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## Abstract:

A digital-to-analog decoder is a circuit which converts digital information to a quantized voltage. The decoders discussed consist of four basic circuits: a voltage reference source, a constant current source, a current gate tube, and a decoder ladder. Each of these circuits is described in detail with typical operating values for the evaluation and maintenance of each circuit. Detailed analysis of a variably terminated push-pull decoder ladder is included showing the advantages of this ladder over the standard terminated single-sided ladder discussed by Walquist in R220. second report ( $6 \mathrm{M}-3915$ ) discusses three complete decoders in which these component circuits are used.

### 1.0 General

A Digital-To-Nnalog decoder is a circuit which transforms digital information in the form of flip-flop levels to a quantized analogue voltage which, through suitable amplification, can be used to deflect an electron beam in a cathode ray tube. Figure la (SA47174) shows a block diagram of a typical balanced decoder stage, or bit. Voltage reference source ( R ) acting on a current source ( CUs ) produces a regulated source of current. This current can then be switched through either one of two current gate tubes ( CGT ) into a ladder section ("IS") by an appropriate flip-flop level on the CGT input.

The inputs to the two current gate tubes are connected to opposite sides of a single flip-flop. Thus one input will be at +10 volts while the other will be at -30 volts. The current gate tube whose input is at +10 volts will conduct current from the current source to the ladder section connected to $1 t$. The companion gate tube will be completely cut off.

The ladder sections are resistive networks connected to a common supply voltage. As current passes through a ladder section, an output voltage is produced in this section in a negative direction from the supply voltage. Simultaneously the current in the companion ladder section is cut-off, and its output voltage approaches the suppIy voltage. If the output is taken across the output terminals of both ladder sections the output will be a push-pull signal whose amplitude is twice the swing off a single ladder section.

In a multi-stage decoder the various ladder sections are connected in series as shown in fig. Ib (SA47174). It will be shown that a property of this connection is to weigh the output of each individual section in a binary fashion. That is, for a given current flowing successively in sections $1_{0} 2_{0}$ and $3_{0}$, section one will produce the greatest effect on the output, section two only one half the effect of section one, and section three only one quarter the effect of the first section.

### 2.0 Specific Component Circuits

### 2.1 Voltage Reference Source (R)

Figure 2 (SA63288) shows the block symbol and circuit diagram of the voltage reference source. Drawings 3006898, 3006899, 3007706, and 3007707 show the required card assemblies and details to make up the circuit.

The circuit on card assembly 3006898 functions as the primary voltage regulator and has a control pot (R3) which will permit setting the output of the circuit to 160 volts across RI, despite normal variations in the output voltage of the reference tubes $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ on this card. Because of manufacturing tolerances and aging the operating voltages at $H$ will be $86 \mathrm{~V} \pm 5 \mathrm{v}$ referred to the -300 v supply line, while point (G)will be $172^{\mathrm{v}}+10^{\mathrm{v}}$. Thus for the extreme conditions on (G) the control pot can vary ${ }_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{l}$ between 139 v to $162^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $156^{\mathrm{V}}$ to $182^{\mathrm{V}}$. The $160^{\mathrm{V}}$ across RI can therefore be realized at all times.

Card 3007706 functions as a secondary regulator to reduce variations in the supply voltages to card 3006898. In both circuits the $I M I / 2 W$ resistors are used to insure proper firing of the VR tubes. Table 2.1 gives pertinent data for the evaluation and maintenance of this circuit.

Table 2.1a
Voltage Measurements (referred to ground)

| Point | $\frac{\text { Voltage }}{\text { A }}$ | $+250^{\nabla} \pm 5^{\nabla}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |$\quad \frac{\text { Point }}{F}$

