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Abstract: The current and predicted shortage of people familiar with and trained in computer techniques may be alleviated by beginning training at the elementary school level. It is demonstrated that the average 12-year old youngster can learn basic concepts of digital computers if inexpensive models, properly prepared instruction manuals, and technical magazines designed for his age level, are made available.

The basic philosophy of inexpensive construction of computer components is outlined and demonstrated with working models made of cardboard and common pins.

This is a preprint of a paper presented at the Association for Computing Machinery Conference in Los Angeles on 29 August 1956.

The proposal outlined here is based on three premises: (1) That the digital computing field needs, and will continue to need, not only more people who are capable of designing and programming digital computers, but more people who understand the basic limitations and potential uses of digital computers; (2) that the computer industry should take an active interest in providing a basic computer training to the largest number of people, in addition to more extensive training to those who show an interest in designing and programming computers; and (3) that the typical l2-year-old youngster has the interest, skill and basic knowledge necessary to build and understand simple working models of practically anything.

Consider a typical 12-year old: You would not be surprised to learn that he's been flying gliders for years, has probably built at least one rubber-powered stick model airplane, and is wondering whether he should spend his allowance on a more fancy model. At this age, he can take his bike apart and put it together again, diagnose and often correct a short circuit on his friend's electric train, build a bridge with his Erector set, and can develop and print pictures taken with his own box camera. He knows the difference between a rocket and a jet, alternating current and direct current, telescopes and microscopes, and between a gasoline engine and a diesel. For a small sum of money often less than $\$ 20$ - he can buy himself a working model of any of these things, plus a subscription to a magazine which will keep him informed of progress in the real devices, in the models, and in ways of using and improving the models. It is not surprising to find such youngsters entering the aeronautics, automotive, and electronics industries.

But when it comes to digital computers, our youngster is rather out of luck. He can buy a 4-digit decimal adder for a dollar; but this is hardly inspiring. The cheapest drum storage he could get costs more than his father's automobile.

It becomes apparent that our youngster needs a well-rounded computer package consisting of three parts: (1) a full line of cheap, standardized, general-purpose, digital computers in plan, kit, and assembled forms; (2) a full line of simple instruction manuals; and (3) one or more magazines, sponsored by the computer industry and designed especially for youngsters, covering coded programs for new and interesting problems, discussion of ways of making improvements and modifications to the models, etc.

Given the models and manuals, as described below, the magazine should be clearly written and profusely illustrated. It should stimulate continuing interest in computers by informing the youngsters about new full-scale computers and new problems being handled by them, and by providing a way for the youngster to exchange ideas on logical changes in the models and their in-out devices; on uses of the model around the house, in schoolwork, and for games; and on programming and mathematical tricks.

Each kit or model would include a complete instruction manual especially designed for that kit. General instruction manuals on construction, logic, coding, mathematics, and applications should also be made available. It is proposed that these manuals should be not only very easy for youngsters to understand, but should be exciting, too, even for those who dislike arithmetic and mathematics, and that they should represent the computer as a powerful tool which can be used in many fields, rather than as an end in itself.

The manuals will be easy to understand if care has been taken to explain the simple relationships between basic elements which the youngster is sure to know. Youngsters are normally not taught calculus until after they understand arithmetic and algebra. But with a calculator at their fingertips, capable of doing the arithmetic automatically, such a sequence of training may not be necessary. For example, it should be easy for them to understand a well-designed description of basic relationships which will train them how to use their computer to solve problems normally handled only by advanced calculus. Remember, we teach six-yearolds how to use numbers without teaching them advanced number theory.

The magazines and manuals discussed above are based on the availability of cheap, interesting computer models. These should be made in standardized sections which can be bought separately and pieced together to form an increasingly useful computing system. Each section should cost no more than $\$ 5$ with several basic demonstration sections at $\$ 1$ each or less. Typical sections might include: (1) A 32-register, 8 -bit, 4-instruction, display-screen-output basic computer; (2) a 1,024register, 8-bit storage; (3) a 32-instruction control; (4) an 8-bit multiply-divide arithmetic element; (5) analog and digital inputs; (6) analog and digital outputs. Two storage and arithmetic sections would be required to provide a full 16-bit machine. Thus, a typical full-scale model with eight sections would cost about the same as a bicycle. The speed of such a machine might easily be faster than ten instructions per second.

The design goals mentioned above can be met by adhering to several basic principles:

First, the computer should be mechanical. Basic computer techniques can be easily observed on functioning mechanical parts. Parts can be easily and cheaply made by youngsters out of paper, or massproduced as plastic or metal stampings. For example, $\$ 3.40$ buys enough pins for 1,0248 -bit registers. Second, the computer should be binary. The ease of making such parts outweighs the necessity for teaching the binary system to the youngster, who will learn it readily enough.

Third, all action should be positive and should not depend on friction, inertia, or springs. This allows the parts to work at any speed - as slowly as you wish for demonstrations, and as fast as you wish, limited only by the strength of the materials and of the drive motor. The use of springs tends to increase the forces required to drive the device. The use of positive action allows several steps to be performed on the same input pulse, perhaps allowing a complete single-address instruction to be performed on each input pulse.

Fourth, and finally, the parts should be made as small and light as possible, consistent with strength, manufacturing tolerances, and ease of repair of observation. Lighter parts have less inertia and can be driven faster or with less power.

A number of experimental models of logical circuits built according to these principles will now be discussed.

Figure 1 shows an 8 -bit register cut from paper. Each bit operates as a toggle element whose spring is formed by bending the central strip of paper into a Z-shape. This violation of the no-spring principle might be justified if a large memory can be made so simply. The selection of a given register would be done by moving the register to the left. Read-in would be accomplished by moving a digit bus (not shown) upward or downward. Read-out would be accomplished by allowing the tab of each bit to engage a light-weight output bus. Note that read-out would not tend to disturb the setting of the toggle.

Figure 2 shows a more reliable, but more costly, 8-bit register. Each bit is represented by a pivoted arm whose position is locked by tabs on the locking bar. Selection, read-in, and read-out are accomplished simply by moving the register to the right. Both ends of each pivoted arm would then come in contact with two halves of a corresponding digit bus (not shown) so that the arm and the bus would be forced to the same angle: If the locking bar is moved forward with the register then a positive read-out takes place, but if the locking bar is not moved forward, then a positive read-in takes place.

Figure 3 shows three such registers mounted in their frame. This is the state of progress on a demonstration model which will consist of thirty-two 8 -bit registers, a selection counter, and a Charactronstyle output display made of cardboard and bits of mirror. It will be used to test the speed and reliability of a small system and the feasibility of sectional, expandable construction.

Figure 4 shows one type of AND circuit. The "secondary flipflop" assumes the position of the "primary flip-flop" only when the circuit is not being operated. While the circuit is being operated, the "primary flip-flop" may be altered as much as desired without affecting the output signals.

Figure 5 shows an adaptation of this basic AND circuit to form a one-stage binary counter. The primary flip-flop is set and cleared by the output pulses, thus causing it to be complemented on each input pulse. The primary flip-flop is locked while the secondary flip-flop is sensing it.

Figure 6 shows a model of this binary counter cut from $3 \times 5$ card stock, pivoted with common pins, and connected to a drive motor. A cover plate holds the parts together. This model has been run at 40 cycles per second, for over an hour at no load. Only slight wear is evident in the counter itself, operating margins have deteriorated only slightly, and it looks like it might last another hour or more.

These are typical of the inexpensive computer elements which can be used to intrigue and train the l2-year old, who, by the time he graduates from high school will be able to perform many of the tasks for which graduate engineers are now employed.

When you consider the remarkable interest in computers that would be generated by the ready availability of a computer, and of instruction in its use, to any l2-year old, the computer industry should be happy to provide whatever moral, technical, and financial support is necessary to provide the most reliable and workable standardized models, the most eagerly read manuals, and the most interesting magazines.

How long will it be before a teenager appears at the local airport complete with radio-controlled model airplane, acoustical tracker, and computer-controlled stunt patterns?
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Fig. 3 Three 8-Bit Registers Mounted In Their Frames


Fig. 6 Binary Counter Cut From $3 \times 5$ Card Stock.


Fig. 1 An 8-Bit Register Cut From Paper.


Fig. 2 A More Reliable, But More Costly, 8-Bit Register.
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SUBJECT: Lincoln TX -2 Computer
To: R. R. Everett
From: $\quad$ K. H. Olsen and W. A. Clark
Date: $\frac{\text { September } 25,1956}{\text { W. N. Papian }}$
Abstract: The Lincoln $T X-2$ computer will be a full-scale computer of great flexibility utilizing $5-\mathrm{mc}$ transistor circuits and a very large internal store. The computer is a binary, parallel, singleaddress machine with two separate magnetic-core memories and a 36 -bit word length. It will have wide-spread application to SAGE-related studies, and longer term uses in fundamental research and development in advanced data-processing systems.

Division VI - Division Heads and Group Leaders Group 63 Section Chiefs dividuals or groups without express authorization. It may not be reproduced
in whole or in part without permission in writing from Lincoln Laboratory.

## INTRODUCTION

Construction of the Lincoln $T X-2$ computer is the next step in the Group 63 program for the study and development of advanced digitalcomputer systems. Like the $T \mathrm{X}=\dot{\emptyset}$, its precursor in this development program, the $T X \sim 2$ will use $5-\mathrm{mc}$ transistor circuits (though in an improved form) and the $256 \times 256$ magnetic-core memory. Unlike the $T X=0$, which is a skeletal machine of nearminimum complexity, the $T X-2$ will be a fullscale computer of great power and flexibility.

Parallelism of operation is significantiy greater in the TX -2 design than in existing computer systems. This increased parallelism, or ability to carry out many diverse operations simultaneously, is an important advance in the computer field. It will give the TX -2 a peak operating rate which is nearly four times that of the AN/FSQ $=7$ for certain programs.

Table I summarizes the important characteristics of the TX-2.

## APPLICATION

Operation as well as the development of the Lincoln TX-2 computer will further the goals of the Laboratory. The following outline, which does not attempt to describe the topics in detail, lists some of the applications of the TX -2 in the areas of SAGE-related studies and in nonoprogrammatic research and development.

## I. SAGE®Related Shorter Term Applications

A. Hardware evaluation and development

1. New display equipment
2. New memories
3. Packaging and circuit experiments
4. Special inputooutput devices

Bo Simulation of SAGE Equipment
(Special data-processing equipment suggested for use between radar, or radar nets, and central computer)
C. SelfoEvaluation of TX -2 System

1. Multiple program counter logic
2. Parallelism of arithmetic elements
3. Memory heirarchies
II. Longer Term Research and Development
A. Improving communication between human and machine
I. Speech recognition
4. The coding language study
5. Computer aided problem formulation
6. Electroencephalography experiments

Bo Shifting higher level processes from human to machine

1. Perception model study
2. Machine learning study
3. Automatic management of computing installation
C. Computer system theory
4. Formulation of computer models
5. Simulation of advanced systems
6. Neuron network studies

## SCHEDULE

The attached time schedule indicates a "delivery" time about a year from now.

Below is a rough estimate of the costs which will have to be met from the Division VI Extraordinary Budget for fiscal 1957.

TX-2
Extraordinary Costs

| Frame and console | (ordered) | 2,600 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Plug-in unit parts | (ordered) | 3,000 |
| Etched boards |  | 30,000 |
| Plug |  | 37,500 |
| SBT Transis assemblies | (ordered) | 52,500 |
| SBT Transistors |  | 82,500 |
| SBIT Transistors |  | 20,000 |
| Condensors | 2,400 |  |
| Plugs \& sockets |  | 8,700 |
| Misc. parts |  | 15,000 |
|  | $\$ 254,200$ |  |

Personnel（full time）
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Logical design } & 2 \text { staff } \\ \text { System design and assembly } & 3 \text { staff，} 1 \mathrm{STP}_{8} 10 \text { technicians }\end{array}$

TABLE I
TX -2 Characteristics

| Type | Binary，parallel singlemaddress |
| :---: | :---: |
| Word length | 36 bits（fracturable under program control to 218 －bit words or 4 9 mbit words） |
| Storage（coincident－current magneticmeore arrays 7ys cycle time or less） | Mem．I： 65,536 37－bit words <br> （36＋parity bit） <br> Mem．2：4，096 37－bit words <br> （36＋parity bit） <br> （expandable to 65,536 words） <br> Mem． 1 and mem． 2 operate simul－ taneously and independently。 |
| Indexing system（magnetic－core array，lys access time） | 6418 mbit index registers |
| Input－output | Initially－ 2 paper tapemeaders <br> 2 auto．typewriters <br> 2 cor．t．display systems <br> 2 magnetic－tape transports <br> System easily expanded and extremely flexible．All devices may be con－ currently operated． |
| Operating speeds（peak rates for typical instructions） | Additions／sec。 150,000 （ 36 mbit words） 300,000 （18 mit words） 600,000 （9 bit words） Multiplications／sec。 100,000 （ 36 mbit words） 300,000 （18 mit words） 600,000 （9mbit words） |
| Attachment： Drawting：－Ba 67527 | Signed $\qquad$ W．Actiark ㄷ.Ofen |

TX-2 TIME SCHEDULE

| 1956 | 1957 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

BLOCK DIAGRAMS
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POWER
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Abstract: Difficulties have been encountered by MTC and XD-1 with their tape systems. An investigation has been made to determine the sources of error. Findings are as follows:

1. Apparently coupling between the write busses and read busses of the Tape Adapter Frame caused some errors. This situation has been cleared up with an IBM engineering change.
2. Pickup of an extra bit after a "one "preceded by a long burst of zeros occurred. A transistor gate at the input of the preamp to "gate out" these transients allowed changes to improve signal fidelity
3. The tape reels seem to warp with time and abuse and thus cause chafing at the tape edges. The consequent wrinkles in the outside tracks produce errors. Tape reel holes and/or reel clamping mechanisms apparently are not controlled closely enough with the result that operators sometimes bend the reels in forcing them on the machine.
4. Some errors arise from the vagaries of the tape head environment. Preliminary results indicate that most errors arising from this environment are due to gradual build up of oxides on the heads or on the tape and not due to apprec ciable deterioration of the magnetic image on the tape Checking of each machine for excessive wearing of tape due to rough head surfaces; regular cleaning of heads; proper reading programs; and the selection of a new type of tape ${ }_{3}$ may allow ten times more error -free passes of a given piece of tape over the heads. In a rather restrictive situation we have achieved 60,000 readable passes using a hiooutput tape similar to Whirlwind ${ }^{\prime} s_{9}$ but with a lubricated binder.
[^0]MTC PROGRAM USED TO CHECK TAPES：
Tests on the MTC tapes have been carried out with the aid of a computer program designed to be simple yet create conditions which are difficult for the tape drives．The program alternately writes，backspaces，then verifies what has been written．The same word is written repeatedly to form a record of any desired length． The composition of this word can be changed at will．The program is difficult for the tape system because the sync track channel must be operative very soon after the transition from write to read status（about 6 millisseconds）。 The program cycle time is less than one hundred millioseconds（if the record length is short） so that the delayed－sweep feature of the 545 Scope can be used to examine any waveform during the whole cycle of operation of the pro－ gram．This program was used for all the tests of MTC＇s tapes．

NOISE BURSTS：
Each tape drive contains seven preamplifiers（one for each channel）to amplify the 30 mv （peak－to peak）signal read from the tape to a 20 v （peak－toゅpeak）signal for use by the Tape Adapter Frame．Illustrated in the bottom two sections of Figure $I_{9}$ are the outputs of two sample preamplifiers before any modifications were made of the system．Figure 2 is an enlarged view of one section of the curves in Figure 1 mo the signal during the actual reading．A close look at the middle picture in Figure 2 will show that noise bursts exist between the information pulses．It was found that these small bursts occurred at the same time as did the transitions between the various＂word ring＂conditions of the tape control as reading progressed．$D_{0} C$ 。levels of the write busses are free to change during read time and do so during the transitions between ＂word ring＂conditions（especially at the end of WR \＃I time）。 App－ arently coupling exists between the write and read busses and the noise bursts are a result of this coupling．IBM found that some errors could be eliminated by preventing the writeabuss levels from changing during＂word ring \＃1＂time．We tried the same correction． This eliminated our errors from this source，though it did not reo move all the noise bursts．Since then IBM has developed an official engineering change to clamp all write busses during read time．This circuit should eliminate the rest of the noise bursts．

OVERSHOOTS：
When the spikes had been eliminated as a source of error in MTC，a second type of error showed up．This was bit pickup im－ mediately following an isolated＂one＂preceded by a long burst of zeros．Examination of the signal presented to the clipping stage showed that an overshoot occurred after any pulse．This was in some cases large enough to be recorded as a bit．Figure 2 shows the overshoot phenomenon at the preamp outputs and Figure 5 shows it as the clipper input－though the pulses are not far enough apart to show the full effect of the overshoot．（This condition of bit pick－up after isolated＂ones＂had been noted in XDol but their solum tion was simply to raise the clip level and accept the decreased

Checking signals through the amplifiers showed that the overshoot phenomenon was not present on the tape but did exist at the input to the clipper in the Tape Adapter Frame（at the clipper， 10 V $\mathrm{P} \circ \mathrm{P}$ overshoot was present in $75 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{P} \propto \mathrm{P}$ signal）．The amplifiers apparento ly did not have good enough low frequency response to pass the signal effectively．About half of the overshoot was introduced by the RC coupling between the preamp and its output cathode follower．The rest came from the Tape Adapter Frame amplifier coupling circuits．As a test the RC time constant of the coupling circuit in the preamp was increased but then the＂DC＂level shifts attendant to the unblocking of the amplifier at read－write transitions caused trouble。

During write time（and especially at the change from write to read status after writeaforwardmbeforembackspace）the transients produced at the readewrite head by the write drivers blocked the prem amplifier to such，an extent that it took up to 30 millioseconds to ree cover．The output voltage level was still changing long after the backspace read signals came through．When the coupling time constant was increased as noted above，this slowly changing voltage was coupled to the succeeding amplifier and caused errors．Various schemes were tried to either eliminate the blocking of the amplifier or to get it to unblock in a hurry．Simply changing the values of components al． ready in the circuit did not help．Diode limiters of several types were tried at the input to try to keep the signal amplitude below that which would saturate the amplifiers－but diodes do not work well at the low signal levels（ 30 mv ）involved．Several types of gates were proposed but they introduced more transients than they eliminated． Finally Dick Best，of Group 63，suggested a transistor gate at the in put of the amplifier．An RC network was added to ensure that the tran－ sistor stayed on during the collapse of the field around the write heads．（Since this transient occurred last it was the most troubleo some one，though not the biggest．）Figure 3 shows the final design．

The results are shown in Figure 4 which indicates the vol． tages at the preamp input grid before and after these modifications． The write transients have been made the same order of magnitude as the read pulses．They could have been made smaller by increasing the 12 K series resistor（see Figure 3），but this would make the gate circuit more dependent on transistor variations and drift of cutwoff current． With this modification of the input，the amplifier no longer＂blocks＂。 The coupling capacitor at the output can now be increased $50 \%$ in value to $0.0022 \mu \mathrm{f}$ since it need not attenuate the long term＂unblocking＂ transient，and the overshoot is reduced to about half its former value。

## SELECT TRANSISTION：

A problem exists in the tape adapter frame because the sigo nal from the tape drive occurs in combination with the 10 volt＂selec－ tion transient＂visible about half way across Figure l。 This transient should not be coupled to the clipping stage，but the signalg of course ${ }_{9}$ should be passed．The coupling time constan＇s in the TAF were not
long enough to pass the signal portion of the waveform presented to them without reointroducing the overshoot phenomenon in the signal. The time constants could not be increased however, without coupling the "selection transient" portion thru to an excessive degree. Now however, because of the added transistor gate the selection transient is a smooth exponential (see top, Figure 1), instead of the exponential plus the "unblocking transient" (see middle, Figure 1)。 Therem fore, the coupling capacitors can be increased to reduce signal overo shoot without causing corresponding increase in size of the transient at the clipper input. The delay which determines when the character register can be set up may have to be lengthened somewhat since the transient, though of small amplitude, lasts longer.

RESULTS:
When work was started on this project a data plot was made to show the effects of various gain settings and clip level voltages with respect to errors. It should be understood that changing the gain of the preamplifiers, while it is a convenient handle, does not duplicate the conditions imposed by a low output tape on the one hand, or an excessively noisy tape on the other. This is because the gain control operates by changing the amount of feedback and therefore has radical effects on the bandwidth of the amplifier. How ever, the reo sults of similar tests under similar conditions are of significance and, if interpreted with discretion, indicate the progress made. Fige ure 6 is a plot of the area of failure on a graph of amplitude against clip level both before and after the modification. The gain control was set so that the average signal amplitude peakotoopeak was that in dicated on the ordinate. The recommended 20 or 21 volts ( $P \propto P$ ) seems to be the best from the point of view of $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio and saturation of amplifiers. The figure shows that now one clip level can be found for which any setting of the gain control will produce errorefree ope eration. This was not possible before. More important it allows us to pick a clip level for best operation. This level is around 8.5 volts.

Knowing that the signal into the clipping stage is a nominal 37.5 volts and that there is about 3 volts bias from grid to cathode. of the clipping stage, we can deduce that the changes made have allowed us to reduce the clipping to the point that signal of about $15 \%$ of nore mal amplitude will operate the character register instead of the $25-30 \%$ minimum formerly required. These figures indicate that a definite imo provement in reliability is to be expected. Figure 8 shows the improvement, graphically.

To calculate an ideal clip setting we note, first, that IBM allows a $49 \%$ reduction of signal strength anywhere on good tape. Oxide build up on the head reduces signal amplitude by causing increased tape-to-head separation. The signal amplitude frequently drops as much as $50 \%$ for this reason and then abruptly returns to nearly full amplitude when the oxide buildoup is knocked off the head thru abra. sion. Therefore, intermittent variations from full amplitude to $25 \%$ amplitude ( $50 \%$ due to oxide buildaup, $50 \%$ more due to allowable dropout), can and do occur in normal operation. Secondly, erased tape can
produce noise up to $10 \%$ of normal signal. Thus, considering noise and amplitude changes, it would be mandatory to have the clip level below $25 \%$ of signal and above $11 \%$ of signal. Since we would like to set it as low as possible to allow for oxide buildup and/or wearout of heads, but still be above the noise, and since there is always some noise, 15 m 20 percent of the normal signal amplitude would seem a reasonable value for the clip level. Thus, if the system accepts $20 \%$ signals, instead of $30 \%$ many common error producing situations are eliminated. IBM tape gave us about 2,500 errorofree passes when tested on unmodified drives with our particular wearout program. With the modifications, but before suppressing all the write busses s we averaged about 4,500 errorafree passes. With good maintenance we should be able to achieve 6,000 errorofree passes consistently

## MECHANICAL TROUBLES:

During the course of our investigations, periodic errors occurred which were traceable to crinkles and waves in the edges of the tape. These were apparently caused by warped reels which dragged the edge of the tape and chafed it. It was discovered that very few reels were free from warp. Observations of operators using the macho in led to the conclusion that most reels, if they do not warp normally with age, will become warped because of the necessity of forcing the reels onto some of the drives. This can always be done in such a way as to prevent warpage but many times an excessive amount of care must be exercised. It is thought that perhaps the reels or the hubs are not controlled closely enough in diameter. Also, replacements are not readily available

SUMMARY:
To Summarize: lo A transistor gate was added at preamp inputs.
2. The preamp output coupling capacitor was then inc creased to .0022.
3. The TAF coupling capacitors were changed from .0015 to .0022.
4. The clip level could then be reduced with conses quant decrease in size of minimum readable signal.
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Abstract: Magnetic amplifiers make use of the non-linear characteristics of magnetic cores to obtain power amplification, and offer high reliability and large power-handling capability, making them adaptable to certain computer system applications such as switching circuits and power control. The analysis of magnetic amplifier circuits involves a study of the properties of magnetic core materials, the use of certain simplifying assumptions, and application of basic electrical principles to a step-by-step solution of the various voltage and current waveforms involved. Investigation of some simple saturable reartor circuits yields basic formulas and relations useful in more complex circuits. The ordinary parallel-connected and series-connected saturable reactor circuits, which are described in detail, offer moderate power gain and linear transfer characteristics. Descriptions of other circuits and specific applications will be issued as supplements.

[^1] mont of the Navy, and the Department of the Air For under Air Force Contract No. AF 19(122)-458.

### 1.0 Introduction

Magnetic amplifiers are devices which make use of the non-linear characteristics of certain magnetic core materials to obtain amplification of power, and in their simplest form they consist merely of turns of wire wound on suitable magnetic cores. There are many applications where magnetic amplifiers are preferrable to other types of amplifiers because of their advantages of simplicity, high reliability, low cost, and large power handling capability. In addition, a particular magnetic amplifier design may be used over a wide range of voltage, current, and power rating by scaling core size, wire size, and number of turns up or down. They are especially desirable in systems where high reliability is demanded; and in particular, they are useful in digital compu= ter systems for certain switching circuit and power control applica= tions. Magnetic amplifiers have been used in regulated power supplies, line voltage regulators, alternator and $d \infty c$ generator field control, motor speed control, light-dimming and indicator lamp operation, logi= cal switching circuits, instrumentation and metering; and a great variety of uses appear possible.

Although the field of magnetic amplifiers has undergone rapid development in recent years with the improvement of core materials and semiconductor rectifiers, factors retarding their application have been the cumbersomeness of their circuit analysis and the small number of people familiar with them. The difficulty in analysis arises from the non-linear nature of the magnetic core. Rectifiers are often used, and add to the non-linearity. Since magnetic amplifiers depend upon a periodically varying power source for their operation, it is common practice to make certain simplifying assumptions which enable the operation of the circuit over one cycle to be broken up into a series of linear intervals which can be attacked analytically, and then pieced together to describe one cycle of operation. Often, the characteristics of the circuit being studied are obvious after the waveforms of the various voltages and currents have been determined, hence the emphasis in this series of papers is on graphical representation of quantities rather than on involved mathematics.

The characteristics of various magnetic core materials are first considered briefly. Then, a few simple circuits are analyzed to illustrate various basic ideas. The parallel-connected and seriesconnected saturable reactor circuits are considered in some detail. The next paper will cover external feedback in saturable reactor circuits. This will lead to the self-saturating magnetic amplifier. One installment will cover the half-wave flux reset circuit and its use as a switching circuit, while another will be devoted to polyphase magnetic amplifiers and their application in regulated $d=c$ power supplies.

### 2.0 Saturable Cores

### 2.1 Core Materials

All magnetic amplifiers make use of saturable magnetic cores in some way or other. The properties of magnetic cores are often represented by a graph of the hysteresis loop of their core material. Figure 1 shows the 60 cycle hysteresis loops of a few common magnetic amplifier core materials. A typical value of lamination thickness has been selected for each material, and some of the different trade names' under which these materials are sold are listed. One type of ferrite core has been included for the sake of comparison.

Flux density (lines/sq. in.)


Figure 1, Core Materials
In the above figure, flux density is plotted in lines per square inch and magnetizing force in ampere-turns per inch to simplify subsequent calculations. Since flux density is often given in guasses, and magnetizing force in oersteds, the following conversion formulas are useful:

1 gauss. 6,45 lines/sq. in.
1 oersted $=2.02$ ampere-turns/in.

The high-silicon steel material contains 4 percent silicon, and is cold-rolled to produce a high degree of grain orientation re= sulting in one easy direction of magnetization parallel to the direction of rolling. It is commonly used for handling large amounts of power. It is inexpensive and rugged, but has a wide hysteresis loop.

The 50 percent nickel-50 percent iron material is widely used in small, high performance magnetic amplifiers. It is medium priced and has excellent magnetic properties, but is temperature and strain sensitive. It is coldゅrolled to produce grain orientation, resulting in two directions of easy magnetization. A similar material, sold as Nicaloi, Hipernik, Conpernik, and 48 Alloy, is not grainooriented, and is sometimes used in the form of punched, stacked laminations.

