLER PICTURE $\times(80)$.
D RATED=FILE
LABEL RECORDS ARE OMITTED
DATA RECORD Is EVAL.
EVAL.
02 FILLER PICTURE X(48).
WORKING-8TORAGE SECTION.
77 TOP-CTR PICTURE $9(7)$ COMPUTATIONAL, SYNCHRONIZED RIGHT, VAIUE ZERO.
NEXT=BEST-CTR PICTURE $9(7)$ COMPUTATIONAL, SYNCHRONIZED RIGHT, VALUE ZERO.
77 LAST=RESORT=CTR PICTURE $9(7)$ COMPUTATIONALs
SYNCHRONIZED RIGHT, VALUE ZERO.
77 TOTAL=NO PICTURE $9(5)$ COMAUTATIONAL.
SYNCHRONIZED RIGHT, VALUE ZERO.
01 HSR.
02 SKIP=CTL PICTURE $X_{\text {. }}$.
02 RATING PICTURE X(12),
02 WSR1.

```
03 IDNO PICYURE 9(7)
    3 SEX
    88 FEMALE
    3 AGE
O3 HEIGHT
03 WEIGH
03 HAIR
    88 BLOND
    O EYES
    VICALUE *BLOND.*
    EYES VALUE X(5).
03 1-Q PICTURE MBL
O3 FILLER PICTURE }9
```

PROCEDURE DIVISION.
PN01.
OPEN INPUT CANDIDATES.
PNOPEN OUTPUT RATED-FILE
READ CANDIDATES INTO WSRI: AT END GO TO PNEOJ, PERFORM CHOICE-PICK.

PNEOJ
CLOSE CANDIDATES WITH LOEK. RATED-FILE WITH LOCK
DISPLAY \#TOPS COUNT $=\neq$ FOPFCTR
DISPLAY $\neq N E X T$ COUNT $=\neq$ NEXT-BEST=CTR.
DISPLAY \#LAST COUNT $=\neq$ LAST-RESORT-CTR
ISPLAY \#TOTAL $=$ TOTALENO.
STOP RUN.

DO1.0006 CHOICE-PICK
$0 \leq 0001$
010101 FEMALE
010102 AGE GREATER THAN 18
010103 AGE LESS THAN 38
010104 BLOND
010105 BLUE=EYE8
010106 WEIGHT GREATER THAN B
010107 WEIGHT LESS THAN 133 010108 HEIGHT GREATER THAN :59 010109 HE!GHT LESS THAN 68 010110 I 0 Q GREATER THAN 99
010112 MOVE $\neq$ TOPS $\neq$ TO RATING 010202 ADD 1 TO TOP-CTR 010203 MOVE $\neq N E X T$ BESTF TO RATING 010204 ADD 1 TO NEXT=BEST-CTR 010204 MOVE $\neq$ LAST RESORT $\#$ TO RATINQ 010206 ADD 1 TO LASTERESORT.CTR 010201 WRITE EVAL FROM WSR 010207 ADD 1 TO YOTAL=NO S

L 010008008

- 201002003004005006007ELS
$\begin{array}{lllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & N & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y & N & Y\end{array}$
$\quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{N} \stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}$
$\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} \\ \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & N & Y & N & Y \\ Y & N & \bar{Y} & Y & N & N\end{array}$

| x | x | x |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| x | x | x |

x
x
x
x
x
$\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & x\end{array}$
$x$
$x \quad x \quad x$
$x \quad x \quad x$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \\ \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{x}\end{array}$ *

CHOICE-PICK SECTION

## XOOO.

If female
GO TO DX001 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
D×001.
If age greater than 18
TO DXOO2 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
DX002. AEE LESS THAN 38
GO TO DXOO3.
IF BLOND
00 TO DXOO4,
IF BLUE-EYSS
©0 TO DXOO5
IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
GO TO DXOO6 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
DX006.
IF WEIGHT LESS THAN 133

GO TO DX007.
IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
GO TO EL001.
If Ino Greater than 99
GO TO ELOO1 ELSF GO TO AZ006. DX007.

If HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
GO TO DXOO8 ELSE GO TO ELOO1. DX008.

IF HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
GO TO DXOO9 ELSE GO TO ELOO1. $\times 009$.

If I-a Greater Than 99
DXOO5 GO TO AZOO2 ELSE GO TO ELOO1. $\times 005$.

IF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
QO TO DX010 ELSF GO TO ELOO1. $\times 010$.

IF WEIGHT LESS THAN 133
DO110 TO DX011 ELSF GO TO ELOO1. IF

IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59 FO DX012 ELSE GO TO EL001. X012.

IF HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
DX013 ELSE TO ELOO1. DX013.

IF I-Q GREATER THAN 99
GO TO AZOO2 ELSE GO TO ELOO1. DX004. HEIGHT GREATER THAN 89

IF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
GO TO DX014 ELSF GO TO EL001. DX014.

IF HEIGHT LEES THAN 133
GO TO DX015 ELSE GO TO EL001. DX015.

IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
GO TO DX016 ELSF GO TO EL001. DX016. HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
${ }^{\text {GO }}$ TO DX017 ELSF GO TO EL001. DX017.

```
    IF I=Q GREATER THAN 99
    @O TO AZOO2 ELSF GO TO ELOO1.
D\times003.
    IF BLOND
    GO TO DX018.
    F BLUE-EYES
        GO TO DXO19
    F HEIGHT GREATER THAN }8
        GO TO DXO20 ELSE GO TO EL.001.
D\times080
    IF WEIGHT LESS THAN 133
    00 TO DX021.
    IP HEIGHT GREATER THAN 50
    OO %O Elo01.
    F =0 GREATER THAN 99 % EL001
DX021. HEIGHT OREATER THAN
    OO TO DXO22 ELSE GO SO ELDOS
DX022. HEIGHT LESS THAN }6
    GO FO DXO23.
    IF I=Q GREATER THAN 99
        GO TO ELOO1 ELSE GO TO AZ007.
D\times023.
    IF I=Q GREATER THAN 99
        GO TO AZOO5 ELSE GO TO AZOO3
DX019. WEIGHT GREATER THAN 8
    00 TO DX024 ELSE GO TO ELOO1
DX024. WEIGHT LESS THAN }13
    00 TO DX025.
    F HEIGHT GREATER THAN 5
    GO TO ELOO1.
    F I=Q GREATER THAN 99
        GO TO AZOO4 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
D\times025
    IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
        GO TO DX026 ELSF GO TO EL001.
D\times026.
    F HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
```

GO TO ELOO1.
IF I=0 GREATER THAN 99 DX0 TO ELOO1 ELSE GO TO AZOO7,
IF BLUE-EYES
GO TO DXO27.
IF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
GO TO DX028 ELSE GO TO EL001. DXO28. WEIGHT LESS THAN 133

00 TO DX029.
IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
00 TO ELOO1.
if I-0 GREATER Than 99
GO TO AZ004 ELSE GO TO EL001.
DX029.
IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
GO TO DXO30 ELSE GO TO EL001.
D×030.
IF HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
GO TO DXO31.
IF I=Q GREATER THAN 99 D×031.

IF I=O GREATER THAN 99
DX027. TO AZOO5 ELSF GO TO EL001. D×027.

IF BLUE-EYES
GO TO DXO32.
IF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
GO TO DXO33 ELSF GO TO ELOO1. D×033.

IF WEIGHt LESS ThaN 133
GO TO DXO34.
IF HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
GO TO ELOO1.
IF I=0 GREATER THAN 99
GO TO AZOO4 ELSF GO TO EL001.
DX034. HEIGHT GREATER THAN 59
OO TO DXO35 ELSF GO TO EL001.
D×035.

If HEIGHT LESS THAN 68
60 TO ELOOI.
IF I-Q GREATER THAN 99
QO TO ELOO1 ELSF GO TO AZOO7.
DX032.
IF WEIGHT GREATER THAN 89
GO FO DXO36 ELSF GO TO ELOO1. DXO36. WEIGHT LESS THAN 133

GO TO DXO37 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
DXO37. HEIGHT GREATER THAN 50
GO TO DX038 ELSF GO TO EL.001.
DXO38. $\begin{aligned} & \text { IF HEIGHT LESS THAN } 68\end{aligned}$
©O TO AZOO1 ELSE GO TO ELOO1.
AZ001.
MOVE \#TOPS\# TO RATING.
ADD 1 TO TOP=CTR.
WRITE EVAL FROM WSR,
GO TO DEXIT.
AZOO2.
MOVE KLAST RESORT TO RAFING.
ADD 1 TO LAST=RESORT-CTR.
HRITE EVAL FROM WSR.
ADD 1 TO TOTAL $=N O$.
GO TO DEXIT.
AZOO3.
MOVE FNEXT BESTF PO RATING.
ADD 1 TO NEXT=BEST=CTR。
WRITE EVAL FROM WSR
ADD 1 TO TOTAL $=$ NO.
AZOO4.
MOVE MAST RESORT: TO RATING。
ADD 1 TO LAST-RESORT-CTR
WhITE EVAL FROM WSR
GO TO DEXIT.
AZ 005.
MOVE FTCPSF TO RATING.

ADD 1 TO TOR-CTR.
WRITE EVAL FROM WSR.
ADD 1 TO TOTAL $=N O$
AZ006
MOVE ATOPS\# TO RATING.
ADD 1 TO TOP-CTR.
WRITE EVAL FROM WSR.
ADD 1 TO TOTAL $=$ NO
AZ 007.
MOVE FNEXT BESTF TO RATING.
ADD 1 TO NEXT=BEST=CTR.
WRITE EVAL FROM WSR
ADD 1 TO TOTAL $=N O$
GO TO DEXIT.
ADD \& TO TOTAL $=$ NO.
DEXIT. EXIT.

END PROGRAM.
999X
END DETAB/65 PREPROCESSOR RUN.
$0010000 \mathrm{CHOICE=PICK}$
010001
010101 FEMALE
010102 AGE GREATER THAN
010103 AGE LESS FHAN 38
010103 AGE LE
010105 BLUENEYES
010106 WEIGHT GREATER THAN B9
010107 HEIGHT LESS THAN 133 010108 HE! GHT GREATER THAN 010109 HEIGHT LESS THAN 68 010110 I $=0$ QREATER THAN 99

010112 MOVE FTOPSF TO RATING 010202 ADD 1 TO FOP-CTR
010203 MOVE \#NEXT BESTF TO RATING
010204 ADD 1 TO NEXT=BEST=CFR

010206 ADD 1 TO LAST=RESORT=CTR 010201 HRITE EVAL FROM WSR 010207 ADD 1 TO TOTAL=NO END PROGRAM

001002003004005006007 ELSS
$\begin{array}{llllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & Y & \bar{Y} & Y & Y & Y\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & N & Y & Y & Y & Y & N\end{array}$
N
N
N $\quad \mathrm{N} \stackrel{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}}$
$\begin{array}{llllll} & N & & N & N\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}Y & Y & Y & Y & Y \\ Y & Y & N & Y & N\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & N & Y & N & Y \\ Y & Y & & Y & & N\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}Y & Y & & Y & & N \\ Y & N & Y & Y & N & N\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & x\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}x & x \\ x & x\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}x & x \\ x & \stackrel{x}{x}\end{array}$
$\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x\end{aligned}>\dot{x}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x & x & x\end{array}$

3278215F031067115BLACK GRFEN106
$0567982 F 025065119 \mathrm{BLOND}$ BLUE 103 $\begin{array}{ll}1425893 F 021062110 R E D & \text { GRFY } 101 \\ 0053247050055145 B A L D & \text { BROWN98 }\end{array}$

32782155031067115 BLACK $1425893 F 021062110$ AED 0567982 F 025065119 ALOND

GREEN 106
GREY 101 blue 103

TOPS COUNT $=0000003$
NEXT COUNT $=0000000$
NAST COUNT = 0000000 TOTAL = 00004


DECISION TABLES--AN ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY

## ABSTRACT

Decision tables allow complex decision rules to be represented in easily grasped, tabular form, making it easy to see what actions are to be taken for each possible combination of conditions. This bibliography contains a brief introduction and 42 references, mostly annotated, to the subject.

## INTRODUCTION

A decision table is a way of representing complex decision rules in an easily grasped, tabular form, which makes it easy to see what actions are to be taken for each possible combination of conditions. Let us look at a typical decision rule.
if CONDITION-A and CONDITION-B and CONDITION-C hold, them do ACTION-1 and ACTION-2, and $\overline{\mathrm{ACTI}} 0 \overline{\mathrm{~N}}-3$.

This same rule could be expressed as a column in a decision table.
rule

| CONDITION-A | Y |
| :--- | :---: |
| CONDITION-B | Y |
| CONDITION-C | Y |
| ACTION-1 | X |
| ACTION -2 | X |
| ACTION-3 | X |

In such a table, the top rows represent conditions, the bottom rows represent actions. The entries in a column corresponding to a rule are chosen from the following symbols:

| mymbaning |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $Y$ | Yes, this condition must hold for the rule to apply |
| $N$ | No, this condition must not hold for the rule to apply |
| - | don't care if this condition holds |
| $X$ | do this action if the rule applies |
| (blank) | do not do this action if the rule applies |

With these symbols, we could add other rules to the decision table.

| CONDITION-A | Y | Y | N | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| CONDITION-B | Y | - | N | - |
| CONDITION-C | Y | N | - | - |
| ACTION-1 | X |  | X |  |
| ACTION-2 | X | X |  |  |
| ACTION-3 | X |  |  | X |

Conventionally, the rules are examined one at a time from left to right, only the first applicable rule is applied, and the actions are taken one at a time in the order they are listed. Other conventions are feasible, though.