Point
F
G
H
J $\quad-139 \pm 6^{\text {V }}$

Table 2.1b

## Component Loading

| $\frac{\text { Component }}{\text { card } 3006898}$ | $\frac{\text { Voltage }}{\text { (in volts) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Current }}{\text { (in ma.) }}$ | $\frac{\text { Max. Power }}{\text { (in watts) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1} 150 \mathrm{~K}$ 1w $1 \%$ | 160 | $1.07 \pm .01$ | 0.173 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2} 56 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{w} 1 \%$ 。 | $172 \pm 17$ | $3.08 \pm .34$ | 0.646 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ 25K pot | $11 \pm 5$ | $1.07 \pm .01$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.42 \text { rating } \\ & \text { required } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{6}$ IM $1 / 2 \mathrm{w} 5 \%$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $.086 \pm .007$ | 0.0081 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{1} 5783 \mathrm{WA}$ | $86 \pm 2$ | $2.01 \pm .35$ | 0.208 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}_{2} 5783 \mathrm{WA} \\ & \text { card } 3007706 \end{aligned}$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $1.93 \pm .36$ | 0.204 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1} 75 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{1} \mathrm{\%}$ | $205 \pm 23$ | $2.73 \pm .33$ | 0.698 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{2} 68 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{WI} \%$ | $205 \pm 23$ | $3.02 \pm .37$ | 0.773 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{M}} 1 / 2 \mathrm{~W} 5 \%$ | $172 \pm 6$ | $.172 \pm .014$ | 0.033 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{I} / 2 \mathrm{~W} 5 \%$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $.086 \pm .007$ | 0.0081 |
| $\nabla_{1} 5783 \mathrm{WA}$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $2.67 \pm 1.04$ | 0.330 |
| $\nabla_{2} 5783 \mathrm{WA}$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $2.67 \pm 1.04$ | 0.330 |
| $\mathrm{V}_{3} 5783 \mathrm{WA}$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $2.59 \pm 1.05$ | 0.324 |
| $\nabla_{4} 5783 \mathrm{WA}$ | $86 \pm 3$ | $2.51 \pm 1.06$ | 0.325 |

## Table 2.1c

## Power Supply Requirments

| Voltage |  | Current |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| +250 | $5.75 \pm .70 \mathrm{ma}$ | 1.44 w  <br> -300 $5.75 \pm .70 \mathrm{ma}$ |
|  |  | 1.73 W |

IFigure 3 (SA 48619mG) shows the behaviour of this circuit as the $-300^{V}$ marginal check line is varied. It will be noticed that the output across $R_{1}$ remains within 0.1 \%/o while the marginal check line is varied from $-300^{V} \pm 31 v_{0}$. In this region the output varies at a rate of $.01 \%$ for every $10 / 0$ change of the marginal check voltage. This gives a transfer function $\Delta e o / \Delta e m c=0.00355=K 1$. This value of Kl also holds for low frequency noise in either the -300 V line or the $+250^{\mathrm{V}}$ line. As the $-300^{V}$ in the further reduced, the current through $V 3$ and $V 4$ on the card 3007706 is eventually reduced to a point where the tubes can no longer sustain conduction. This has occurred in the circuit under test at $-197 v$. Beyond this point the output varies at a rate of $.05 \%$ for each $1 \%$ change in the -300 V line, giving a transfer function $\Delta e o / \Delta$ emc $=0.0265$. Once $V_{3}$ has been extinguished, the marginal check line must be returned beyond the point at which $V_{3}$ extinguished before sufficient voltage is available to re-ignite $V_{3}$. In the circuit under test this occurred at $-230^{\mathrm{V}}$. It is to be understood that the variationsion this MC Iine are not intended to marginal cheak this circuit. The variation will be used to marginal check the current source tubes. Hence, this study is intended to check whether the reference is sufficiently constant for the marginal check to be a valid indication of failure of the current source tubes. The only indication of failure of this circuit is the inability to adjust eRI to 160 v. This voltage will have to be checked periodically and when the range of adjustment on RI becomes critically small the circuit should be removed from service and repaired.

### 2.2 Current Source Circuit $\left(\mathrm{CU}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$

Figure 4 (SA 63702) shows the block symbol and circuit diagram of the current source circuit. Drawings 3006893, 3006894, 3006896, 3006897. 3006908, 3006913, 3006914 shows the necessary card details and assemblies to make up the circuit with its necessary variations.