65-Permalloy, not show in Fig. 1, is a nickel-iron alloy cono taining 65 percent nickel. It is not grain oriented and has a hysteresis loop somewhat shorter and narrower than 50 percent nickel- 50 percent iron.

Supermalloy and Molypermalloy are alloys of nickel, iron, and molybdenum. They have extremely narrow hysteresis loops, but are quite expensive and have low saturation flux density. They are used mostly in low power, high frequency applications.

Ferrites are used only at very high frequencies, often in pulseoperated circuits. Their characteristics vary with chemical composition and treatment, but their saturation flux density is always low.

Other less commonly used materials are Mumetal, Sinimax, Monimax, Permendur, and Supermendur.

The hysteresis loop of a particular core material varies so greatly under different conditions that the loops shown in Fig. l are of little use to the designer and are shown merely to compare the different materials. Some of the factors which affect the shape of the hysteresis loop are the frequency, magnitude, and waveshape of the applied voltage and the lamination thickness of the material. Most of these affect the width of the hysteresis loop but do not affect the saturation flux density. Catalogs are available which contain much useful information concerning different core materials.*

[^2]Magnetics, Inc., catalog TWC-100.
Westinghouse Electric Co., bulletins 44-550 and 44-750.
Arnold Engineering Co., bulletin $\mathrm{TC}=101 \mathrm{~A}$.

### 2.2 Core Geometry

Cores made of these saturable materials are available in a variety of different shapes and sizes. Given the dimensions of a core of a certain material, its characteristics are found by calcula= ting the effective cross-sectional area and mean length of the magnetic path. The effective cross-sectional area is found by multiplying the actual core cross-sectional area by the stacking factor. This factor takes into account the insulation and air gap between laminations. Typical values are:

Lamination Thickness
0.012
0.004
0.002
0.001

Stacking Factor
.95
. 90
.85
.80

The mean length of magnetic path in a toroidal core may be found by taking the average of inside and outside core circumference.
effective area $=$ actual area $x$ stacking factor
mean circumference $=\frac{1}{2}$ (inside circumference + outside circumference)
These calculations allow the hysteresis loop to be plotted in terms of lines of flux and ampere-turns, using the following relations:
lines of flux $\quad=$ flux density $x$ effective area
ampere-turns $=$ mean circumference $x$ ampere-turns per inch.
For example, the core shown in Figure 2 would have the characteristics shown on the right.
lines of flux



Material: Deltamax, 0.002"
Fig. 2, Core Characteristic

Calculations for Fig. 2:
effective area $=\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{2} \times \frac{1}{4}^{\prime \prime} \times .85=0.11$ sq. in.
mean circumference $=\frac{1}{2}\left(\pi \times 1 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\pi \times 2^{\prime \prime}\right)=5.5^{\prime \prime}$
and, using hysteresis loop in Fig. 1 ,
saturation flux $\phi_{S}=100,000$ lines/sq.in. $x 0.11$ sq.in. $=11,000$ lines
magnetizing MMF $=0.4$ ampere-turns/in, x 5.5" $=2.2$ ampere=turns
Although saturable cores are usually made of a continuous strip of material rolled into the toroidal form shown in Fig. 2, other configurations shown in Fig. 3 are suitable for certain applications.


Wound Toroid


Stamped Ring


C Type


D-U Type

Fig. 3, Core Types
The wound toroidal core combines the advantages of uniform cross-section, no appreciable air gap, and proper orientation of the grain of the metal along the direction of easiest magnetization. Some of the other types have the advantage of lower cost and easier winding and mounting.

It is important that the ratio of inside to outside diameter be as near one as possible. Otherwise, the inside layers, having a shorter circumference, will saturate first, causing a rounding-off of the knee of the hysteresis loop. This ratio is called the core ratio. The core of Fig, 2 has a core ratio of 0.75 .

$$
\text { core ratio }=\frac{I_{. D}}{O . D}
$$

It will become apparent that the most desirable core is one that has a tall, narrow, rectangular hysteresis loop-in other words, large saturation flux, small magnetizing force, high permeability in the unsaturated region and low permeability in the saturated region, and a sharp transition between the two regions.

### 3.0 The Half Wave Saturable Reactor

### 3.1 Basic Single Core Saturable Reactor

A simple saturable reactor circuit consisting of a saturable core wound with a number of turns of wire and connected in series with an a-c voltage source and resistive load is shown in Fig, 4.


It is convenient when analysing certain saturable reactor circuits to consider that the windings have zero resistance and that the saturable core has the idealized hysteresis loop consisting of three straight lines as shown. In the saturated regions, represented by the two horizontal lines, the reactor has zero inductance.. In these regions the flux is constant, so no voltage may be induced in the winding. In the unsaturated region, represented by the vertical line, the reactor has infinite inductance and no current flows when voltage is applied across the winding, or if there is more than one winding on the core, the net ampere-turns of all the windings must be zero. Thus the saturable reactor (abbreviated $S R$ ) behaves as a short circuit when saturated and an open circuit when unsaturated. The winding of the core is appropriately called a gate winding.

If the applied voltage is small enough in the circuit of Fig. 4 so that the core remains unsaturated at all times, then no current will flow in the circuit and the voltage induced in the SR will be equal and opposite to the applied voltage. The maximum voltage that the core may absorb without saturating may be found from the familiar Faraday's Law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{a}=-10^{-8} N_{g} \frac{d \phi}{d t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$e_{a}$ is instantaneous applied voltage, $N_{g}$ is number of turns on gate winding, $\phi$ is lines of flux.

Rewriting Faraday's Law in its integral form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int e_{a}=-10^{-8} N_{g} \phi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the applied voltage is a periodic a=c voltage, then from the preceding equation the following expression may be derived:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}=2 \times 10^{-8} N_{g} \phi f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$E$ is the average rectified value of applied voltage,
$\phi$ is the peak-to-peak flux,
$f$ is the frequency of the applied voltage.
This simple equation is often useful in magnetic amplifier design. Thus, the core of Fig. 2 wound with 4000 turns will absorb 106 volts at 60 cycles before saturating.

$$
E a=2 \times 10^{-8} \times 4000 \times 22,000 \times 60=106
$$

Note: for reasons that will become apparent, it will be convenient to deal with average rectified values of voltage and current rather than rms values. Throughout this paper, capital letter ( $\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{I}$ ) refer to average rectified values unless otherwise noted, and small letters (e,i) refer to instantaneous values.

If the applied voltage is increased beyond the saturation voltage $\phi_{S}$, part of the applied voltage will appear across the resistive load, and the resulting waveforms are shown in Fig. 5.

applied voltage $e_{a}$ gate voltage $e_{g}$
load voltage and current $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{g}}$

core flux $\varnothing$

Fig. 5, Saturable Reactor Waveforms

In order to calculate the voltage across the load, one may write:
load voltage $=$ applied voltage - voltage absorbed by SR
or

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=E_{a}-2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(2 \phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \mathrm{f} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reason for dealing with average values of voltage is now obvious. Faraday's Law states that the SR absorbs a constant volt-time area, or a constant average voltage, from the applied voltage waveform as its flux changes from one saturated region to the other. Fig. 6 shows the effect of varying the applied voltage. The SR absorbs a constan volt-time area (shaded) while the remainder of the applied vole tage waveforms (not shaded) appears across the load.


Fig. 6, Effect of Varying Applied Voltage
The relation between applied voltage and load voltage is shown in Fig. 7. Many devices, including constant-voltage transformers and magnetic voltage references, depend upon this non-linear relation= ship for their operation.


Fig. 7, Output Characteristic

### 3.2 Single Core With Control Winding

In the preceding circuit, the input voltage was varied, In most magnetic amplifier circuits, however, the input voltage is constant and the load voltage is controlled by a second winding on the saturable core. The saturable reactor circuit with this control winding added is shown in Fig. 8.


Fig. 8, Saturable Reactor With Control Winding
The applied a-c voltage is again made just equal to the saturating voltage of the SR , so that with no control current flowing, no voltage appears across the load. If a d-c control current is now made to flow in the direction indicated, the SR is driven into its positive saturation region during a portion of each cycle, and the resulting waveforms are shown in Fig. 9.

As in all magnetic amplifier circuits, the cycle can be considered as broken into different modes of operation, depending on whether or not the $S R$ is saturated. When the $S R$ is unsaturated, the net ampere-turns must be zero, and the following relation applies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{g} i_{g}+N_{c} I_{c}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that during these periods, since the control current is assumed constant, the gate current mustalso be constant, of opposite polarity, and related to the control current by the turns ratio $N_{c} / N_{g}$.

When operating in the saturated mode, the gate voltage is zero, and the equation for the loop voltage reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{a}=i_{g} R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One other basic rule illustrated by this circuit is that the gate voltage, when averaged over a complete cycle of steady-state operation, must be zero. Furthermore, since there are no batteries or diodes in the load circuit of Fig. 8, the current in this circuit and the load voltage can have no $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{c}$ component either.


Fig. 9, Waveforms of Saturable Reactor with Control Winding

The circuit of Fig. 8 has one disadvantage which makes it im= practical. It was assumed that a steady $d=c$ current was flowing in the control winding. But the changing flux will induce a voltage in the control winding which, unless the control current source has infinite impedance, will cause an a-c component of current to flow in the control circuit. If the control circuit has zero impedance, then the $S R$ behaves as a transformer with a shorted secondary and presents no impedance in the circuit at any time. If resistance is inserted in the control circuit, then the power dissipated in it is such that the circuit can have no power gain. A large choke could be used to solve this problem, but a better solution will be presented. Hence, this circuit is not used.

### 4.0 Full-Wave Saturable Reactors

### 4.1 Basic Full Wave Circuits

The disadvantage of the preceding circuit is overcome by using two identical SR's instead of one. Fig. 10 shows the two common circuits


Parallel-Connected SR


Series-Connected SR

Fig. 10, Full Wave Circuits

### 4.2 Parallel-Connected SR

Considering the parallelwconnected circuit first, assume that the applied a-c voltage is again selected such that it equals the saturating voltage of either SR. The circuit is redrawn in Fig. Il with voltage polarities and current and flux directions indicated.


Fig. ll, Parallel-Connected Circuit
Since the two gate windings are connected in parallel, their instantaneous voltages $e_{g}$ are always equal. Furthermore, since the instantaneous gate voltage $e_{g}$ and control voltage $e_{c}$ of each SR are related to the rate-of-change of flux by the equations:
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{g}=-10^{-8} N_{g} \frac{d \phi}{d t} \\
& e_{c}=-10^{-8} N_{c} \frac{d \phi}{d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{c}=\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} e_{g} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This useful relation applies to nearly all magnetic amplifier circuits.
It follows that the instantaneous voltages of the two control windings are always equal. They are connected with their voltages opposing, so that the net voltage across the control current source is always zero. This, then, is the advantage of the full wave circuitno net voltage is induced in the control circuit, so that regardless of how low the impedance of the control current source, no objectionable short-circuiting current flows.

Because of the similarity in connections of the gate and contron windings, a simplification of the circuit is possible. Instead of having the dec control current flow in a separate winding, the circuit has been redrawn in Fig. 12 with the dec control current flowing in the loop of the gate winding circuit. Although this is not usually convenient in practice, it simplifies the analysis, and is perfectly valid. The imaginary doc loop current is made equal to the original control current multiplied by the turns ratio $\mathbb{N}_{c} / N_{g}$.


Fig. 12, Simplified Circuit
Now assume a d-c control current flowing in the direction indicated. This causes core A to saturate in the direction of positive flux at some point in the positive half-cycle of applied voltage, and core B saturates one half cycle later in the direction of negative flux. The resulting waveforms are shown in Fig. 13. Since the operation of each SR is confined to one of its saturated regions, the gate current of each SR is unidirectional. The average value of this current must be the imaginary d-c control current which was assumed to be flowing in the gate circuit. It can be seen that the load current is the sum of the two gate currents:
but

$$
I_{r}=I_{a}+I_{b}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{a}=I_{b}=\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} I_{c} \\
& I_{r}=\frac{2 \mathbb{N}_{c}}{\mathbb{N}_{g}} I_{c} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the equation for the steady-state transfer characterm istic of the parallel-connected SR. This direct proportion between control current and output current is the most important feature of the circuit, making it useful in currentmeasuring instruments and currentlimiting devices as well as for conventional amplification of power.


Fig. 13, Waveforms of Parallel- Connected SR

Fig. 14 shows the theoretical and actual transfer characteristic of the circuit. The behaviour of the circuit is similar for either polarity of control current. A point is reached at the end of the proportional region where the SR's are completely saturated, the entire applied voltage is impressed across the load, and the load current cannot increase further. The load current at this point is determined by the value of load resistance, and therefore the flat regions are called the resistance-limited regions.


Fig. 14, Characteristic of Parallel-Connected SR
In an actual circuit, the load current does not decrease to zero because a small magnetizing current flows even when the cores are unsaturated. The entire applied voltage never appears across the load because the cores always have a slight inductance when saturated. In a well balanced design, the load resistance should be small compared to the unsaturated impedance of the reactors and large compared to the saturated impedance.

### 4.3 Series-Connected SR

The operation of the series-connected SR is similar in many respects to the parallel=connected SR. One difference is that, since the gate windings are connected in series, the entire load current must flow through each of them but only half of the applied voltage must be absorbed by each SR. Therefore, for a circuit the equivalent of the parallel-connected SR, the series-connected SR would use half as many turns of larger wire in the gate winding.

Another difference is that the impedance in the control circuit of the series-connected SR has a considerable effect on the operation of the circuit. In this analysis, it is assumed that the control circuit has zero source impedance. The circuit is shown in Fig. 15 with voltage polarities and current and flux directions indicated.


Fig. 15, Series-Connected Circuit
Since the two control windings are connected in a closed loop in which it has been assumed there are no external voltages (zero control current source impedance), the instantaneous voltage $e_{c}$ of the two control windings must be equal at all times. It follows that the instantaneous voltages of the two gate windings must also be equal at all times, because:

$$
e_{c}=\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} e_{g}
$$

Now assume a $d-c$ control current flowing in the direction indicated, causing core A to saturate in the direction of positive flux at some point in the positive half-cycle of applied voltage, similar to the parallel-connected SR. When core A saturates, the voltage across both its gate and control windings must decrease to zero. When this happens, the control circuit acts as a short circuit to core $B$, so its gate and control winding voltages must decrease to zero also. With no voltage across either gate winding, the applied voltage is impressed across the load for the remainder of the positive half= cycle of applied voltage. During this period, since core B is unsatu= rated, the net ampere-turns on it must be zero. Therefore, during this period the instantaneous control current is:

$$
i_{c}=\frac{N_{g}}{N_{c}} i_{r}
$$

During the periods that neither core is saturated, no current can flow in either control or gate circuit, since any current or combination of currents would violate the rule of zero net ampere-turns.

During a portion of the next half-cycle, a similar action takes place with core B saturating, and the control circuit again passes a current proportional to the load current. The resulting waveforms are show in Fig. 16.


Fig. 16, Waveforms of Series-Connected SR
From inspection, it can be seen that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} I_{c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is the same as that for the parallel-connected SR except for the factor of 2 missing. It can be seen that the characteristics and waveforms of the two circuits are alike in many respects.

### 4.4 Power Gain

Both full-wave circuits described would have infinite power gain with the assumption made of zero winding resistance. Taking the control winding resistance into consideration but neglecting the gate winding resistance the power gain may be defined roughly as the change in output power divided by the change in control circuit dissipation over the range from zero to maximum output. At zero output, the control circuit current and power dissipation are also zero. At maximum output, the load dissipation is:

$$
\left(1.1 I_{r}\right)^{2} R
$$

where the factor 1.1 is necessary to convert from average to rms values assuming sinusoidal applied voltage.

The control circuit dissipation is:

$$
\left(1.1 I_{c}\right)^{2} R_{c}
$$

where $R_{c}$ is the total control circuit resistance. The power gain is therefore:

$$
\frac{\left(1.1 I_{r}\right)^{2} R}{\left(1.1 I_{c}\right)^{2} R_{c}}
$$

For the parallel-connected SR, using equation (8), this simplifies to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Power gain }=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}}\right)^{2} \frac{R}{R_{c}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the series-connected SR:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Power gain }=\left(\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}}\right)^{2} \frac{R}{R_{c}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Power gains of around 100 to 1000 are common.
This treatment of full-wave SR's has been simplified in the extreme. Many assumptions have been made which are not always reason= able. Persons desiring a more rigorous and detailed analysis of these circuits are referred to Magnetic Amplifiers, by H. F. Storm.*

* H. F. Storm, Magnetic Amplifiers, Wiley, 1955.


### 5.0 Saturable Transformers and Shunt-Connected Saturable Reactors

Up to this point, a zero impedance source of applied voltage has been assumed. If the power source has high impedance (i.e. a current source) then the previously described SR circuits fail to function, and a saturable transformer or shunt=connected SR is used. Fig. 17 shows a cormon circuit for these two devices.



Short-Connected SR

Fig. 17
The saturable transformer has the advantage that the load is iso lated from the power source, and the load may be matched to the power source by selecting the proper turns ratio for the transformer. The shunt-connected SR, on the other hand, has higher efficiency, especially in applications where the output power is on most of the time. An early memorandum by D. A. Buck contains an informative discussion of these circuits.*

### 6.0 Power Rating of SR's

An interesting problem is the selection of the proper core to handle a given, amount of power. For the most efficient design, the core window should be as full of windings as possible, and the windings should be operated near the rated temperature of the insulation. If too small a core is selected, difficulty will be encountered in winding or the temperature rise may be excessive, and if too large a core is used, an inefficient and uneconomical design is the result. A conservative rule of thumb is that 60 percent of the window area of a toroidal core can be wound conveniently, and that a current density of 1000 ampere-turns per square inch is possible in the windings. If half the useable winding space is used for control windings and the other half for gate windings, then the rms current rating of the gate winding is:

$$
I_{\mathrm{rms}}=300 \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{g}}}
$$

where $W$ is the core window area in sq. in.

[^3]The volt ampere rating of a single $S R$ may be defined as the prow duct of the saturating voltage and rms current rating of the gate winding. Therefore, using the preceding equation and equation (3), the volt-ampere rating is:
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V A=2 \times 10^{-8} N_{g}\left(2 \phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \mathrm{f} \times 300 \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{g}}} \\
&= \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

VA $¥ 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \phi_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{f} W$
The actual output power of a $S R$ circuit depends upon the particus lar circuit used and the waveforms of the various voltages and currents. Of course, the figures used in the above equations are very rough. Other considerations of ten result in the selection of a core which is opera. ted well below its power capacity.

### 7.0 Construction

T.wo common methods of assembling fuil wave $\mathrm{SR}^{\prime}$ s are shown in Fig 27.


Saturable reactors provide linear transfer characteristics and moderate power gain. The average rectified output current is propore tional to the control current and is relatively unaffected by changes of supply voltage, supply frequency, or load resistance. $D=c$ outputs may be obtained by using a bridge rectifier. Multiple control windings allow the output to be proportional to the sum of several control sig. nals. Some possible applications are: motor speed control, regulated power supplies, indicator light operation, relay operation, and alarm circuits. The first supplement will cover SR ${ }^{\text {s }}$ with feedback, and self=saturating magnetic amlifiers.
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Abstract: The transient response of the ordinary saturable reactor is poor because of the inductive nature of the control circuit. The transient response can be improved by inserting control circuit resistance, but the power gain is reduced propertionally. The product of power gain times bandwidth remains constant and is determined only by the supply frequency. This product may be increased, however, by using feedback. It is also possible to obtain snap-action by using sufficient feedback. The disadvantages of feedback are increased complexity of the circuit and less linear operation. The case of unity feedback is of special importance because of its high dynamic gain, and because of its similarity to the more common self-saturating magnetic amplifier.

[^4][^5]
### 9.0 Transient Response of the Saturable Reactor

One of the most serious disadvantages of saturable reactors is their limited transient response, or in other words, their low cut-off frequency. The reason for this is the inductive nature of the control circuit. If the control voltage is changed abruptly, the control current, and hence the load current, will follow an exponential curve. Fig. 19 shows the transient response waveform of a typical saturable reactor.


Fig. 19, Transient Response
The time constant $T$ is determined by the equivalent control circuit resistance $R_{C}$ ' and inductance $L_{\text {。 }}$ 。

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{L}{R_{c}{ }^{1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalent circuit for the control current is shown in Fig. 20.


Fig. 20, Control Equivalent Circuit
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It will be recailed that in Sections 4.2 and 4.3 of the original memorandum the control circuit resistance was assumed zero, but in Section 4.4 it was shown that zero control circuit resistance would proo duce infinite power gain. Now it can be observed that zero control circuit resistance would also result in an infinitely long time constant. But in practice, of course, there is always some resistance in the control circuit and the power gain and time constant are both finite.

The time constant my be found by evaluating $R_{C}{ }^{\text {' }}$ and $L_{0}$. The equivalent resistance seen by the control circuit of a saturable reactor is equal to the actusl control circuit resistance in parallel with the reo flected resistances of all other windings linked by the same flux as the control windings. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{c}{ }^{1}}=\frac{1}{R_{c}}+\left(\frac{N_{1}}{N_{c}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{R_{1}}+\left(\frac{N_{2}}{N_{c}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{R_{2}}+\ldots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional windings $\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, ... may be part of the control such as bias windings or maltiple input windings. $O r$, in the case of the parailelo connected $\mathrm{SR}_{2}$ a loop in the gate circuit may also pass a circulating current which is reflected back to the control circuit. Since the gate windings usually have low resistance, the result is a low equivalent control circuit resistance and consequentiy a long time constant. Fig. 21 shows why the paraleloconnected $S R$ passes this undesirable circulating current in the gate circuit while the seriesoconnected SR does not. The polarities of voltage resulting from the closing of SI are indicated.


Fig. 21, Circulating Gate Current
Since the parailel-connected $S R$ is seldom used when response time is a consideration, the following theory deals only with the seriesconnected SR. The control circuit inductance is defined by the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=10^{-8} N_{c} \frac{d \phi}{d I_{c}} \text { henries } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The net flux $\phi^{\prime}$ linking the control windings is the difference of $\phi_{a}$ and $\phi_{b}$ as defined in Fig. 15。

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\phi_{a}-\phi_{b} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to determine the relation between $\phi^{\prime}$ and control current $I_{C}$, the flux waveform of Fig. 16 of the original memorandum has been redrawn in Fig. 22.


Fig. 22. Flux Waveform
It can be seen that for steadystate operation, $\phi$ : is constant. Further more, the peakotoopeak values of $\phi_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{b}}$, and therefore the gate volo tages, decrease linearly as $\phi^{\prime}$ increases; so that at maximum output, the gate voltages are both zero and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \cdot=2 \phi_{S} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this condition, the entire applied aoc voltage appears across the load, and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{a}}=E_{\mathrm{r}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E_{r}=I_{r} R_{9}
$$

and

$$
I_{r}=\frac{N_{c}}{N g} I_{C}
$$

It has been assumed that the reactors are designed such that each gate winding is capable of absorbing half the appied voltage, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} E_{a} \otimes 2 \times 10^{-8} N_{g}\left(2 \phi_{s}\right) f \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining these equations, one obtains a linear relation between $\phi^{\prime}$ and $I_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\emptyset_{1}}{I_{c}}=\frac{R}{4 \times 10^{\circ 8} N_{g} f} \frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into equation (1.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L:\left(\frac{N_{C}}{N_{g}}\right)^{2} \frac{R}{4 f} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$
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The time constant of the control circuit is therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \approx \frac{1}{4 f}\left(\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}}\right)^{2} \frac{R}{R_{c}{ }^{\prime}} \text { seconds } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows that, other things being constant, the response time can be decreased by increasing the control circuit resistance. But adding control circuit resistance increases the control circuit dissipation and therefore decreases the power gain. Thus, fast response may be obtained by sacrificing gain, or high gain may be obtained with slow response. For this reason, the product of gain times bandwidth, or dynamic gain, is a useful figure of merit to apply to saturable reactors. Dividing the preceding equation into equation (11), one obtains for the dynamic gain D of the series-connected SR:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=4 f \frac{R_{c}{ }^{\prime}}{R_{C}} \text { per second } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R_{c}$ ' can be made equal to $R_{c}$, then the maximum possible dynamic gain is obtained, and the above equation reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \text {. } 4 f \text { per second } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This remarkably simple equation shows that only the frequency of the a-c power source ultimately limits the dynamic gain of the $S R$. If the dynamic gain is expressed in terms of cycles of the a $\omega \mathrm{c}$ source, equation (24) becomes merely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=4 \text { per cycle } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the gate winding resistance, which has been neglected in these derivations, is taken into account, the actual dynamic gain available in a practical $S R$ is slightly less than that given by the above equation.

Since the speed of response can be increased at the expense of power gain merely by increasing the control circuit resistance, large values of external resistance are sometimes inserted in the control circuit for this purpose. When this is done, the SR operates in a different mode from that described in Section 4.3, the output waveform becoming rectangular instead of sinusoidal as $R_{c}$ is made large compared to the equivalent load resistance, that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c} \gg\left(\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}}\right)^{2} R \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this mode, the response time is practically zero since the control circuit supplies power to the load through transformer action, but the power gain is much less than unity. It will be shown in a later paper how this principle is used in a fast d-c voltage monitor.

### 10.0 Feedback in Saturable Reactors

### 10.1 Steady-State Operation

In certain applications, there are advantages to be gained by using feedback in SR circuits. The output current cannot be fed directly back into the control circuit, since the output is a.c and the control is dac. But, if a bridge rectifier is added in the output circuit of a full wave $S R$, in theory a current waveform is obtained which is not only proportional to the control current but also identical in shape. If the rectified output current is now fed back hy means of a second control winding $N_{f}$, the control characteristic of the $S R$ may be altered considerably. One method of doing this is shown in Fig. 23. The load R may be connected in either the ace or doc side of the bridge.


Fig. 23, Feedback
The steady-state effect of feedback in an amplifier may be analyzed by a simple graphical method shown in Fig. 24. The characteristic of the amplifier without feedback is first drawn. Then a "load line" is drawn, the slope of which corresponds to the amount of feedback. In order to obtain the new characteristic, the control current fed back, represented by the load line, is subtracted from the original control cur. rent. The effect is to shear the control characteristic to one side.


Fig. 24, Load Line Method

The effect of varying the amount of feedback is shown in Fig. 26. If, in the case of the seriesoconnected $S R, N_{f}$ is made equal to $N_{g}$, then a theoretically infinite gain is obtained; and if the amount of feedback is further increased, the $S R$ has the snapaction characteriso tic of a switching circuit.


Fig. 25, Effect of Varying Feedback
A servo block diagram is often useful in analyzing circuits containing one or more feedback loops. Fig. 26 shows a simple steadystate block diagram of this sort for the seriesoconnected SR。


Fig. 26, Block Diagram of Series-Connected SR with Feedback
Using this block diagram, the closed-loop equation for the output current as a function of control current may be written by inspection:
or

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{r}= \pm\left(\frac{N_{c}}{N_{g}} I_{c}+\frac{N_{f}}{N_{g}} I_{r}\right) \\
& I_{r}=\frac{N}{4 N_{g}-N_{f}} I_{c} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\ddagger$ sign is included because the output current cannot be negative. This means that with one polarity of control current the feedback is regenerative, and with the other polarity it is degenerative.