Decision tables where the entries are limited to the symbols used in the table above are called limited entry tables. Extended entry tables are possible wherein part of the condition or action is entered in the rule columns. The following taxonomy is an example of an extended entry decision table.

| number of legs | $=4$ | $=4$ | $=4$ | $>4$ | - |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| length of nose | long | short | long | - | - |
| lengt:. of neck | short | long | long | - | - |
| then animal is | elephant | giraffe | hallucination | centipede | unknown |
| go to | zookeeper | zookeeper | psychiatrist | exterminator | biologist |

Extended entry tables can be much more compact than limited entry decision tables, as you would see by expanding the table above into limited entry form.
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A decision table language and computer program pre-compiler were developed at the Insurance Company of North America to facilitate design, implementation and maintenance of a large file maintenance program. The results of this effort indicate that decision tables can have application over the entire systems design-programming area. Decision tables also force a disciplined modularity in the design of a program which can enable a compiler to accomplish some of the program organization function.
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Logic tables are an excellant way of developing and expressing the logic requited in procedures, operations, systems and circuits. A set of rules for writing and using logic tables is explained by means of some simple examples. Then the logic structure of a vending machine is given in which two logic tables are used. Logic tables are two-dimensional in nature, enabling us to fully express and consider both the sequential and parallel aspects of logic. They can be compiled directly into a computer program and so eliminate the need for flow charting and hand coding.

Cantrell, H.N. COMMERCIAL AND ENGINEERING APPLICATIOIS OF DECISION TABLES. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 55-61. 20-21 September 1962.

This paper covers our experience with decision tables, from the time we first heard about them through experiments in different application areas, to our present rather widespread use of tables in systems design and programming. We will discuss some of the difficulties we have had in using decision tables and some of the advantages we think we have gained from them.

DECISION TABLES-A SYSTHMS ANALYSIS AND DOCUMENTATION IECHNIQUE. IBM Corp., F20-8102, 21 pages. 1962.

Describes the basic concepts of decision tables and a minimum set of conventions for their use in systems analysis, procedure design, and documentation. Such tables provide information in a concise format that is easy to read and understand. The tabular approach is used to express complex decision logic in a manner that encourages the analyst to reduce a problem to its simplest form by arranging and presenting logical alternatives under various conditions. While the concepts in the text are presented on a level for comprehension by students in basic computer courses, the techniques are applicable at all levels of sophistication by everyone in a data processing environment.

DECISION TABLES, PRACTICE PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS. IEM COIP., R25-1685-1, 11 pages. 1963.

These four practice problems, with solutions, are designed to aid the student in learning how to use and prepare limited entry Decision Tables.

DETAB-X, PRELIMINARY SPECTFICATIONS FOR A DECISION TABLE STRUCTURED IANGUAGE; CODASYL Systems Group, 1962.

The Systems Group of the Development Committee of the Conference on Data Systems Languages (CODASYL), as a first step in creating a data-processing language based on decision tables, has developed DETAB-X, a decision table language based on COBOL-61. Because decision tables are structured differently from the free-form procedure statements of COBOL-61, some modifications to COBOL-61 are required; however, these are held to a minimum and are of such a nature as to enable a relatively simple preprocessor to convert the decision tables statements to COBOL-61 statements which can then, in turn, be processed by a COBOL-61 compiler (or processor).

The benefits to be derived from a tabular format are many. First, it is most important that, by the very nature of the table format, omissions in problem logic are easily spotted. Second, the analysis inherent in listing the conditions upon which a given action is based tends to clarify complicated parts of a problem. Third, the format simplifies a total systems organization through modularity. Fourth, this format is easy to use and for others to understand. In addition, the Group belleves that the tabular format can be a significant tool in the building of compiling systems themselves.

This DETAB-X manual has been prepared as a language specification reference publication, supplementary to the official COBOL-61 manual published by the United States Government Printing Office. It provides sufficient information to permit experimentation by many COBOL users.

Dixon, P. SPECIAL REPORT, DECISION TABLES SYMPOSIUM. in Standard EDP Reports, Vol. 1, pages 23:030.100-23:030.601. December 1962.

Dixon, P. DECISION TABLES AND THEIR APPLICATION. in Computers and Automation, Vol. 13, No. 4, pages 14-19. April 1964.

Describes the fundamental principles of decision table design, with examples. Indicates the power and applicability of the technique to increase the efficiency of systems analysis and programming. Includes directions for further development and an eleven-point summary of the advantages.

Egler, J.F. A PROCEDURE FOR CONVERTING LOGIC TABLE CONDITIONS INTO AN EFFICIENT SEQUENCE OF TEST INSTRUCTIONS. in Communications of the ACM, Vol. 6, No. 8, pages 510-514. September 1963.

Evans, O.Y. REFERENCE MANUAL FOR DECISION TABLES. IEM Corp. September 1961.

This manual is written to provide a base language (point of departure) for using decision tables. The language is not all-inclusive and in many instances has been arbitrary in the interest of simplicity. It provides a language that interested persons can use to experiment with decision tables in documenting problem definitions. This language is very rigorous in order that it may be used at the detail level of documentation. People experimenting at higher levels of man to man communication can adjust this rigor to their needs.

Evans, O.Y. A METHOD FOR SYSTEMATIC DOCUMENTATION-KEY TO IMPROVED DATA PROCESSING ANALYSIS. In Computer Applications--1961, The Macmillan Co., New York, pages 14-34. $1 \overline{962}$

Evans, O.Y. GENERAL INFORMATION MANUAL, ADVANCED ANALYSIS METHOD FOR INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC DATA PROCESSING. IBM Corp., F20-8047, 21 pages. 1960.

The analysis method presented here can be best described as a systematic method for collecting, recording and maintaining all pertinent information regarding a complete data processing system. The method is particularly useful in that it requires a complete explanation of the characteristics and utilization of each piece of data involved in the system. In addition, it introduces a tabular format for the definition of procedures.

Among the advantages gained through the use of such a documenting analysis are:

1. A definite and orderly method of documenting analysis data is achieved.
2. The analysis is virtually independent of the processing media (i.e., manual, unit record, or high speed computer).
3. The tabular approach to procedure definition aids the analyst in visualizing the numerous relationships and alternatives.
4. The documented analysis and the cross-reference listings permit the data rules to be readily reviewed for omissions and inconsistencies before they are buried in detailed flow charts, control panel wiring and technical machine instructions.
). It provides flexibility in changing any portion of the analysis.
(3. By requiring the frequency of execution of a process, the best processing medium, process organization, programming and equipment requirements can be more readily determined.
5. The analysis provides material for auditing procedures.

This method of analysis was conceived and experimented with in the fall of 1958. The experiment was to restate an analysis of a computer process which contained 200 typewritten pages of narrative, tables, flow charts and block diagrams. The analysis was characterized by omissions, inconsistencies and errors. The restated analysis was depicted in tabular format on five $3^{\prime} \times 5^{\prime}$ sheets. From this experiment the above and other advantages were gained. Many other concepts with great potential in the design of automatic programming systems have resulted.

Grad, B. TABULAR FORM IN DECISION LOGIC. in Datamation, Vol. 7, No. 7, pages 22-26. July 1961.

Tabular form has shown promise of being an effective way to 'organize and present decision logic for systems analysis and computer programming. Experience
to date clearly indicates the need to determine its range of application and assess its future potential. This report has the dual purpose of sketching the historical background on the development of tabular form, and indicating its possible advantages.

Grad, B. STRUCTURE AND CONCEPT OF DECISION TABLES. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 19-28. 20-21 September 1962.

Decision Tables, a recent development, provide a means of presenting complex decision logic in a way that is relatively easy to prepare and understand. A decision table shows the specific alternative courses of action to be taken under various combinations of conditions. This permits an analyst or progranmer to concisely and completely record logical decision rules for analysis, documentation and programming.

Hawes, M.K. THE NEED FOR PRECISE PROBLEM DEFINITION. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 13-18. 20-21 September 1962.

The need for precise problem definition is one of the greatest facing the users of electronic computer systems today. Experience indicates over 65\% of the costs associated with programming data processing problems can be attributed to this need. Looking ahead to real-time information processing systems, the need becomes even greater and, furthermore, must be handled at the systems level.

Hawes, M.K., et. al. DECISION TABLE TUTORIAL USING DETAB-X. CODASYL Systems Development Group, 49 pages. 1962.

Holstein, D. DECISION TABLES, A TECHNIQUE FOR MINIMIZING ROUTINE REPETITIVE DESIGN. in Machine Design, Vol. 34, No. 18, pages 76-79. 2 August 1962.

IBM 1401 DECISION LOGIC TRANSLATOR (1401-SE-05X), APPLICATION DESCRIPTION. IBM Corp., H2O-0063-0, 2 pages.

This program accepts decision tables written in a FORTAN-oriented language and automatically translates them into a FORTRAN II source program, giving
pertinent diagnostics in the process.

IBM 1401 DECISION LOGIC TRANSLATOR (1401-SE-05X), PROGRAM REFERENCE MANUAL. IBM Corp., H2O-0068-0, 54 pages.

Design logic is captured using a FORTAN-oriented decision table language. The logical statements of this language are the input to the Decision Logic Translator system. After decoding the statements of a table, the system sorts them according to commonalities in rows and columns in order to produce an efficient output program. The sorted rules are then tranalated into FORTRAN statements. This process is continued table by table until all tables of any single run are translated into FORTRAN statements.

Kavanagh, T.F. TABSOL, A FUNDAMENTAL CONCEPT FOR SYSTEMS-ORIENTED LANGUAGES. in Proceedings of the Eastern Joint Computer Conference, Vol. 18, pages 117-136. 13-15 December 1960.

Lack of efficient methods for thinking-through and recording the logic of complex information systems has been a major obstacle to the effective use of computers in manufacturing businesses. To supply this need, this paper intri)duces and describes decision structure tables, the essential element in TABSOL, a tabular systems-oriented language developed by the General Electric Company, Decision structure tables can be used to describe complicated, multi-variable, multi-result decision systems. Various approaches to the automatic computer solution of decision structure tables are presented. Some sonef1ts which have been observed in applying this language concept are also discussed. Decision structure tables appear broadly applicable in information systems design. In addition, they are of interest because they revise many earlier notions on problem formulation and systems analysis techniques. Decision structure tables will be an available feature in GECOM, General Electric's new General Compiler, which will be first implemented on the GE 225.

Kavanagh, T.F. TABSOL--THE LANGTJAGE OF DECISION MAKING. in Computers and Automation, Vol. 10, No. 9, pages 15, 18-22. September 1961.

Kavanagh, T.F. MANUFACTURING APPLICATIONS OF DECISION STRUCTURE TABLES. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables, Symposium, pages 89-97. 20-21 September 1962.

Kirk, H.W. USE OF DECISION TABLES IN COMPUTER PROGRAMMING. in Communications of the ACM, Vol. 8, No. 1, pages 41-43. January 1965.

A decision table is a tabular form for displaying decision logic. Decision tables have many inherent advantages from the programming point of view: (1) amount of computer memory used is drastically reduced, (2) programming is simplified, and (3) documentation is brief and clear.

The technique to be illustrated puts these advantages to use in that it enables one to program directly from a decision table. The technique is based on the creation of a binary image of a limited entry decision table in computer memory. A binary image of a given set of input conditions can also be created. This data image is used to scan the decision table image to arrive at the proper course of action.

Klick, D.C. TABSOL: A DECISION TABLE LANGUAGE FOR THE GE 225. in Preprints of Summaries of Papers Presented at the 16th National Meeting, Assuciation for Computing Machinery, paper 10B-2. 5-8 September N仑1.

Lombardi, L.A. A GENERAL BUSINESS-ORIENTED LANGUAGE BASED ON DECISION EXPRESSIONS. in Communications of the ACM, Vol. 7, pages 104-111. February 1964.

Montalbano, M. TABLES, FLOW CHARTS, AND PROGRAM LOGIC. in IBM Systems Journal, Vol. 1, pages 51-63. September 1962.

Decision tables are introduced with reference to business data processing. A method of verifying both the completeness and consistency of a problem description is given. The conversion of tables to computer programs is considered and a technique of obtaining a computer program which minimizes the branching requirements with respect to both memory and execute time is included. Program debugging and program modification are also discussed.

Naramore, F. APPLICATION OF DECISION TABLES TO MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 63-74. 20-21 September 1962.

Since 1958, Sutherland Company has been employing decision tables for documenting management information systems. Major advantages realized through
these techniques may be enumerated as follows: (1) The ability to clearly and concisely state system requirements totally independent of procedures and processing media; (2) A uniformly high quality in the statement of system requirements; (3) The ability to associate defined decisions with responsible organizational entities; (4) An effective method for man-to-man communicatiohs; (5) The ability to establish an information repository for system specifications. The composite result may be summarized as the capability for completa and accurate definition of the "what" of a system, independent of, but relatable to, the myriad of procedural details constituting the "how."

