MEETING OR CONTACT REPORT

Organization & Location:
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Livermore, California

Project:

STRETCH Project Review

Date of Report: July 9, 1959

Date: June 30, 1959

Reported By: D. V. Newton

Systems Release and Analysis

Follow-up Date:

PERSONNEL PARTICIPATING: (Place asterisk next to those on distribution list. Other distribution show at end of report)

IBM -Radiation Laboratory -*Mr. S. W. Dunwell, Poughkeepsie Dr. S. Fernback *Dr. H. W. Kolsky, Poughkeepsie Mr. J. S. Norton *Dr. D. V. Newton, White Plains Mr. W. F. McNaughton Mr. R. Von Holt Mr. R. L. Kocher, Oakland Mr. D. Munger Mr. L. C. Hubbard, Western Region Mr. C. D. Thimsen, District #16 Mr. T. Kishi Mr. T. McDonnell, Oakland Mr. R. Brousseau others

The meeting was held in two sessions. During the morning, Mr. Dunwell gave a progress report on the STRETCH project, and Dr. Kolsky answered questions of a technical nature. At lunch Messrs. Dunwell, Kolsky and Newton met with Messrs. Fernback, Norton and McNaughton, at which time prices and detailed specifications of the STRETCH system were given.

Personnel from the Radiation Laboratory had previously received a Preliminary Manual of Operation on STRETCH and gave evidence of having studied it to a considerable extent. There was much interest in the parallel Disk File. Questions also arose concerning the detailed operation of "look-ahead" and timing considerations.

Physical planning characteristics of STRETCH seemed to be of significant importance. When informed that space, air conditioning and power requirements were in the same ballpark as the 704 requirements, they were visibly surprised and impressed.

Mr. Dunwell invited Dr. Fernback and his associates to visit Poughkeepsie to see the present state of construction of the system. They seemed to react quite favorably to this invitation and even suggested it take place early in August. If they make this trip, this proves their interest in STRETCH is more than just curiosity. Dr. Fernback asked if we would help them time a sample problem, perhaps with the use of a 704 simulator. Of course, we agreed and the Oakland office is following through.

No visible reaction to the price of the STRETCH system seemed evident. Considerable interest was expressed in maintenance agreement costs and spare parts requirements. It is our opinion that they wanted answers to these questions in order to compare our program with their LARC contract.

The only reference to LARC was made by Jim Norton when he remarked that the building will be ready in October 1959, and that LARC will be installed in mid-November. At first thought their interest in STRETCH doesn't make too much sense in view of their plans to install LARC. However, they are in competition with Los Alamos and computer capability plays an important role in this competition. With Los Alamos getting a STRETCH in May 1960, the Radiation Lab will be in rather a poor position with just a LARC. They lost their advantage through repeated delays of LARC. If the Radiation Lab cancelled the LARC and installed a STRETCH, then they are in a "me too" position and at the same time, lose the chance to take advantage of a very low price on the LARC. However, if they installed the LARC and ordered a STRETCH, their competitive position will be quite strong. Further strength is given to this argument by unofficial talk around Los Alamos about ordering a second STRETCH.

DVN:ap

D. V. Newton

D. U. Nauton

cc: Mr. S. L. Lida, White Plains

Mr. R. E. Merwin, Poughkeepsie

Mr. D. W. Sweeney, Poughkeepsie