The constant current source is essentially a cathode follower with a fixed voltage applied to its grid. By definition a constant current source will supply a fixed current despite variations in load voltage. Therefore, a figure of merit for a constant current source is its dynamic output impedance (or equivalent resistance) $\Delta e o / \Delta i o$ (in conjuction with the voltage reference source). It can be shown for this circuit (see Appendix A) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Req }=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{eb}}{\Delta \mathrm{ib}}=\frac{\mathrm{rp}+(\mu+1) \mathrm{Rk}}{\frac{\lambda \mathrm{if} I}{2}} \tag{eq 2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equation it can be seen that for a given change in output voltage, Req should be as high as possible to minimize the change in current.

$$
\Delta i b=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{eb}}{\operatorname{Req}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{eb}(I+\mu \mathrm{KI})}{\mathrm{rp}+(\mu+I) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}}
$$

A quantity which is related to the circuit equivalent resistance is the circuit equivalent voltage. For this circuit 2 .

$$
E \text { eq }=i b \text { Req } \quad \text { eq. } 2.22
$$

Considering equations 2.21 and 2.22 it is found that the circuit is optimized by making $R_{k}$ as large as possible. However, rise time considerations in the later circuits will limit the size of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ if the available minus supply voltage is fixed. These considerations have dictated that the cathode resistance should be about 30K. Therefore, a current source with a 27 K cathode resistor in series with a 5 K variable resistor is considered a unity current source. In certain special decoders two additional values of current are required: one to give 1 l/8 unity current and a second to give $3 / 4$ unity current. These are obtained by changing the fixed 27 K cathode resistor to 24 K and 36 K respectively.

In each decoder one current source has a fixed 2.4 K resistor in series with the 27 K resistor (RK). This current source is then considered a reference current source and all other current sources can be adjusted with the 5 K pot to give the same current as the reference current source, or the required proportionate amount. This adjustment is most easily carried out by connecting one side of a sensitive voltmeter to point E on the reference current source and the second side to point $E$ on the variable current source under adjustment: then adjusting the pot until the voltage reads zero. This guarantees that the voltage across each $R_{k}$ is equal, and since these are $1 \%$ resistors the current through the variable current source is within $2 \%$ of that in the reference current source. To adjust these currents more accurately a special procedure will be needed to emphasize any inaccurracies in adjustment, and final trimming will have to be made by comparing the decoder output to a very accurate calibration signal. This procedure will be written up in more detail in an IBM Technical Report by J. Seeland。

From section 2.1 we have $K_{1}=0.0035$ for variations in the $-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ line, and $K_{工}=0$ for variations in the +10 volt level of the plate. Using these and the above values for $R_{k}$ and the following values for tube parameters $\mu=46, r p=10 \mathrm{k}$, we get two sets of values for $R$ eq and $E$ eq. These values plus other pertinent data for the evaluation and maintenance of this circuit are presented in table 2.2.

Table 2.2a

| Voltage Measurements |  | (Fig 4) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Points | Voltage | Point | Voltage |
|  | in volts |  | in volts |
| A | $-298 \pm 6$ | D | $-138 \pm 7 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| B | $-139 \pm 6$ | E | $-150 \pm 7 v$ |
| C | $+10 \pm 4$ |  |  |

## Table 2.2b

Component Loading

| Component | $\frac{\text { Voltage }}{\text { Unit current }} \quad$ in volts | Current |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| in ma。 | Max Power |  |
| in watts |  |  |

    source
    $\mathrm{RK}=27 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 \% \quad 148 \pm 1$
$5.485 \pm .095 \quad 0.83$
$R_{2}=2.4 \mathrm{~K} 1 \% 1 \% \quad 13.2 \pm .3$
$5.485 \pm .095$
0.074
$\mathrm{R}_{2}=5 \mathrm{~K}$ Pot
$13.2 \pm 2.3$
$5.485 \pm .095$
0.16 (required)
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{Z2177}$
$148 \pm 9$
$5.485 \pm .095$
0.88
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ (bogey bias) $1.8^{\mathrm{V}}$ minimum $\mathrm{gm}=28 \%$ of bogey
3/4 current source
$\begin{array}{llll}R_{k}=36 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{~W} 1 \% & 148 \pm 1 & 4.115 \pm .065 & 0.63 \\ R_{2}=5 \mathrm{~K} \text { Pot } & 13.2 \pm 2.3 & 4.115 \pm .065 & 0.09 \text { (required) }\end{array}$
$V_{1}=22177 \quad 148 \pm 9$
$4.115 \pm .065 \quad 0.66$
$e_{g}$ (bogey bias) $2 . I^{\vee}$ minimum $g m=21 \%$ of bogey
I $1 / 8$ current source