Nickerson, R.C. AN ENG DNEERING APPLICATION OF LOGIC-STRUCTURE TABLES. in Communications of the ACM, Vol. 4, No. 11, pages 516-520. November 1961.

Pollack, S.L. and K.R. Wright. DATA DESCRIPTION FOR DETAB-X (DECISION TABLE, EXPERIMENTAL). RAND Corp., RM-3010-PR, 46 pages. March 1962.

Pollack, S.L. DETAB-X: AN IMPROVED BUSINESS-ORIENTED COMPUTER LANGUAGE. RAND Corp., RM-3273-PR, 18 pages. August 1962.

This Memorandum describes DETAB-X (Decision-Tables, Experimental). In an effort to 1llustrate some of the features of DETAB-X, it is compared with COBOL-61 (Common Business-Oriented Language), using examples of data and procedures written in both languages.

Pollack, S.L. WHAT IS DETAB-X? in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 29-39. 20-21 September 1962.

DETAB-X is an experimental language that combines COBOL-61 and decision tables. It is a proposed supplement to, not a replacement of, COBOL-61.

Pollack, S.L. ANALYSIS OF THE DECISION RULES IN DECISION TARLES. RAND Corp., RM-3669-PR, 69 pages. May 1963.

This memorandum develops a theoretical structure for decision tables. The theorems developed in this paper provide a basis for system analysts and programmers to verify the logic of their analysis. Rules are established that enable them to insure the following: (1) that all possible combinations of
conditions for the problem have been considered; (2) that the system does not prescribe different actions for the same situation; and (3) that the system describes each situation and its action once only.

The immediate effect of achieving the above is an improvement in computer programming by reducing the number of computer instructions, shortening computer running time, and decreasing programming and debugging time. In the future, we can expect computers to take over the task of checking decision tables for completeness, redundancies, and inconsistencies, using the rules developed here. The text also presents an extension of decision table theory. Most current decision tables consist of decision rules for which every condition in a set of conditions must be satisfied before a series of actions can be taken. This memorandum provides a basis for having additional decision rules in which a series of actions can be taken if any one of a set of specified conditions is satisified. This type of decision rule can be extremely useful in editing and information retrieval. This extension should prove valuable in many data processing areas.

Pollack, S.L. HOW TO BUILD AND ANALYZE DECISION TABLES. RAND Corp., P-2829, 17 pages. 12 November 1963.

Describes the conversion of system applications to decision tables, a process which entails making decisions on how large the individual tables should be and what system parameters should be included. A technique for reducing the number of written decision rules is also described.

Once decision tables are written, they should be checked for completeness and consistency. This paper will describe and illustrate the rules that enable system analysts to insure the following: (1) that all possible combinations of conditions for the problem have been considered; (2) that the system does not prescribe different actions for the same situation; and (3) that the system describes each situation and its actions once only.

Pollack, S.L. CONVERSION OF LIMITED-ENTRY DECISION TABLES TO COMPUTER PROGRAM. RAND Corp., RM-4020-PR, 15 pages. May 1964.

Decision tables are useful for describing complex decision rules based on given sets of conditions. Algorithms that can efficiently convert the tables into computer programs will extend the usefulness of decision tables to computer users. This Memorandum describes two such algorithms, based on work done by M.S. Montalbano and extended here to handle dashes and ELSE-decision rules. The first algorithm minimizes the computer storage space required for the resultant program, the second minimizes computer running time. During the conversion process, both pinpoint any contradictions or redundancies among the rules in a table.

A necessary adjunct to minimizing computer storage or running time is the allowable reduction of the number of rules in a decision table. This Memorandum describes a technique to effect this reduction for pairs, triplets and quadruplets of rules. The system analyst will find this method most helpful for pairs, and generally unprofitable for $n$-tuplets greater than three. The technique can be done manually or accomplished by the computer as a prelude to executing one of the two algorithms.

Pomeroy, L.K. Jr. ROAD MAPS TO DECISIONS. in Navy Management Review, Vol. 10, No. 1, pages 4-5. January 1965.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DECISION TABLES SYMPOSIUM. Sponsored by the CODASYI Systems Group and the Joint Users Group of ACM, 116 pages. 20-21 September 1962.

This document contains the proceedings of a Symposium on Decision Tables presented September 20-21, 1962 in New York City. The Symposium was co-sponsored by the Systems Group of CODASYL, and by the Joint Users Group.

Schmidt, D.T. and T.F. Kavanagh. USING DECISION SITRUCTURE TABLES in Datamation, Vol. 10, Nos. 2 and 3, pagea $42-49$ and 48-54. February and March 1964.

These articles emphasize manufacturing applications because most of our experience is in this area. Decision structure tables coupled with computers are paying off because they allow you to: define and think through manufacturing problems, of ten providing new insights and understariding which have led to 1 mproved performance; formulate and record decision systems for subsequent use and communication; simplify computer implementation where mechnization is desirable; get manufacturing to using computers.

There is a wealth of potential computer applications in manufacturing. They offer great opportunity. Without structure tables, application costs would be exorbitantly high. It is easy to learn how to use decision structure tables, and, further, the user requires minimum computer knowledge and background. Later in these articles a structure table application using computers is described--PRONTO.

TABSOL APPLICATION MANUAL, INTRODUCTION TO TABSOL. GE Computer Dept., CPB-147A, 23 pages. June 1961.

TIME TO CONSIDER DECISION STRUCTURE TABLES AND EDP DESIGN SESSIONS. in EDP Analyzer, Vol. 1, No. 4, Canning Publications, Inc., 10 pages. May 1963.

Decision structure tables provide a powerful tool for systems analysis, for prescribing clerical procedures, and for programming. Design sessions can help develop the vitally necessary support of middle management for your EDP program. What's more, both are easy to use.

Wright, K.R. APPROACHES TO DECISION TABLE PROCESSORS. in Proceedings of the Decision Tables Symposium, pages 41-44. 20-21 September 1962.

Discusses the four basic types of processors or methods of converting decision tables to a machine language. These are (1) the manual processor, (2) the interpretive processor, (3) the translator, and (4) the compiler.

## ABSTRACT

The DETAB/65 preprocessor converts limited-entry decision tables contained within COBOL programs into a form acceptable by a COBOL compiler.

The preprocessor was designed to facilitate easy modification for various COBOL implementations. It can be used either alone (as a preprocessor) or incorporated into a COBOL compiler.

The preprocessor has been successfully compiled and executed upon the CDC $1604-\mathrm{A}, 3400,3600$ and on the IBM 7040,7044 and $7090 / 94$ computers.

The Preprocessor Package consists of the following:

1. ABSTRACT
2. The DETAB/65 Language
3. A Description of the Basic Algorithm Used In the DETAB/65 Preprocessor
4. DETAB/65 User's Manual
5. Decision Table Bibliography
6. Preprocessor Card Deck and Listing
7. Test Deck

In June of 1963, Work Group 2 of the Special Interest Group on Programming Languages (SIGPLAN) of the Los Angeles Chapter of the Association for Computing Machinery wes formed to develop a preprocessor for DETAB-X, a COBOL-oriented decision table language. The results of that effort are partially reflected in this manual, a revised set of specifications that, since they differ significantly from those originally set forth for DETAB-X, is denoted as DETAB/65.

The source document for this manual is "Preliminary Specifications for a Decision Table Structured Language-DETAB-X," issued by the CODASYL Systems Group at a symposium on decision tables co-sponsored by CODASYL Systems Group and JUG (Joint Users Group) in New York in September 1962. Upon these specifications the SIGPLAN work group has based further efforts to develop detailed program specifications. In doing so, these basic deviations from DETAB-X have resulted:

1. DETAB-X prescribed extensive revisions to the structure of the COBOL Data Division, most notably a fixed format for data declaration. In view of the efforts of other groups in developing fixed formats for COBOL, this portion of the DETAB-X language specifications was deemed an unnecessary and redundant effort.
2. In the interests of conserving space, DETAB-X specified several short forms and substitute expressions (DO rather than PERFORM, SET rather than COMPUTE, etc.) and placed restrictions upon allowable COBOL expressions within decision tables. In the interests of maintaining maximum compatibility with the OOBOL language, placing as few restrictions on the programmer as possible, and keeping the decision table processor as simple as possible, these short forms and limitations have been removed. Any COBOL expression (legal or illegal) is permissible and may be used at the programmers' discretion.
3. The expression NOT has been added to Extended Entry Condition Entries, meaning all conditions not otherwise specified.
4. A special section of table-specific formulae were specified in DETAB-X. In DETAB/65, formulae too long to be part of the Condition or Action Stubs or Entries are relegated to COBOL code.
5. In DETAB/65, a decision table input to the preprocessor results in a COBOL Section being generated. These sections are set up as closed subroutines and must be treated as such by the accompanying COBOL code.
6. Several other changes of a more minor nature are treated in the text that follows.

SIGPLAN (DETAB) Working Group 2 was chaired by Wim Boerdam of Richfield $0 i l$ The principal participants were:

| Mike Callahan | System Development Corporation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Anson Chapman | System Development Corporation |
| Charles M. N. Cree | International Business Machines |
| Robert L. Dover | Control Data Corporation |
| Stanley Naftaly | Lockheed Aircraft |
| Soloman L. Pollock | North American Aircraft |
| Wylie Robertson | International Business Machines (originally Northrop) |
| Richard W. Senseman | UNIVAC |
| Ralph Shoffner | Informatics |
| Barry Smith | Control Data Corporation |
| N. E. Willmorth | System Development Corporation |

Other participants have been George Amerding of RAND Corporation, who advised the group on FORTAB, R. T. Fife (now of UNIVAC), Leonard Longo (then of Douglas), C. J. Shaw of SDC (and presently Chairman of SIGPLAN), Charles Powell of Richfield, and Ed Manderfield of North American Aviation and previously Chairman of SIGPLAN.

These specifications and the DETAB/65 processor are being distributed through JUG. Requests for copies of the specifications or for the DETAB/65 processor should be addressed to:

Miss Joan Van Horn
Secretary, JUG
MITRE Corporation
Bedford, Massachusetts
or an affiliate of the JUG organization. Correspondence on technical error, comments, criticisms and suggestions may be directed to:

Richfield DiL Corporaidu.
645 South Mariposa
Los Angeles, California

CHAPTER I
IHE DETAB/65 LANGUAGE

## PURPOSE

The purpose of $D E T A B / 65$ is to provide a practical foundation for experimenting with a decision-table based language. The language is designed to be convenient for preparing a preprocessor to go from DETAB/65 to COBOL-61. As such, many restraints and limitations have been placed upon the language to make it readily compatible with COBOL-61.

The DETAB/65 language is designed to fit within the framework of the COBOL language. Decision tables input to the DETAB/65 processor will be output as closed subroutines and treated as COBOL sections. Normal COBOL program formats are used. Symbolic data references made inside decision tables must be declared in the DATA DIVISION just as for non-decision table sections. Formulae whose names are given in a table must be expressed in the PROCEDURES DIVISION prior to entering the DETAB/ 65 section that gives the formulae values. Within a decision table all normal COBOL expressions may be used, plus a few minor language extensions and symbology necessary to the direction of the DETAB preprocessor and construction of DETAB expressions.

## DECISION TABLES

Of the various activities that go into setting up a data-processing procedure for a computer, one of the more difficult is the development of a definition of exactly what is to be done under all combinations of circumstances of the data processing problem. Every problem step must be specified. The conditions to normal processing must be identified. Necessary sequences of operations must be precisely indicated.

Determining what is required of the computer system is called analysis; deciding how to go about meeting these requirements is the area of system design; and communicating the chosen procedure to the computer is called programming. In each of these areas a language is needed for defining the data-processing procedures. Ideally, a language form or structure should be suitable for man-to-man and man-to-machine communication.

Many languages are used for these purposes. Procedures are often communicated to the machine in a form closely resembling the language of the machine. Symbolic logic and equations are sometimes used, but this imposes a heavy and unnecessary burden on the person writing the procedures. This condition occurs because human language, used for man-to-man communication, and machine language are quite different. Flow charts are widely used for man-to-man communication about data-processing procedures. However, such charts have several drawbacks: Flow charts can become confusing in complex situations; it is relatively difficult to check all possible paths; and the flow chart form is not particularly suitable for direct communications with the machine. Flow charts sometimes present logical equations, but they do not display relationships in as graphical a form as one might wish. Furthermore, they are not a comfortable form of expression for most system designers, except to the person who designed the program.