### 10.2 Transient Response

An analysis of the transient response of the SR with feedo back follows the same procedure as was given for the circuit without feedback but is slightly more involved. It can easily be shown, however, that the dynamic gain can be improved by using feedback. Consider the case where sufficient feedback is added to double the ampere-turn gain of the $\mathrm{SR}_{9}\left(\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}\right.$ : $\left.I / 2 N_{g}\right)$. Now, only half as much control current is rea quired to obtain full output current. This means that, for the same control voltage, the control circuit resistance may be doubled by adding external resistance. Thus, the control circuit dissipation is halved and the power gain doubled. The equivalent control circuit resistance is doubled, and the equivalent inductance is also doubled, since half the control current produces the same change of flux. The time constant therefore remains the same, and the dynamic gain has been doubled. In fact, if the ampereoturn gain is increased by a factor A using feedback, the dynamic gain is also increased by A and becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D: 4 A \text { per cycle } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This increase in dynamic gain is one of the principle reasons for using feedback.

One other important feature of SR's with feedback is that the control time constant is not the same for either polarity of control voltage transient. In the preceding discussion of dynamic gain, it was assumed that the feedback circuit did not decrease the effective resiso tance of a circulating current in the feedback circuit. However, one polarity of control voltage will cause a circulating current in the feedback circuit which is reflected back into the control circuit causing a low equivalent resistance, as shown in Fig. 27.


Fig. 27, Feedback Circulating Current
For control voltage transients of opposite polarity, this circulating current is blocked by the rectifiers.
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### 10.3 Unity Feedback

The case of unity feedback in $S R^{\prime}$ s is of special importance. It has been shown that the gain theoretically becomes infinite when $N_{f}-N_{g}$ 。 In practice, the gain is never infinite because of the non-ideal characteristics of the cores and rectifiers. While these deviations from the ideal are hardly noticable in the characteristics of SR's without feedback, their effect becomes more pronounced as the feedback is increased. Fig 28 shows the actual characteristic (greatly exaggerated) of an $S R$ with unity feedback derived by the load line method.


The characteristics are no longer linear over a wide range, and the gain is greatest over only a portion of the regenerative region. In this region rectifier reverse current and core magnetizing current are the principle factors which limit the gain. Since these factors vary nonlinearly with both load voltage and load current, an accurate analytical analysis of the circuit might be quite difficult. Consequently, the characteristics of the SR with unity feedback are often obtained experimentally and plotted as a family of curves. Either load voltage or load current may be the dependent variable. Fig. 29 shows a family of curves for a typical circuit, with control current plotted against load voltage for different values of load resistance.


Fig. 29, Control Characteristics with Unity Feedback
11.0 Conclusions

The principle dynamic characteristics of the ordinary $S R$ are slow transient response and limited dynamic gain. Higher power frequencies are often used to obtain improved performance, and often make possible savings of size and cost also. To obtain best transient response, circulating currents must be suppressed in all circuits coupled to the control windings, and the control resistance must be made as high as possible.

Feedback alters the control characteristics, and can be used to improve the dynamic gain by several orders of magnitude. The effect of the non -ideal characteristics of the components becomes more pronounced in the regenerative region as the feedback is increased, and drift becomes more of a problem. However, since the amount of feedback is determined by a turns-ratio, there is little danger of drift causing instability as it may in other types of positive feedback amplifiers. The case of unity feedback has been given special consideration because of its similarity to the selfosaturating magnetic amplifier, which will be described in the second supplement.
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Abstract: The self-saturating magnetic amplifier may be considered as a simplified version of the saturable reactor with unity feedback. The high dynamic gain is accomplished by placing a rectifier in series with each gate winding. The half-wa.ve circuit consists of only one core and one rectifier. The full wave circuits operate in a similar manner as the half-wave circuit, but have lower control circuit losses. They may have either a dec or abc load, and require two cores. Highest gain is obtained by using cores with high permeability and rectifiers with low leakage current. The abc flux reset circult accomplishes high gain and fast response by using an ac voltage and rectifier in the control circuit. The circuit schematics, waveforms, and control characteristics of the various circuits are shown.

Included in the appendix are calculations for the design and performance of a typical magnetic amplifier, a list of abbreviations used, and a table of the more important properties of the various circuits.

### 12.0 Derivation of Self-Saturating Magnetic Amplifier

The saturable reactor with unity feedback has been described in the preceding supplement. Although this circuit has many desirable features, it is seldom used. A somewhat different circuit accomplishes the same results with fewer rectifiers and without the need for feedback windings. Fig. 30 shows how the self-saturating magnetic amplifier is derived from the saturable reactor. The saturable reactor with unity feedback is shown in Fig. 30a. Arrows indicate the direction of flow of load current and resulting MMF during the postive half-cycle of a-c supply voltage. It can be seen that the gate and feedback windings on core $A$ aid each other and may therefore be replaced by one winding having twice as many turns. On core $B$, the two windings oppose each other and behave as a short circuit. The resulting equivalent circuit for this half cycle is shown in Fig. 30b. The two rectifiers in series have been replaced by one rectifier. This is the basic half-wave self-saturating circuit.


Fig. 30a, Saturable Reactor with Feedback


Fig. 30b, Equivalent Circuit


Fig。30c, A-C Self-Saturating Circuit
A similar equivalent circuit is obtained for the next half-cycle of a-c supply voltage. Fig. 30 c shows the two half-wave circuits combined to form the full-wave a-c self-saturating circuit, corresponding to the SR circuit of Fig. 30a,
13.0 Half-Wave Self-Saturating Circuit
13.1 Operation of the Half-Wave Self-Saturating Circuit

Since the theory of operation of all the self-saturating circuits is basically the same, only the half-wave circuit, because of its simplicity, will be described in detail. The circuit is shown in Fig. 31 with polarities indicated.


Fig. 31, Half-Wave Circuit

The control is assumed to be a high impedance current source. The operation of the circuit can be understood most easily by first considening what happens during the negative, or non-conducting, half-cycle of supply voltage. During the period that there is an inverse voltage across the rectifier, no current flows in the gate windings; and the only MMF present is that of the control winding. In order to analyze the situation, the exact nature of the hysteresis loop must be considered. The assumed hysteresis loop is shown in Fig. 32.


Fig. 32, Hysteresis Loop
The control and gate windings are connected such that their MMF's are opposing. During the conducting portion of the previous cycle, operation of the core was along the right-hand saturated arm of the hysteresis loop, and at the end of the conduction period, operation took place toward the left along the top of the loop. Now, with no gate MMF, and a certain control MMF indicated by the arrow at top, operation proceeds down the left-hand side of the loop to point a. When the a-c supply voltage begins its positive half-cycle, voltage is impressed across the gate winding, a small magnetizing current flows, and the flux begins to increase toward saturation. When the flux reaches saturation at point $\underline{b}$, the gate voltage collapses and the applied voltage is impressed across the load. At the end of the positive half-cycle of supply voltage, the gate current approaches zero and the flux is again reset to point a by the control ampere-turns. The average voltage absorbed by the core is, of course, proportional to the change of flux.

If the control ampere-turns are reduced to an amount a', there is no flux change and no voltage is absorbed by the core. $\bar{A}$ further decrease or change of polarity of the control ampere-turns has no effect on the output. With no control current, the core remains saturated at all times, hence the name "self-saturating。" If the control ampere-turns are increased to an amount $a^{\text {" }}$, then the entire
hysteresis loop of the core is traversed and the load voltage is a minimum. Assume, as in the case of the saturable reactors, that the core is designed to absorb just the full supply voltage, that is:

$$
E_{a}=2 \times 10^{-8} \mathbb{N}_{g}\left(2 \phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right) f
$$

Then the average load voltage is varied from zero to $1 / 2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ as the control ampere-turns are decreased from $a^{\prime \prime}$ to $a^{\prime}$. The resulting control characteristic, which has a shape similar to one side of the hysteresis loop, is shown in Fig. 33.


Fig. 33, Control Characteristic of Half-Wave Circuit
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13.2 Gain of Half-Wave Circuit
13.2.1 Nature of Hysteresis Loop

The gain of the circuit depends to a great extent on the characteristics of the core, in particular on the slope of the descending side of the hysteresis loop from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$. If this slope is known, the gain may be expressed in volts per armpere by determining the amount of control current required to traverse from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$ on the hysteresis loop, and calculating the corresponding change in output voltage. But the hysteresis loop that the core describes in this circuit may be quite different from the $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{c}$ or sirtusoidal excitation hysteresis loops which are ordinarily published, and also depends on the core shape as well as material. It is really the locus of the points $a^{\prime}$ to a" that is important rather than the actual shape of the loop. This is illustrated in Fig. 35, which shows the actual hysteresis loops traced by a deltamax core in a self-saturating circuit for different values of control curyent.


Fig. 35, Minor Hysteresis Eloops of Deltamax Core
The locus of the points $a^{\prime \prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$ is indiceted by the broken line. This line shows that the gain is much less than would have been calculated from the major hysteresis loop alone. This undesirable effect is caused by the eddy currents and other phenomena in the core material.

### 13.2.2 Ręctifier Leakage Current

Rectifier leakage current also has an important effect on the gain of the circuit. Zero leakage current has been assumed up to this point. If the control current is made large enough to produce zero output (a"), then there is no reverse voltage across the rectifier and hence no leakage current. If the control current is now reduced, the output voltage and rectifier inverse voltage and leakage current increase. But the leakage current flows in such a direction as to oppose the decrease in control current. Hence its action is degenerative and reduces the gain. For this reason, silicon rectifiers are desirable because of their low leakage. Selenium rectifiers are to be avoided in this circuit, not only because their high leakage current reduces gain, but their variation in leakdge current as they age causes drift of the control characteristics. Rectifier capacitance also has a degenerative effect. Fig. 36 shows the effect of rectifier leakage current on the control characteristic.


Fig. 36, Effect of Rectifier Leakage Current
13.2.3 Calculating the Gain

Once the exact nature of the dynamic hysteresis loops of the core and the rectifier leakage current are known, the calculation of the control characteristic may be made. The change in output voltage from minimum to maximum output may be calculated by the equation:

$$
\Delta E_{r}=10^{-8} N_{g}\left(a \phi_{S}\right) f
$$

The control ampere-turns required to traverse from
 of Fig. 33 and the rectifier leakage ampere-turns of Fig. 36. The gain may be expressed as the ratio of these quantities in volts per ampereturn. A sample calculation is given in the appendix. Often a more important quantity is the power gain. The ximum possible power gain for a half-wave self-saturating circuit is relatively low for the same reason as in the half-wave SR-a large control circuit impedance is required to block a-c components of current. Hence, the full-wave circuits are more commoply used.

### 14.0 Full-Wave Self-Saturating Circuits

### 14.1 Circuit Configuration

The three basic full-wave self-saturating circuits and their corresponding waveforms are shown in the following figures. They all may be considered as combinations of two half-wave circuits, and their operation is very similar to that of the half-wave circuit. The principle differences are that load current flows every half-cycle, and only second and higher harmonic voltages are induced in the control circuit. Similar to saturable reactors, the solution of the circuit depends upon the control source impedance. A constant current control is assumed. If the control source has zero impedance, the operation of the circuits are somewhat different but the resulting control characteristics turn out to be nearly the same.



Fig. 38, Center-Tap Self-Saturating Circuit

$p^{10}$


Fig. 39, Bridge Self-Saturating Circuit

### 14.2 Gain and Transient Response
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The volts per ampere-turn gain of any of the full-wave circuits described may be calculated in the same manner as was outlined for the half-wave circuit. The change in output voltage from minimum to maximum is obtained directly fromeraigraday's Law, and the corresponding control ampere-turns are obtained from the appropriate dynamic hysteresis loop of the core, also taking into account the leakage ampere-turns of the rectifiers if it is appreciable.

The power gain may be defined in various ways. One method is to take the change in load dissipation from minimum to maximum output and divide by the maximum control circuit dissipation, which occurs at minimum output. This is very simple once the control characteristic has been determined.

Calculation of the transient response is also simple, since the method described in section 9.0 still applies. The control circuit inductance is found by equation (15), where the total change in flux is again $2 \phi_{\mathrm{S}}$; and the corresponding change in control current is found as described above. The equivalent control circuit resistance is also found by methods already described. Similar to the saturable reactor with feedback, an objectionable circulating current flows with one polarity of control voltage, increasing the time constant. Examination of Figs. 37, 38, and 39 will show that this current flows when the output is turned off. It is most severe in the case of the a-c circuit since it encounters only the resistance of the two gate windings and the forward resistance of the two rectifiers, whereas in the two d-c circuits it must also flow through the load.

An objectionable feature of self-saturating magnetic amplifiers is that the response time is limited to not less than approximately one-half cycle of the a-c supply. The flux in each core is "reset" during the negative half-cycle of a-c supply voltage, and the core is "fired" during the next positive half-cycle. Thus there is a "dead Eime" between the time of application of a control signal and the time that there is any effect on the output. The only way to reduce this time appreciably is to use higher power frequency.

The power gain divided by the time constant, or dynamic gain, is again a useful tigure of merit. Similar to the saturable reactor with feedback, the quality of the cores and rectifiers, as well as the power frequency, determines the maximum obtainable dynamic gain. A sample calculation of the performance of a typical self"saturating cirauit is given in the appendix.
15.9 A-C Flux Reset Circuit

One other common circuit closely related to the ordinary self-saturating circuits is the a-c flux reset circuit. It was mentioned that the half-wave self-saturating circuit had the disadvantage of requiring
a large impedance in the control circuit to block a-c components of current. A low impedance control circuit would effectively short-circuit the core. A method of overcoming this problem is shown in Fig. 40. The $a-c$ voltage $e_{a}$ and a rectifier have been added to the control circuit. The a-c voltage is of such a polarity as to cause an inverse voltage across the control circuit rectifier during the positive or conducting half-cycle of a-c supply voltage.


Fig. 40, A-C Flux Reset Circuit
Assume the gate and control windings have the same number of turns. If the control is a short circuit, then the full voltage Ea will be applied to the control winding during the negative half-cycle and the flux will be completely reset. During the following half-cycle the entire a-c supply voltage will be absorbed by the gate winding and the output will be zero. But if the control is an open-circuit, then the core remains saturated at all times and maximum output is obtained. Any passive circuit element that will absorb a controllable amount of the a-c voltage in the control circuit can be used to control the output, stuch as a varieble resistance, vaxiable a-c voltage, or variable d-c bias. Fig. 41 shows the waveforms obtained when a half-wave a-c voltage ins used as the control.


Fig. 41, Waveforms of A-C Flux Reset Circuit with Half-wave A-C Control Voltage
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Advantages of this circuit over the ordinary self-saturating circuits are:

1. The voltage gain is independent of the core characteristics and depends entirely upon the turns ratio.
2. The control does not exhibit an inductive time constant and the response is therefore inherently half-cycle.
3. The power gain can be made high by using core materials with low hysteresis losses. The control power corresponds to the area of the hysteresis loop.
4. Only one core and power rectifier are fequired.

Disadvantages are:

1. Multiple control windings cannot be used in the usual way to obtain the sum of several isolated inputs.
2. Except for a-c voltage control, poor linearity is obtained between input and output.
3. The rectifier in the control circuit can inteqduce drift of the control characteristics, and also makes it necessary that the signal voltage be large compared to the forward voltage drop of the rectifier.

A more complete description of this circuit may be found in a paper by R.A. Ramey.*

### 16.0 Conclusions

The self-saturating magnetic amplifier achieves the high dynamic gain of the saturable reactor with feedback simply by having a rectifier in series with each gate winding. The example in the appendix shows a gain of 26,000 per second with a control requirement of 17 volts and $8.5 \mathrm{ma} .$, using average quality dilicon rectifiers, the poorest of core materials, and the lowest of power frequencies. A 150 mw . transistor can drive this circuit easily. A future paper will destribe his combination of a transistor driving a magnetic amplifier has been used with success in regulated d-c power supplies.

Included in the appendix are calculations for a typical magnetic amplifier, a list of abbreviations used, and a table of the more important properties of the various circuitw which have been discussed.
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Table A
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## Appendix A

I. Sample Calculations for a Bridge Self-Saturating Magnetic Amplifier


Given: $\quad E_{a}=100$ volts, 60 cycles
$R=20$ ohms
maximum output required $=4$ amps
full load dissipation $=(4)^{2} \times 20$
$=320$ watts
Core
cores chosen: Arnold \#5468-In selection, 12 mil.
IUD. $=2.5 \mathrm{in}$.
ORD. $=3.5 \mathrm{in}$. height $=1.0 \mathrm{in}$. thickness $=.5 \mathrm{in}$.
cross sectional area $=.5 \times 1.0$ $=.5 \mathrm{sq}$. in.
effective area $=.5 \times .95$ (sect. 22)
= . 48 \$q. in.
saturation flux density $=100,000$ lines/sq. in. (Fig. 1)
saturation flux $\phi_{S}=100,000 \times .48$

$$
=48,000 \text { lines }
$$

window area $W=\pi \times(1.25)^{2}$

$$
=4.9 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{in} .
$$

volt-ampere rating of core $=1.2 \times 10^{-5} \times 48,000 \times 60 \times 4.9$ (sect. 600)
$=170$ volt-amperes

Gate Winding
gate turns $N_{g}=\frac{100}{2 \times 10^{-0} \times 2 \times 48,000 \times 60}$ (secto 3.1)
$=870$ turns
Control Characteristics
assumed hysteresis loop of core material:
(based on experimental data as indicated in Fig. 35)

mean core circumference $=\pi \times 3$
$=9.4 \mathrm{in}$.
$a^{\prime}=0.4 \times 9.4$ (Fig. 32)
$=3.8$ ampere-turns
$a^{\prime \prime}=0.9 \times 9.4$ (Fig. 32)
$=8.5$ ampere-turns
rectifier chosen: Sarkes Tarzian 10 LF silicon rectifier
leakage current $=.001$ amps (approx.) rectifier leakage ampere-turns $=.001 \times 870$ (Fig. 36)
$=.9$ ampere-turns
coptrol ampere-turns for max. output $=3.8-.9$

$$
=2.9 \text { ampere-turns }
$$

resulting control characteristic:


Note: The experimental results show a maxinem output voltage lower than the calculated value because of core saturated inductance and gate winding resistance which were neglected in the calculations. In practice, therefore, the applied a-c voltage must be made somewhat larger than the maximum output voltage required.

## Gain

control turns $N_{c}$ chosen: 1000 turns \#24 wire resistance of each control winding $=1000 \times .3 \mathrm{ft} /$ turn $\times 0.26 \mathrm{ohms} / \mathrm{ft}$

$$
=7.8 \mathrm{ohms}
$$

total control winding resistance $=7.8 \times 2$
$=15.6 \mathrm{ohms}$
maximum control current required $=8.5 / 1000$ $=.005 \mathrm{amps}$
control winding dissipation $=(.0085)^{2} \times 15.6$ $=.0011$ watts
maximum power gain $=320 \% .0011$ (Sect. 14.2)

$$
=290,000
$$

## Transient Hesponse


time constant $T=170 / 15.6$
$=11 \mathrm{sec}$.
dynamic gain $=290,000 / 11$
$=26,000$ per sec.
assume 2000 ohms inserted in control circuit to improve transient response.

```
T = 170/2015
    =.085 sec.
control dissipation =(.0085)}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\times201
            =.145 watts
```

II. Abbreviations Used

| Symbol | Meaning | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ampere-turn gain | 27 |
| * | control ampere-turns | 32 |
| a ${ }^{2}$ | control ampere-turns at upper knee | 32 |
| $a^{\prime \prime}$ | control ampere-turns at lower knee | 32 |
| b | point of saturation | 32 |
| D | dyhamic gain | 24. |
| Ea | average applied a-c voltage | 7 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | average control voltage | 21 |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | average load voltage | 8 |
| $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | instantaneous applied a-c voltage | 6 |
| $e_{c}$ | instantaneous control winding voltage | 12 |
| $e_{g}$ | instantaneous gate winding voltage | 6 |
| $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | instantaneous load voltage | 6 |
| $\pm$ | frequency of applied a-c voltage | 7 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | average applied a-c current | 19 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\text {a }}$ | average current in gate A | 13 |
| $\mathrm{Ib}_{\text {b }}$ | average current in gate B | \% |
| $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | average control current | 9 |
| $\mathrm{I}_{r}$ | average load current | 13 |
| 12 | instantaneous current in gate A | 13 |
| $i_{b}$ | instantancous current in gate B | 13 |
| $i_{c}$ | instantaneous control current | 16 |
| 1 g | instantaneous gate current | 6 |
| $\mathrm{i}_{r}$ | instantaneous load current | 11 |
| I.D. | inside diameter | 5 |
| L | control circuit inductance | 21 |
| MMF | magnetomotive force | 5 |
| ${ }^{N}$ | control winding turns | 9 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | feedback winding turns | 25 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{g}}$ | gate winding turns | 6 |
| NI | ampere-turns | 6 |
| O.D. | outside diameter | 5 |
| R | load resistance | 6 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | control circuit resistance | 18 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{\text {c }}$ | equivalent control circuit resistance | 21 |
| SR | saturable reactor | 6 |
| T | control time constant | 21 |
| VA | volt-amperes | 20 |
| W | core window area | 19 |
| $\phi$ | flux | 6 |
| $\varnothing_{\text {a }}$ | flux in core A | 12 |
| $\phi_{0}$ | flux in core B | 12 |
| $\phi_{\text {s }}$ | saturation flux | 5 |
| $\phi{ }^{\prime}$ | net flux linking control windings | 23 |

III. Properties of Magnetic Amplifier Circuits

TABLE A: Circuits Without Rectifiers

| TYPE | CIRCUIT | CONTROL CHABACTERISTIC | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Half-Wave SR |  |  | very low power gain |
| Single-Phase Full-Wave SR |  |  | linear control charac teristic, moderate power gain, high output impedance |
| Parallel |  |  | no induced voltage in control circuit, poor transient response |
| Series |  |  | better transient response, large second harmonic induced in control circuit |
| Three-Phase SR |  |  | used for large loads |
| Saturable Transformer |  | $I_{r}$ | used with high impedance power source, permits load matching |
| Shunt-Connected SR |  |  | used with high impedance power source, has higher efficiency than saturable transformer |

TABIE B: Circuits Containing Rectifiers
Single-Phase, Self-
Saturating Magnetic
Amplifier
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## I Introduction：

Ever since the introduction of magnetic core memory devices to the digital computers at M．I．T．－Whirlwind I and MTC－the problem of supplying the information held by this memory to the computer has not been solved to everyone＇s satisfaction．1， $2,3,495$

It has been found impossible to ground either end of the sensing winding because of the presence of common mode signals．${ }^{3}$ This has led to the necessity for using a difference amplifier input．

The memory plane may display a variety of undesirable output pulses（Figol）in addition to the signal it is supposed to amplifyo These signals may be listed：
a．ONE is the signal that is to be amplified and fed to the computer．It is nominally 50 millivolts high and 1 microsecond wide in the $256 \times 256$ memory．
b．ZERO is a signal that should not be present in the output．The absense of an output from the sense amplifier at the time of interrogation is taken to be 2ERO．When a single core holding a ZERO is selected，its output is very small．However，in an $n \times n$ memory plane，there are 2 （ $n-1$ ）partially selected cores and the noises produced by these cores may combine with the output of the selected core to produce a substantial output pulse．It is necess－ ary that the sense amplifier be able to distinguish be tween the ONE and ZERO outputs，either in time or amplitude。

I．Laspina，CoA。＂Basic Circuits－Sensing Amplifiers＂，MoI．To Digital Computer Laboratory Memorandum， M－1969， 10 April，1953．

2．Laspina，CoA．Basic Circuits－Sensing Amplifier，Prelim－ inary Specifications， $\mathrm{PB} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ，MoI．T。 Digital Computer Laboratory Memorandum， M $=2274,3$ July， 1953.

3．Sarles，FowoJr．＂A Transistorized Amplifier－Discriminator for Core Memory Output Sensing ${ }^{\text {mi }}$ ，SoMoThesis Proposal，MoI．To Lincoln Laboratory Memorandum 6M－3417， 7 March 1955.

4．Sarles，FoWo Jr．＂A Transistorized Amplifier－Discriminator for Core Memory Output Sensing ${ }^{\text {m }}$ ，S．MoThesis， MoI．To Department of Electrical Engineering， May 1955.
5．Zopatti，R．C．Private Communications
c．Inhibit Noise $-\infty$ is caused when the digit plane winding is pulsed during the writing of a 2ERO。 When the inhibit current is applied，every core in the plane is partially selected．It has been found that in the worst case，for a $64 \times 64$ plane，that the signal is about 1 volt in amplitude．The amplifier must not be so disturbed by this large pulse that it is unable to respond correctly to a signal that is applied about 1.0 microsecond following the completion of the inhibit。
d．Common Mode Signals are probably caused by capacitive coupling between the drive lines and the sensing winding。 It is expected that these signals（which raise both ends of the sense winding together）may be as large as 10 volts per $64 \times 64$ plane．These common signals must be totally rejected。

In connection with items $c$ and $d$ above，reference was made to disturbances caused by a single 64 X 64 plane（ or module）．If the 256 X 256 plane was treated as a unit（with one sensing winding and one digit plane winding），then the noise pulses would be greatly increased．Figo．2a demonstrates that during the read operation $2(n-1)=2(256-1)=510$ cores receive half select excitations．In a 64 X 64 plane，only $2(64-1)=126$ cores are disturbed during read．It is seen，then，that if a single sense winding is used for the large plane，the noise is increased by a factor of 4.05 ．It is essential，therefore，that the sense winding be split so that a minimum of noise due to partial selects is found on any one sensing winding．If the winding is distributed as shown in Fig． 2 b （no sense winding passes through any two modules that are on the same vertical or horizontal line），this condition will be met，and a maximum of 128 partially selected cores will be sensed by any one sense winding． Furthermore，due to the fact that the sensing winding acts as a delay line，shortening the length of it，cuts the delay，and restricts the time interval during which an information pulse may arrive。

Experiments have demonstrated that it is not possible to use a single digit plane winding per plane．There are to be four of these windings per plane，and they will be connected as shown in Figo2c．The memory will be operated in a manner that excites only the required digit winding when a ZERO is to be written．The other three digit drivers will be held off．The primary reason for this is to cut the ringing on the $X$ and $Y$ driving lines，and on the sensing windings due to capacitive coupling between these leads and the inhibit leads．This permits a large reduction in the memory cycle time（to less than $6 \mu s e c$ ）。 Of course，this operation aids the sensing amplifier because of the reduction in the sizes of the inhibit pulses to those seen by $64 \times 64$ planes．

It is found that a memory plane can put out a long chain of unipolarity pulses，followed immediately by pulses of the opposite
polarity. It is essential that the amplifier not be so prf sensitive that these kinds of pulse sequences cause a misreading of information.

## II Preliminary Considerations

One of the major requirements of the sensing amplifier is speed. It must be able to respond quickly and to recover quickly。 These conditions lead to the necessity for using fast transistors, and Philco Surface Barriers (SBT's) - which are used throughout the TX $\infty 0$ computer $\infty$ are the best commercially available transistors in this respect.

If the amplifier is to perform satisfactorily, it is necessary that it be capable of recovery from the peculiar signals (mentioned above) that may be applied to it. Consequently, the sensing amplifier has about 1 microsecond in which to recover from a four volt inhibit pulse, and it must not be allowed to give false outputs when it is excited by a string of unipolarity pulses. Actually, a direct coupled amplifier would be ideal for this particular application. But this is not possible in the present application for reasons to be discussed below.

In order to permit the difference amplifier to operate most satisfactorily, it is necessary to have the circuit impedances adjusted in an attempt to block common si gnals and to aid difference signals. These ideals can be realized by use of either choke or transformer coupling, if the coil polarities are as shown in Fig. 30

The circuit pictured in Fig。 32 works well in all respects save one. It fails to effectively eliminate the common mode signal. on the other hand, the circuit pictured in Fig. $3 b$ behaves as well as that which is choke coupled, and it also eliminates common mode signals to a large extent.