| $R_{k}=24 \mathrm{k} \mathrm{2w} 1 \%$ | $148 \pm 1$ | $6.17 \pm .11$ | 0.94 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{2}=5 \mathrm{k}$ Pot | $13.2 \pm 2.3$ | $6.17 \pm .11$ | 0.2 (required) |
| $\nabla_{1}=22177$ | $148 \pm 9$ | $6.17 \pm .11$ | 0.99 |
| $e_{g}$ (bogey bias) | 1.7 v minimum $\mathrm{gm}=31 \%$ og bogey |  |  |

## Table 2.2c

## Power Supply Requirements

$+250^{\circ}$ (indirectly) $\propto 4.12 \pm .06 \mathrm{ma}, 5.48 \pm .1 \mathrm{ma} \% 6.17 \pm .11 \mathrm{ma}$
$-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ a $4.12 \pm .06 \mathrm{ma}, 5.48 \pm .1 \mathrm{ma}, 6.17 \pm .11 \mathrm{ma}$
$6.3^{\mathrm{V}}$ AC $\propto .45 \mathrm{amp} / 2$ current sources biased at $-150^{\mathrm{V}}$

Table 2.2d

## Transfer Functions

Current Source
$3 / 4=4.115 \mathrm{ma}$
$1=5.485 \mathrm{ma}$
$11 / 8=6.170 \mathrm{ma}$


To insure proper operation of this current source it is impartant to insure that no grid current flows. Since the regulated current is actually the cathode current, any grid current which flows subtracts from the plate current. However, since the required regulated current is not the cathode current but the plate current, the grid current must be kept to a negligible quantity. In a $Z 2177$ the grid current at zero bias is about $10 \mu \mathrm{a}$. which is about $0.2 \%$ of the regulated plate current, but increases rapidly beyond this point. The zero bias point can therefore be used as a limit of operation. The other limit is determined by the maximum allowable plate voltage, which is 200 volts. Thus, the limits of operation on the plate with the marginal check at $-300^{\nabla}$ are $+60^{\nabla}$ to -95 volts for a bogey tube. The limits on the marginal check line, with the plate at $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$, are $-205^{\mathrm{v}}$ to $-360^{\mathrm{V}}$ 。

Figures 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 (SA48613-G, SA48617-G, SA48614-G, SA48615-G, SA $48618 \mathrm{G}_{0}$ and SA 48616-G respectively) show the behaviar of these various constant current sources as the $-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ marginal check line is varied. Figures 5. 7. and 9 show the variation in the "constant" current of each source as the mc line is varied and the plate voltage is held constant at +10 volts. Figure 5 emphasizes the effect of a "down" tube by substituting for the 22177 a 6072 which has approximately $25 \%$ of the perveance of a 22177 。 $(25 \%$ of the plate current under same conditions of plate voltage and grid current.) Figures 6, 8 and 10 show the change in plate voltage necessary to maintain a constant current within given limits as the MC line is varied. It will be noticed in all of these cases that the current remains constant within $0.1 \%$ for normal variations of the $-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ line $\left(+6^{\mathrm{V}}\right)$. Since variations of less than $1 \%$ will not produce apprecisbly noticable effects in the display, the marginal check line will probably have to be raised 30 or 40 volts to pick out weak tubes. Assuming that the MC line is raised 30 volts the minimum perveance to cause failure would be $270 \%$ and $36 \%$, and $40 \%$ respectively for the $3 / 4$, 1 , and $11 / 8$ current sources. Thus, the 30 volt excursion would pick out tubes which are within $29 \%$ of failure under normal operating conditions.

Figures 5, 7, and 9 also show the effect of grid current. The increased slope in the plate current curve in the vicinity of -230 to -240 volts is caused by grid current replacing the plate current in the "constant" cathode current.

### 2.3 Current Gate Tube (CGT)

Figure 11 (SA 63717) shows the block symbol and circuit diagram of the current gate tube. Since the circuit contains only a tube and no other components, no special cards are needed for this circuit. However, the following card drawings show the necessary leads to make connections to this circuit: 3006893, 3006894, 3006908, 3006911.