Decision tables offer the promise of nullifying and correcting many of these language objections. Decision tables provide a graphical representation of complex procedures in a way that is easy to visualize and understand. They show alternatives and exceptions much more explicitly than other language forms. They present relationships among variables clearly. They show the necessary sequences of conditions and actions in an unambiguous manner. Decision-table form can be used with equal effectiveness
for system analysis, procedure design, and computer coding. Thus, a computer procedure written as a set of decision tables is, to a large extent, its own documentation.

|  | RULE 1 | RULE 2 | RULE 30 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GFEATER THAN | 25 | 25 | 65 |
| AGE LESS THAN | 35 | 35 |  |
| HEALTH EQJJALS | "EXCELLENT" | "EXCELLENT" | "POOR" |
| SECTION-OF-COUNIRY EQUALS | "EAST" | "WEST" | "WEST" |
| SET RATE-PER-1000 EQUAL TO | 1.57 | 1.72 | 5.92 |
| SET POLICY-LIMIT EQUAL TO | 200000 | 200000 | 20000 |

## FIGGRE 1. AN EXAMPLE OF A DECISION TABLE

There is a growing body of evidence to indicate that these claims are justified. Those who have used decision tables for man-to-machine work say that:

1. programming is much faster;
2. program checkout time is significantly reduced;
3. the use of tables leads to greater accuracy and completeness in problem formulation;
4. program maintenance is simpler; and
5. a program written in tabular form is indeed a powerful communication and documentation device.

## STRUCTURE OF A DECISION TARLE

Figure $l$ is an example of a simple decision table. The use of such a table is illustrated in the following statements about Rule l:

Rule l says: If age is greater than or equal to 25 and age is less than 35, and health is excellent, and section of country is east, then rate per thousand is 1.57 and policy limit is 200,000 . The underlined words are implied by the table layout. The quote marks in the table are used to differentiate non-numeric values from names (as in COBOL-61). Each rule of a decision table is an alternative to each other rule. Therefore, logically it does not matter which rule is examined first; at most, one rule can be satisfied by a single set of conditions.

To more clearly indicate the parts of a table and the terms that are used to describe them, the information in Figure 1 is shown in an exploded view in Figure 2. The double lines serve as demarcation: The condition stub is shown in the upper left corner; the action stub below; the condition entry is in the upper right portion; and the action entry is in the lower right. Each vertical combination of condition and action entries is called a decision rule. The essential nomenclature is completed by adding at the top of the table a title section, called a table header, and by adding a rule header over the entries.

A more detailed description of decision table structure showing the actual location of the various segments of this sample table on a coding form may be found in Chapter III.

As shown in Figure 2, tables may be used in a slightly different way to state decision logic.

|  | RULE NO. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TABLE-CREDIT-APPROVAL | I | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| CREDIT-LIMIT-OK | Y | N | N | N |
| PAY-EXPERIENCE-FAVORABLE |  | Y | N | N |
| SPECIAL-CLEARANCE-OBTAINED |  |  | Y | N |
| PERFORM APPROVE-ORDER | X | X | X |  |
| PERFORM REIURN-ORDER-TO-SALES |  |  |  | X |

FTGURE 2. A LIMITED ENTRY TABLE

Note that the form of the individual conditions and actions is somewhat different between Figure l and Figure 2. In a limited entry table the entire condition or Ection is written in the stub; the condition entry is limited to 'Y,' ' $N$, ' ' -' or blank. That is, asserting (Y), reversing (N), or ignoring (- or blank) a condition. An action entry is limited to ' X ' or ' - ' or blank. That is, executing (X) or skipping (- or blank) an action. In contrast, an extended entry form (as in Figure 1) has part of the condition or action extended directly into the condition or action entry area. Both forms may be used within one table, but any one horizontal row (condition or action) must be entirely limited or entirely extended.

This example points out that the basic concept of a single rule in a table is quite straightforward, being used on the "if...then..." relationship. If $A=B$, and $C$ is greater than 5, and...then assign the value 7 to $X$, and GO TO Table 10. The interpretation is: If all the conditions in rule 1 are not met, then try rule 2 , etc. Continue for rules 2 and 3,3 and 4 , etc., until a rule is satisfield. The program must still be told what to do if all rules have been considered and the set of conditions that exist do not satisfy any of them. Therefore, the last rule in every decision table is the ELS-rule in which we tell the program what "else" to do if no rule is satisfied. An ELS is written in the rule header entry as the last rule in the table. If no ELS is given, the program will enter an automatic error routine. The flow chart in Figure 2 shows schematically the way in which a table is executed. In practice, the actual solution technique may vary, but the logical result remains the same.



FLOW CHART OF ABOVE DECISION TABLE


FIGURE 4. SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF THE SEQUENCE OF TESTS AND ACTIONS IN EXECUIIING A DECISION TABLE

ORGANIZATION OF THE MANUAL
The balance of this language specification manual covers the individual areas of the language, indicating the characteristics and restrictions. In general, the rules of COBOL-61 are followed explicitly except in matters of format. Where there are differences, these are noted or are self-evident through the text itself.

## CHAPTER II

SOURCE PROGRAM FORMAT

The format for a COBOL program containing DETAB/65 decision table expressions must conform to the requirements for any COBOL program, except that a decision table may be inserted in the PROCEDURES DIVISION as a SECTION. In compilation a decision table will be treated as a closed subroutine; that is, as a closed COBOL PROCEDURE. Within the table, of course, transfers to other than the normal return point may be specified.

## IDENTIFICATION DIVISION

A normal COBOL division name followed optionally by a PROGRAM-ID and other identifying information must be given. No special requirements are levied by DETAB/65.

## ENVIRONMENT DIVISION

The Environment Division must be filled out as required by the particular implementation of COBOL-61. Minimum required entries are CONFIGURATION SECTION with SOURCECOMPUTER, OBJECT COMPUTER and SPECIAL NAMES, INPUT-OUTPUT SECTION with FILE CONTROL and I-O-CONTROL.

## DATA DIVISION

The Data Division for a program incerporating $D E T A B / 65$ sections is treated as is any other COBOL Data Division. Any symbolic data references used in a decision table must be declared in the Data Division as for any other COBOL procedures section. Any data structures, working storage and constants used by the program must be described in the Data Division. Symbolic references used within a decision table must conform to the requirements of COBOL data references. Any COBOL data description forms that have been implemented in a particular COBOL processor may be used.

The normal COBOL character set, as implemented in a particular computer, may be used. to form NAMES.* One such name will be a DECISION-TABLE-NAME, which is a name given to the procedure table that describes a series of conditions and actions, and which is equivalent to a PROCEDJRE-NAME in COBOL-61. A DECISION-TABLE-NAME is a SECTIONNAME and may be composed of alphabetic, numpric, alphanumeric, or combinations of these characters joined by one or more hyphens (-). The DECISION-TABLE-NAME must be used to call a table from the main sequence of COBOL instructions.**
*NOTE: Special symbols suggested by the DETAB-X Manual have been rejected in favor of normal COBOL forms, i.e., $/=$ (not equal), $<=$ (less than or equal), $>=$ (greater than or equal to) are rejected.
**NOTE: The so-called "short form" of decision table names specified in DETAB-X will not be implemented in DETAB/65. Neither will the capability of calling the TABLE-ID (e.g., GO TO TAB XXX) rather than the table name.

The Procedures Division of a COBOL source program is used to specify the logical decisions and actions that provide the desired processing. Procedures are normally written as COBOL SENTENCES that are combined to form COBOL PARAGRAPHS, one or more of which may be combined to form a COBOL SECTION.

However, within a section that is a decision table, the normal sentence structure of the COBOL language is abandoned in favor of the more formal structure of the decision table. The syntactic content of the decision table structure may be interpreted as a complex set of conditional statements, plus the information necessary to initialize the closed subroutine that the table represents. A decision table may not be entered via the normal operating sequence and may be referenced by a GO TO, or a PERFORM, but not by an ENTER. A GO TO results in an unconditional or conditional transfer to the specified decision table. For a GO TO from the main processing sequence from another table, a return or transfer point must be specified by the programmer in the decision table actions or the processing sequence will be lost.

If the transfer to the table is accomplished by a PERFORM, a normal return to the processing sequence will be made unless the programmer specifies otherwise. Specifically, the DETAB/65 processor will generate a GO TO DEXIT for every rule that does not end in a GO TO, however the table was entered.

This chapter describes the decision table format expected by the DETAB/65 processor. The processor will accept both extended and limited entry tables.

Each of the sections of a decision table will be discussed and each of the entries permissible in a section will be described and the reasons for the various requirements and rules governing the entries are given. The description assumes that punched card or card images are used as the input mode. If punched tape, typewriter keyboard or other continuous input mode is adopted considerable revision of the DETAB/65 processor Data Division would have to be respecified.

A decision table consists of 6 parts or sections: a Table Header, a Rule Header, a Condition Stub, a Condition Entry Area, an Action Stub, and an Action Entry Area. The functions of these sections are demonstrated briefly in Chapter I. A sample DETAB/65 specification form is shown in Figure 5. to provide a ready reference for the reader as the decision table sections and entries are discussed.

## FORM HEADER

The Form Header serves to identify system, program, and author of completed Decision Table Input Forms, and to specify the data of completion and enumerate the pages. None of this information is part of the decision table proper.

## TABLE HEADER


#### Abstract

Each table has two header lines: 1) a Table Header that serves to identify the table and provide information that covers the table as a whole and 2) a Rule Header that is used to indicate rule numbers.

A table may require more than one page, either because of a large number of rules, or because of a large number of conditions and actions. The table header card for the subsequent pages should not be filled in, but, in the case of row continuation (i.e., due to more rules than will fit on a page), a continuation flag - the number "l" - should be set in the RSET rules set entry area of the initial header card. The flag should not be set for multiple pages due to condition and action overflow (i.e., overflow of the entries for a rule or other column onto another page).


The entries in the Table Header are:

## TABUE ID

Each table must be identified by a three-digit number (e.g., 001, 074, 694). This number is nominative only and table ID's need not be sequential nor ordered in any way, but each table ID must be unique within a program.* The Table ID is repeated for every row of a table.
*NOTE: DETAB-X specifications permitted the TABLE ID to be substituted for the Decision Table Name in calls to the table. This has not been implemented in DETAB/65. TABLE ID serves only to distinguish one table from another in sequence checking or EAM processing of cards.
DETAB / 65 PROCEDURAL DIVISION

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thate | now | L |  |  |  |  |  | table | Name |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}}$ | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Pat }}}_{\substack{\text { covo } \\ \text { Rows }}}$ |  | Rut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | RuI | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Row No.
The Row Number is a three-digit number that for tre Table Header is always 000. This designation indicates to the processor that this is a Header Card.

## Line

The Line entry is one alphanumeric character that for the Table Header is always " 0 ". This designation indicates to the processor that this is a Table Header Card.

RSET
The Rules Set (RSET) entry is left blank unless more rules than can be specified on one page (i.e., card) are required. If more than one page is used, a numeral "I" is entered in the RSET entry of the Table Header Card. If an entry is made in the RSEPT entry of the Table Header, a single numeric digit must appear in the RSET entry of all other rows of the decision table.

Table Name
A table may be given any name that conforms to the specifications of COBOL for a procedure-name, usually indicating the functica or content of the table. That is, a procedure-name may be composed of alphabetic numeric or alphanumeric characte:'s (st least one but not more than thirty) or sets of such characters separated by hyphens (-). However, a hyphen may not begin or end a name. Names must be unique. The Table Name may be referenced by GO TV and PERFORM operators. The DETAB/65 processor will use this name in generating a COBOL section-name for the table. A dummy paragraph-name must also be generated and inserted after the section-name.

## Form

Three basic formats are permitted for decision tables: limited entry ( $L$ ), extended entry ( $E$ ), and mixed entry (M). The format of the decision table being specified is indicated by placing one of these three values ( $L$, E, or M) in the Form entry. That is, one alphabetic character.

## Cond Rows

A three-digit number containing the number of conditions rows (not lines) in the table. That is, this entry specifies the number of conditions that are contained in the table. Leading zeros must be given.

## Action Rows

A three-digit number containing the number of action rows (not lines) in the table. That is, this entry specifies the number of actions that are contained in the table. Leading zeros must be given.

## Rules

A three-digit number specifying the total number of rules in the table. The ELS rule should be included in the count. Leading zeros must be given.

## RULE HEADER

The second header card is the Rule Header used to specify the rule numbers for the table. Besides rule numbers, it will contain the identifying information given for every line of a decision table. As many Rule Header cards will be used as are required to specify all rules.

Table ID
Alvays the same as the Table Header.
Row No.
The Row Number (ROW-NO.) for a Rule Header Card is always 000 , indicating that this is a Header card.

Line
The Line (LINE) entry for a Rule Header is always " 1 " indicating that this is a Rule Header.

RSET
The Rules Set (RSET) entry will be blank except when the number of rules specified requires more than one page. If more than one page is required, the RSET entry will be "l" for the first page (or card) and following pages will be numbered sequentially.

Rule No.
A Rule Number must be a three-digit number (e.g., 001, 002, 142, etc.) or ELS. (Every table must have an ELS-rule as the last rule to be given.) The first Rule Number is entered in the Rule Header Card in the first space available beyond the longest entry in the Condition Stub, although blanks may be left between the last character of the longest condition and the first Rule Number. The column that the first digit of the first Rule Number occupies defines the point at which the DETAB/65 processor construes the Condition Entry Area to begin. Spaces occupied by Rule Numbers may vary from three through twelve, but the Rule Number must begin in the leftmost column of the area to be reserved ror the rule. The spaces beginning with the first digit of one Rule Number and ending at the last digit before the next is. interpreted by the $D E T A B / 65$ processor as the number of spaces that are reserved for the condition entries subsumed under that Rule: The number of spaces thus reserved must be equal to or greater than the longest Condition Entry in that rule. The number of spaces reserved may vary from rule to rule, but must not be less than three nor more than twelve. The end of the last rule, and the end of the rules, is indicated by placing a " $\$$ " in the first space beyond the last space required by the last rule (i.e., the ELS-rule).* A rule entry may not be split between pages.
*NOTE: An exception is made in the case where the last (ELS) rule includes the 80th column of the card. That is, if RSET $=0$, the card column count $=80$, the rule header entry is ELS, and the spaces reserved less than or equal to 12 , then this is the last rule. Otherwise, an error has occurred.