For the best results the choke, or transformer, should be wound as shown in Fig. 4. If the choke is used, windings $c$ and $d$ are eliminated. In order to achieve the proper coil polarities, leads 2 and 3 are connected to form the center tap of the primary. If the coupling element is a transformer, $1 e$ ads 6 and 7 are also joined to form the secondary center tap.

The two circuits of Fig. 3 may be analyzed, approximately, by drawing the simplified linearized equivalent circuits. These are shown in Fig. 5. In these equivalent circuits the following assumptions are made:
1.) Both halves of circuits are identically balanced
2.) Grounded base current gain of each transistor is $\alpha_{\S}$
3.) Base resistance is rbs
40) Emitter resistance is $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}}$ :
5.) Collector resistance is infinite:
6.) $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ is a non-linear impedance such as a diode - low in one direction and high in the other direction;
7.) Mutual coupling between primary windingsis $M_{1}$;
8.) Mutual coupling between secondary windings is $\mathrm{M}_{2}$;
9.) Mutual coupling between any primary winding and any secondary winding is $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ :
10.) Sensing winding impedance is negligible

Fig. Sa shows the equivalent circuit for the choke coupled case when a common mode signal $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ is applied. The output voltage is

$$
V_{\text {out }}^{c m}=-\frac{2 \alpha V_{c m} z_{6} R_{d}\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right)_{s}}{\left.\left[R_{d}+z_{s}+2 z_{b}\right]\left[R_{p}+\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right)\right)_{s}\right]\left[R_{3}+r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)\right]}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cong-\frac{2 \alpha V_{c m} Z_{b} R_{d}\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right) s}{R_{3}\left[R_{d}+Z_{s}+2 Z_{b}\right]\left[R_{p}+\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right) s\right]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
R_{p}=\frac{R_{d}\left(z_{5}+2 z_{6}\right)}{R_{d}+z_{5}+2 z_{b}}
$$

It is observed that the only way in which the common mode signal can be eliminated is to have $M_{1}=I_{1}$ 。 In actual practice this is not possible, and so one must be content to allow some common mode to pass. Some help is achieved by allowing $R_{3}$ to be very large. However, there is a limit to this, for the supply voltage must be increased proportionally.

Fig. Sb shows the equivalent circuit that is presented to a difference signal. It is assumed that the capacitor across the resistorsf $R_{3}$ effectively shorts the emitters together.

It is found that
in which

$$
R_{t}=\frac{R_{d}\left(z_{5}+z_{6}\right)}{R_{d}+z_{5}+z_{6}}
$$

The gain in this circuit is greater for the difference signal than it is for the common signal，but one cannot assume that the common signal is negligible。

Fig． 5 c shows the equivalent circuit for a common mode signal in a transformer coupled circuit．The output is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {out }}^{\text {cm }}=0 \text {. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that the net current in the primaries of the transformer is zero，and there can be no signal coupled through to the secondary．In actual practice，however，it is found that some signal may be transmitted by capacitive coupling between the leads of the primaries and secondaries．In order to minimize this capacity，the primaries and secondaries are isolated from each other to as great an extent as possible，as shown in Fig．4o Another manner in which common mode may get through is if the circuit elements are not balanced．One percent resistors are used in attempt to minimize this．However，no attempt will be made to balance the transistors．It has been found that even with badly unbalanced transistors，common mode signals are not passed by the amplifier．

Fig．5d shows the equivalent circuit when a difference signal is applied to the transformer coupled circuit．The output is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {outs }}=-\frac{\alpha V_{s} R_{d} z_{s} M_{12} s}{\left.\left[r_{0}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)\right]\left[L_{2} s * z_{3}+Z_{1}\right)\left(R_{d}+L_{1} s+H_{13} s\right)-2\left(M_{12} s\right)^{2}\right]} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results show the vast superiority of the system that uses a transformer rather than a choke as a coupling element．The output signal is somewhat smaller in the latter case than it was before，but this drawback is of little consequence when the advantage gained by the loss of common mode signal is considered．

One problem that must be solved in connection with the transe former is whether to use a long time constant or a short time constant． In the following discussion，it will be assumed that inhibit pulses always come in pairs，one negative and one positive．In the case of a long time constant circuit，the effect of these inhibit pulses will not be bothersome（only insofar as the aoco coupling is concerned）．If a short time constant is used，the inhibits will cause trouble。

In order to understand how various time constants affect recovery，a simplified analysis shows how an inductor shunted by re－ sistance reacts to a square current pulse．Fig。 6 shows the output voltage for three different time constants．It is to be noted that the shorter the time constant is made，the larger the negative overshoot is，but the more rapid is the recoveryo However，it is apparent that when the long time constant is used，the circuit is immediately ready to respond almost fully to another positive current pulse．For shorter
time constants，some time must be allowed to elapse before a full output will be obtained．

It is now possible to discuss the various chains of memory outputs that might possibly occur，and to determine how the output is affected by various time constants．In fig。 1，it is observed that the length of time between two successive reads is six microseconds，and that the time between the end of the second inhibit and the beginning of the next read is from 0.5 to 1.0 microseconds．

From Fig。 7 （in which the non－linear operation of the inout difference stage is shown），it is noted that due to the fact that pulses are considerably widened by a short time constant circuit，（Figo7b），it may be difficult to distinguish between a ONE and a ZERO，if the informa－ tion pulse closely follows an inhibit pulse because the information pulses ride on the overshoot of the inhibit pulse．

If a long time constant circuit is used，（Figo7c），the overm shoots are very small，but the circuit must wait a long time in order to completely recover．The pulses are not deformed，but after a long series of unipolarity pulses，the base line shifts in order that the net area may be zero．The inhibits do not cause any additional trouble，because the net area of a pair of bipolarity inhibit pulses is zero．The base line shift is caused solely by the unipolarity ONES．The ONEs are present only for 1 microsecond out of a period of 6 microseconds，and so the base line will move not more than $16.6 \%$ the height of a ONE． The problem caused by this difficulty can be discussed fairly simply． Assume that a long burst of positive ONEs（the finish of which is shown in Fig．7），have been supplied by the memoryo Assume the worst possible case occurs，and that each ONE occupies one microsecond out of the six microsecond cycle time．If all the ONEs were 50 millivolt pulses，the base line at $t$ he transformer will have decayed to -8.3 millivolts．The result is that any positive ONE will have a net effective input amplitude of $50=8.3=41.7$ millivolts。 Any negative 2 ERO ，of 10 millivolts amplitude（for example），will display a net effective input amplitude of $10+8.3=18.3$ millivolts．Thus，the ratio of signal to noise has decayed from

$$
\frac{50}{1}-5.0, \text { to } \frac{41.7}{183}=2.3 .
$$

The remainder of the circuit can be designed so as to compen＊ sate for this annoyance．

Nothing can be done to rectify the damage caused by a short time constant．

In the actual circuit，a compromise solution was reached and an intermediate time constant was used．

## III The Circuit

The complete circuit diagram is shown in Figo Bo Although the input stage was discussed in some detail in the previous section， there are several additional remarks that may be made concerning it．

In the input difference stage，large resistors and large power supplies are used in series with the transistors．The result is that slight differences in transistor characteristics do not noticeably alter the quiescent conditions in the circuit．If it is assumed that the two transistors used in the difference stage are identical，then the quiescent circuit may be represented as in Fig。9。

The equations that describe this system are：
$300=I_{e}(.216+68)+V_{8 s}+\left(I_{1}+I_{e}\right)(33+.216)$ ，and
$150=.160 I_{1}+1.3\left(I_{1}+I_{b}\right)+\left(I_{1}+I_{8}\right)(33+.216)$.
These equations may be rewritten as follows：

$$
\begin{align*}
& 300+V_{c e}=10 I_{0} 4 I_{e}+33.2 I_{1}  \tag{5}\\
& 150-1.3 I_{6}=33.2 I_{e}+34.7 I_{1} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It is reasonable to assume that $V_{c e}$ and $I_{0} 3 I_{b}$ are much smaller than 300 and 150 respectively，and they may be neglected．It is then found that $I_{e}=2.26$ ma and $I_{1}=2.18$ ma，The collector to base voltage in the quiescent state is -1.3 （ $I_{1}+I_{b}$ ）volts．Therefore，this voltage is just about -3 volts，and to a very great extent is independent of the transistor that is used．The only contribution that a transistor makes to its own collectoroto base voltage is its base current through a 1.3 k resistor with its emitter current at 2.26 ma ．Even if $a$ of the transistor is as low as $0_{0} 9$ ，the base current is only about 0.2 ma ，and this is small compared to $I_{1}$ 。

Fig．10，then，shows the quiescent operating point of either of the two input transistors．The collector $\infty$ to $\quad$ base voltage is about -3 volts and the emitter current is about 2.26 ma．A variation in transistor characteristics will only result in a change in the base current，and this results in only al slight variation of collectoreto base voltage．Fig． 10 shows that in the neighborhood of the operating point，the transistor characteristics do not vary when the collector voltage is altered．

If the input transistors are identical，and are operated linearly，it is found that the output current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\text {out }}=-\frac{V_{s} z_{00}\left(\alpha r_{e}-z_{e}\right)}{\left[r_{b}\left(r_{c}-\alpha r_{e}+z_{e}+z_{c}\right)+z_{e}\left(r_{c}+z_{c}\right)\right]\left[z_{5 \omega}+z_{01}\right]} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{s}$ is the input difference signal; $\frac{1}{z_{00}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{0}}+\frac{1}{R_{4}}+\frac{1}{z_{i n}}\right)$
(refer to Figs. Sb and Fd) and

$$
z_{\text {in }}=r_{b}+\frac{z_{c}\left(r_{c}+z_{c}\right)}{r_{c}(1-\infty)+z_{p}+z_{c}}
$$

Ie is the impedance in the emitter of one transistor; $Z_{\mathrm{SW}}$ is the impedance of the sense windings and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the equivalent load that a transistor sees. In order to achieve a maximum output, it is noted from equation (7) that minimizing $Z_{e}$ will be of great benefit. Thus a large capacitor is used to shunt the two 68 k resistors that feed the emitters. Because of the need for large capacity and extremely small physical size, it was necessary to use subminiature aluminum electrolytic capacitors. In order to insure uniform operation for positive and negative pulses, it was necessary to use two $5 \mu f$ capacitors in series, back to back. when the signal is applied, the capacitor is essentially a short circuit, and $Z_{e}$ reduces to $r_{e}$. During the quiescent operation the two emitters are isolated from each other, and the current drawn by one does not affect the other.

The impedance that the capacitors face is about

$$
2\left[r_{e}+\left(1.3+r_{0}\right)(1-\alpha)\right] \quad \text { kilohms. }
$$

It has been found for SBT's that at 2.26 ma of emitter current

$$
r_{e} \cong 11.5 \Omega \text { and that } r_{6} \cong 350 \Omega_{0} \text {. If transistors with } \alpha=0.95
$$ are used, then the capacitors see an impedance of about 188 ohms. The time constant then is about 470 microseconds, and this is relatively long. Even if the transistors have $\mathbb{Q}^{\prime}$ s as high as 0.99, the time constant is $140 \mu \mathrm{sec}_{\text {, }}$ and this is still quite long

The output impedance of a common emitter transistor stage is about 10 kilohms. So far as a difference signal is concerned, there are 2 of these transistors in series, and they are shunted by the two damping resistors in series. Therefore, the output impedance is

$$
\frac{(2 \times 10) \times(2 \times 6.8)}{(2 \times(0)+(2 \times 6.8)}=8.1 \mathrm{k} \Omega
$$

The impedance seen past the secondary is either infinite (when the emitter followers are off); or ( $\beta_{E F}+1$ ) Min Q104 (where $\beta_{E F}=\beta$ for the on emitter follower) when one of the emitter followers is on, and the following stage, QloL is still ins or $\left(\beta_{\text {RF }}{ }^{*}+1\right) 82 \mathrm{kno}$ when one of the emitter followers conducts and Q1O4 is off The first and last regions (those of very high impedance) last for a long time. The middle region, during which the transformer sees the relatively low impedance

$$
\left(\beta_{E F}+1\right) z_{\text {in }}^{\text {ploy }}=\left.\left(\beta_{E F}+1\right)\left(r_{e}+r_{1}(0-\alpha)\right)\right|_{p_{104}}
$$

lasts only for a very short period of time. Hence, for the major portion of the cycle, the impedance seen by the secondary is very much greater than the output impedance and may be neglected.

Fig． 11 shows a 60 cycle hysteresis loop for the transformer core．This core is manufactured by Arnold Engineering Coos and is a plastic encased，permalloy core，number 5340 Sl．The loops shown are a minor loop on which the core operates，and the saturation loop．This data was taken with a single turn on the core．

It was found that no more than about 0.35 ma flowed through the primary of the transformer in the actual circuit when a difference signal was applied（whether the signal was 100 mv or 1.0 volts）。 Since there are 60 turns，the exciting force is 21 maøturns．I have，therefore， assumed that the minor loop on which the core operates has a maximum excitation of about 21 ma－turns．The corresponding peak flux was 33.3 Maxwells．Because of the fact that the remanent flux is $30 \%$ of the maximum flux，the linearized inductance of the coil with a single turn is

$$
L=\frac{1.3 \phi_{m}}{I} \times 10^{-8}=\frac{1.3 \times 33.3 \times 10^{-8}}{21 \times 10^{-3}}=20.6 \mu \mathrm{~h}
$$

When 60 turns are used ${ }_{3}$ as in the sense amplifier，the inductance is $(60)^{2}(20.6) \mu h=74 \mathrm{mh}$ ．

The transformer time constant is $\frac{L}{R}=\frac{74}{8.1} \mu \mathrm{sec}=9.2 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ．This time is considerably shorter than that of the capacitor circuit，and so the transformer time constant controls the circuit behavior．Apparently from the tests that have been run on this circuit thus far，the time con－ stant is long enough to assure satisfactory performance．

A decrease in the number of turns on the transformer would result in several disadvantages．The signals coupled through to the remainder of the amplifier would be decreased，due to the lessening of the magnetizing inductance．Furthermore，the time constant would be decreased，and this is highly undesirable。

An increase in the number of turns apparently does not aid the circuit operation materially．This may be because of the correso ponding increase in wiring capacity shunting the primary and the secondary。

The operation of the remainder of the circuit is fairly straight－forwardo The eight emitter followers（Q3 and Q4），whose bases are connected to the transformers（Figo8）are biased slightly off．An applied difference signal on one of the four sensing windings results in the application of a pulse to one of the transformers．The base of one of its two transistors rises，and it is further cutcoff。 However， the other transistor has its base lowered，and if the signal is large enough，this transistor is turned on．The bias level can be adjusted by varying the 50 k pot．All eight emitters fall with the one that has been switched．It is seen，therefore，that the memory output that controls the sense amplifier behavior is the largest output，and conseo quently a ONE will control the sense amplifier even if it is mixed with low level noise。

In this one section of the circuit, the operations of rectifis cation, mixing and slicing have been accomplished. It is found that the sense amplifier output completely switches when the memory plane output varies by l. 1 millivolts. Below this level, there is no output» above it, a full 10 ma pulse.

The transistor Q104 is normally on. When one of the emitter followers is switched on, Q104 goes off. Its collector falls towards -30 , turning Q103, which is normally held off, to the saturated state. Its collector rises, turning Q102 and Q100 offo Q102 then saturates Q101. With Q100 off, and Q101 saturated, the circuit output drops to -3 volts.

When the pulse at the input has ended, the cycle is reversed $\infty$ Q104 again goes on turning Q103 offo Q102 and Q100 are saturated; Q101 is shut off, and the output rises to ground potential.

Inhibit pulses turn out no larger than ONEs because of the fact that signals are limited by saturation and cutoff.

The resistors in this section of the circuit are chosen so that with minimum acceptable transistors and maximum allowable variation in the undesirable direction of resistance values, the circuit continues to operate satisfactorily。

The operation of the cable driver (consisting of transistors Q100 and Q101) deserves some comment. The cable driver consists of these two transistors connected in series, and the output is taken from the junction of the emitter of Q101 and the collector of Q100. Under standby conditions Q100 is saturated and Q101 is off. The output impedance is very low, and the output level is at ground potential. A pulse at the input to the sense amplifier turns Q100 off, but saturates Q101. (The circuit parameters are adjusted so that when an intermediate sized signal is applied, both Q100 and Q101 are off. If this were not done, it would be possible to saturate both transistors simultaneously, and this would result in the destruction of at least one of the transistors.) Consequently, the output drops to -3 volts , and the output impedance remains at a very low level.

The load that is to be driven is about 50 feet of 1600 ohm cable. Because it is not feasible to terminate the cable at the far end, it is necessary to do so at the driving end. Therefore, a 130 ohm resistor is placed in series with the load and the output impedance is probably very close to 160 ohms. The figure of 130 ohms was determined by trying various values of terminations, and choosing that which gave the most satisfactory results.

## IV Results

There is, in this circuit, one result that is both theoretically and actually somewhat disturbingo This is the fact that if the different sensing amplifier input channels (of which there are four per memory plane) are not matched, then their gain characteristics will not be identical. There is only one variable element per sense amplifier a the clipping level potentiometer, Rll8 (Fig.8), and this cannot possibly be adjusted to satisfy all channels. Suppose the gain of channel A is greater than the gain of channel $B_{9}$ and RII8 is set to just pass a 30 millivolt signal applied to channel A. It may then be found that per haps a 35 mv signal in channel B will be blocked, because it is not amplified as strongly as a signal in A.

In the circuit, this is found to be the case, but it is possible to correct this mistake to a large extent.

In an effort to limit the differences between the various stages, $1 \%$ resistors are used exclusively in all channels. With this restriction in force, it is found that the gain of a channel depends upon the characteristics of the transistors and of the transformer usedo If a detailed examination was made, it would probably be discovered that the results also depend on the individual resistors in the circuit.

In almost every case of excessive unbalance detected so far, it has been possible to satisfactorily equalize channels by juggling transformers - that is, place strong transformers in weak channels, and vice versa.

The variation of results as the transistors of an input stage are varied, is clearly shown in the following tables of data. In each case the negative voltage signal at the secondary of the transformers is measured for a 50 mv difference input signal. By connecting all four channels in parallel at their inputs, it is ascertained that the same voltage signal is applied to each. Three runs were takeno Sensing amplifier number 9 was used. The results are shown in Table lo


These three runs should not be compared to each other numerically because of the fact that the data were not taken under the same conditions ( $e_{\circ} g$ 。 the 150 mvil input in run I may not have been the same size as the " 50 mv input in run 3)。 But it is valid, and very interesting, to compare the magnitude obtained within the various runs.

Run 1 demonstrates the fact that the gain of a channel does not vary linearly with the transistor $\alpha^{l} s_{0}$. For instance, channel 2
has the strongest transistors, and yet it is the weakest channel; but channel 4 , the strongest, has a pair of intermediate gain transistors. It is also noted that channels $I_{,} 3$ and 4 are about equivalent.

Run 2 differs from the first run in that channel 3 now has very low a transistors and channel 4 has very high a transistorso It is noted that 3 is weakened just a bit relative to 4 。 But the really interesting fact is that 4 is weakened relative to $l_{0}$ This tends to indicate that channel 4 has been significantly weakened when its transisw tors were replaced by supposedly better transistors. Channel 2 is still the weakest by a good margin.

Run 3 is the same as the second run, except that the tran sistors in channels 1 and 2 have been interchanged. The result is that the gain of channel 1 has fallen below that of channel 2. This virtually assures us that although transistors A75 and A81 have very high $\alpha$ ? $s_{9}$ they are poorer than Al08 and A109. It also proves that the gain of a channel depends to a large extent upon all of the characteristics of the transistors used, and not merely $\alpha_{9}$ as shown in equation (4) That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {out }}=-Z \frac{\alpha V_{s}}{r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is apparent, therefore that not only $\alpha_{9}$ but $r_{e}$ and $r_{b}$ are very important parameters in determining the voltage gain of a common emitter transistor amplifier.

Fig. 12 shows the negative pulses at the transformer secondaries corresponding to the conditions of Run 1 . This demonstrates that channels $I_{3} 3$ and 4 are about equal, while channel 2 is relatively weak.

In passing, it should be pointed out that the pulse size at these points is completely independent of the setting of the clipping level potentiometer. In Fig. 13, the effect of the different gains upon the output pulse is showno It is observed that the pulse widths at the base of the outputsare as follows:

| Channel 1 | $0.95 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Channel 2 | $0.90 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Channel 3 | $0.99 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Channel 4 | $0.96 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |

Run 1 yielded the most widely divergent results, and the complete data from this run is plotted in Figo l4. From the slopes of these linear curves (they are linear because the signals are not large enough to drive the transistors into non-linear operation), it is obo served that the difference in gains between the extreme cases is about $20 \%$.

When the results shown in Figo 12, 13, and 14 are observed it is realized that great differences in channel gains do not yield very widely diverging results at the outputs.

It has been found for this circuit that the bias at the emitters of the emitter follawers is several hundred millivolts positive. It is observed to a very close approximation, that when the doco level of the base voltage drops to ground potential, the corresponding transistor will begin to conduct. Consequently, by use of Figo 14 。 it is possible to set the clipping level to any predetermined input level by adjusting RII8 to give the proper voltage at the bases of the emitter followers. Because of the different gains achieved in different channels, it will be necessary to accept a compromise solution, however. For instance, assume that it is desired to set the clipping level at $30 \mathrm{mvo} \mathrm{Figol4}$ shows that, on the average a negative 750 mv pulse is obtained at the transformer secondary when a difference signal of 30 mv is applied at the input. Therefore, the bias point should be adjusted to +750 mv . It will then be found that channel 2 will clip at $34 \mathrm{mv}_{3}$ and that channel 4 will clip at 28 mv 。

An examination of the transfer characteristics of the come plete sensing amplifier (from difference input signal to the output across a $160 \Omega$ terminating resistor) leads to the data tabulated below for a nominal clipping level of 30 mv o

This data is for channel 3 of sensing amplifier number 9o The input transistors are A74 and Al07.

TABLE 2

| Difference Input Signal mv | Output <br> Voltage <br> Volts | Output <br> Pulse <br> Width <br> $\mu s e c$ | Negative Signal at Transformer <br> Secondary Volts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 1.55 | 0.95 | $\infty$ |
| 90 | 1.55 | 0.92 | $\infty$ |
| 80 | 1.55 | 0.86 | $\infty$ |
| 70 | 1.55 | 0.82 | $\infty$ |
| 60 | 1.55 | 0.77 | $\infty$ |
| 50 | 1.55 | 0.67 | $\infty$ |
| 40 | 1.55 | 0.50 | $\cdots$ |
| 30* | 1.55 | 0.18 | 0.89 |
| 300 | 0 | 0 | 0.86 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | - |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |

Channel 3 is about the same as channel 4 (Fig.12 and 13) and so the gain from input to transformer secondary is about 27. Thus, in the
active region（around 30 mv of input），the gain of the complete amplifier $\frac{\Delta V_{\text {out }}}{\Delta V_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\Delta V_{\text {transebimer secondary }}}{\Delta V_{\text {inh }}} \times \frac{\Delta V_{\text {ast }}}{\Delta V_{\text {trans sec }}}=27 \times \frac{1.55}{.03}=1400_{0}$
That is，in the active region，the amplifier voltage gain is about 1400。 Thus，in order to switch the amplifier from no output to full 1.55 volt output，a change of about l．I millivolts at the input is required．

These data are plotted in Figol5o It is noted，that although the pulse height experiences a very sharp cutoff，the output pulse width depends upon the input signal to a very large extent．（The width of the input pulse remains fixed at about loOusec）．The reason for this is obvious：as the pulse height is reduced，clipping occurs at higher， and narrower points on the input waveform。

Figures 16 show the pulses obtained at various places in the sensing amplifier when a 50 mv ONE is applied to the input，and Figures 17 show the pulses at the same points when a 20 mv ZERO is applied．It should be noted that in each of these cases，the cycle time is about $4.5 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ （the length of time between the beginning of the first ONE，and the beginning of the second ONE）。

At the input（Fig．16A and B），the 0nys are about 50 mv high and the inhibit pulses are a bout 800 mv 。 However，due to the non－linear characterm istic of the difference stage，the ONE is amplified more strongly than the inhibit pulse，and the difference signal at the transformer primary consists of $2-\mathrm{volt} \mathrm{ONEs}_{\alpha}$ and 6 wolt inhibits（Figol60）。 The transformer is a 2 to 1 step down，and consequently the signals at either side of the transformer secondary are about 1 volt and 3 volts，respectively （Figol6D）．（It should be noted that the negative inhibit pulse cannot go far below ground because of the clamping action of the forward biased emitter follower transistor．This accounts for the peculiar shape of ． the large negative pulse．）

At the emitter follower output（Figo16E），all pulses are negative． Some portion of the signal at the transformer is lost because the emitter followers are biased off．Hence the ratio of inhibit pulse to ONE signal has been increased．But，as is shown in Figo 17E ${ }_{3}$ this operation allows for the discrimination against ZERO so

It is noted that all outputs of Q104（Figol6F）a ONEs and inhibits alike $\infty$ are now the same size．This is caused by the fact that Q104 is turned off even by the small ONE pulse．The notches that are found in this waveform at a level of about $+0.22-0.3 \cong-0.1$ volts are caused by the saturation of Q103，the following stage．The output of Q104 is clamped at about 0004 volts by the conducting base to emitter diode of Q103．It is possible for the base to go more negative than the emitter because it is connected directly to the -30 volt supply．

The output of Q103 (Fig.16G) is basically the same as the output of Q104, except that it is greatly amplified, and it is inverted. The pulses are clamped at about ground because when Q103 is saturated its collector to emitter voltage is very low, the input to Q102 (Fig. 16H) is slightly peaked at its front edge, because the coupling capacitor, Cl07, is somewhat too large. At any rate, these pulses are large enough to shut Q102 off.

The input to Q100 (Figo16I) has a slow rise, because its coupling capacitor, ClOl is too small. Although this rise time can be greatly improved by adding $100 \mu \mu \mathrm{f}$ to ClOI , the affect on the output is unnoticeable. The reason is that Q100 is turned off long before the slow rise takes effect.

The output of Q102 (Figol6J) (which is fed directly to Q1OL) is a negative-going square wave that turns Q101 full ono The pulse is able to sink lower than -3 volts because the emitter of Ql0l falls to - 3 volts, and the base is a little negative relative to the emitter.

The sensing amplifier output (Figol6K)shows the typical wello defined negative ogoing l. 55 volt, loma pulses that are approximately the same shape for all input pulses that exceed the switching thresholdo

Figures 17 show the results obtained when a signal that is below the cutoff level is applied. It is noted that the inhibits appear at the output just as they did in the previous case, but that the inform mation signals are blocked.

Fig. 18 demonstrates that the sensing amplifier delay is about Q. $3 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ as measured from the peak of the input pulse to the center point of the output pulse. This result is true, no matter where the clipping level is set, and it is independent of the input pulse amplitude of course.