If, in an extended entry table, there are not enough columns left on a page to contain all of a particular rule, a new page must be started and an intermediate end-of-rule marker (a " $\$$ ") set to define the end of the preceding rule and to inform the processor to go on to the next card. When the processor encounters a $\$$, it will check the preceding rule for an ELS-rule. If the preceding rule is an ELS, search for further rules is stopped and the processor proceeds to the next card. NOTE: Although Rule Numbers should be sequential and entered in ascending order (e.g., 001, 002, 003, etc.), this does not imply that the rules will be executed in that order. The DETAB/65 processor evaluates the matrix of condition entries and optimizes the decision tree for effieient processing.

## CONDITIION AREA

The cards following the Rule Header Card are used to specify sets of conditions. Each row specifies the states, specific values, or ranges of values that a particular piece of data may assume, or relationships to other data or combinations of these states. that the data may assume, and upon which decisions are to be based.

Structurally, a condition consists of two parts: (1) a Condition Stub and (2) a Condition Entry. The Condition Stub area consists of the entries for Table ID, Row No., Line, RSET, and a conditional statement, or portion thereof. The Condition Entry area consists of entries specifying the values of the data or condition specified in the stub that will satisfy the decision requirements of the rules.

The presence of a Condition Area in a table is not required; however, if it is absent, the table can have only one rule in the action area.

## TABLE ID

As above, a three-digit entry uniquely identifying a particular decision table.

## Row

Row number is a three-digit number used to identify particular conditions, actions, or notes. Condition and action row numbers may vary from 001 to 899; 900 to 999 will designate Notes. Conditions must have lower row numbers than do actions. Row numbers should be, but need not be, assigned in ascending order. Sequential numbering improves legibility of tables for later reference, but the processor may reorder the conditions in sorting to minimize the decision tree. Leading zeros must be filled in and duplicate row numbers are not permitted.* A row may cover as many lines on a specification form as are required to write out the operators and operands of an expression, up to the maximum permissible value of LINE (i.e., 9).
*NOTE: Presently, the number of conditions is limited to 50, although row numbers may run much higher.

Line
Line is a one-digit entry used to specify continuations of a row. A Line entry may be blank or range from 1 to 9 . Lines, if specified, must be specified in exact sequence because following lines are considered as a continuous description of the condition, action, or comment being given. A blank entry signifies that only one line will be used; if a digit is given, the DETAB/65 processor will look for continuations of the line until the Row Number changes. For limited entry tables, line numbers greater than 1 may be dropped on continuation pages. For extended entry tables, lines not required by the condition entries may be dropped.

RSET
The Rules Set entry may be either blank or contain a digit from 1 to 9 or the symbol " $\$$. As specified above, RSET is used to number the sub-tables required to specify all the rules of a table. If more than one sub-table is required, they are numbered sequentially; that is, the RSET entry will be the same for each condition, action or comment of any sub-table. RSET applies to row continuation horizontally and not vertically. The sheets containing the Condition and Action stubs are considered sub-table 1 no matter how many physical sheets are used. If more rules are required than can be contained in nine sub-tables, a new table must be created, using the same conditions and actions, and to which the ELS-rule may transfer if none of the rules in the first table are satisfied. The $\$$ is used to indicate the end of the table. The entry for the end card will contain 9999\$.

## Deck Sequence

The entries for row, line and continuation are used in sequencing the deck for presentation to the processor. The expected deck order will be a sort on (1) row, (2) RSET, and (3) Line. The deck make-up is illustrated in Figure 6.


FIGURE 6. DECK FORMAT FOR A DETAB/65 PROGRAM

## Condition Stub

Beginning in column 9 of the specification sheet and continuing for as many lines of a row (up to 9) as are necessary to contain it, any conditon that constitutes a legitimate COBOL conditional statement (with the IF implied) may be specified. A condition stub must contain at least one operand. A condition stub entry is bounded by column 9 of the input card format and the first column of the first rule, but may be continued on several lines. A condition stub entry must be contained entirely upon one page (i.e., the first logical sub-table) in row-line length, but additional lines may be given on subsequent physical pages. Continuation of lines do not require hyphenation since continuations are treated as part of a single entry. Blanks other than those required because of language specifications are ignored at the beginning and end of lines, permitting the user to indent or organize operands in various ways to increase legibility.

Despite this flexibility in specifying conditions, it is recommended that condition stub entries be kept as short and concise as possible. Any lengthy calculations should be relegated to a separate expression and assigned a name that may be referenced in a condition stub entry.

## Entry

A condition entry is specified within the bounds of a rule. That is, it begins in the column containing the left-most digit of its rule number and ends where the rule immediately to its right begins. A rule must not be less than three nor more thar. twelve columns in width, but an entry may be continued on the subsequent lines of a row.

Three kinds of condition entries are permitted: Limited, Extended, and Mixed. In a limited entry form, each rule or entry is three columns wide. Permissible entries are (a) Y (i.e., Yes), signifying that the stated condition must be true to satisfy the rule, (b) N (i.e., No), signifying that the condition must be false to satisfy, (c) - (i.e., a hyphen or blank), signifying that the condition may be either true or false, that the programmer doesn't care which it is. The entry must be made in the second column of the rule and have a blank space both to the right and to the left within the rule.

In extended entry form, leading and following blanks are permissible, but not required. Permissible entries are (a) and operator and an operand, (b) an operand, (c) -, or (d) a blank. In mixed entry format, the entries for any one condition may be either ext nded or limited, but not both.

In extended and mixed entries, a condition entry too long to be contained within the allotted 12 spaces may be continued on successive lines of a row. Limited entries in a mixed table must still contain a leading and trailing blank and appear in the second column of the rule.

The last rule will be the ELS-rule. The ELS-rule must be given, but all condition entries for the ELS-rule must be blank (or "-").

Neither names nor values may be split between stub and entry. At least one operand or operand and verb must appear in the stub, and at least one condition entry must be given for each condition. (Except in the case of the 'empty' table in which case no entries are made.)

A condition entry may not be split between pages or "sub-tables." (See Rule No., Rule Header section.) If there is less than 12 spaces left on a page and the entry exceeds the available space, the entry must either be made on a new page or sub-table, or multiple lines may be used.

Formula references must not duplicate any data references made in the data division.
On sub-tables beyond the first (i.e., RSET <1), condition entries must begin in column 9 of the DETAB specification sheet; that is, the first rule number on continuation pages should begin in column 9. Limited entries must still be in the middle column of the entry and extended entries may or may not have leading and trailing blanks.

In rll sub-tables, condition entries must start in the first line of a row. For limited entry tables, only Line $l$ of a row need be given in sub-tables beyond the first since all condition entries on other lines would be blank. The programmer may wish to retain the vertical alignment, however, to help avoid mistakes. In constructing a condition matrix for a limited entry table all lines except Line 1 will be rejected (i.e., not read into the matrix) and entries so misplaced will be lost.

For extended entries, the programmer may include as many lines as are necessary (up to mine) to express the longest entry; however, this number of lines may vary as required from sub-table to sub-table of the specifications. In writing extended entries, no continuation marks, such as hyphens, need be given since each line is picsed up as a continuation of the row. Blanks should therefore be inserted where appropriate to avoid two words being read as one. In setting up the condition matrix, the input editor will continue reading cards into the matrix until a new row number is encountered, creating an image of the input condition matrix area.

In an extended entry table, the programmer may desire to specify a condition such that the value specified is not any of the values specified in any other condition entry in that row. He may do this by specifying "NOT." In the processor this expression will be expanded into an " $N$ " entry and row in combination with every other condition in the row for which a value is given. Don't care entries ("-" or blank) will be ignored. In illustration, consider this example:

CONDITION STUB
RULE NO.

| COND. |  | 001 | 002 | 003 | 004 | 005 | 006 | 007 | 008 | 009 | 010 | 011 | 012 | ELS |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | $\mathrm{~A}=12$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| 002 | $\mathrm{~B}=$ | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} \phi \mathrm{~T}$ | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} \phi \mathrm{~T}$ | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} \phi \mathrm{~T}$ | 5 | 10 | $\mathrm{~N} \phi \mathrm{~T}$ |  |
| 003 | $\mathrm{C}=$ OPEN | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004 | $\mathrm{D}=$ SUNDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |  |

This expands to:

|  | 001 | 002 | 003 | 004 | 005 | 006 | 007 | 008 | 009 | 010 | 011 | 012 | ELS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | $\mathrm{~A}=12$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| 002 | $\mathrm{~B}=5$ | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N | Y | N | N |  |
| 003 | $\mathrm{~B}=10$ |  | Y | N |  | Y | N |  | Y | N |  | Y | N |  |
| 004 | $\mathrm{C}=$ OPEN | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 | $\mathrm{D}=$ SUNDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N |  |

After optimization this table will read:

|  | 001 | 004 | 002 | 005 | 003 | 006 | 007 | 010 | 008 | 011 | 009 | 012 | ELS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $001:$ | $\mathrm{A}=12$ | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | N | N |  |
| 002 | $\mathrm{~B}=$ | Y | Y | N | N | N | N | Y | Y | N | N | N | N |  |
| 003 | $\mathrm{~B}=10$ |  |  | Y | Y | N | N |  |  | Y | Y | N | N |  |
| 004 | $\mathrm{C}=$ OPEN | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 005 | $\mathrm{D}=$ SUNDAY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N |  |

FIGURE 7. EXAMPLE OF THE EXPANSION OF "NOT" AND OF OPTIMIZATION

## Action Area

The action area is used to specify the actions the program is to take when the conditions satisfying the various rules are met. The actions represent the "THEN" part of the conditional statements for which the conditions are the "IF" portion. All the rules and principles stated for conditions apply equally to the action area. The action area consists of an Action Stub and an Action Entry area just as the Condition Area consisted of a Condition Stub and a Condition Entry area.

Table ID
The Table ID entry must be the same unique identifier as for the rest of the table.
Row
Row Number entries are a continuation of Row Numbers for the Conditions. However, while the rows need not be numbered in sequential order, it is recommended that they be so ordered, for they will be compiled and executed in the numbered sequence. That is, while condition rows are subject to reordering in the optimization process, actions are not, thus insuring that the program will take a sequence of actions in the order specified by the programmer. That is, the processor will not create any logical errors by reordering actions. (The programmer, however, is free to create his own.)

Line
The Line entry should be left blank if an action can be specified on a single line; otherwise the digits $1,2,3$, etc., must be used in precise sequence so that the proper action statement is picked up.

RSET
The RSET entry is left blank if only one sub-table is required. If more than one sub-table is required to contain the adaitonal rules, RSET will be numbered 1, 2, 3, as required, up to 9 .

Action Stub
The Action Stub must contain at least an operator. In a limited entry table it will contain the entire action in a single "row" using as many lines as re required to specify the entire action to be taken. In extended entry tables an expression may be split between the Action Stub and the Action Entries. Although names and values may be broken and continued from line to line, they may not be split between the Stub and an Entry. This does not prevent subscript values of a name being used as entry values to specify different table destinations resulting from different rules being, satisfied.

While no restriction is placed on the kind or length of actions taken (with a procedure or another conditional, if you wish), it is recommended that all lengthy Action Stub and Action Entry entries be defined outside the table and referenced by an appropriate name.

Although, again, no restrictions are imposed, it is recommended that all calls to system (COBOL) subroutines be made in a general fashion. E. G., an I/O order should be expressed PERFORM READ, PERFORM WRITE, etc. This convention will enable the programmer to write a program that is compatible with the manner in which various COBOL I/O modifiers (AT END, EOF, ON SIZE, ETC.) have been implemented in different COBOL processors.

## Action Entry

For limited entry tables, any action that is associated with a given rule will be indicated by placing an " X " in the central column of the three column entry space of line 1 (the first line) of the action row. The " X " must be followed and preceded by a blank.

Extended action entries will be treated in the same manner as extended condition entries. Care will be taken in generating COBOL statements not to disturb the basic operating sequence specified by the programner.

NOTES
A. note is a descriptive statement that has no functional significance and that cairies the same uses and restrictions as notes in COBOL-61. A note has the same Table ID as the table that it is applied to. Notes are given a row number of 900 to 999 and note lines are numbered $1,2,3$, etc., as for other rows and lines to maintain their sequential order. Notes may be writiten anywhere in the table except between the Table Header and Rule Header Cards. When the processor encounters a note row designator, it will transfer the card into the COBOL code area as a note without further processing.

Note, however, that as presently constituted all notes would be sorted to the end of the table by preprocessing to put the cards in order. If it is desired that notes be inserted in place, the cards may be hand-ordered if more than one page or sub-table is used, or not sorted if only one sub-table (the first) is used.

## RESTRICTIONS AND USAGES

Certain restrictions and peculiarities of usage become apparent as decision table specifications are used in combination with the COBOL languages. These restrictions are, in general, not serious, but minor logical errors may be avoided if the programmer is aware of these.