Fig. 19 traces chains of some 1430 unipolarity pulses through the amplifier. These pulses are spaced $6 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ aparto. The points of observation are the same as those used for Figs. 16 and 17。 It should be noted that the only spot at which there is any prf sensitivity is at the input to the amplifier. The pulse generator is prf sensitive; the sense amplifier obviously is not sensitive at any spoto Figol9K demonstrates the almost negligible voltage buildup across the emitter. coupling capacitors of the difference stage。

The fact that no cormon mode signal should appear at the out put was discussed earlier in this note. Figo 20 shows the results obtained when a large common signal is applied to the amplifier. With no load, the signal was $\pm 65$ volts; the sensing amplifier cut this signal to $\pm 30$ volts. There is no output from the amplifier due to either the positive or negative going common signal. The disturbances that are seen at this output (Figo $20 B$, 20D) are caused by pick $-u p$ due to radiation; it is not an output that feeds through the amplifier in the
normal manner．This is proven by the $f$ act that the output obtained for positive and negative common signals are obviously merely the negatives of each other，and that the output amplitude varies directly with the input amplitude．It was found that even if the input transistors were very badly mis $\mathrm{match}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{9}$ the results are the same．Thus，it would seem as though this amplifier is capable of eliminating conmon mode signals to a very large extent．

Some time ago D．Ho EIIIs took data concerning the successful operation of a $256 \times 256$ magnetic core memory plane as a function of strobe time and bias voltage of the sensing amplifier．This is plotted in Fig．21．It is to be noted that area of safe and successful operation is the innermost enclosed area（shaded）．A ONE fails when the bias is so large that the ONE signal is not passed by the amplifier．A ZERO fails when the bias is so low that the ZERO signal is passed by the amplifier．

A large amount of data has now been accumulated using 20 memory planes and 20 amplifiers．The results，so far as the amplifiers are concerned，have been very gratifying．

The graphs，Fig． 22 through 25，show marginal checking data for the sensing amplifier when it drives a load of 50 feet of 160 ohm cable， terminated by 2.2 kilohms in parallel with $47 \mu \mu f$ ．The shaded areas show the regions of safe operationo．If the supply voltages stray beyond the boundaries shown，it may be expected that either：（1）ZEROs will be passed as ONEs because the amplifier is always in the＂switched＂ condition；or（2） 40 mv ONEs will be blocked because they are not strong enough to switch the amplifier．

Fig． 22 shows the plot of the voltage applied to resistor Rlll as a function of the voltage applied to the resistors R105 and R107。

Fig． 23 shows the variation of the voltage applied to R109 as a function of the voltage applied to R105，R107 and R111．

Fig． 24 shows the variation of the voltage applied to R1Ol as a function of the voltage applied to R105，RIO7 and Rlll。

Fig． 25 shows the variation of the voltage applied to R108 as a function of the voltage applied to R105，R107 and R1ll。

In each of these cases a variation of $30 \%$ in any voltage cannot possibly cause a failure．

In the actual circuit there are two $\$ 150$ marginal check voltages． One is applied to R110 and the other to RIO4（these resistors are merely decoupling resistors）．The－30 volt marginal checking line is applied solely to R109．（See Figo8）The resistor RlOL is connected directly to resistors $\mathrm{RlO5}, \mathrm{RlO7}$ and Rlll．Hence，by varying the voltage to R104 and that to R109，a plot that is similar to Figo 23 is obtainedo

The other marginal checking voltages (that applied to R110) can be used in two ways: (1) it can be lowered or raised in order to insure that all clipping level potentiometers (RII8 and R138) are set to the same values and (2) it can be used to vary the clipping levels of all amplifiers simultaneously in order to determine which amplifier or plane is weakest.

It is now intended that this circuit will be used as the sensing amplifier in the TDCM. Because this memory is only 64 X 64 s it will only be necessary to employ one input channel. This will ease the restrictions on uniformity of components in the difference stage. There is no diffic cully expected with this circuit. There are also several changes of components in the new circuit.
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FIG.I
DRIVING CURRENTS AND OUTPUT PULSES FOR MEMORY PLANES

a) PLANE CONNECTED WITH SINGLE SENSE WINDING AND
SINGLE DIGIT WINDING

b). METHOD OF CONNECTING FROM SENSE WINDINGS - $1,2,3$, AND 4 SO AS TO MINIMIZE NOISE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PARTIALLY SELECTED CORES
$\xrightarrow{\frac{I}{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline A & A & A & A \\ \hline B & B & B & B \\ \hline C & C & C & C \\ \hline D & D & D & D \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$
C) METHOD OF CONNECTING FOUR DIGIT WINDINGS - A, B, C AND D.

FIG. 2
$256 \times 256$ PLANE BROKEN INTO $1664 \times 64$ MODULES, WITH SENSING WINDING AND DIGIT PLANE WINDING CONNECTED IN VARIOUS WAYS.


FIG. 3

## TWO METHODS OF COUPLING FROM INPUT DIFFERENGE

 AMPLIFIER TO REMAINDER OF CIRCUIT

d. TRANSFORMER COUPLING, DIFFERENCE SIGNAL

LINEARIZED and balanced equivalent circuits for fig. 3


$$
\text { FIG } 6
$$

OUTPUTS OBTAINED FOR VARIOUS TIME CONSTANTS WHEN GURRENT SOURCE FEEDS PARALLEL RL GIRCUIT


FIG. 7 :
EFFCTS CAUSED BY A CHAIN OF UNIPOLARITY (POSITIVE) ONE's, INTERSPERSED WITH INHIBIT PULSES. THESE SHOW DIFFICULTIES



FIG. 9
D.C. OPERATION OF DIFFERENCE STAGE, ASSUMING THAT INPUT TRANSISTORS ARE IDENTICAL

TRANSISTOR \#48 BY M.PETERSON-DEC. 1954


$$
\text { FIG. } 10
$$

## OPERATING POINT

OF DIFFERENCE STAGE TRANSISTORS AT $Q$
TYPICAL SET CHARACTERISTICS GROUNDED BASE

$$
I_{c} \text { vs } E_{c}
$$



```
                                    DRIVING CURRENT = 21ma
                                    MAXIMUM FLUX = 33.3 maxwells
```



## SATURATION LOOP

 DRIVING CURRENT $=500 \mathrm{ma}$ SATURATION FLUX $=560$ maxwellsFIG. 11
60 CYCLE HYSTERESIS LOOP OF TRANSFORMER CORE PLASTIC ENCASED, PERMALLOY CORE, NUMBER 5340-SI MANUFACTURED BY ARNOLD ENGINEERING CO.

ONE TURN $(N=1)$ IS USED.

CHANNEL 2 A 81

CHANNEL $3 \quad$ A 74
0.969

CHANNEL AlO7
0.968

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { CHANNEL } 4 & \text { A73 } & 0.956 \\ & \text { A82 } & 0.962\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { CHANNEL } 4 & A 73 & 0.956 \\ & A 82 & 0.962\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { CHANNEL } 4 & A 73 & 0.956 \\ & A 82 & 0.962\end{array}$


COMPOSITE OF
FOUR CHANNELS


FIG 12
NEGATIVE PULSES AT TRANSFORMER SECONDARIES WHEN ALL INPUTS ARE CONNECTED IN PARALLEL. THIS SHOWS EFFECT OF DIFFERENT GAINS IN VARIOUS CHANNELS UPON THE SIZES OF PULSES APPLIED TO EMITTER FOLLOWERS. SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9


FIG. 13
OUTPUT PULSES FROM DIFFERENT CHANNELS OF
SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9 FOR 50 mV INPUT PULSES.
THIS © DEMONSTRATES THE EFFECT OF UNEQUAL GAINS IN DIFFERENT CHANNELS. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG 14
NEGATIVE SIGNAL AT TRANSFORMER SECONDARY AS A FUNCTION OF DIFFERENCE INPUT SIGNAL FOR THE DATA OFRUN I
SENSING AMPLIFIER\#9



TRACE SIGNAL THROUGH CIRCUIT.
INPUT ONE IS 50 mV IN AMPLITUDE. CLIPPING LEVEL IS SET AT 30 mV . SENSE AMPLIFIER 9 , CHANNEL 3. CYCLE TIME $\approx 4.5 \mu$ SEC. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$.


FIG. 17
TRACE SIGNAL THROUGH CIRCUIT,
INPUT ZERO IS 20 mV IN AMPLITUDE. CLIPPING LEVEL IS SET AT 30 mV . SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CYCLE TIME $\cong 4.5 \mu$ SEC. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG 18

## THE DELAY OF A PULSE PASSING THROUGH THE SENSING AMPLIFIER <br> SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$. DELAY $\cong 0.3 \mu$ SEC. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$



a) POSITIVE COMMON MODE INPUT SIGNAL

b) OUTPUT OF CHANNEL 3

c) NEGATIVE COMMON MODE INPUT SIGNAL

d) OUTPUT OF CHANNEL 3


FIG. 20
EFFECT OF LARGE COMMON MODE SIGNAL UPON THE SENSING AMPLIFIER OUTPUT AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG. 21
MARGINS OF SENSING AMPLIFIER \#3 WHEN TESTED WITH $256 \times 256$ MAGNETIC CORE MEMORY


FIG. 22
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIII vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5 AND RIO7. SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.


FIG. 23
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO9 vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIO7, RIII. SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.


FIG. 24
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIOI vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIO7, RIII.

SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.
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Abstract: A transistorized sensing amplifier, suitable for use in conjunction with a $256 \times 256$ magnetic core memory plane has been designed. This plane will be equipped with four sensing windings, each of which feeds an independent amplifier input. The circuit rectifies the bipolarity pulses, and mixes the four inputs so that there is only one output per plane. The output is a negative 10 ma pulse that feeds a $160 \Omega$ cable 。
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## I Introduction:

Ever since the introduction of magnetic core memory devices to the digital computers at M.I.T. - Whirlwind I and MTC - the problem of supplying the information held by this memory to the computer has not been solved to everyone's satisfaction, 1, $2,3,4,5$

It has been found impossible to ground either end of the sensing winding because of the presence of common mode signals. 3 This has led to the necessity for using a difference amplifier inputo

The memory plane may display a variety of undesirable output pulses (Figol) in addition to the signal it is supposed to amplifyo These signals may be listed:
a. ONE is the signal that is to be amplified and fed to the computer. It is nominally 50 millivolts high and 1 microsecond wide in the $256 \times 256$ memory.
b. ZERO is a signal that should not be present in the output. The absense of an output from the sense amplifier at the time of interrogation is taken to be ZERO. When a single core holding a ZERO is selected, its output is very small. However, in an $n \times n$ memory plane, there are 2 ( $\mathrm{n}-1$ ) partially selected cores and the noises produced by these cores may combine with the output of the selected core to produce a substantial output pulse. It is necess ary that the sense amplifier be able to distinguish be tween the ONE and ZERO outputs, either in time or amplitude。

1. Laspina, CoA. "Basic Circuits - Sensing Amplifiers", MoI. T. Digital Computer Laboratory Memorandum, M - 1969, 10 April, 1953.
2. Laspina, C A. Basic Circuits - Sensing Amplifier, Prelime inary Specifications, $\mathrm{PB} \#_{20}{ }^{(1)}$, M.I.T。 Digital Computer Laboratory Memorandum, $\mathrm{M}=2274,3 \mathrm{July}$, 1953.
3. Sarles, FoW.Jr. "A Transistorized Amplifier - Discriminator for Core Memory Output Sensingif, SoMoThesis Proposal, MoI. To Lincoln Laboratory Memorandum 6M-3417, 7 March 1955.
4. Sarles, FoWo Jr. A Transistorized AmplifieroDiscriminator for Core Memory Output Sensing ${ }^{\text {pl }}$, SoM.Thesis, M. I. To Department of Electrical Engineering, May 1955.
5. Zopatti,R.C. Private Communications
c. Inhibit Noise $-\infty$ is caused when the digit plane winding is pulsed during the writing of a ZERO. When the inhibit current is applied, every core in the plane is partially selected. It has been found that in the worst case, for a $64 \times 64$ plane, that the signal is about 1 volt in amplitude. The amplifier must not be so disturbed by this large pulse that it is unable to respond correctly to a signal that is applied about 1.0 microsecond following the completion of the inhibit.
d. Common Mode Signals are probably caused by capacitive coupling between the drive lines and the sensing windingo It is expected that these signals (which raise both ends of the sense winding together) may be as large as 10 volts per $64 \times 64$ plane. These common signals must be totally rejected.

In connection with items $c$ and $d$ above, reference was made to disturbances caused by a single 64 X 64 plane (or module). If the 256 X 256 plane was treated as a unit (with one sensing winding and one digit plane winding), then the noise pulses would be greatly increased. Figo. 2a demonstrates that during the read operation $2(n-1)=2(256-1)=510$ cores receive half select excitations. In a 64 X 64 plane, only $2(64-1)=126$ cores are disturbed during read. It is seen, then, that if a single sense winding is used for the large plane, the noise is increased by a factor of 4.05 . It is essential, therefore, that the sense winding be split so that a minimum of noise due to partial selects is found on any one sensing winding. If the winding is distributed as shown in Fig. 2b (no sense winding passes through any two modules that are on the same vertical or horizontal line), this condition will be met, and a maximum of 128 partially selected cores will be sensed by any one sense winding. Furthermore, due to the fact that the sensing winding acts as a delay line, shortening the length of it, cuts the delay, and restricts the time interval during which an information pulse may arrive。

Experiments have demonstrated that it is not possible to use a single digit plane winding per plane. There are to be four of these windings per plane, and they will be connected as shown in Figo2c. The memory will be operated in a manner that excites only the required digit winding when a ZERO is to be written. The other three digit drivers will be held offo. The primary reason for this is to cut the ringing on the $\mathbb{X}$ and $Y$ driving lines, and on the sensing windings due to capacitive coupling between these leads and the inhibit leads. This permits a large reduction in the memory cycle time (to less than $6 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ )。 of course, this operation aids the sensing amplifier because of the reduction in the sizes of the inhibit pulses to those seen by $64 \times 64$ planes.

It is found that a memory plane can put out a long chain of unipolarity pulses, followed immediately by pulses of the opposite
polarity. It is essential that the amplifier not be so prf sensitive that these kinds of pulse sequences cause a misreading of information.

## II Preliminary Considerations

One of the major requirements of the sensing amplifier is speed. It must be able to respond quickly and to recover quickly. These conditions lead to the necessity for using fast transistors, and Philco Surface Barriers (SBTrs) - which are used throughout the TX $\infty 0$ computer $\infty$ are the best commercially available transistors in this respect.

If the amplifier is to perform satisfactorily, it is necessary that it be capable of recovery from the peculiar signals (mentioned above) that may be applied to it. Consequently, the sensing amplifier has about 1 microsecond in which to recover from a four volt inhibit pulse, and it must not be allowed to give false outputs when it is excited by a string of unipolarity pulses. Actually, a direct coupled amplifier would be ideal for this particular application. But this is not possible in the present application for reasons to be discussed below.

In order to permit the differen amplifier to operate most satisfactorily, it is necessary to have the circuit impedances adjusted in an attempt to block common si gnals and to aid difference signals. These ideals can be realized by use of either choke or transformer coupling, if the coil polarities are as shown in Fig。3。

The circuit pictured in Figo 32 works well in all respects save one. It fails to effectively eliminatethe common mode signal. on the other hand, the circuit pictured in Fig. 3 b behaves as well as that which is choke coupled, and it also eliminates common mode signals to a large extent.

For the best results the choke, or transformer, should be wound as shown in Fig. 4. If the choke is used, windings $c$ and $d$ are eliminated. In order to achieve the proper coil polarities, leads 2 and 3 are connected to form the center tap of the primaryo If the coupling element is a transformer, $1 e a d s 6$ and 7 are also joined to form the secondary center tap.

The two circuits of Fig. 3 may be analyzed, approximately, by drawing the simplified linearized equivalent circuits. These are shown in Fig. 5. In these equivalent circuits the following assumptions are made:
1.) Both halves of circuits are identically balanceds
2.) Grounded base current gain of each transistor is $\alpha_{\&}$
3.) Base resistance is rb :
4.) Emitter resistance is $r_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\text {B }}$
5.) Collector resistance is infinite:
6.) $\mathrm{Z}_{5}$ is a nonlinear impedance such as a diode - low in one direction and high in the other directions
7.) Mutual coupling between primary windingsis $M_{1}$;
8.) Mutual coupling between secondary windings is $\mathrm{M}_{2}$;
9.) Mutual coupling between any primary winding and any secondary winding is $\mathrm{M}_{12}$ :
10.) Sensing winding impedance is negligible

Fig. Sa shows the equivalent circuit for the choke coupled case when a common mode signal $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ is applied. The output voltage is

$$
V_{\text {out }}^{c m}=-\frac{2 \propto V_{c m} z_{6} R_{d}\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right)_{s}}{\left[R_{d}+z_{s}+2 z_{b}\right]\left[R_{p}+\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right) s\right]\left[R_{3}+r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)\right]}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cong-\frac{2 \alpha V_{c m} Z_{6} R_{d}\left(L_{0}-M_{1}\right) s}{R_{3}\left[R_{d}+z_{s}+2 Z_{6}\right]\left[R_{p}+\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right) s\right]} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
R_{p}=\frac{R_{d}\left(z_{5}+2 z_{6}\right)}{R_{d}+z_{5}+2 z_{6}}
$$

It is observed that the only way in which the common mode signal can be eliminated is to have $M_{1}=I_{1}$ 。 In actual practice this is not possible, and so one must be content to allow some common mode to pass. Some help is achieved by allowing $R_{3}$ to be very large. However, there is a limit to this, for the supply voltage must be increased proportionally.

Fig. Sb shows the equivalent circuit that is presented to a difference signal. It is assumed that the capacitor across the resistorsig $R_{3}$ effectively shorts the emitters together.

It is found that
in which

$$
V_{\text {outs }}=-\frac{\alpha V_{s} R_{d} Z_{6}\left[R_{d}+\left(L_{1}-M_{1}\right) s\right]\left[G_{1}+M_{1}\right] s}{2\left[r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)\right]\left[R_{d}+Z_{5}+Z_{d}\right]\left[\left(R_{L}+L_{1} s\right)\left(R_{d+}+L_{1} s\right)-\left(M_{1} s\right)\right]^{2}}
$$

$$
R_{L}=\frac{R_{d}\left(z_{5}+z_{6}\right)}{R_{d}+z_{5}+z_{6}}
$$

The gain in this circuit is greater for the difference signal than it is for the common signal, but one cannot assume that the common signal is negligible

Fig. $5 c$ shows the equivalent circuit for a common mode signal in a transformer coupled circuit. The output is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Vout cm }=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that the net current in the primaries of the transformer is zero, and there can be no signal coupled through to the secondary. In actual practice, however, it is found that some signal may be transmitted by capacitive coupling between the leads of the primaries and secondaries. In order to minimize this capacity, the primaries and secondaries are isolated from each other to as great an extent as possible, as shown in Fig. Lo Another manner in which common mode may get through is if the circuit elements are not balanced. One percent resistors are used in attempt to minimize this. However, no attempt will be made to balance the transistors. It has been found that even with badly unbalanced transistors, common mode signals are not passed by the amplifier.

Fig. Sd shows the equivalent circuit when a difference signal is applied to the transformer coupled circuit. The output is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {outs }}=-\frac{\alpha V_{s} R_{d} z_{b} M_{12} s}{\left.\left[r_{0}+V_{b}(1-\alpha)\right]\left[H_{2} s w z_{2}+z_{0}\right)\left(R_{d}+4 s+M_{4} s\right)-2\left(M_{23} s\right)^{2}\right]} \text {. } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results show the vast superiority of the system that uses a transformer rather than a choke as a coupling element. The output signal is somewhat smaller in the latter case than it was before, but this drawback is of little consequence when the advantage gained by the loss of common mode signal is considered.

One problem that must be solved in connection with the trans former is whether to use a long time constant or a short time constant. In the following discussion, it will be assumed that inhibit pulses always come in pairs, one negative and one positive. In the case of a long time constant circuit, the effect of these inhibit pulses will not be bothersome (only insofar as the amoco coupling is concerned). If a short time constant is used, the inhibits will cause trouble

In order to understand how various time constants affect recovery, a simplified analysis shows how an inductor shunted by rec sistance reacts to a square current pulse Fig。 6 shows the output voltage for three different time constants. It is to be noted that the shorter the time constant is made, the larger the negative overshoot is, but the more rapid is the recovery. However, it is apparent that when the long time constant is used, the circuit is immediately ready to respond almost fully to another positive current pulse. For shorter
time constants, some time must-be allowed to elapse before a full output will be obtained.

It is now possible to discuss the various chains of memory outputs that might possibly occur, and to determine how the output is affected by various time constants. In fig。 $1_{\text {, }}$ it is observed that the length of time between two successive reads is six microseconds, and that the time between the end of the second inhibit and the beginning of the next read is from 0.5 to 1.0 microseconds.

From Fig. 7 (in which the non-linear operation of the innut difference stage is shown), it is noted that due to the fact that pulses are considerably widened by a short time constant circuit, (Figo7b), it may be difficult to distinguish between a ONE and a $\mathrm{ZERO}_{2}$ if the information pulse closely follows an inhibit pulse because the information pulses ride on the overshoot of the inhibit pulse.

If a long time constant circuit is used, (Figo7c), the overo shoots are very small, but the circuit must wait a long time in order to completely recover. The pulses are not deformed, but after a long series of unipolarity pulses, the base line shifts in order that the net area may be zero. The inhibits do not cause any additional trouble ${ }_{3}$ because the net area of a pair of bipolarity inhibit pulses is zero. The base line shift is caused solely by the unipolarity ONEs. The ONEs are present only for 1 microsecond out of a period of 6 microseconds, and so the base line will move not more than $16.6 \%$ the height of a ONE. The problem caused by this difficulty can be discussed fairly simply. Assume that a long burst of positive ONEs (the finish of which is shown in Figo 7), have been supplied by the memoryo Assume the worst possible case occurs, and that each ONE occupies one microsecond out of the six microsecond cycle time. If all the ONEs were 50 millivolt pulses, the base line at $t$ he transformer will have decayed to $=8.3$ millivolts. The result is that any positive ONE will have a net effective input amplitude of $50=8.3=41.7$ millivolts。 Any negative 2 ERRO of 10 millivolts amplitude (for example), will display a net effective input amplitude of $10+8.3=18.3$ millivolts. Thus, the ratio of signal to noise has decayed from

$$
\frac{50}{16}=5.0+\frac{41.6}{183}=2.3
$$

The remainder of the circuit can be designed so as to compeno sate for this annoyance.

Nothing can be done to rectify the damage caused by a short time constant.

In the actual circuit, a compromise solution was reached and an intermediate time constant was used.

## III The Circuit

The complete circuit diagram is shown in Figo 8. Although the input stage was discussed in some detail in the previous section, there are several additional remarks that may be made concerning it.

In the input difference stage, large resistors and large power supplies are used in series with the transistors. The result is that slight differences in transistor characteristics do not noticeably alter the quiescent conditions in the circuit. If it is assumed that the two transistors used in the difference stage are identical, then the quiescent circuit may be represented as in Figo 9o

The equations that describe this system are:
$300=I_{e}(.216+68)+\operatorname{Vec}+\left(I_{1}+I_{e}\right)(33+.218)$, and
$150=.160 I_{1}+1.3\left(I_{1}+I_{b}\right)+\left(I_{1}+I_{8}\right)(33+.216)$.
These equations may be rewritten as follows:
$300+V_{c e}=10 I_{0} 4 I_{e}+33.2 I_{1}$
$150-1.3 I_{6}=33.2 I_{t}+34.7 I_{1}$.
It is reasonable to assume that $\nabla_{c e}$ and $I_{0} 3 I_{b}$ are much smaller than 300 and 150 respectively, and they may be neglected. It is then found that $I_{e}=2.26 \mathrm{ma}$ and $I_{1}=2.18$ mac. The collector to base voltage in the quiescent state is $=I_{0} 3$ ( $I_{1}+I_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) voltso. Therefore, this voltage is just about -3 volts, and to a very great extent is independent of the transistor that is used. The only contribution that a transistor makes to its own collectoratombase voltage is its base current through a 1.3 k resistor with its emitter current at 2.26 ma . Even if $a$ of the transistor is as low as $0_{0} 9$, the base current is only about 0.2 ma, and this is small compared to $I_{1}$ 。

Fig. 10, then, shows the quiescent operating point of either of the two input transistors. The collector-to base voltage is about -3 volts and the emitter current is about 2.26 ma . A variation in transistor characteristics will only result in a change in the base current, and this results in only al slight variation of collectormto base voltage. Fig. 10 shows that in the neighborhood of the operating point, the transistor characteristics do not vary when the collector voltage is altered.

If the input transistors are identical, and are operated linearly, it is found that the output current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{o x}=-\frac{v_{s} z_{u}\left(\alpha r_{c}-z_{0}\right)}{\left[r_{6}\left(r_{r_{c}-\alpha r_{6}}+z_{e}+z_{2}\right)+z_{e}\left(r_{t}+z_{c}\right)\left[z_{3 w}+z_{n}\right]\right.} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{s}$ is the input difference signal; $\frac{1}{Z_{10}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{R_{0}}+\frac{1}{R_{4}}+\frac{1}{Z_{10}}\right)$
(refer to Figs. Sb and Fd) and

$$
z_{\text {in }}=r_{b}+\frac{z_{e}\left(r_{c}+z_{c}\right)}{r_{c}(1-a)+z_{e}+z_{2}}
$$

Ze is the impedance in the emitter of one transistor; $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{SW}}$ is the impedance of the sense windings and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the equivalent load that a transistor sees. In order to achieve a maximum output, it is noted from equation (7) that minimizing $Z_{e}$ will be of great benefit. Thus a large capacitor is used to shunt the two 68 k resistors that feed the emitters. Because of the need for large capacity and extremely small physical size, it was necessary to use subminiature aluminum electrolytic capacitors. In order to insure uniform operation for positive and negative pulses, it was necessary to use two $5 \mu \mathrm{f}$ capacitors in series, back to back. when the signal is applied, the capacitor is essentially a short circuit, and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{e}}$ reduces to $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}}$. During the quiescent operation the two emitters are isolated from each other, and the current drawn by one does not affect the other.

The impedance that the capacitors face is about

$$
2\left[r_{e}+\left(1.3+r_{0}\right)(1-\infty)\right] \quad \text { kilohms. }
$$

It has been found for SBT's that at 2.26 ma of emitter current
$r_{e} \cong 11.5 \Omega$ and that $T_{6} \cong 3500_{0}$. If transistors with $\alpha=0.95$ are used, then the capacitors see an impedance of about 188 ohms. The time constant then is about 470 microseconds, and this is relatively long. Even if the transistors have as high as 0.99 , the time constant is $140 \mu \mathrm{sec}_{3}$ and this is still quite long

The output impedance of a common emitter transistor stage is about 10 kilohms. So far as a difference signal is concerned, there are 2 of these transistors in series, and they are shunted by the two damping resistors in series. Therefore, the output impedance is

$$
\frac{(2 \times 10) \times(2 \times 6.8)}{(2 \times 10)+(2 \times 6.8)}=8.1 \mathrm{kr}
$$

The impedance seen past the secondary is either infinite (when the emitter followers are off); or ( $\beta_{E F}{ }^{+1}$ ) in Q104 (where $\beta_{E F}{ }^{\circ \beta}$ for the * on emitter follower) when one of the emitter followers is on, and the following stage, QlOL is still on s or $\left(\beta_{\text {gT }}{ }^{*+1}\right) 82 \mathrm{knon}$ when one of the emitter followers conducts and Q1OL is off The first and last regions (those of very high impedance) last for a long time. The middle region g during which the transformer sees the relatively low impedance

$$
\left(\beta_{\varepsilon F}+1\right) z_{\text {in ploy }}=\left.\left(\beta_{\varepsilon F}+1\right)\left(r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)\right)\right|_{\text {D,04 }}
$$

lasts only for a very short period of time. Hence, for the major portion of the cycle, the impedance seen by the secondary is very much greater than the output impedance and may be neglected.

Fig. 11 shows a 60 cycle hysteresis loop for the transformer core. This core is manufactured by Arnold Engineering Coos and is a plastic encased, permalloy core, number $5340-51$. The loops shown are a minor loop on which the core operates, and the saturation loop. This data was taken with a single turn on the core.