LINKAGES
A decision table may be entered in a variety of ways. The preferred mode of entry is through a PERFORM verb, in which case a linkage back to the main control sequence may be generated. If the table is to be used iteratively on a succession of inputs or cases (as in a scan routine), then PERFORM is the logical choice. If tables are nested, the PERFORM verb should be used to step from one table to the next and back. If a table may be entered from any one of a set of points, the PERFORM verb and REIURN entry are most convenient.

A table may be entered through a GO TO, but in this case the processor has no means of knowing what location to return to in the main control sequence unless this location is explicitly stated in conjunction with the separate rules. Of course, if one of the functions of the table is to switch control to various program regions depending upon the conditions encountered, this may be the preferred mode of specification. A sequence of tables may be stepped through with a series of GO TO's, but it is felt that this mode is inferior to the use of PERFORM's.

Note that a table .may not be entered via an ENTER verb, nor may an ENTER verb be used within a table without creating difficulties. All information necessary for the processing of the table and its COBOL statements are contained in the header cards.

Note also that tables cannot be entered at any point except at the beginning. If entry is desired at intermediate points in a set of conditions, this effect may be achieved by creating a sequence of tables and chaining them together. If tables are nested, however, returns to points in the Action Area of previous tables may be made through the operation of the PERFORM verb and normal exits, or may be specifled directly by a GO TO.

Note that if a GO TO table-name-1 is given within the range of a COBOL PERFORM... THRU that the sequence of control may be lost unless the programmer has established instructions that will get the sequence back within ghe loop. However, no such trouble should be encountered when a PERFORM table-name-l is given unless the table contains only explicit GO TO's that pick up the sequence elsewhere.

## 

The DETAB/ 65 Preprocessor was prepared by Working Group 2 on Decision Tables of the Special Interest Group on Programming Languages (SIGPLAN) of the Los Angeles Chapter of the ACM.

The initial distribution is being made by ACM Headquarters on behalf of the Joint Users Group.
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| 000260 | FO | LIST-DUTPUT |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300265 |  | LABEL RECORD | S ARE DM | MITTED. |  |  |  | DETAt-65 |
| 000270 |  | DATA RECORD | IS TAPE- | -LIST. |  |  |  | DETAE-65 |
| 000275 | 01 | TAPE-LIST. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000280 |  | 02 FILLER | PICTURE | X(11). |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000285 |  | 02 CARDX | PICTURE | 999. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000290 |  | 02 FILLER | Picture | $x(66)$. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000295 | WORKING-STORAGE |  | SECTION. |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000300 | 77 | AZ | PICTURE | XX | VALUE 'AZ"。 |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000305 | 77 | CARDCNT | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHROMIZED | RIGHT | DETAB-65 |
| 000310 | 77 | COLIX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000315 | 77 | COLUM | Picture | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 300320 | 77 | DUMIX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIJNAL | SYNCHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000325 | 77 | ELMCT | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNCHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 300330 | 77 | ELMCX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000335 | 77 | ELMRX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000340 | 77 | EXIX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000345 | 77 | KEY-1 | PICTURE | 999 | CDMPUTATIONAL | SYNCHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000350 | 77 | KEY-2 | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000355 | 77 | KEY-3 | PICTURE | 999 | GOMP JTATIDNAL | SYNCHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000360 | 77 | LABIX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIDNAL | SYNCHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000365 | 77 | LABNO | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRDNIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000370 | 77 | NACTS | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000375 | 77 | NCOLS | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIDNAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000380 | 77 | NORLS | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000385 | 77 | NOCON | PICTURE | 999 | CJMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000390 | 77 | NRLS | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000395 | 77 | NROWS | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000400 | 77 | ROWIX | PICTURE | 999 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000405 | 01 | DUM-2. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000410 | c | 02 FILLER |  | CURS 50 | 0 TIMES. |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000415 |  | 03 STRTCOL | PICTJRE | E 99 | COMPUTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT | DETAB-65 |
| 000420 |  | 03 NMCDLS | PICTURE | 99 | COMPJTATIONAL | SYNGHRONIZED | RIGHT. | DETAB-65 |
| 000425 | 01 | DUM-3. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000430 |  | 02 CaLS | PICTURE | X | OCCURS 12 TIMES. |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000435 | 01 | DUM-4. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000440 |  | 02 EGOTD | PICTURE |  | OCCURS 5 TIMES. |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000445 | 01 | DUM-5. |  |  |  | - |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000450 |  | 02 TEMP | PICTURE | $x$ | OCCURS 58 TIMES. | . |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000455 | 01 | DUM-10 PICT | IURE $\mathrm{X}(8)$ | ) VALUE | E SECTION. ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000460 | 01 | DUM-12 REDEF | IVES DUM | -10. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000465 |  | 02 NMSEC | PIGTURE | X | OCCURS 8 TIMES. |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000470 | 01 | HEADER. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000475 |  | 02 FILLER | PICTURE | X ${ }^{(8)}$. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000480 |  | 02 TBLNME | PICTURE | X(30) |  | . |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000485 |  | 02 FORMID | PICTURE | XX 。 |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000490 |  | 02 NCOND | PICTURE | 9(3). |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000495 |  | 02 ACTNS | PICTURE | $9(3)$. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000500 |  | 02 NORULS | PICTURE | $9(3)$. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000505 |  | 02 FILLER | PICTURE | X(51). |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000510 | 01 | DPRIVT. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000515 |  | 02 DLABEL. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000520 |  | 03 FILLER | PIGTURE | X (7) | VALUE SPACES. |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000525 |  | 03 DUM-6. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000530 |  | 04 LABNM | P PICTURE | EX. |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000535 |  | 04 LABVL | PICTURE | 9(3). |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000540 |  | 03 FILLER | PICTURE | K | VALUE *** |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 000545 |  | 02 DGOJO. |  |  |  |  |  | DETAB-65 |
| 300550 |  | 03 FILLER | PIGTURE | A(7) | VALUE GO TO |  |  | DETAB-65 |
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03 DGOLV．
04 DGOLB PICTURE XX．
04 DGONO PICTURE 999.
02 HOUSTON．
03 CNDI PICTURE X（58）OCCURS 50 TIMES．
03 ATBL PICTURE X（58）OCCURS 50 TIMES．
01 LINE1．
02 FILLER
PICTURE X（14）
PICTURE X（58）．
01 TEXAS．
02 LINE2．
$03^{\circ}$ FILLER PICTJRE A（11）．
03 CDOPR PICTURE X（12）．
03 PIF PICTURE $X$ ．
03 DELSE PICTURE $\times(6)$ ．
03 ELOPR PICTURE X（12）．
03 PELSE PICTURE $X$ 。
03 FILLER PICTURE A（29）．
02 LINE3 REDEFINES LINE2．
03 FILLER PICTURE X（7）．
03 DNAME．
04 TCOLS PICTURE $X$ OCCURS 58 TIMES．
03 FILLER PICTURE X（7）．
02 FILLER REDEFINES LINE2．
03 FILLER PICTURE X（11）．
03 BNAME PICTURE X（58）．
03 FILLER PICJURE XXX．
02 DECISION－TABLE．
03 ROW
04 COLMN PICTURE $X$ OCCURS 100 TIMES．
01 ELIMT．
02 ELIMC PICTURE 999 OQCURS 25 TIMES．
01 MATIT．
02 MATIX PICTURE 999 OCCURS 25 TIMES．
01 MICDESCR．
02 PDPUL PICTURE 999 OCCURS 128 TIMES． 02 SAVCL PICTURE $X$ OEGURS 25 TIMES．
01 WRNING－PRINT．
02 FILLER PICJURE X（17）VALUE ＂＊＊＊＊＊ま WARNING。
02 WRNING－IMAGE PICTURE X（52）．
01 WARNING－MESSAGES．
02 WRNING－1 PICTURE $\times(52)$ VALUE
－NO ELSE RULE CARD．LAST RULE PROCESSED AS ELSE RULE．․
02 WRNING－2 PICTURE $\times(31)$ VALUE －REDUNDAVCY．CHECK THESE RULES－＊．
01 ERR－PRNT．
02 FILLER PICTURE $X(30)$ VALUE －＊＊＊＊＊＊ERRJR．TABLE SKIPPED．•• 02 ERR－IMAGE PICTURE X（53）．
01 ERROR－MESSAGES．
02 ERR－1 PICTURE $\times(48)$ VALUE －PRESENTLY。 TABLES RESTRICTED TO LIMITED ENTRIES．＂．
02 ERR－2 PICTURE $\times(42)$ VALUE －TABLE－NAME MISSING FROM TABLE HEADER CARD．＇．
02 ERR－3 PICTURE X（19）VALUE ＇RULES CARD MISSING．＇．
02 ERR－4 PICTURE $\times(39)$ VALUE ＇LESS THAN ThreE RULE COLUMNS SPECIFIED．＂．
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02 ERR-5 PICTURE $\times(43)$ VALUE
-PRESENTLY. CONTINJED RULES NOT IMPLEMENTED.*.
02 ERR-6 PICTURE X\{40\} VALUE
-CONDITIJN STUB ENTRY EXCEEDS 58 CDLUMNS.".
02 ERR-7 PICTURE X(26) VALUE
-mare than 12 RULE COLUMNS.".
02 ERR-8 P\{CTURE $\times(53)$ VALUE
"NUMBER JF RULES ENCOUNTERED DISAGREES WITH RULE CARD.".
02 ERR-9 PICTURE X(41) VALUE
-MORE THAN 50 ACTION OR CONDITION ENTRIES.".
02 ERR-10 PICTURE X(46) VALUE
"DECISION YABLE LOGIC ERROR. PROCESSING HALTED.". PROCEDURE DIVISIDN.
DETAB65.
OPEN INPUT CARD-INPJT, JUTPUT CARD-OUTPUT, LIST-OUTPUT. Drool.

PERFORM READ-1.
IF ${ }^{\circ} 0000^{\circ}=$ IDENT OF DETAB-CRD GO TO MONITOR.
WRITE DETAB-CRD.
GO TO DTOO1.
MONIJOR.
MOVE DETAB-CRD TO HEADER.
IF TBLNME $=$ SPAGES GO TO EMO2.
IF FORMID OF HEADER NOT $={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{L}$ " GO TO EMOL.
MOVE SPACES TO HOUSTON. TEXAS.
MOVE ZEROES TO DUM-2.
MOVE TBLNME TO DUM-5, DNAME.
PERFORM RSCAN.
PERFORM DTOO5 VARYING EXIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL EXIX $=9$.
PERFQRM READ-1.
IF IDENT DF DETAB-CRD NOT $={ }^{\circ} 0001^{\circ}$ GO TO EMO3.
NOTE RULES CONVERSION SECTION.
MOVE 0 TO CAROCNT.
MOVE 1 TO NRLS.
MOVE 9 TO COLUN. STRTCOL (NRLS).
DJ050.
IF CRD-COL (COLUM) $=$ SPACE GO TO DTO53.
IF CARDCNT IS LESS THAN 3 GO TO EMO4.
MOVE CARDCNT TO NMCOLS (NRLS).
IF CRD-COL (COLUM) $={ }^{\circ} \$^{\circ}$ GO TO DT055.
ADD 1 TO NRLS.
move colum jo strtcol (nrls).
MOVE 3 TO CARDCNT.
ADD 3 TO COLUM.
IF COLUM IS GREATER THAN 80 GO TO EMOS.
GO TO DTOSO.
DT005.
MOVE NMSEC (EXIX) TO TCOLS (DUMIX).
ADD 1 TO DUMIX.
DT053.
ADD 1 TO CARDCNT, ADO 1 TO COLUM.
IF CARDGNT IS NOT GREATER THAN 12 GO TO DT050.
IF CARDCNT IS GREATER THAN 58 GO TO EMO6.
IF NRLS $=1 \mathrm{GJ}$ TO DTO50 ELSE GO TO EMO7.
от055.
SUBTRACI 1 FRDM NMGOLS (NRLS), SUBTRACT 1 FROM NRLS.
IF NRLS NOT $=$ NORJLS GO TO EMO8.
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NOTE DETAB CARD SEGTIDN.

ADD 1 IO NRLS.
MOVE SJRTCOL (NRLS) YO COLUM. IF CRD-COL (COLJM) $={ }^{\circ} E{ }^{\circ}$ GO TO DT056. MOVE WRNING-I TO WRNING-IMAGE. WRITE TAPE-LIST FROM WRNING-PRINT. DT056.

MOVE 1 TO KEY-2, KEY-3, ROWIX.
DT057.
PERFORM READ-1.
IF ROW-NO JF DETAB-GRD $=999$ GD TO DT057.
MOVE 1 TO KEY-1, COLIX.
IF LINE-ID DF DETAB-CRD $={ }^{\circ} \${ }^{\circ}$ GO TO TBLPRDC.
MQVE STRTCOL (KEY-1) TO COLUM.

NOTE CONDACT SECTION.

MOVE SPACES TJ DUM-5.
MOVE 1 TO EXIX.
CONDACT.
MOVE CRD-COL (COLUM) TO TEMP (EXIX).
IF EXIX GREATER NMCJLS (KEY-1) GO TD DT057-1.
ADD 1 TO EXIX, ADD 1 TO COLUM, GD TO CONDACT.
DT057-1.
IF KEY-2 IS GREATER THAN 50 GO TO EMO9.
IF KEY-2 IS GREATER JHAN NCDND GO TO DT058.
MOVE DUM-5 TO CNDI (KEY-2).
ADD 1 TO KEY-2.
GO TO DT059.
DJ058.
IF KEY-3 IS GREATER THAN 50 GO TO EMO9.
MOVE DUM-5 TO ATBL (KEY-3).
ADD 1 TO KEY-3.
DT059.
PERFORM DYOGO THRU DTOG 1 VARYING KEY-1 FROM 2 BY 1 UNTIL
KEY-1 IS GREATER THAN NRLS.
ADD 1 TO ROWIX.
GO TO DTO57.
or060.
MOVE STRTCOL (KEY-1) $T 0$ COLUM.

NOTE VARAMOVE SECTION.

MOVE SPACES TJ DUM-3.
MOVE 1 TO EXIX.
VARAMVE.
MOVE CRD-COL (COLJM) TO COLS (EXIX).
IF EXIX GREATER NACOLS (KEY-1) GO TO DT060-1.
ADD 1 TO EXIX, ADD 1 TO COLUM, GO JO VARAMVE.
DT060-1.
EXAMINE DUM-3 REPLAGING ALL -0 BY SPACES.
IF DUM-3 = SPACES GO TO DT061.
EXAMINE DUM-3 TALLYING UNTIL FIRST "N*.
IF TALLY $=12$ MJVE ${ }^{\circ} Y$ TO GOLMN \{ROWIX, COL【X) ELSE MOVE 'N. TO GOLMN (ROWIX. COLIX).
DT061.
ADD 1 TO COLIX.
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TBLPROC.
DETAB-65
PERFORM LZOUT THRJ RITAB.
MOVE 'DXOOO' TO DJM-6.
PERFORM DLOUT THRU RITAB.
NOTE DECISION SECTION.
MOVE ZERO TO LABIX. LABNO. MOVE ACTNS TO NACTS. COMPUTE NORLS $=$ NURULS -1. MOVE NGOND TO NJCON. PERFORM DXO42 VARYING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL COLIX $=$ NORLS. D×042.

MOVE COLIX ro MATIX (COLIX).
DX001.
PERFORM DXOO2 VARYING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL COLIX = NORLS.
Dx002.
MOVE COLIX TO ELIMG (COL\{X).
DX050.
MOVE NOCON TO NROWS.
MOVE NORLS TO NCOLS.
MOVE O TO RJWIX.
GO TO DXOO4.
$0 \times 003$.
PERFDRM LIOUT THRJ RITAB.
PERFORM LLOUT THRU RITAB.
DX004.
MOVE SPACES TJ LINE2.
D×005.
ADD 1 TO ROWIX.
MOVE ZERD TO DUMIX.
IF ROWIX $=$ NOCON GO TJ DXO14.
MOVE 1 TO COLIX.
NOTE ARE THERE ALL BLANKS IN THIS ROW.
Dx005-1.
IF COLIX GREATER NCOLS GO TO DXOO5-2.
MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO ELMCX.
IF COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCX) $=0$ ( $R^{\circ} B^{\prime}$ next sentence else go to dxosl.
ADD 1 TO COLIX.
GO TO DX005-1.
Dx005-2.
PERFORM DX400 THRU DX402 VARYING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1
UNTIL COLIX IS GREATER THAN NCOLS.
GO TO DX005.
D×400.
MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO ELMCT.
MOVE 1 TO ELMRX.
D×400-1.
IF ELMRX $=$ ROWIX GO TO DX400-2.
If COLMN (ELMRX, ELMCT) $=$ • MOVE 'B' TO COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCT) GO TO DX402.
ADD 1 TO ELMRX.
GO TO DX400-1.
DX400-2.
MOVE ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Y}$ © TO COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCT).
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```
D*402.
    EXIT.
DX051.
MOVE CNDI (ROWIX\ TO COND.
    NOTE IS THERE A Y OR N IN THIS ROW.
    MOVE L TO COLIX.
    DX051-1.
        IF COLIX GREATER NCOLS GO TO DX051-2.
    MOVE ELIMG (COLIX) TO ELMCX.
    IF COLMN {ROWIX. ELMCX) NOT = N* GO TO DXO52.
    ADD I TO GOLIX.
        GO TO DX051-1.
    0\times051-2.
    MOVE EELOO1' TO DGOLN.
    MOVE DGOTO TO CDOPR.
    GO TO DX202.
    DX052.
    MOVE ROWIX TO ELMRX.
    NOTE ARE THE REST OF THE ELEMENTS IN THIS GOLUMN BLANK.
DX052-1.
    IF ELMRX = NOCON GO TO DX052-2.
    COMPUTE ELMCT = ELMRX * 1.
    IF COLMN IELMCT, ELMCX) NOT = . GO TO DX20I.
    ADD }1\mathrm{ TO ELMRX.
    GO TO DX052-1.
DX052-2.
    IF NCOLS = 1 THEN MOYE ROWIX TO NOCON GO TO DXO14*
    MOVE COLIX TO DUMIX.
    GO TO DX202.
    NOTE PUSH LAST-IN-FIRST-OUT LIST.
D\times201.
    MOVE "DX" TO DGOLB.
    ADD 1 TO LABNO, ADD 1 TO LABIX.
    NOVE LABNO TO DGONO, PDPUL (LABIXI.
    MOVE DGOTO TO CDOPR.
0\times202.
    MOVE I TO GOLIX.
    NOTE IS THERE A N OR A BLANK IN THIS ROW.
D\times202-1.
    IF COLIX GREATER NCOLS GO TO DX202-2.
    MOVE ELIMC (GOLIX) TD ELMCX.
    IF COLMN {ROWIX, ELMCX) NOT # `Y* GO TO DX053.
    ADD I TO COLIX.
    GO TO DX202-1.
D\times202-2.
    MOVE ELOO1' TO DGOLN.
    MOVE * ELSE * TD DELSE.
    MOVE DGOTO TO ELOPR.
    PERFORM DX204 THRU DX205.
    GO TO DX300.
    DX053.
```
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    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    D):TAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    DETAB-65
    302030
.202035
302040
? $\cdot 345$
$\because 050$
2055
932.060
? 22065
202070
302075

- 12080

202085
202090
? 202095

- 02100

002105
702110
202115
002120
022125
202130
02135
12. 240

202145
302150
? 155
? 260

- 22165

202170
72175
ก®2180
292185

- 22190

302195
702200
102205
122210
02215
02220
102225
.02230
$=2235$
202240
202245
002250
002255
102260
002265
102270
102275
๑2280

- 2285
, 02290
202295
002300
002305
002310
202315
: 02320

MOVE ROWIX TO ELMRX.
NOTE ARE THE REST OF THE ELEMENTS IN THIS COLUMN BLANK.
D $\times 053-1$.
IF ELMRX $=$ NOCON GD TJ DX053-2.
COMPUTE ELMCT $=1+$ ELMRX.
IF COLMN (ELMCT, ELMCX) NOT $=$ • MOVE * TO PIF, GO TO DX204.
ADD 1 TO ELMRX.
GO TO DX053-1.
D×053-2.
MOVE ROWIX TO NOCON.
IF DUMIX NOT $=$ ZERD DR NGOLS $=1$ THEN GO TO DXO14.
MOVE COLIX TO ELMRX.
MOVE AZ TO DGOLB.
MOVE ELMCX TO DGONO.
MOVE ELSE TO DELSE.
MOVE DGOTO TO ELJPR.
PERFORM DX016 THRU DX02O.
PERFJRM DX011 THRJ DX055.
MOVE NOCON TO ROWIX.
MOVE NROWS TO NOCIN.
$0 \times 300$.
MOVE " TO PELSE.
PERFORM LIOUT THRU RITAB.
PERFORM LZOUT THRU RITAB.
IF NORLS $=$ ZERO GD TO DX038.
MOVE 'DX' TO LABNM.
MOVE PDPUL (LABIX) TO LABVL.
SUBTRACT 1 FRJM LABIX.
PERFORM DLOUT THRU RITAB.
GO JO DX004.
D $\times 204$.
IF DUMIX $=$ ZERO GO TO DX205.
MOVE ROWIX TO NJCON.
MOVE AZ TO DGDLB.
MOVE ELIMC (DUMIX) TO DGONO.
MOVE DGOTO TO CDOPR.
MOVE DUMIX TO COLIX.
PERFQRM DX016 THRU DX020.
MOVE NOCON TO ROWIX.
MOVE NRAWS TO NDCON.
D $\times 205$.
EXIT.
D×009.
PERFORM DXOIO THRU DX055 VARYING ELMRX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL ELMRX IS GREATER THAN NCOLS.
GO TO DXOO3.
NOTE DELETE FROM PATH INDEX ALL COLUMNS THAT HAVE A $Y$ IN THIS ROW.

0x010.
MOVE ELIMC (ELMRX) TO CDLIX.
IF COLMN (RJWIX, COLIX) NOT $={ }^{\circ} Y$ ' GO TO DX355.
DK011.
SUBTRACT 1 FRJM NCOLS.
PERFJRM DXOL2 VARYING ELMCX FROM ELMRX BY 12 UNTIL ELMCX

DETAU-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAS-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
OETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-6 ${ }^{5}$
DETAB-6
DETAB-63
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65

002325
002330
002335
002340
002345 002350 002355 002360 002365 002370 002375 002380 002385 002390 00239' 002400 002405 002410 002415 002420 002425 002430 002435 002440 002445 002450 002455 002460 002465 002470 002475 002480 002485 002490 002495 002500 002505 002510 002515 002520
002525

GREATER JHAN NCOLS.
SUBTRACT 1 FRJM ELMRX. SUBTRACT 1 FRDM CDLIX. D×012.

COMPUTE ELMCT $=1+$ ELMCX.
MOVE ELIMC (ELMCT) TO ELIMC (ELMCX).
DX055.
EXIT.
Dx014.
MOVE ELIMC (1) TO COLIX.
PERFORM DXOI5 VARYING ROWIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL ROWIX = NROWS. DX015.

MQVE COLMN (ROWIX, COLIX) TO SAVCL (ROWIX).
DX056.
MOVE 4 TO DUMIX.
PERFORM DXO22 THRU DX031 VARYING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL GOLIX IS GREATER THAN NCOLS.
GO TO DX032.
NOTE DETERMINE ACTION LABELS AND CHECK FOR REDUNDENCY.
Dx022.
MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO ELMCX.
IF COLMN (NOCON, ELMCX) NOT $=$ ' Y' GO TO DXO29.
IF DUMIX $=3$ DR DUMIX $=1$ JHEN GO TO DX059.
IF DUMIX $=2$ MOVE 3 TO DUMIX ELSE MOVE 1 TO DUMIX.
MOVE AZ TO DGOLB.
MOVE - ELSE - TO DELSE.
MOVE ELMCX TO DGONO.
MOVE DGOTO TO CDOPR.
GO TO DX031.
D×059.
MOVE WRNING-2 TO WRNING-IMAGE.
WRITE TAPE-LIST FROM WRNING-PRINT.
PERFORM DXO28 VARYING ELMRX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL ELMRX = NCOLS.
0×028.
MOVE • RULE TO TAPE-LIST.
MOVE ELIMC (ELMRX) TO CARDX.
WRITE TAPE-LIST.
DXO13.
EXIT.
DX029.

IF DUMIX $=3$ OR DUMIX $=2$ PERFDRM DX059 JHRU DXO13. GO TO DX031.
IF DUMIX = 1 MOVE 3 tO DUMIX ELSE MOVE 2 TO DUMIX.
MOVE AZ TO DGOLB.
MOVE • ELSE TD DELSE.
MOVE ELMCX TO DGONO.
MOVE DGOTO TO ELDPR.
0x031.
EXIJ.
DX032.
MOVE 'ELOO1" YO DGOLN.
MOVE '.' TO PELSE.
IF DUMIX $=2$ MOVE DGOTO TO CDOPR ELSE
IF DUMIX = 1 MOVE DGOTO TO ELOPR.
MOVE CNDI (NOCON) TO COND.
PERFORM DX016 THRU OXO2O VARYING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL COLIX IS GREATER THAN NCDLS.

DETAB-65
DI:TAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DᄃTAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65

02620
02625
02630
202635
302640
302645
302650
002655
302660
) 02665
302670
302675
002680
302685
302690
302695
002700
002705
002710
002715
002720
002725
002730
002735
002740
002745
002750
002755
002760
002765
002770
002775
002780
002785
002790
002795
002800
002805
002810
002815 002820 002825 002830 002835 002840 002845 002850 002855 002860 002865 002870 002875 002880 002885 002890 002895 002900 002905 002910

GO TO DX301.
0x016.
MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO DUMIX.
MOVE 1 TO ROWIX.
0×016-1.
IF ROWIX GREATER NOCON GO TO DXOL6-2.
IF COLMN (ROWIX, DUMIX) $={ }^{\circ} B^{\prime}$ GO TO DX504.
ADD 1 TO ROWIX.
GO TO DXO16-1.
D×016-2.
MOVE O TO ROWIX.
DX016-3.
IF ROWIX $=$ NOCON GO TO OXO16-4.
COMPUTE ELMCX = NOCON - ROWIX.
IF COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX) $=$ * : JHEN
MOVE 'B' TO COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX), GJ TO DXO2O.
ADD 1 TO ROWIX.
GO TO DXO16-3.
DX016-4.
SUBTRACT 1 FRDM NORLS.
PERFORM DX100 VARYING ELMCX FROM 1 BY 1
UNTIL ELMCX IS GREATER THAN NORLS.
GO TO DXO2O.
D×100.
COMPUTE ELMCT $=$ ELMCX +1
If MATIX (ELMCX) IS NOT LESS JHAN DUMIX move matix (ELMCT) TO MATIX (ELMGX).
D $\times 504$.
MOVE 1 TO ELMCT.
D×504-1.
IF ELMCT $=$ ROWIX GO TO DX504-2.
COMPUTE ELMCX = ROWIX - ELMCT.
IF COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX) $=\cdots$ © 60 TO DX507.
ADD 1 TO ELMCT.
GO TO DX504-1.
D×504-2
MOVE 'Ye TO COLMN (ROWIX, DUMIX).
GO TO DX016.
DX507.
MOVE 'B' TO COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX).
PERFDRM DX508 VARYING ELMCX FROM ROWIX BY 1
UNTIL ELMCX $=$ NOCON.
GO TO DXO20.
DX508.
IF COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX) $={ }^{\circ} B^{\circ}$ MOVE : TJ COLMN (ELMCX, DUMIX).
DX020.
EXIT.
NOTE POP LAST-IN-FIRST-OUT LIST.
DX301.
PERFORM LIOUT THRJ RITAB.
PERFORM L2OUT THRJ RITAB.
IF NORLS $=$ ZEROES GO TO DX038.
MOVE 'DX' TO LABNM.
MOVE PDPUL (LABIX) TO LABVL.
SUBTRACT 1 FRDM LABIX.
PERFORM DLOUT THRU RITAB.

DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAS-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
UETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-6;
DETAB-6.5
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65

NOTE SETUP INDEXES FOR NEXT PASS.
D×302.
MOVE NORLS TO NCOLS.
MOVE NROWS TO NOCON.
MOVE MATIT TO ELIMT.
MOVE 1 TO ROWIX.
DX302-1.
IF ROWIX $=$ NOCON
MOVE ERR-10 TO ERR-IMAGE WRITE TAPE-LIST FROM ERR-PRNT
GO TO DTOOI.
NOTE DELETE THAT PATH GENERATED ON THE LAST PASS AND
FIND THE NEXT HIGHER NODE ON THE TREE.
MOVE 1 TO COLIX.
DX034-1.
[F SAVCL (ROWIX) $=$ : MOVE *N TO SAVCL (ROWIX).
IF COLIX GREATER NCOLS GO TO DX004.
MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO ELMCX.
IF COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCX) $=$ ' OR COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCX) = SAVCL (ROWIX) GO TO DX034-2.
ADD 1 TO COLIX.
GO TO DX034-1.
DX034-2.
PERFORM DXO37 VARYING COLIX FRDM 1 BY 1 UNTIL COLIX $=$ NCOLS. DX037.

MOVE ELIMC (COLIX) TO ELMCX.
MOVE COLIX TO ELMRX.
IF COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCX) NOT $=0$ : AND COLMN (ROWIX, ELMCX) NOT $=$ SAVCL (ROWIX) PERFORM OXOLI THRU DX055.
Dx061.
ADD 1 TO ROWIX.
GO TO DX302-1.
0x038.
MOVE SPACES TO LINE3.
COMPUTE KEY-2 = NORULS - 1.
PERFORM DX039 THRU DXO39B VARVING COLIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL COLIX $=$ KEY-2.
D×039.
MOVE AZ TO LABNM.
MOVE COLIX TO LABVL.
PERFORM DLOUT THRJ RITAB.
ADD 1 NCOND GIVING KEY-1.
PERFJRM DXAOI THRU DXA04 VARYING EXIX FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL EXIX IS GREATER THAN NACTS.
MOVE SPACES TD CRD-OUT.
EXAMINE DUM-5 TALLVING UNTIL FIRST ${ }^{\circ} G^{\circ}$.
IF TALLY $=58$ GO TO DXO39H.
IF TALLY NOT $=$ ZERO. THEN
IF TEMP (TALLY) NOT = SPACE GO TO DXO39H.
COMPUTE DUMIX $=$ TALLV + 1.
PERFORM DXO39F VARYING TALLY FRON 1 BY 1 UNTIL TALLY $=6$.
GO TO DXO39G.
DX039F。
MOVE TEMP (DUMIX) TO EGOTO (TALLY).
ADD 1 TO DUMIX.

DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DE:TAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DĖTAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65