It was found that no more than about 0.35 ma flowed through the primary of the transformer in the actual circuit when a difference signal was applied (whether the signal was 100 mv or 1.0 volts )。 Since there are 60 turns, the exciting force is 21 maøturns. I have, therefore, assumed that the minor loop on which the core operates has a maximum excitation of about 21 maøturns. The corresponding peak flux was 33.3 Maxwells. Because of the fact that the remanent flux is $30 \%$ of the maximum flux, the linearized inductance of the coil with a single turn is

$$
L=\frac{1.3 \phi_{m}}{I} \times 10^{-8}=\frac{1.3 \times 33.3 \times 10^{-8}}{21 \times 10^{-3}}=20.6 \mu \mathrm{~h}
$$

When 60 turns are used, as in the sense amplifier, the inductance is

$$
(60)^{2}(20.6) \mu h=74 \mathrm{mh} .
$$

The transformer time constant is $\frac{L}{R}=\frac{74}{8.1} \mu \mathrm{sec}=9.2 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. This time is considerably shorter than that of the capacitor circuit, and so the transformer time constant controls the circuit behavior. Apparently from the tests that have been run on this circuit thus far, the time constant is long enough to assure satisfactory performance.

A decrease in the number of turns on the transformer would result in several disadvantages. The signals coupled through to the remainder of the amplifier would be decreased, due to the lessening of the magnetizing inductance. Furthermore, the time constant would be decreased, and this is highly undesirable。

An increase in the number of turns apparently does not aid the circuit operation materially. This may be because of the correso ponding increase in wiring capacity shunting the primary and the secondary.

The operation of the remainder of the circuit is fairly straight-forward. The eight emitter followers, (Q3 and Q4), whose bases are connected to the transformers (Figo8) are biased slightly off. An applied difference signal on one of the four sensing windings results in the application of a pulse to one of the transformerso. The base of one of its two transistors rises, and it is further cutcoffo However, the other transistor has its base lowered, and if the signal is large enough, this transistor is turned on. The bias level can be adjusted by varying the 50 k pot. All eight emitters fall with the one that has been switched. It is seen, therefore, that the memory output that controls the sense amplifier behavior is the largest output, and conse quently a ONE will control the sense amplifier even if it is mixed with low level noise.

In this one section of the circuit, the operations of rectification, mixing and slicing have been accomplished. It is found that the sense amplifier output completely switches when the memory plane output varies by $l_{0} 1$ millivolts. Below this level, there is no output\% above it, a full 10 ma pulse.

The transistor Q1O4 is normally on. When one of the emitter followers is switched on, Q104 goes off. Its collector falls towards -30, turning Q103, which is normally held off, to the saturated state. Its collector rises, turning Q102 and Q100 off。 Q102 then saturates Q101. With Q100 off, and Q101 saturated, the circuit output drops to -3 volts.

When the pulse at the input has ended, the cycle is reversed $\infty$ Q104 again goes on turning Q103 off. Q102 and Q100 are saturated; Q101 is shut off, and the output rises to ground potential.

Inhibit pulses turn out no larger than ONEs because of the fact that signals are limited by saturation and cutoff.

The resistors in this section of the circuit are chosen so that with minimum acceptable transistors and maximum allowable variation in the undesirable direction of resistance values, the circuit continues to operate satisfactorily。

The operation of the cable driver (consisting of transistors Q100 and Q101) deserves some comment. The cable driver consists of these two transistors connected in series, and the output is taken from the junction of the emitter of Q1OL and the collector of Q1OO. Under standby conditions Q100 is saturated and Q101 is off. The output impedance is very low, and the output level is at ground potential. A pulse at the input to the sense amplifier turns Q100 off, but saturates Q101. (The circuit parameters are adjusted so that when an intermediate sized signal is applied, both Q100 and Q101 are off. If this were not done, it would be possible to saturate both transistors simultaneously, and this would result in the destruction of at least one of the transis tors.) Consequently, the output drops to -3 volts, and the output impedance remains at a very low level.

The load that is to be driven is about 50 feet of 160 ohm cable. Because it is not feasible to terminate the cable at the far end, it is necessary to do so at the driving end. Therefore, a 130 ohm resistor is placed in series with the load and the output impedance is probably very close to 160 ohms. The figure of 130 ohms was determined by trying various values of terminations, and choosing that which gave the most satisfactory results.

## IV Results

There is，in this circuit，one result that is both theoretical－ ly and actually somewhat disturbing．This is the fact that if the different sensing amplifier input channels（of which there are four per memory plane）are not matched，then their gain characteristics will not be identical．There is only one variable element per sense amplifier－ the clipping level potentiometer，Rll8（Fig。8），and this cannot possibly be adjusted to satisfy all channels．Suppose the gain of channel A is greater than the gain of channel $B_{9}$ and Rll 8 is set to just pass a 30 millivolt signal applied to channel $A_{0}$ ．It may then be found that per haps a 35 mv signal in channel B will be blocked，because it is not am－ plified as strongly as a signal in A。

In the circuit，this is found to be the case，but it is possible to correct this mistake to a large extent．

In an effort to limit the differences between the various stages，1\％resistors are used exclusively in all channels．With this restriction in force，it is found that the gain of a channel depends upon the characteristics of the transistors and of the transformer used． If a detailed examination was made，it would probably be discovered that the results also depend on the individual resistors in the circuit．

In almost every case of excessive unbalance detected so far， it has been possible to satisfactorily equalize channels by juggling transformers－that is，place strong transformers in weak channels，and vice versa．

The variation of results as the transistors of an input stage are varied，is clearly shown in the following tables of data．In each case the negative voltage signal at the secondary of the transformers is measured for a 50 mv difference input signal．By connecting all four channels in parallel at their inputs，it is ascertained that the same voltage signal is applied to each．Three runs were taken。 Sensing amplifier number 9 was used．The results are shown in Table lo


These three runs should not be compared to each other numerically because of the fact that the data were not taken under the same conditions ( $e_{\circ} g_{0}$ the 150 mvil input in run 1 may not have been the same size as the " 50 mv input in run 3)。 But it is valid, and very interesting, to compare the magnitude obtained within the various runs.

Run 1 demonstrates the fact that the gain of a channel does not vary linearly with the transistor $a^{2} s_{0}$ For instance, channel 2
has the strongest transistors, and yet it is the weakest channel; but channel 4, the strongest, has a pair of intermediate gain transistors. It is also noted that channels $I, 3$ and 4 are about equivalent.

Run 2 differs from the first run in that channel 3 now has very low a transistors and channel 4 has very high a transistorso It is noted that 3 is weakened just a bit relative to 4 。 But the really interesting fact is that 4 is weakened relative to $l_{\text {a }}$ This tends to indicate that channel 4 has been significantly weakened when its transises tors were replaced by supposedly better transistorso Channel 2 is still the weakest by a good margin.

Run 3 is the same as the second run, except that the tran sistors in channels 1 and 2 have been interchanged. The result is that the gain of channel 1 has fallen below that of channel 2. This virtually assures us that although transistors A75 and A81 have very high a's, they are poorer than AlO8 and Al09. It also proves that the gain of a channel depends to a large extent upon all of the characteristics of the transistors used, and not merely $\alpha_{9}$ as shown in equation (4) That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {out }}=-Z \frac{\alpha V_{s}}{r_{e}+r_{b}(1-\alpha)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is apparent, therefore that not only $\alpha_{9}$ but $r_{e}$ and $r_{b}$ are very important parameters in determining the voltage gain of a common emitter transistor amplifier.

Fig. 12 shows the negative pulses at the transformer secondaries corresponding to the conditions of Pun $l_{\text {. This demonstrates }}$ that channels $I_{9} 3$ and 4 are about equal, while channel 2 is relatively weak.

In passing, it should be pointed out that the pulse size at these points is completely independent of the setting of the clipping level potentiometer. In Fig. 13, the effect of the different gains upon the output pulse is showno It is observed that the pulse widths at the base of the outputsare as follows:

| Channel 1 | $0.95 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Channel 2 | $0.90 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Channel 3 | $0.99 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Channel 4 | $0.96 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ |

Run 1 yielded the most widely divergent results, and the complete data from this run is plotted in Figo 14. From the slopes of these linear curves (they are linear because the signals are not large enough to drive the transistors into non-linear operation), it is obe served that the difference in gains between the extreme cases is about $20 \%$ 。

When the results shown in Figo 12，13，and I4 are observed， it is realized that great differences in channel gains do not yield very widely diverging results at the outputs．

It has been found for this circuit that the bias at the emitters of the emitter followers is several hundred millivolts positive． It is observed to a very close approximation，that when the doc．level of the base voltage drops to ground potential，the corresponding transistor will begin to conduct．Consequently，by use of Fig。 14 ，it is possible to set the clipping level to any predetermined input level by adjusting Rll8 to give the proper voltage at the bases of the emitter followerso Because of the different gains achieved in different channels，it will be necessary to accept a compromise solution，however．For instance， assume that it is desired to set the clipping level at 30 mv ．Figoll shows that，on the average a negative 750 mv pulse is obtained at the transformer secondary when a difference signal of 30 mv is applied at the input．Therefore，the bias point should be adjusted to $\$ 750 \mathrm{mv}$ ．It will then be found that channel 2 will clip at $34 \mathrm{mv}_{9}$ and that channel 4 will clip at 28 mv 。

An examination of the transfer characteristics of the com－ plete sensing amplifier（from difference input signal to the output across a $160 \Omega$ terminating resistor）leads to the data tabulated below for a nominal clipping level of 30 mv ．

This data is for channel 3 of sensing amplifier number 9。 The input transistors are A74 and A107．

| Difference Input Signal mV | $\begin{array}{r}\text { Output } \\ \text { Voltage } \\ \text { Volts } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Output <br> Pulse <br> Width <br> मsec | Negative Signal at Transformer Secondary $\qquad$ ．Volts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 1.55 | 0.95 | $\infty$ |
| 90 | 1.55 | 0.92 | $\infty$ |
| 80 | 1.55 | 0.86 | $\infty$ |
| 70 | 1.55 | 0.82 | $\infty$ |
| 60 | 1.55 | 0.77 | $\infty$ |
| 50 | 1.55 | 0.67 | $\infty$ |
| 40 | 1.55 | 0.50 | $\cdots$ |
| 30＊ | 1.55 | 0.18 | 0.89 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0.86 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\infty$ |

Channel 3 is about the same as channel 4 （Fig．12 and 13）and so the gain from input to transformer secondary is about 27. Thus，in the
active region（around 30 mv of input），the gain of the complete amplifier $\frac{\Delta V_{\text {out }}}{\Delta V_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\Delta V_{\text {tramsbormer secondary }}}{\Delta V_{\text {in }}} \times \frac{\Delta V_{\text {at }}}{\Delta V_{\text {trans ses }}}=27 \times \frac{1.55}{.03}=1400$ ．

That is，in the active region，the amplifier voltage gain is about 1400． Thus，in order to switch the amplifier from no output to full l．55 volt output，a change of about l． 1 millivolts at the input is required．

These data are plotted in Figol5o It is noted，that although the pulse height experiences a very sharp cutoff，the output pulse width depends upon the input signal to a very large extent。（The width of the input pulse remains fixed at about loqusec）．The reason for this is obvious：as the pulse height is reduced，clipping occurs at higher， and narrower points on the input waveform。

Figures 16 show the pulses obtained at various places in the sensing amplifier when a 50 mv ONE is applied to the input，and Figures 17 show the pulses at the same points when a 20 mV ZERO is applied．It should be noted that in each of these cases，the cycle time is about $4.5 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ （the length of time between the beginning of the first ONE，and the beginning of the second ONE）．

At the input（Fig。16A and B），the 0wis are about 50 mv high and the inhibit pulses are a bout 800 mv 。 However，due to the nonminear charactere istic of the difference stage，the ONE is amplified more strongly than the inhibit pulse，and the difference signal at the transformer primary consists of 2 －volt $\mathrm{NNEs}_{\%}$ and 6 volt inhibits（Figol60）。 The transformer is a 2 to 1 step down，and consequently the signals at either side of the transformer secondary are about 1 volt and 3 volts，respectively （Fig．16D）．（It should be noted that the negative inhibit pulse cannot go far below ground because of the clamping action of the forward biased emitter follower transistor．This accounts for the peculiar shape of ． the large negative pulse．）

At the emitter follower output（Figol6E），all pulses are negative． Some portion of the signal at the transformer is lost because the emitter $f$ ollowers are biased off．Hence the ratio of inhibit pulse to ONE signal has been increased．But，as is shown in Figo 17E，this operation allows for the discrimination against ZFRO so

It is noted that all outputs of Q104（Figol6F）ONEs and inhibits alike are now the same size．This is caused by the fact that Q104 is turned off even by the small ONE pulse．The notches that are found in this waveform at a level of about $+0.22-0.3 \cong-0.1$ volts are caused by the saturation of Q103，the following stage．The output of Q104 is clamped at about 0004 volts by the conducting basemto emitter diode of Q103．It is possible for the base to go more negative than the emitter because it is connected directly to the -30 volt supply．

The output of Q103（Fig。16G）is basically the same as the output of Q104，except that it is greatly amplified，and it is inverted． The pulses are clamped at about ground because when Q103 is saturated its collector otomemitter voltage is very low，the input to Q102（Fig．16H） is slightly peaked at its front edge，because the coupling capacitor， Cl07，is somewhat too large．At any rate，these pulses are large enough to shut Q102 off。

The input to Q100（Fig．16I）has a slow rise，because its coupling capacitor，C1OI is too small．Although this rise time can be greatly improved by adding 100 1 uf to $\mathrm{ClO1}$ ，the affect on the output is unnoticeable．The reason is that Q100 is turned off long before the slow rise takes effect．

The output of Q102（Figol6J）（which is fed directly to Q101） is a negative－going square wave that turns Q101 full ono．The pulse is able to sink lower than -3 volts because the emitter of Q101 falls to -3 volts，and the base is a little negative relative to the emitter．

The sensing amplifier output（Fig． 16 K ）shows the typical wello defined negative going l． .55 volt，lOma pulses that are approximately the same shape for all input pulses that exceed the switching thresholdo

Figures 17 show the results obtained when a signal that is below the cutoff level is appliedo．It is noted that the inhibits appear at the output just as they did in the previous case，but that the inform mation signals are blocked。

Fig． 18 demonstrates that the sensing amplifier delay is about Q． $3 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ as measured from the peak of the input pulse to the center point of the output pulse．This result is true，no matter where the clipping level is set，and it is independent of the input pulse amplitude of course。

Fig． 19 traces chains of some 1430 unipolarity pulses through the amplifier．These pulses are spaced $6 \mu s e c$ apart．The points of observation are the same as those used for Figs． 16 and 17。 It should be noted that the only spot at which there is any prf sensitivity is at the input to the amplifier．The pulse generator is prf sensitive；the sense amplifier obviously is not sensitive at any spoto Figol9K demon－ strates the almost negligible voltage buildup across the emitter． coupling capacitors of the difference stage．

The fact that no conmon mode signal should appear at the out－ put was discussed earlier in this note．Figo 20 shows the results obtained when a large common signal is applied to the amplifier．With no load，the signal was $\pm 65$ volts；the sensing amplifier cut this signal to $\pm 30$ volts．There is no output from the amplifier due to either the positive or negative going common signal．The disturbances that are seen at this output（Figo20B，20D）are caused by pick－up due to radiation，it is not an output that feeds through the amplifier in the
normal manner．This is proven by the fact that the output obtained for positive and negative common signals are obviously merely the negatives of each other，and that the output amplitude varies directly with the input amplitude．It was found that even if the input transistors were very badly mis－matched，the results are the same．Thus，it would seem as though this amplifier is capable of eliminating conmon mode signals to a very large extent．

Some time ago $D_{0} H_{0}$ EIII took data concerning the successful operation of a 256 x 256 magnetic core memory plane as a function of strobe time and bias voltage of the sensing amplifier．This is plotted in Fig．21．It is to be noted that area of safe and successful operation is the innermost enclosed area（shaded）。A ONE fails when the bias is so large that the ONE signal is not passed by the amplifier．A ZERO fails when the bias is so low that the ZERO signal is passed by the amplifier．

A large amount of data has now been accumulated using 20 memory planes and 20 amplifierso The results，so far as the amplifiers are concerned，have been very gratifying．

The graphs，Fig． 22 through 25，show marginal checking data for the sensing amplifier when it drives a load of 50 feet of 160 ohm cable， terminated by 2.2 kilohms in parallel with $47 \mu \mu \mathrm{f}$ 。 The shaded areas show the regions of safe operation．If the supply voltages stray beyond the boundaries shown，it may be expected that either：（1）ZEROs will be passed as ONEs because the amplifier is always in the＂switched ${ }^{\text {®e }}$ condition；or（2） 40 mv ONEs will be blocked because they are not strong enough to switch the amplifier．

Fig． 22 shows the plot of the voltage applied to resistor RIll as a function of the voltage applied to the resistors RI05 and R107．

Fig． 23 shows the variation of the voltage applied to R109 as a function of the voltage applied to R105，R107 and RIIl。

Fig． 24 shows the variation of the voltage applied to R101 as a function of the voltage applied to R105，R107 and RIIl．

Fig． 25 shows the variation of the voltage applied to Rl08 as a function of the voltage applied to RIO5，R107 and RIIl．

In each of these cases a variation of $30 \%$ in any voltage cannot possibly cause a failure。

In the actual circuit there are two $\$ 150$ marginal check voltages． One is applied to R110 and the other to R104（these resistors are merely decoupling resistors）．The－ 30 volt marginal checking line is applied solely to R109．（See Figo8）The resistor R104 is connected directly to resistors R105，R107 and R111．Hence，by varying the voltage to R104 and that to R109，a plot that is similar to Fig． 23 is obtainedo

The other marginal checking voltages (that applied to RIll) can be used in two ways: (1) it can be lowered or raised in order to insure that all clipping level potentiometers (R118 and R138) are set to the same values and (2) it can be used to vary the clipping levels of all amplifiers simultaneously in order to determine which amplifier or plane is weakest.

It is now intended that this circuit will be used as the sensing amplifier in the TDCM. Because this memory is only $64 \times 64$, it will only be necessary to employ one input channel. This will ease the restrictions on uniformity of components in the difference stage. There is no diffic cults expected with this circuit. There are also several changes of components in the new circuit.
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FIG.I
DRIVING CURRENTS AND OUTPUT PULSES FOR MEMORY PLANES

a) PLANE CONNECTED WITH SINGLE SENSE WINDING AND

```
SINGLE DIGIT WINDING
```


b) METHOD OF CONNECTING FROM SENSE WINDINGS - 1,2,3, AND 4SO AS TO MINIMIZE NOISE CONTRIBUTIONS OF PARTIALLY SELECTED CORES

C) METHOD OF CONNECTING FOUR DIGIT WINDINGS - A, B, C AND D.

FIG. 2
$256 \times 256$ PLANE BROKEN INTO $1664 \times 64$ MODULES,
WITH SENSING WINDING AND DIGIT PLANE WINDING

CONNECTED IN VARIOUS WAYS.


FIG. 3
TWO METHODS OF COUPLING FROM INPUT DIFFERENCE AMPLIFIER TO REMAINDER OF CIRCUIT


8M-7-54-Boston Blue Print

d. TRANSHRMER COUPLIN LINEARIZED AND BALANCED EQUIVALENT CIRCUITS FOR FIG. 3


$$
\text { FIG } 6
$$

OUTPUTS OBTAINED FOR VARIOUS TIME CONSTANTS WHEN CURRENT SOURCE FEEDS PARALLEL RL CIRCUIT


FIG. 7 :
EFFCTS CAUSED BY A CHAIN OF UNIPOLARITY (POSITIVE) ONE's, INTERSPERSED WITH INHIBIT PULSES. THESE SHOW DIFFICULTIES
THAT ARE ENCOUNTERED BOTH WITH SHORT AND LONG TIME CONSTANT TRANSFORMERS.



FIG. 9
D.C. OPERATION OF DIFFERENCE STAGE, ASSUMING THAT INPUT TRANSISTORS ARE IDENTICAL

```
TRANSISTOR #48 BY M.PETERSON-DEC. 1954
```



```
    FIG.IO
                OPERATING POINT
OF DIFFERENCE STAGE TRANSISTORS AT Q
TYPICAL SET CHARACTERISTICS GROUNDED BASE
\[
I_{c} \text { vs } E_{c}
\]
```



DRIVING CURRENT $=21 \mathrm{ma}$ MAXIMUM FLUX $=33.3$ maxwells


SATURATION LOOP DRIVING CURRENT $=500 \mathrm{ma}$ SATURATION FLUX $=560$ maxwells

FIG. 11
60 CYCLE HYSTERESIS LOOP OF TRANSFORMER CORE PLASTIC ENCASED, PERMALLOY CORE, NUMBER 5340-SI MANUFACTURED BY ARNOLD ENGINEERING CO. . ONE TURN $(N=1)$ IS USED.

INPUT DIFFERENCE SIGNAL

TRANSFORMER SECONDARIES
DIFFERENCE

CHANNEL 2
A 81
A 75
0.972
A75
0.972

CHANNEL 3
A 74
0.969
A 107
0.968


| CHANNEL 4 | A73 | 0.956 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A82 | 0.962 |


COMPOSITE OF FOUR CHANNELS


## FIG 12

NEGATIVE PULSES AT TRANSFORMER SECONDARIES WHEN ALL INPUTS ARE CONNECTED IN PARALLEL. THIS SHOWS EFFECT OF DIFFERENT GAINS IN VARIOUS CHANNELS UPON THE SIZES OF PULSES APPLIED TO EMITTER FOLLOWERS. SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9


FIG. 13
OUTPUT PULSES FROM DIFFERENT CHANNELS OF SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9 FOR 50 mV INPUT PULSES. THIS © DEMONSTRATES THE EFFECT OF UNEQUAL GAINS IN DIFFERENT CHANNELS. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG 14
NEGATIVE SIGNAL AT TRANSFORMER SECONDARY AS A FUNCTION OF
DIFFERENCE INPUT SIGNAL FOR THE DATA OFRUN I SENSING AMPLIFIER\#9


A. INPUT DIFFERENCE SIGNAL

B. INPUT DIFFERENCE SIGNAL

C. DIFFERENCE SIGNAL AT TRANSFORMER PRIMARY

D. SIGNAL AT ONE SIDE OF TRANSFORMER SECONDARY (D.C. LEVEL $=+0.73$ VOLTS)
E. SIGNAL AT EMITTER FOLLOWER OUTPUT (D.C. LEVEL $=+0.25$ VOLTS)

H. INPUT TO QIO2
(D.C. LEVEL $=-0.40$ VOLTS)
I. INPUT TO QIOO (D.C. LEVEL $=-0.43$ VOLTS)
F. OUTPUT OF QIO4
(D.C. LEVEL $=+0.22$ VOLTS)
$1.0 \mu \mathrm{SEC} / \mathrm{cm}$
G. OUTPUT OF QIO3
(D.C. LEVEL $=-3.6$ VOLTS)
I.
J. OUTPUT OF QIO2
(D.C. LEVEL $=-0.04$ VOLTS)
K. SENSE AMPLIFIER OUTPUT, ACROSS $160 \Omega$
(D.C. LEVEL = GROUND)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ORDER OF PULSES IS ONE, INHIBIT, INHIBIT, ONE } \\
\text { FIG. I6 }
\end{gathered}
$$

TRACE SIGNAL THROUGH CIRCUIT.
INPUT ONE IS 50 mV IN AMPLITUDE. CLIPPING LEVEL IS SET AT 30 mV . SENSE AMPLIFIER *9, CHANNEL 3. CYCLE TIME $\cong 4.5 \mu \mathrm{SEC}$. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$.

A. INPUT DIFFERENCE SIGNAL

F.
E. SIGNAL AT
EMITTER FOLLOWER OUTPUT

D. SIGNAL AT ONE SIDE OF

TRANSFORMER SECONDARY (D.C. LEVEL $=+0.73$ VOLTS)


OUTPUT OF Q104
(D.C. LEVEL $=+0.22$ VOLTS)
G. OUTPUT OF Q103 $\begin{aligned} & \text { O.C. LEVEL }=-3.6 \text { VOLTS) }\end{aligned}$


E
. INPUT DIFFERENCE SIGNAL
C. DIFFERENCE SIGNAL AT TRANSFORMER PRIMARY

$$
\text { (D.C. LEVEL }=+0.25 \text { VOLTS) }
$$

$1.0 \mu \mathrm{SEC} / \mathrm{cm} 500 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{cm}$
$1.0 \mu \mathrm{SEC} / \mathrm{cm}$
H. INPUT TO QIO2

$$
\text { (D.C. LEVEL }=-0.40 \text { VOLTS })
$$

I. INPUT TO QIOO (D.C. LEVEL $=-0.43$ VOLTS)
J. OUTPUT OF QIO2
(D.C. LEVEL $=-0.04$ VOLTS)
K. SENSE AMPLIFIER OUTPUT, ACROSS $160 \Omega$
(D.C. LEVEL = GROUND)

ORDER OF PULSES IS ZERO, INHIBIT, INHIBIT, ZERO
FIG. 17
TRACE SIGNAL THROUGH CIRCUIT,
INPUT ZERO IS 20 mV IN AMPLITUDE. CLIPPING LEVEL IS SET AT 30 mV . SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CYCLE TIME $\equiv 4.5 \mu$ SEC. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG 18

## THE DELAY OF A PULSE PASSING THROUGH THE SENSING AMPLIFIER

SENSING AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$. DELAY $\cong 0.3 \mu$ SEC. RESISTIVE

LOAD $=160 \Omega$


a) POSITIVE COMMON MODE INPUT SIGNAL

b) OUTPUT OF CHANNEL 3

c) NEGATIVE COMMON MODE INPUT SIGNAL

d) OUTPUT OF CHANNEL 3


FIG. 20
EFFECT OF LARGE COMMON MODE SIGNAL UPON THE SENSING AMPLIFIER OUTPUT AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. RESISTIVE LOAD $=160 \Omega$


FIG. 21
MARGINS OF SENSING AMPLIFIER \#3 WHEN TESTED WITH $256 \times 256$ MAGNETIC CORE MEMORY


FIG. 22
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIII vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5 AND RIO7. SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.


FIG. 23
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO9 vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIOT, RIII. SENSE AMPLIFIER \# 9 , CHANNEL 3.

CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.


FIG. 24
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIOI vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIO7, RIII.

SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.


FIG. 25
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO8 vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIOT, RIII.

SENSE AMPLIFIER \#9, CHANNEL 3.
CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.
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Abstract: The TX -0 is an experimental digital computer which was constructed to check transistor circuitry and a $256 \times 256$ magnetic core memory. The logical design is rather simple. since it has only four instructions. Three of these refer to memory in the normal way, but the fourth has the interesting feature of providing the facility to microprogram via time pulses. How useful this is will be determined by the experience gained in programming for $\mathrm{TX}-0$. This memo has been written to give the reader a working knowledge of the computer's logic, usefullness, and capabilities.
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## I INTRODUCTION

The TX -0 computer is a general purpose high-speed machine built primarily of transistors. The computer has one memory device which is a vacuum=tube-driven magnetic-core array capable of storing $1,179,648$ bits of information. Each word contains 18 bits for a total of 65,536 or $2^{16}$ words. (1) The memory cycle time is approximately $6 \mu \mathrm{sec}$. The machine performs a complete operation every two memory cycles; the instruction is obtained in the first cycle and the data in the second. (2) Most of the logical and arithmetic operations are executed in the second cycle。

II PRESENT TERMINAL EQUIPMENT
Input

1. A Ferranti photoelectric paper tape reader
(a) Standard sevenohole flexowriter paper tape
(b) 200 to 250 lines per second
2. Toggle switch registers
(a) Toggle switch accmulator called TAC
(b) Toggle switch buffer register called TBR
(c) 16 toggle switch registers called toggle switch storage, TSS。 These registers can replace the first 16 registers of magnetic core memory by means of a switch on the main consale.
3. Flexoprinter input to live register bits $2,5,8,11,14,17$ setting $L_{0}$ o to a one when the key is struck.
4. Provision has been made for a photosensitive device, called the light pen, to control the computer from the display tube.
Output
5. One $121 / 2^{11}$ cathode ray oscilloscope display tube
(a) 511 points by 5.11 points in $7^{18}$ by $7^{710}$ array
(b) a camera will be added in the future
6. Paper tape punch
7. The TX-2 which is in the process of being constructed will use this memory. The TX $=0$ will then have a transistor $\begin{aligned} & \text { driven core memory of }\end{aligned}$ $2^{13}$ registers.
8. Each memory cycle has eight time pulses and the notation we use in refering to them is cycle, time pulse, (ioes, cycle $0_{3}$ time pulse 8 is written 0.8).
(a) Standard flexowriter tape
9. Standard flexowriter printer

## III REGISTERS

1. Memory Buffer Register - (MBR, 18 bits +1 parity check bit) receives information from and sends information to the memory. The transfer of information from the memory is checked by means of the parity digit which makes the sum of all 19 digits odd.
2. Accumulator - ( $A C, 18$ bits) - stores the results of numerical operations - is also used as buffer to in-out terminal equipment. The bits of $A C$ are numbered from left to rightg 0 to 17.