```
10;21
103215
103220
103225
103230
103235
103240
103245
103250
103255
,03260
103265
)03270
,03275
)03280
O3285
,03290
)03295
)03300
O}0330
$03310
)03315
O03320
203325
)03330
)03335
)03340
)03345
)03350
)03355
)03360
)03365
J03370
J03375
J03380
J03385
03390
J03395
003400
203405
303410
003415
003420
J03425
003430
003435
003440
003445
003450
003455
003460
003465
003470
003475
003480
003485
003490
003495
003500
```

003505
003510 303515 003520 003525 003530 003535 003540 003545 003550 003555 003560 003565
003570 003575 003580 003585 003590 003595 003600 003605 003610 003615 003620 $00362!$ 003630 003635 003640 003645 003650 003655 003660 003665 003670 003675 003680 003685 003690 003695 003700 003705 003710 003715 003720 003725 003730 003735 003740 003745 003750 003755 003760

SUBTRACT 1 FROM DUMIX.
GO TO RSOO1.
RS003.
EXIT.
NOTE DIAGNOSTIC SECTION.
EMO1.
MOVE ERR-1 TO ERR-IMAGE. GO TO EM99.
EM02.
MOVE ERR-2 TO ERR-IMAGE. GO TO EM99.
EMO3.
MOVE ERR-3 TO ERR-IMAGE.
GO TO EM99.
EMO4.
MOVE ERR-4 TO ERR-IMAGE.
GO TO EM99.
EM05.
MOVE ERR-5 TO ERR-IMAGE. GO TO EM99.
EM06.
MOVE ERR-6 TO ERR-IMAGE. GO TO EM99.
EM07.
MOVE ERR-7 TO ERR-IMAGE.
GO TO EM99.
EM08.
MOVE ERR-8 TO ERR-IMAGE.
GO TJ EM99.
EM09.
MOVE ERR-9 TO ERR-IMAGE.
EM99.
WRITE TAPE-LIST FRDM ERR-PRNT.
READ-1.
READ CARD-INPUT INTO DETAB-CRD, AT END GO TO EOF.
MOVE SPACES TO IDFLD.
IF IDENT OF DETAB-CRD $=0000^{\circ}$ MOVE 'O' TO TAPE-LIST. WRITE TAPE-LIST.
WRITE TAPE-LIST FROM DETAB-CRD.
IF IDENT DF DETAB-CRD $=8999$ ' $^{\circ}$ GO TO EOF.
SKIPOI.
IF LINE-ID OF DETAB-CRD NOT $={ }^{-1} \${ }^{\circ}$ GO TO READ-1. GO TO DTOO1.
EOF.
MOVE 'OEND DETAB/65 PREPROCESSOR RUN.' TO TAPE-LIST. WRITE TAPE-LIST.
CLOSE CARD-INPUT WITH LOCK.
CLOSE CARD-JUTPUT WITH LOCK, LIST-OUTPUT WITH LOCK. STOP RUN.

DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DGTAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DÉTAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
$D^{\prime} E T A B-65$
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
D.FTAB-65

DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65
DETAB-65

10000 TABLEXX
0001

| 001002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | N | N |  |
| C2 |  | N |  |
| C3 |  | N |  |
| C4 | Y | N |  |
| A1 | X |  |  |

$\$$
10000 0001

TABLEXXX
1004001004
L. 004001003

| 001002003 ELSS |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | Y | Y | Y |
| C2 |  | Y | N |
| C3 |  | N | N |
| C4 | Y | N | N |
| A1 | X |  |  |

$\$$
10000
0001
TABLEXXXX
L. 006001004

01002003ELS $\$$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { C1 } & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Y} \\ \text { C2 } & \mathrm{Y} & & \mathrm{N} \\ \text { C3 } & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} \\ \text { C4 } & \mathrm{N} & & \mathrm{N} \\ \text { C5 } & \mathrm{N} & & \mathrm{N} \\ \text { C6 } & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{N} \\ \text { Al } & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}\end{array}$
10000 0001

TEST-001
L. 003001004

|  | 001002003 ELS $\$$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}-1$ | Y | Y | N |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-2$ | Y | Y | Y |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-3$ | Y | N | Y |  |
| ACTION-1 | X | X | X |  |
| X |  |  |  |  |

\$
10000 0001

TEST-002 001002003004 ELSS

| C-1 | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C-2$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

10000
0001
TEST-003
L 003001009
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & 001002003004005006007008 \text { ELS } \\ \text { C-1 } & Y & Y & Y & N & Y & N & N & N \\ \text { C-2 } & Y & Y & N & Y & N & N & Y & N \\ \text { C-3 } & Y & N & Y & Y & N & Y & N & N \\ \text { ACTION-1 } & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X\end{array}\right]$
10000 0001

TEST-004
L 004001017

| $C-1$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $V$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C-2$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ |  |
| $C-3$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| $C-4$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | 0001

```
TEST-005
EST-005
    C-1 
```

L 004001003

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
C-3 & Y & Y & \\
\text { C-4 } & Y & N & \\
\text { ACTION-1 } & X & X & X
\end{array}
$$

10000 0001

TEST-006
L 004001004
001002003ELS\$

| $C-1$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $C-2$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| C-3 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| C-4 | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

10000 0001
TEST-007
001002003004 ELS $\$$

L 004001005

| C-1 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| C-3 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| C-4 | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

TEST-009
001002003004005006007008 LSS $\$$

| C-1 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ |  |
| C-3 | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |
|  | $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

10000 0001

JEST-010

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\text { C-1 } & Y & Y & Y & Y & N & N & N & N & \\
\text { C-2 } & Y & Y & N & N & Y & N & Y & N & \\
\text { C-3 } & Y & N & Y & N & N & Y & Y & N & \\
\text { CTION-1 } & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X & X
\end{array}
$$

10000 0001

TEST-011
001002003003 ELS\$

$1 \quad 0000$
TEST-012
L 003001009

10000 0001

TEST-013
001002003004 ELS $\$$

| C-1 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| C-3 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| C-4 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
| C-5 | $Y$ | V | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |


| TEST-014 | L 010001010 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $001002003004005006007008009 E L S \$ ~$ |


| C-1 | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C-2 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | - | $Y$ | - | $N$ |  |
| $C-3$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |  |
| $C-4$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |  |
| $C-5$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |  |
| $C-6$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | - | $Y$ | $N$ | - | $N$ | $N$ | - |  |
| $C-7$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | - | $Y$ | $N$ | - | - | $N$ | - |  |
| $C-8$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |  |
| C-9 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |  |
| C-10 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |  |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |



10000 0001

> TEST-016

| C-1 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 | N | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| C-3 | - | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| C-4 | - | - | $N$ | $Y$ |
| C-5 | - | - | - | $N$ |
| ACIION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

1
0000 TEST-017

| C-1 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-2 | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ |
| $C-3$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - |
| $C-4$ | $Y$ | $N$ | - | - |
| C-5 | $N$ | - | - | - |
| ACTION-1 | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ | $X$ |

$\$$
10000 0001

## TEST-018 (CHOISE-PICK)

C1
C2
C 3
C4
C5
C6
C7
C 8
C9
C10
AI

L 010011010
001002003004005006007008009 ELS\$

| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ |  | $Y$ | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| $Y$ | $Y$ |  | $Y$ | $N$ |  |  | $N$ |  |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ |
| $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $Y$ |  | $Y$ |  | $N$ |
| $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $Y$ | $Y$ | $N$ | $N$ |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ M. S. Montalbano, "Tables, Flow Charts, and Program Logic," IBM Systems Journal, September 1962.
    S. L. Pollack, "Conversion of Limited-Entry Decision Tables to Computer Pfograms." RAND Corporation Memo RM-4020-PR, May 1964.
    J. F. Egler, "A Procedure for Converting Logic Table Conditions into an Efficient Sequence of Test Instructions." Cormunications of the ACM, September 1963.
    ${ }^{2}$ FORTAB by the RAND Corporation, TABSOL and LOGTAB by the General Electric Corporation, DIS by the IBM Corporation.

[^1]:    5 If the table contains $2^{n}$ rules (where $n=$ no. of conditions), there will not be any actions specified as the table will be complete. However, it must be present.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~B}$ is a delimiter whose use is explained in Step 6 .

[^3]:    $\overline{7}$ Note: If $n$ equals the last condition, redundancy exists within the DT and is so flagged.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is possible to enter a table from the main sequence but the trouble this can entail does not make it worthwhile.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ NOTE: Columns l-3, 4-6 may contain a sequence number (except for header and rule cards).