One interesting point with regard to the $A C$ is that one may look upon it as strictly a ring adder. If we consider the leftmost digit as a sign, then the largest representable number is $2^{18}-1$ and the smallest is $-2^{-18}+1$. If a one is added to the largest number the result is the smallest and likewise if a one is subtracted from the smallest the result is the largest. There is no overflow alarm. This feature has already been found to be useful in decision techniques.
3. Memory Address Register - (MAR, 16 bits) - selects the information in the memory and has another special feature of selecting operate class commands - (more about this later).
4. Program Counter $=\left(\mathrm{PC}_{3}, 16\right.$ bits $)$ - is used by control and contains the address of the next instruction to be executed.
5. Instruction Register - ( $\mathrm{IR}_{2}, 2$ bits ) contains the operation part of the instruction which is to be executed.
6. Live Register - ( $L R, 18$ bits) - may be considered as just another storage register which uses flip-flop rather than magnetic cores. It is referred to by means operate class commands which we shall see later.
7. Toggle Switch Buffer Register $=(T B R, 18$ toggle switches). used for manual intervention in the normal and test modes.
8. Toggle Switch Accumulator - (TAC, 18 toggle switches) - used for manual intervention in the normal and test modes.
For a description of the flip-flops and logical controls see Figure 6 .

IV INSTRUCTIONS AND OPERATING MODES
The first two bits of the 18 bit $T X=0$ word designate one of four basia instructions. The machine recognizes which one to perform by means of two flip-flops $I R_{0}$ and $\mathrm{IR}_{1}$ called the instruction register. The remaining 16 bits of three of the instructions are used to specify a memory locam tion. The fourth instruction makes use of its remaining 16 bits to designate one or more special commands. These are called operate class commands and are the means by which $T X \propto 0$ attains its versatility。 (As we shall see in section VIII and IX)。

TX -0 has three operating modes: Normal, Test, and Read - In. They are specified by two flipmflops, $R$ and $T$ called the mode register... The four instructions are carried out in one of the three modes and for each of the twelve combinations a different function is executed by the machine. The console has a push button to select the Test mode and also one for the Read-In mode. The Normal mode is initiated by instructions in the other two modes. In the Normal mode instruction words are taken from the stored programs in the Test mode, from the TBR; and in the ReadIn mode, from the tape being read in.

The mode register ( $R$ and $T$ ) decodes the modes as follows:

| MODE | R | T |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 0 | 0 |
| Test | 0 | 1 |
| Read - In | 1 | 1 |

$\checkmark$ THE NORMAL MODE
The four basic instructions in the Normal mode are interpreted as follows:

|  | $\mathrm{IR}_{1}$ | ABBREVIATION | INSTRUCTION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | sto x | Replace the contents of register $\mathbf{x}$. with the contents of the AC. Let the AC remain the same. |
| 0 | 1 | add x | Add the word in register x to the contents of the $A C$ and leave the sum in the $A C$. |
| 1 | 0 | $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{x}$ | If the sign digit of the accumulator ( $A C_{0}$ ) is negative (i,e a one) take the next instruction from register $x$ and continue from there. If the sign is positive (i,e. a zero) ignore this instruction and proceed to the next instruction. |
| 1 | 1 | opr x | Execute one of the operate class commands indicated by the number x . (See sections VIII and IX). |

## VI TEST MODE

The test mode is selected by a push button on the console. Primarily the Test mode was designed into the computer to aid engineers and operators to manually intervene with control and storage for test purposes.

Basically one may consider the test mode as being a one instruction program where the instruction is set in the TBR (Toggle Switch Buffers) and the data to be. treated either already in the AC or set in the TAC (Toggle Switch AC). There are two switches on the console which allow a little more versatility to the one instruction. They are called the repeat and step switches. The repeat switch causes the instruction to be repeated over and over again (unless, of course, it is of the transfer
control type). The step switch allows the address section of the instruction to be indexed by one each time the instruction is executed.

When the test mode push button on the console is activated (i, e., pushed) the first two digits of the TBR are sent to the IR and the last 16 digits are sent to the MAR. (In the stox case the AC is reset according to what is set in the TAC). The PC is set to MAR + 1 and the instruction is executed.

Then if:
Repeat Switch Step Switch Operation After Execution of the Instruction
Off Off The computer will stop
Off On The computer will stop but the MAR will be changed to what is in the PC namely, the preceding MAR +1 and then the PC will be indexed by 1.

On

On

Off

On

The computer will continue to perform the same instruction repeatedly at machine speed.

The MAR will be changed to what is in the PC, namely the preceding MAR +1 . Then the PC will again be indexed by 1 and the instruction will be executed repeatedly with the address section being stepped up by one each time.

The four basic instructions for the test mode are classified as load, examine, test operate, and start.
"Load" sto $x$
00
The AC is set to what is in the TAC and then the contents of the $A C$ are stored in register x .

| ＂Examine＂ | add x 01 | The contents of register x ，are added to the AC by means of the $\mathrm{MBR}_{F}$ Hence x can be examined in the MBR．The AC could have been anything before the instruction so all we can say is that the $A C$ will contain＂anything＂plus the contents of $x$ 。 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＂Test Operate＂ | $\begin{gathered} \text { opr } x \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | Any one of the operate class commands is executed Stepping means nothing in this instruction。 |
| ＂Start＂ | $\operatorname{trn} x$ 10 | Change to normal mode and transfer control to instruction in register $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ Stepping and repeating mean nothing in this in struction。 |

VII READ＝IN MODE
The Read－In mode is selected by a push button on the console and causes the photoelectric reader to be activated．As each line of tape passes under the read head，the information in tape positions 1，2，3， 4,5 ，and 6 is transferred to digital positions $3,6,9,12$ and 15 of the $A C$ ．Once the first line of information is in the $A C$ ，the $A C$ is cycled to the right one digital position．The second line is then read $i n$ ，the AC cycled again one position，and the third line read in．At this point the first three lines are now assembled as a word in the AC。 The tapes to be used by the Read－In mode have been made so that each word to be stored follows an instruction word on tape which will perform the storage．In order to transfer control to inner storage all that is required on tape is the transfer instruction itself and it will not be followed by the usual three lines of data as the store instruction is． Getting back to the mechanics of the read－in，the first three lines of information have been read in and assembled in the $A C_{\text {．Since，this word }}$ will be an instruction in either the storage or the transfer case ${ }_{3}$ the first two digits in the AC are transferred to the IR（Instruction Register）and the last 16 digits to the MAR．At this point the
instruction register is examined and if the instruction is of the storage type then the next three lines of tape are read in and assembled in the $A C$ and then the instruction is executed. If when the IR was examined the instruction was of the transfer control type then no more information is read in and the transfer control instruction is executed. Summarizing, we can say that each data word requires six lines of tapes the first three indicating where to put it and the last three the word itself; each transfer control instruction requires only three lines of tape containing the instruction itself. The tape layout canbe seen more clearly in Figure 5.

The four basic instructions of the Read-In mode are separated into two types - storage and transfer control.

| Type | Pirst <br> IR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Modified } \\ & \text { IR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Eines <br> of tape | Symbol | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Storage | 00 | 00 | 6 | sto X | Store the word (which was read in behind this instruction) in register $x_{0}$ |
| Storage | 11 | 00 | 6 | opr x | When the two digits for opr $x$ (11) are read into IR(in the Read-In mode) they are complemented and therefore opr $\mathrm{x}=$ sto x 。 |
| Transfer <br> Control | 10 | 10 | 3 | $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{x}$ | When the two digits of trn (10) are read into IR (in the Readmin mode) the computer stops reading from tape : the computer is changed to the normal mode and control is immediately transferred to register x . |

Type \begin{tabular}{l}
First <br>
IR

 

Modified Lines <br>
IR
\end{tabular} of tape Symbol $\quad$ Description

Transfer 01013 add $x$ When the two digits of add Control
(01) are read into IR (in the Read-In mode) they are complemented and the computer stops. Upon restarting (by pushing the restart button on the console) the computer performs the instruction $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ Therefore, add x = stop $+\operatorname{trn} X_{0}$

Note, that with a hand punch, instruction-words on the tape can be modified so that st (00) can become add (01) or transfer (10) and either add or transfer can become operate (11). The flexibility allows changes on the tape without preparing a new one.

In actual practice the Read-In mode is used to read a more efficient Read-In program into storage, since a binary tape with a store instruction following each word would be extremely large and cumbersome. A description of this program will be found in section $X$. It is called the Input Routine and further describes how data is put into storage and also gives the reader an example of TX -0 programming。

## VIII OPERATE CLASS COMMANDS

The following is a list of the operate class commands, the time pulse on which they are executed, the binary form they assume, what they do and the octal notation of the last 16 bits of the operate instruction, opr x 。


## 2

$111000.0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=0$ opr 100,000 (octal) ( 0.8 ) CLL = Clear the left nine digital positions of the AC

## 3

 ( 0.8 ) CLR $=$ Clear the right nine digital positions of the AC

45
 (0.8) IOS $I n$-Out Stop $=$ Stop machine so that an $I n-O u t$ command (specified by digits 678 of MAR) may be executed.


 (0.8) $\mathrm{P} 6 \mathrm{H}=$ Same as P 7 H but no seventh hole


678

( 0.8 ) $\mathrm{PNT}=$ Print one flexowriter character specified by $A C$ digits $2,5,8,11,14$, and 17.
$1100000 \begin{array}{llllllllllll}6 & 7 & \frac{8}{1} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0 \quad 0 \equiv$ opr $1_{2}, 000$ (octal) ( 0.8 ) RIC $\equiv$ Read one line of flexo tape so that tape positions $1,2,3,4,5$, and 6 will be put in the AC digital positions $0,3,6,9,12$ and 15 .
$11000000 \begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 0 & 7 & \frac{8}{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0 \quad 0=$ opr 3,000 (octal) ( 0.8 ) R3C = Read one line of flexo tape into $A C$ digits $0,3,6,9$, 12, and 15 。 Then cycle the AC one digital positiong read the next line on tape into $A C$ digits $0_{2} 3,6,9,12$ and 15 , cycle the $A C$ right one digital position and read the third and last line into $A C$ digits $0,3,6,9$, 12 and 15. (This command is equal to a triple CYR-RIC.)
$1100000 \begin{array}{llllllllllll}\frac{6}{1} & 1 & 8 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$ opr 2,000 (octal)
( 0.8 ) DIS $=$ Intensify a point on the scope with $x$ and $y$ coordinates where $x$ is specified by AC digits $0-8$ with digit 0 being used as the sign and y is specified by AC digits $9-17$ with digit 9 being used as the sign for yo The complement system is in effect when the signs are negative。

$$
9 \quad 10
$$

110000000 (1.4) $S H R=$ Shift the AC right one place, ioeo multiply the AC by $2^{-1}$

210
 (1.4) CYR = Cycle the $A C$ right one digital position ( $A C_{17}$ will become $A C_{0}$ )
$110000 \begin{array}{llllllllllll}9 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \text { opr } 200 \text { (octal) }\end{array}$
(1.3) MLR = Store the contents of the MBR (memory buffer register) in the live rego


(1.1) $P E N=$ Read the light pen flip $\sim$ flops 1 and 2 into $A C{ }_{0}$ and $A C_{1}{ }^{\circ}$
$11 \quad 15$
 (1.1) TAC $=$ Insert a one in each digital position of the AC wherever there is a one in the corresponding digital position of the TAC。

| 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $=$ opr 40 (octal) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (1.2) | $C O M$ | $=$ Complement every digit in the accumulator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 13

$1.10000 .0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=0$ opr 20 (octal) (1.4) $P A D=$ Partial add $A C$ to $M B R$, that is, for every digital position of the MBR that contains a one, complement the digit in the corresponding digital position of the $A C$. This is also called a half add。

Example: $\quad A C=1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$ $M B R=0 \begin{array}{llllll} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$

New $\quad A C \equiv 1 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$

$1.1000 .0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=0$ opr 10 （octal） （1．7）CRY＝Partial add the 18 digits of the $A C$ to the corresponding 18 digits of the carry．
To determine what the 18 digits of the carry are，use the following ruly：
＂Grouping the $A C$ and MBR digits into pairs and proceeding from right to left，assign the carry digit of the next pair to a one if in the present pair MBR $=1$ and $A C=0$ or if in the present pair $A C=1$ and carry 1 。
（Note：The $0^{\text {th }}$ digit－pair determines the $17^{\text {th }}$ pair＇s carry digit）

## Example：


$16 \quad 17$
 $(1.2) A M B=$ Store the contents of the $A C$ in the MBR。
1.1000 （1．2）$T B R=$ Store the contents of the TBR in the MBR。

$(1.3) L M B=$ Store the contents of the LR in the MBR。

It should be noticed that the command CYL or cycle left was not listed. The reason for that is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1.2) (1.4) (1.7) } \\
& C Y L=A M B, P A D, C R Y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

After AMB (1.2)

After PAD (1. 4 )

After CRY (1.7)


This is an excellent example of how a programmer can accomplish many things with one operate instruction. Also notice that the AC was cleared by $A M B+P A D$. Any operate instructionword is capable of having a large variety of commands within itself as long as the programmer is aware of the time pulse sequence. The preceding list of commands also lists the cycle and time pulse of each command. We have included a TX $=0$ logical flow chart in this memo with a few side remarks on the chart to assist you in reading it. Whenever there is some question as to what is happening on each time pulse this chart should give you the answer. If you find in experimenting with the operate class commands that a new operate class command would be useful to a programmer, we will be glad to consider your suggestions.

IX COMBINATIONS OF OPERATE CLASS COMMANDS
The following list of combinations has already been found to be useful in programming. A conversion program which will be described in a later memo is capable of assembling most of these combinations using three letter memonic symbols (ergo lac, all, lad, etc).

```
0:8 0:8
CLL + CLR = opr ILO,OOO = clear the AC (CLA)
1.2 1.4 1.7
ANB + PAD + CRY =opr 3l= cycle the AC left one digital position (CYL)
0.8 0.8 1.2
CLL + CLR + COM = opr IHO,O4O = clear and complement AC (CLC)
0.8 0.8
IOS + DIS = opr 22,000 = Display (this combination was included to remind
                                    you that with every inoout command the IOS must be included)
                                    (DIS)
0.8 0.8 0.8
IOS + CLL + CLR = opr 160,000= In out stop with AC cleared.
0.8 0.8 1.4
IOS + P7H + CYR = opr 27,600 = Punch 7 holes and cycle AC right.
0.8 0.8 1.4
IOS + P6H + CYR = opr 26,600 = Punch 6 holes and cycle AC right.
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8
IOS + CLL + CLR + P6H = opr 166,000 = Clear the AC and punch a blank
                                    space on tape.
0.8 0.8 0.8
IOS + PNT + CYR = opr 24,600= Print and cycle AC right.
```



```
0.8 0.8 1.2 1.4
IOS +P6H + AMB + PAD =opr 26,02I m Punch 6 holes and leave AC cleared。
0.8 0.8 1.2 1.4
IOS + PNT + AMB + PAD = opr 24,021 = Print and leave AC cleared.
0.8 0.8 0.8
CLL + CLR + RIC = opr ILI,000= Clear AC and start petr running (notice
                                    no IOS = which means computer hasn }\mp@subsup{}{}{8}t\mathrm{ stopped to wait
                                    for information).
```

```
0.8 1.2 1.4 1.7
RIC + AMB + PAD + CRY = opr I_O3I = Start petr running and cycle AC lefto
0.8 0.4
RIC * CYR * opr l,600 * Start petr running and cycle right.
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8
CLL + CLR + IOS + R3C % opr 163,000 = Clear AC, read 3 lines of tape.
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8
CLL + CLR + IOS + RIC = opr 16I,000 = Clear AC and read one line of tape.
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.4 1.7
CLR + CLR + IOS + RIC + PAD + CRY = opF 16I%O3I . Read I line of tape and
                                    cycle AC left.
0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 1.4
CLL + CLR + IOS + RIC + CYR = opr 161,600 = Read one line of tape and
                                    cycle right.
0.8 0.8 1.1
CLL + CLR + TAC = opr I % O,O04 = Put coptents of TAC in AC.
1.4 1.7
PAD + CRY = opr 30 = Full madd the MBR and AC and leave sum in AC.
0.8 0.8 1.3 1.4
CLL + CLR + LMB + PAD = opr 14O,O22 = Clear the AC - store LR contents in
                                    memory buffer register - add memory
                                    buffer to AC © ioe. store live reg.
                                    contents in AC. (LAC)
1.21 .3
\(A M B+M L R \equiv\) opr \(201=\) Store contents of AC in MBR, store contents of MBR in \(L R_{2}\) ioe. store contents of \(A C\) in IR。 (ALR)
1.3 1. 4
\(L M B+P A D=\) opr 22 Store contents of \(L R\) in MBR partial add \(A C\) and MBR ioe. partial add IR to ACo (LPD)
1.3
MLR opr 200 = Since MLR alone will have a clear MBR, this is really clear LR。(LRO)
1.3 1. 4.7
\(L M B+P A D+C R Y=\) opr 32 \& Full-add the \(L R\) to the \(A C\). (LAD)
\(\begin{array}{llll}0.8 & 0.8 & 1.3 & 1.4\end{array}\)
CLL + CLR + TBR + PAD = opr 140,023 * Store contents of TBR in AC.
```


## X PROGRAM EXAMPLE

This section was included to give the reader an example of a $T X=0$ program．The program which was chosen is used to read binary tapes into storage and is called the Input Routine。 It was written to avoid the long and cumbersome tapes which would be．required by the Read－In mode （a store instruction for each data word）。 When the conversion program has finished converting a program ${ }^{0}$ s flexowriter tape and is ready to punch a binary tape，it first punches the Input Routine on tape in the form that is required by the Read－In mode．Then the converted program is punched out in binary form according to the specifications required by the Input Routine。

By having the Input Routine on the leader of each tape all that is required is the activation of the Read－In push button．The Input Routine is read in by the Readm In mode and then control is immediately transferred to the Input Routine which takes on the task of reading in the rest of the binary tape。

The specifications required by the Input Routine are very simple。 The tape channel positions of a word are the same as they are in the Read－In mode．Words are transferred to storage in blocks of sequentially addressed words．The first word in the block is a store instruction word whose address section contains the address of the first word in the block．（Call it sto $W_{1}{ }^{\circ}$ ）The second word in the block is the comple－ ment of a store instruction word whose address contains the address of the last word in the block。（Call it sto $W_{n}$ where $n=n o$ of wordso） The data words follow these two pieces of information．Following the last data word of the block is a word which is the complement of the sum of all the preceding words in the block including the first two control wordso

The address of the starting instruction follows the last block of data words．If it is in the form of an add instruction（add $z$ ）the computer will be stopped before the Input Routine transfers control to the program．If it is in the form of a transfer control instruction（trn z）
then the program will be started immediately after the last block of data words has been read into storage.

TAPE FORMAT REQUIRED BY INPUT ROUTINE
$\left.\begin{array}{l|l}\text { sto } W_{1} \\ \text { of sto } W_{n}\end{array}\right\}$

## INPUT ROUTINE



The operate class commands used in the Input routine were:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { opr } 160,000= & C L L+C L R+I O S+R 3 C \\
& \text { Clear } A C \text { and read three lines, cycling each time } \\
& \text { so that they are assembled as an } 18 \text { bit word in } \\
& \text { the } A C .
\end{aligned}
$$

```
opr 14O,O00 = CLL + CLR = CLA
```

Clear both halves of AC.
opr $30,000=$ Halt the computer.

It should be noticed that a trn instruction (10) has a one in the sign digital position. In registers 177744,45 , and 46 when the transfer control word "trn $Z^{19}$ is read into the accumulator, the trn 177756 will transfer control to 177756. Since register 177756 will contain trn $Z$ and the $A C$ still contains $\operatorname{trn} Z$, control will immediately be sent to register $Z$. This is a useful trick. (For example, transfering control to a subroutine with the exit word in the $A C)$.

One other point of interest, if the word add $Z$ is in the $A C$ when instruction trn 177756 in register 177746 is performed, the $A C$ is positive and the next instruction will be add 177774. This will cause the octal number 200,000 to be added to the $A C$ and since the first two bits of the word add Z are 01 , the result will be trn Z . This causes the instruction trn 177775 to be executed and 177775 will store the word $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{Z}$ in register 177777. The next instruction is the operate class command halt. Since the $A C$ is not disturbed, it will still contain trin $Z$. If the restart push button is activated, the tro $Z$ in register 177777 will transfer control to register $Z$ 。

## XI TOGGLE SWITCH STORAGE

The TX $=0$ has an auxiliary memory system consisting of sixteen toggle－switch registers which we shall refer to as toggle switch storage，${ }^{2}$ TSS．The TSS can be used as a substitute for the first sixteen magnetic core registers 0 through 17．All sixteen registers of TSS can replace core registers 0 through 17 or they can be chosen individually to replace their respective core registers，ioe $\mathrm{FSS}_{6}$ can replace register 6 of core memory while the other fifteen can still be core．

The Live Register has been mentioned earlier as an eighteen bitu flip－flop register with no address．$U_{p}$ to this point the only way referm ence could be made to it was by means of the operate class commands． The switches on the TSS panel allow the Live Register to be addressed like any other register．However，its contents can still only be changed by specific operate class commands or by data from the flexo type writer（if the flexo input switch on the main console is in the on position）。

The sixteen registers of TSS are focated on the console．（See Figure 4）In addition to the eighteen toggle switches associated with each register there is a toggle switch located to the left of each register which we shall call ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{88}$ ，and one to the right of each register which we shall call＂Ir＂。Also located on the console is a master switch called＂core memory select＂or CM select．When the CM select switch is on，the first sixteen registers will always be magnetic core．When the GM select switch is off then the first sixteen registers can be either． magnetic core，toggle switch storage，or addresses of the live register．

The following is a breakdown of the possible combinations:

$$
\text { CM Select Switch }=0 \mathrm{FF}
$$



Note that the Live Register may have one, two, three or sixteen different addresses ( $0-17$ ) or none at all if no $\operatorname{lr}$ switch is on.
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APPENDIX $A$

TX -0 Console

1. Push Buttons
(a) Stop
(b) Restart
(c) Read-In
(d) Test
(e) Tape Feed
2. Flip-Flop Indicators
(a) IR Two bit instruction register
(b) C Cycle
(c) RT Mode
(d) MR Memory Read
(e) MI Memory Inhibit
(f) PaR Parity
(g) SS Start Stop
(h) PBS Push Button Synchronizer
(i) IOS In Out Stop
(j) CH Chime Alarm
(k) LP Light Pen Flip-Flops 1 and 2.
(1) PETR Photoelectric reader flip-flops 1, 2, 3 and 4
$\because(\mathrm{m})$ Alarm Indicator
3. FlipmFlop Registers
(a) MAR
(b) PC
(c) MBR
(d) $A C$
(e) LR
4. Switches
(a) Suppress Alarm
(b) Suppress Chime
(c) Automatic Restart
(d) Automatic Read-In
(e) Automatic Test
(f) Stop on Cycle Zero
(g) Stop on Cycle One
(h) Step
(i) Repeat
(j) Printer Input
5. Toggle Switch Registers
(a) TAC - Toggle switch accumulator
(b) TBR = Toggle switch buffer register
(c) TSS - Sixteen toggle switch storage registers

## APPENBIX B

Operate Class Command Summary

| CLL | $(0,8)$ | opr | 100,000 | Clear left $A C$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLR | $(0,8)$ | opr | 40,000 | Clear right AC |
| IOS | $(0,8)$ | opr | 20,000 | In-out stop |
| HLT | (1,8) | opr | 30.000 | Halt |
| P7H | $(0,8)$ | opr | 7.000 | Punch 7 holes |
| P6H | $(0,8)$ | opr | 6,000 | Punch 6 holes |
| PNT | $(0,8)$ | opr | 4.000 | Print |
| R1C | $(0,8)$ | opr | 1,000 | Read 1 line |
| R3C | $(0,8)$ | opr | 3,000 | Read 3 lines |
| DIS | $(0,8)$ | opr | 2,000 | Display |
| SHR | (1.4) | opr | 400 | Shift right |
| CYR | (1.4) | opr | 600 | Cycle right |
| MLR | (1.3) | opr | 200 | MBR $\rightarrow$ LR |
| PEN | (1.1) | opr | 100 | Read light pen |
| TAC | (1,1) | opr | 4 | TAC ones $\Rightarrow A C$ |
| COM | (1.2) | opr | 40 | Complement $A C$ |
| PAD | (1.4) | opr | 20 | Partial ADD MBR and AC |
| CRY | (1.7) | opr | 10 | Partial ADD carry digits and AC |
| AMB | (1.2) | opr | 1 | AC $\rightarrow$ MBR |
| TER | (1.2) | opr | 3 | $T B R \rightarrow M B R$ |
| LMB | (1.3) | opr | 2 | $\mathrm{LR} \rightarrow \mathrm{MBR}$ |

## APPENDIX C

## OPERATE CLASS COMMAND COMBINATION SUMMARY




FIG. 1
TX-0 COMPUTER ROOM


FIG. 3
TX-O MAIN CONSOLE PANEL




FIG. 4
TX-0 TOGGLE SWITCH STORAGE


EXAMPLE: STORE THE OCTAL
WORD 356321 IN
REGISTER 40 OCTAL



| IR |
| :---: |
| $s t$ |


| MAR |
| :--- |
| $40(0)$ |



IF THE TAPE IS HELD SO THAT IT IS MOVING FROM LEFT TO RIGHT WITH THE SEVENTH HOLE NEXT TO THE BODY, THE DATA WORD AND STORE INSTRUCTION CAN BE READ OCTALLY IN HORZONTAL FASHION.

FIG. 5
TAPE LAYOUT FOR READ-IN MODE OF TX-O
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I INTRODUCTION
The TX -0 computer is a general purpose high-speed machine built primarily of transistors. The computer has one memory device which is a vacuum-tube-driven magnetic-core array capable of storing $1,179,648$ bits of information. Each word contains 18 bits for a total of 65,536 or $2^{16}$ words. (1) The memory cycle time is approximately $6 \mu$ sec. The machine performs a complete operation every two memory cycles; the instruction is obtained in the first cycle and the data in the second. (2) Most of the logical and arithmetic operations are executed in the second cycle.

## II PRESENT TERMINAL EQUIPMENT

Input

1. A Ferranti photoelectric paper tape reader
(a) Standard sevenohole flexowriter paper tape
(b) 200 to 250 lines per second
2. Toggle switch registers
(a) Toggle switch accmulator called TAC
(b) Toggle switch buffer register called TBR
(c) 16 toggle switch registers called toggle switch storage, TSS.

These registers can replace the first 16 registers of magnetic core memory by means of a switch on the main consale.
3. Flexoprinter input to live register bits $2,5,8,11,14,17$ setting $L R_{0}$ to $a$ one when the key is struck。
4. Provision has been made for a photosensitive device, called the light $\mathrm{pen}_{9}$ to control the computer from the display tube.
Output

1. One $121 / 2^{17}$ cathode ray oscilloscope display tube
(a) 511 points by 511 points in $7^{\prime \prime}$ by $7^{\prime \prime}$ array
(b) a camera will be added in the future
2. Paper tape punch
3. The $T X-2$ which is in the process of being constructed will use this memory. The TX $\infty 0$ will then have a transistoredriven core memory of $2^{13}$ registers.
4. Each memory cycle has eight time pulses and the notation we use in refering to them is cycle, time pulse, (ioeo, cycle 0 , time pulse 8 is written 0.8).
. (a) Standard flexowriter tape
5. Standard ilexowriter printer

## III

REGISTERS

1. Memory Buffer Register - (MBR, 28 bits +1 parity check bit) receives information from and sends information to the memory. The transfer of information Iron the memory is checked by means of the parity digit which makes the sum of all 19 digits odd.
2. Accumulator - ( $A C, 18$ bits) - stores the results of numerical operations - is also used as buffer to in-out terminal equipment. The bits of $A C$ are numbered from left to right, 0 to 17.

One interesting point with regard to the $A C$ is that one may look upon it as strictly a ring adder. If we consider the leftmost digit as a sign, then the largest representable number is $2^{18}-1$ and the smallest is $-2^{-18}+1$. If a one is added to the largest number the result is the smallest and likewise if a . one is subtracted from the smallest the result is the largest. There is no overflow alarm. This feature has already been found to be useful in decision techniques.
3. Memory Address Register - (MAR, 16 bits) - selects the information in the memory and has another special feature of selecting operate class commands - (more about this later).
4. Program Counter - (PC, 16 bits) = is used by control and contains the address of the next instruction to be executed.
5. Instruction Register - ( $\mathbb{R}_{2} 2$ bits) - contains the operation part of the instruction which is to be executed.
6. Live Register - ( $I R_{,} 18$ bits ) - may be considered as just another storage register which uses flip-flop rather than magnetic cores. It is referred to by means operate class commands which we shall see later.
7. Toggle Switch Buffer Register - (TBR, 18 toggle switches). used for manual intervention in the normal and test modes.
8. Toggle Switch Accumulator - (TAG, 18 toggle switches) - used for manual intervention in the normal and test modes.
For a description of the flip-flops and logical control, see Figure 6.

IV INSTRUCTIONS AND OPERATING MODES
The first two bits of the 18 bit TX $\rightarrow 0$ word designate one of four basic instructions. The machine recognizes which one to perform by means of two flipoflops $I R_{0}$ and $I R_{I}$ called the instruction register. The remaining 16 bits of three of the instructions are used to specify a memory location. The fourth instruction makes use of its remaining 16 bits to designate one or more special commands. These are called operate class commands and are the means by which TX $\sim 0$ attains its versatility. (As we shall see in section VIII and IX)。

TX -0 has three operating modes: Normal, Test, and Read-In. They are specified by two flip $\quad$ flops, $R$ and $T$ called the mode registero.. The four instructions are carried out in one of the three modes and for each of the twelve combinations a different function is executed by the machine. The console has a push button to select the Test mode and also onf for the Readm In mode. The Normal mode is initiated by instructions in the other two modes. In the Normal mode instruction words are taken from the stored program; in the Test modes from the TBR; and in the ReadIn mode, from the tape being read in.

The mode register ( $R$ and $T$ ) decodes the modes as follows:

| MODE | R | T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal | 0 | 0 |
| Test | 0 | 1 |
| Read-In | 1 | 1 |

$\checkmark$ THE NORMAL MODE
The four basic instructions in the Normal mode are interpreted as follows：


01
1

10

11

ABBREVIATION
sto x add x
$\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{x}$
opr $x$

INSTRUCTION

Replace the contents of register $x$ with the contents of the AC．Let the AC remain the same。

Add the word－in register $x$ to the contents of the $A C$ and leave the sum in the $A C$ 。

If the sign digit of the accumula－ tor $\left(A C_{0}\right)$ is negative（i．e．a one） take the next instruction from register x and continue from there． If the sign is positive（i．e．a zero） ignore this instruction and proceed to the next instruction．

Execute one of the operate class ．． commands indicated by the number X 。 （See sections VIII and IX）。

VI TEST MODE
The test mode is selected by a push button on the console．Primarily the Test mode was designed into the computer to aid engineers and opera－ tors to manually intervene with control and storage for test purposes．

Basically one may consider the test mode as being a one instruction program where the instruction is set in the TBR（Toggle Switch Baffer） and the data to be．treated either already in the AC or set in the TAC （Toggle Switch AC）．There are two switches on the console which allow a little more versatility to the one instruction．They are called the repeat and step switches．The repeat switch causes the instruction to be repeated over and over again（unless，of course，it is of the transfer
control type). The step switch allows the address section of the instruction to be indexed by one each time the instruction is executed.

When the test mode push button on the console is activated (i.e., pushed) the first two digits of the TBR are sent to the IR and the last 16 digits are sent to the MAR. (In the stox case the $A C$ is reset according to what is set in the TAC). The PC is set to MAR + I and the instruction is executed.

Then if:
Repeat Switch Step Switch Operation After Execution of the Instruction

Off
Off

On

On

Off The computer will stop

On

Off

On indexed by 1. speed.

The computer will stop but the MAR will be changed to what is in the PC namely, the preceding MAR +1 and then the $P C$ will be

The computer will contimue to perform the same instruction repeatedly at machine

The MAR will be changed to what is in the PC , namely the preceding MAR +1 。 Then the PC will again be indexed by 1 and the instruction will be executed repeatedly with the address section being stepped up by one each time.

The four basic instructions for the test mode are classified as load, examine, test operate, and start.
"Load" $\begin{gathered}\text { sto } \mathrm{x} \\ 00\end{gathered}$

The AC is set to what is in the TAC and then the contents of the AC are stored in register x 。

instruction register is examined and if the instruction is of the storage type then the next three lines of tape are read in and assembled in the AC and then the instruction is executed. If when the IR was examined the instruction was of the transfer control type then no more information is read in and the transfer control instruction is executed. Summarizing, we can say that each data word requires six lines of tapes the first three indicating where to put it and the last three the word itself; each transfer control instruction requires only three lines of tape containing the instruction itself。 The tape layout canbe seen more clearly in Figure 5.

The four basic instructions of the Read-In mode are separated into two types - storage and transfer control.


| Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { First } \\ & \text { IR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Modified } \\ \text { IR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lines of tape | Symbol | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfer Control | 01 | 10 | 3 | add x | When the two digits of add (01) are read into IR (in the Read-In mode) they are complemented and the computer stops. Upon restarting (by pushing the restart button on the console) the computer performs the instruction $\operatorname{trn} \mathrm{x}_{0}$ Therefore, add $\mathrm{x}=$ stop $+\operatorname{trn} x_{0}$ |

Note, that with a hand punch, instruction-words on the tape can be modified so that st (00) can become add (01) or transfer (10) and either add or transfer can become operate (11). The flexibility allows changes on the tape without preparing a new one.

In actual practice the Read-In mode is used to read a more efficient Read-In program into storage, since a binary tape with a store instruction following each word would be extremely large and cumbersome. A description of this program will be found in section $X$. It is called the Input Routine and further describes how data is put into storage and also gives the reader an example of $T X \sim 0$ programming.

VIII OPERATE CLASS COMMANDS
The following is a list of the operate class commands, the time pulse on which they are executed, the binary form they assume, what they do and the octal notation of the last 16 bits of the operate instruction, opr x .

$11 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0=$ opr 100,000 (octal) ( 0.8 ) CLL = Clear the left nine digital positions of the AC

3
$110 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & =\text { opr } 40,000 \text { (octal) }\end{array}$ ( 0.8 ) CLR $\equiv$ Clear the right nine digital positions of the $A C$

45
 ( 0.8 ) IOS In Out Stop $=$ Stop machine so that an In-Out command (specified by digits 678 of MAR) may be executed.

45
$111000 \begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}=$ opr 30,000 (octal) (1.8) Hlt $=$ Halt the computer
$11000000 \begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\frac{6}{1} & 1 & \frac{8}{1} & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0=$ opr 7,000 (octal) $(0.8) \mathrm{P} 7 \mathrm{H}=$ Punch holes $1-6$ in flexo tape specified by AC digital positions $2,5,8,11,14$, and 17. Also punch a 7 th hole on tape.
$1100000 \begin{array}{llllllllllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & =\text { opr } 6,000 \text { (octal) }\end{array}$ (0.8) $\mathrm{P} 6 \mathrm{H}=$ Same as P 7 H but no seventh hole

IR


MAR

| 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$6 \quad 1 \quad 8$
 （ 0.8 ）PNT＝Print one flexowriter character specified by AC digits $2,5,8$ ， $1 l_{2} I_{4}$ and 17.
$906 \quad \frac{6}{6}$
$11000000 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \equiv$ opr 19000 （octal） （ 0.8 ）RIC $\equiv$ Read one line of flexo tape so that tape positions $1,2,3,4,5$ ，and 6 will be put in the AC digital positions $0,3,6,9,12$ and 15 。
$1.100000 \begin{array}{lllllllllll}\frac{6}{0} & \frac{7}{2} & \frac{8}{1} & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array} 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=$ opr 3,000 （octal） $(0,8)$ R3C $=$ Read one line of flexo tape into $A C$ digits $0,3,6,9,12$ ，and 15 。 Then cycle the AC one digital position：read the next line on tape into $A C$ digits $0,3,6,9,12$ and 15 ，cycle the $A C$ right one digital position and read the third and last line into AC digits $\mathrm{O}_{3} 3,6,9$ g 12 and 15．（This command is equal to a triple CYR－RIC．）

（ 0.8 ）DIS $=$ Intensify a point on the scope with $x$ and $y$ coordinates where $x$ is specified by AC digits $0=8$ with digit 0 being used as the sign and $y$ is specified by $A C$ digits $9-17$ with digit 9 being used as the sign for yo The complement system is in effect when the signs are negative． $1100000 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=\operatorname{opr} 400$（octal） （1．4）SHR＝Shift the AC right one place，ioe。 multiply the $A C$ by $2^{-1}$

210
 （1．4）$C Y R \equiv$ Cycle the $A C$ right one digital position（ $A C_{17}$ will become $A C_{0}$ ）

[^8]
 (1.I) $P E N=$ Read the light pen flipaflops $I$ and 2 into $A C_{0}$ and $A C_{1}{ }^{\circ}$
 (1.1) TAC $=$ Insert a one in each digital position of the AC wherever there is a one in the corresponding digital position of the TAC。

## 12

$1.10000 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=\operatorname{opr} 40$ (octal) (1.2) $C O M=$ Complement every digit in the accumulator

$$
13
$$

 (1.4) $P A D \equiv$ Partial add $A C$ to $M B R_{2}$, that is, for every digital position of the MBR that contains a one, complement the digit in the corresponding digital position of the $A C$. This is also called a half add.

Example: $\quad A C=1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1\end{array}$ $M B R=0 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$ New $A C=1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$

$1.10000 .0<0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0=$ opr 10 （octal）
（1．7）CRY $\equiv$ Partial add the 18 digits of the $A C$ to the corresponding 18 digits of the carry．
To determine what the 18 digits of the carry are，use the following rule：
＂Grouping the AC and MBR digits into pairs and proceeding from right to left，assign the carry digit of the next pair to a one if in the present pair $M B R=I$ and $A C=0$ or if in the present pair $A C=1$ and carry 1 。
（Note：The $0^{\text {th }}$ digit－pair determines the $17^{\text {th }}$ pair＇s carry digit）

Example：

$16 \quad 17$
1.10000 $(1.2) A M B=$ Store the contents of the $A C$ in the MBR．

$$
16 \quad 17
$$

 （1．2） $\mathrm{TBR}=$ Store the contents of the TBR in the MBR。

1．100 $1.10 \begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1.0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & =\text { opr } 2\end{array}$ （1．3）$L M B=$ Store the contents of the LR in the MBR。

It should be noticed that the command CYL or cycle left was not listed. The reason for that is:

$$
(1.2)(1.4)(1.7)
$$

$C Y L=A M B, \quad P A D, \quad C R Y$

## Example

After AMB (1.2)

After PAD (1.4)


This is an excellent example of how a programmer can accomplish many things with one operate instruction. Also notice that the AC was cleared by $A M B+P A D$. Any operate instructionword is capable of having a large variety of commands within itself as long as the programmer is aware of the time pulse sequence. The preceding list of commands also lists the cycle and time pulse of each command. We have-included a $T X=0$ logical flow chart in this memo with a few side remarks on the chart to assist you in reading it。 Whenever there is some question as to what is happening on each time pulse this chart should give you the answer. If you find in experimenting with the operate class commands that a new operate class command would be useful to a programmer, we will be glad to consider your suggestions.

```
IX COMBINATIONS OF OPERATE CLASS COMMANDS
The following list of combinations has already been found to be useful in programming. A conversion program which will be described in a later memo is capable of assembling most of these combinations using three letter memonic symbols (eog。 lac, alr, lad, etco).
\(0.8 \quad 0.8\)
CLL + CLR \(=\) opr 140,000 w clear the AC (CLA)
1.21 .4 1.7
\(A M B+P A D+C R Y=\) opr \(31=\) cycle the \(A C\) left one digital position (CYL)
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 1.2\)
CLL \(+C L R * C O M=\) opr \(140,040=\) clear and complement \(A C\) (CLC)
\(0.8 \quad 0.8\)
IOS + DIS \(=\) opr \(22,000=\) Display (this combination was included to remind you that with every incout command the IOS must be included) (DIS)
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.8\)
IOS + CLL + CLR \(=\operatorname{opr} 160,000\) = In out stop with AC cleared.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 1.4\)
IOS + P7H + CYR §opr 27,600 ※ Punch 7 holes and cycle AC right.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 1.4\)
IOS \(+\mathrm{P} 6 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CYR}=\) opr \(26,600=\) Punch 6 holes and cycle AC right.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.8\)
IOS + CLL + CLR + P6H \(=\) opr \(166,000=\) Clear the \(A C\) and punch a blank space on tape.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.8\)
IOS + PNT + CYR = opr \(24,600=\) Print and cycle AC right.
\(0.8-0.8\) l.2 1.4
IOS \(+\mathrm{P} 7 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{AMB}+\mathrm{PAD} \equiv\) opr 27,021 - Punch 7 holes and leave AC cleared.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 1.2 \quad 1.4\)
IOS \(+\mathrm{P} 6 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{AMB}+\mathrm{PAD} \equiv\) opr \(26,021 \equiv\) Punch 6 holes and leave \(A C\) cleared.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 1.2 \quad 1.4\)
IOS + PNT \(+\mathrm{AMB}+\mathrm{PAD}=\operatorname{opr} 24,021=\) Print and leave \(A C\) cleared.
\(0.8 \quad 0.8 \quad 0.8\)
CLL \(+C L R+\) RIC \(=\) opr \(141,000=\) Clear \(A C\) and start petr running (notice no IOS - which means computer hasn \({ }^{0} t\) stopped to wait for information).
```



## $X$ PROGRAM EXAMPLE

This section was included to give the reader an example of a $T X=0$ program．The program which was chosen is used to read binary tapes into storage and is called the Input Routineo．It was written to avoid the long and cumbersome tapes which would be．required by the Reade In mode （a store instruction for each data word）．When the conversion program has finished converting a program ${ }^{\circ}$ s lexowriter tape and is ready to punch a binary tape，it first punches the Input Routine on tape in the form that is required by the Read－In mode．Then the converted program is punched out in pinary form according to the specifications required by the Input Routine。

By having the Input Routine on the leader of each tape all that is required is the activation of the Read－In push button．The Input Routine is read in by the Read－In mode and then control is immediately transferred to the Input Routine which takes on the task of reading in the rest of the binary tape。

The specifications required by the Input Routine are very simple。 The tape channel positions of a word are the same as they are in the Read－In mode．Words are transferred to storage in blocks of sequentially addressed words．The first word in the block is a store instruction word whose address section contains the address of the first word in the block．（Call it sto $W_{1}$ 。）The second word in the block is the comple ment of a store instruction word whose address contains the address of the last word in the block。（Call it sto $W_{n}$ where $n$ no．of wordso） The data words follow these two pieces of information．Following the last data word of the block is a word which is the complement of the sum of all the preceding words in the block including the first two control words．

The address of the starting instruction follows the last block of data words．If it is in the form of an add instruction（add $z$ ）the computer will be stopped before the Input Routine transfers control to the program．If it is in the form of a transfer control instruction（trn z）
then the program will be started immediately after the last block of data words has been read into storage.

TAPE FORMAT REQUIRED BY INPUT ROUTINE



Temporary storage Add 177773 tran 177772
opr 163,000 (R3C)
sto 177756

177746
177747 177750

177751 177752

177753 177754 $\rightarrow \begin{array}{r}177755 \\ 177756\end{array}$

177757
177760
177761
177762
177763
177764
177765 177766

## 177767

177770
177771
277772
177773
177774
177775
177776
tim 177756
add 177774 trn 177775
opr 163,000 (R3C)
sto 177777
add 177756
sto 177741
opr 163,000 (R3C)
(sto Wi)
(add 2) (Not used)
(trn Z)
add 177741
sto 177741
opr 140,000 (CLA)
add 177756
add 177773
sto 177756
add 177777
$\operatorname{trn} 177755$
opr $163 ; 000$ (R3C)
add 177741
$\operatorname{trn} 177742$
opr 30,000 (HLT)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 200,000 \\
& \text { sto } 177777 \\
& \text { opr } 30,000 \text { (HIT) }
\end{aligned}
$$



177777
trn Z (or the complement of the address of the last word in a block).

Partial sum of block
If the preceding block's sum is coprect, go on to next block or transfer control word. If not; go to 177772 and stop computer.
Read in the first word of a block or the transfer control word (add Z or trir Z ) and store it in register 277756.

Is 1 it st WIg add $Z_{2}$ or trn Z? If $\operatorname{trn} Z$ go directly to register 177756.
It is either st Wif $^{2}$ or add Z ; add 200,000 to the $A C_{0}^{-}$If it was add $Z$, the AC is now neg. (=trn Z), so go to 177775 .
Read in the complement of the address of the last word in the block and store it in the register 177777.
Add the first two control words of the block together and store in 177741. to initiate the partial sum.

Read in the $i^{\text {th }}$ word and store it in its assigned memory location
Add the $i^{\text {th }}$ word to the partial sum of the block.
Index the address section of the register 177756 by one.

Has the $n^{\text {th }}$ word been transferred to storage? If $A C$ is negative $-\mathrm{no}_{3}$ return to 177755 .
Read in the sum of the block. Is it the same as the sum in register 41? If it is, $A C=$ minus zero, go to 177742. If it is positive..... stop the computer. The sum check is wrong.

## Constants

The last block has been stored and.
the transfer control word was add $Z_{\text {。 }}$
Put trn Z in register 177777 and stop the computer.
Upon restarting, transfer control to register $Z$.

The operate class commands used in the Input routine were：

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { opr } 160,000= & C L L+C L R+I O S+R 3 C \\
& \text { Clear AC and read three lines, cycling each time } \\
& \text { so that they are assembled as an } 18 \text { bit word in } \\
& \text { the AC. }
\end{aligned}
$$

opr 140 OOOO＝CLL＋CLR＝CLA
Clear both halves of AC．
opr $30,000=\mathrm{Halt}$ the computer．

It should be noticed that a trn instruction（10）has a one in the sign digital position．In registers 177744,45 ，and 46 when the transfer control word＂trn Z＂is read into the accumulator，the trn 177756 will transfer control to 177756。 Since register 177756 will contain trn $Z$ and the $A C$ still contains $\operatorname{trn} Z$ ，control will immediately be sent to register $Z_{\text {。 }}$ This is a useful trick．（For example，transfering control to a subroutine with the exit word in the $A C$ ）。

One other point of interest，if the word add $Z$ is in the $A C$ when instruction trn 177756 in register 177746 is performed，the $A C$ is positive and the next instruction will be add 177774．This will cause the octal number 200,000 to be added to the $A C$ and since the first two bits of the word add Z are 01 ，the result will be trn Z 。 This causes the instruction trn 177775 to be executed and 177775 will store the word $\operatorname{trn} Z$ in register 177777．The next instruction is the operate class command halt．Since the $A C$ is not disturbed，it will still con－ tain trn Z．If the restart push button is activated，the trn $Z$ in register 177777 will transfer control to register $Z$ 。

## XI TOGGLE SWITCH STORAGE

The TX $=0$ has an auxiliary memory system consisting of sixteen togglemswitch registers which we shall refer to as toggle switch storage， TSS．The TSS can be used as a substitute for the first sixteen magnetic core registers 0 through 17．All sixteen registers of TSS can replace core registers 0 through 17 or they can be chosen individually to replace their respective core registers，ioe． $\mathrm{FSS}_{6}$ can replace register 6 of core memory while the other fifteen can still be core．

The Live Register has been mentioned earlier as an eighteen biti flipoflop register with no address．Up to this point the only way refer－ ence could be made to it was by means of the operate class commands． The switches on the TSS panel allow the Live Register to be addressed like any other register．However，its contents can still only be changed by specific operate class commands or by data from the flexo type writer（if the flexo input switch on the main console is in the on position）。

The sixteen registers of TSS are focated on the console．（See Figure 4）In addition to the eighteen toggle switches associated with each register there is a toggle switch located to the left of each register which we shall call＂ $\mathrm{cm}^{\mathrm{FP}}$ ，and one to the right of each register which we shall call＂lr＂。Also located on the console is a master switch called＂core memory select＂or CM select．When the CM select switch is ong the first sixteen registers will always be magnetic core．When the CM select switch is off then the first sixteen registers can be either ． magnetic core，toggle switch storage，or addresses of the live register．

The following is a breakdown of the possible combinations:

$$
\text { CM Select Switch }=0 \mathrm{FF}
$$

Regor $\mathrm{cm} \mathrm{TSS}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Ir

## Case One

* Off W Off Register $x$ is TSS and the word in $x$ is $W$ which is set by the toggle switches.


## Case Two

x Off W On $x$ is the address of the IR and the word in x will always be the word in the LR and not the toggle sw setting $W_{\text {o }}$

## Case Three

Register $x$ is magnetic core and the toggle switch setting $W$ means nothing.

## Case Four

The core switch cm takes precedence over the Ir switch and this case becomes the same as case three.

Note that the Live Register may have one, $\mathrm{tw} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ three or sixteen different addresses $(0-17)$ or none at all if no Ir switch is on.

JIG ${ }_{9}$ HPP: bach
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Fig. 2 A-68264
Fig 3 A-68265
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Fig. 5 A $=68405$
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APPENDIX A

## TX 0 O Console

1. Push Buttons
(a) Stop
(b) Restart
(c) Read-In
(d) Test
(e) Tape Feed
2. Flip-Flop Indicators
(a) IR Two bit instruction register
(b) C Cycle
(c) RT Mode
(d) MR Memory Read*
(e) MI Memory Inhibit
(f) PAR Parity
(g) SS Start Stop
(h) PBS Push Button Synchronizer
(i) IOS In Out Stop
(j) CH Chime Alarm
(k) LP Light Pen Flip-Flops 1 and 2.
(1) PETR Photoelectric reader flipoflops $1,2,3$ and 4
$\because(\mathrm{m})$ Alarm Indicator
3. Flip-Flop Registers
(a) MAR
(b) PC
(c) $M B R$
(d) $A C$
(e) LR
4. Switches
(a) Suppress Alarm
(b) Suppress Chime
(c) Automatic Restart
(d) Automatic Read-In
(e) Automatic Test
(f) Stop on Cycle Zero
(g) Stop on Cycle One
(h) Step
(i) Repeat
(j) Printer Input
5. Toggle Switch Registers
(a) TAC - Toggle switch accumulator
(b) TBR - Toggle switch buffer register
(c) TSS = Sixteen toggle switch storage registers
6. Switches
(a) Suppress Alarm
(b) Suppress Chime
(c) Automatic Restart
(d) Automatic Read-In
(e) Automatic Test
(f) Stop on Cycle Zero
(g) Stop on Cycle One
(h) Step
(i) Repeat
(j) Printer Input
7. Toggle Switch Registers
(a) TAC - Toggle switch accumulator
(b) TBR - Toggle switch buffer register
(c) TSS = Sixteen toggle switch storage registers

## APPENDIX B

## Operate Class Command Summary

| CLL | $(0,8)$ | opr 100,000 | Clear left AC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLR | $(0,8)$ | opr 40,000 | Clear right AC |
| IOS | $(0,8)$ | opr 20,000 | Incout stop |
| HLT | $(1,8)$ | opr 30,000 | Halt |
| P7H | $(0,8)$ | opr 7,000 | Punch 7 holes |
| P6H | $(0,8)$ | opr 6.000 | Punch 6 holes |
| PNT | $(0,8)$ | opr 4,000 | Print |
| RIC | $(0,8)$ | opr 1, 1 ,000 | Read 1 line |
| R3C | $(0,8)$ | opr 3,3,000 | Read 3 lines |
| DIS | $(0,8)$ | opr 2,000 | Display |
| SHR | (1.4) | opr 400 | Shift right |
| CYR | (1.4) | opr 600 | Cycle right |
| MLR | (1,3) | opr 200 | MBR $\rightarrow$ IR |
| PEN | (1.1) | opr 100 | Read light pen |
| TAC | (1.1) | opr 4 | TAC ones $\Rightarrow$ AC |
| COM | (1.2) | opr 40 | Complement $A C$ |
| PAD | (1.4) | opr 20 | Partial ADD MBR and AC |
| CRY | (1.7) | opr 10 | Partial ADD carry digits and AC |
| AMB | (1.2) | opr 1 | $\mathrm{AC} \rightarrow \mathrm{MBR}$ |
| TBR | (1.02) | opr 3 | $\mathrm{TBR} \rightarrow \mathrm{MBR}$ |
| LMB | (1.3) | opr 2 | $\mathrm{LR} \rightarrow \mathrm{MBR}$ |

## APPENDIX C

OPERATE CLASS COMMAND COMBINATION SUMMARY



FIG. 1
TX-O COMPUTER ROOM


FIG. 3
TX-O MAIN CONSOLE PANEL


FIG. 2
TX-O CONSOLE




```
        *
```




```
            *
            4000000000000 000 000 0
            500000000400000000000
            * IO 00000000000 000 0000
            TIT-00 000 000 000 000 000 0
            10 0)0 s00 000 0e0 000 ece 0
            *
```






```
    *
```




```
%
```



```
    *
            4040 3 3 5 5% 8
*
            *
```



FIG. 4
TX-0 TOGGLE SWITCH STORAGE

012345678910111213141516 I7

| $A$ | $B$ | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | O | P | Q | R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

INSTRUCTION
MEMORY
REG ADDRESS REGISTER



EXAMPLE: STORE THE OCTAL
WORD 356321 IN
REGISTER 40 OCTAL

## AC  IR MAR 

$\qquad$ | IR |
| :---: |
| st |

MAR
$40(0)$


FIG. 5
TAPE LAYOUT FOR READ-IN MODE OF TX-O





FIG. 25
MARGINAL CHECKING PLOT OF VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO8 vs VOLTAGE APPLIED TO RIO5, RIO7, RIII. SENSE AMPLIFIER $\# 9$, CHANNEL 3. CLIPPING LEVEL $\cong 30 \mathrm{mV}$.
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