Two current gate tubes are always operated in parallel with their cathodes tied together to a constant current source. The constant surrent source requires that current must flow constantly from one CGT or the other, or both. If the grids were at exactly the same potential and if the tube characteristics were always identical then the current would split equally between these tubes. However, if these conditions cannot be guaranteed then the amount of current through each tube is indeterminate unless it can be guaranteed that no current flows through one tube. This is the case that is used by connecting the CGT's to opposite sides of a single flip-flop. In this case if we assume that point A (Fig. 1l) is at +10 volts, then point $D$ will be at $-30^{v}$. Under this condition Va will conduct and point $B$ will be at $+10^{v}$ plus the necessary bias required by the voltage at point $C$ and the current being conducted. In all cases point D will be at least 35 volts negative to $B$ so that Vb will be completely cut off. The exact bias on Va cannot be specified because it depends on the voltage at $C$ which, in turn, depends on the position of the CGT in a given ladder and on the number of bits which are simultaneously conducting. Curves are presented in section 2.4 which specify this voltage for several special cases. In general this variation will fall within the range of $+150^{\mathrm{V}} \pm 50^{\circ}$.

The figure of merit for this circuit is similar to that of the constant current source: that is, a measure of the variation of "constant" current for various voltage inputs is required. Since the current source acts as buffer for variations in the $-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ line, and the current gate tube acts as a cathode-follower to the current source for flip-flop inputs, the equivalent resistance for this circuit to $-300^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$ variations is the same as for the current source, namely

$$
R \text { eq }=\frac{(\mu+1) R k+r p}{1+\mu K_{1}} \quad(\text { eq 2.21) }
$$

where $K_{1}=0.0035$ for $+250^{\mathrm{V}}$ and -300 variations and $K_{1}=0$ for $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$ variations: $\mu_{0} r p_{\text {, }}$ and $R_{k}$ refer to the values of the current source feeding this current gate tube.

For variations in the plate voltage of the current gate tube we can use the above equation with $R_{k}$ equal to the equivalent resistance of the current source to variations in the $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$ level.

$$
R \text { eq }=\left(\mu_{7} \mu_{2}+\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+1\right) R_{k}+\left(\mu_{2}+1\right) r p_{1}+r p_{2}(\text { eq 2.31) }
$$ where $\mu_{1}, R_{k}$ refer to the values of the current source, and $\mu_{2}, \mathrm{rp}_{2}$ refer to the values of the current gate tube. For $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}, r_{p_{1}}=r p_{2}$

$$
R \text { eq }=(\mu+1)^{2} R_{k}+(\mu+2) \mathrm{rp} \quad \text { (eq 2.32) }
$$

In Section 2.4 it is shown that noise on the $+250^{\circ}$ line appears di－ rectly on the plates of the CGT．For these noise signals equation 2.32 must be modified to include the effect of this noise on the voltage re－ ference source．

$$
R e q=\frac{(\mu+1)^{2} \mathrm{Rk}+(\mu+2) \mathrm{rp}}{1+\mu \mathrm{KI}} \quad(\text { eq 2.32a) }
$$

Table 2．3d shows the calculated values of Req for variations in $-300^{\mathbf{V}}$ ， $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$ ，and $+250^{\mathrm{V}}$ lines using $\mu_{2}=40, \mathrm{rp}_{2}=10 \mathrm{~K}_{\text {．}}$ ．These can then be compared to the measured values shown in figures 12，13，and 14 （SA：48610－G． SA 48609～G。SA 48611－G respectively）．

The importance of grid current is emphasized if figure 12，13，and 14 in the vicinity of $480^{\circ}$ ．The Req becomes considerably lower in this rean gion so that the regulation deteriorates very rapidly．This reduction is the result of grid current which reduces the plate current by an equiva－ lent amount．Figure 13 further emphasizes the effect by substituting a 6072 for a 22177 to show the effect of a lower perveance，and consequent－ ly introducing grid current at a higher plate voltage．

This effect of grid current can conveniently be used to marginal check this circuit．If the +250 volt line is lowered，the signal voltage on each tube plate will be lowered by an equivalent amount because of the constant current being drawn．If this voltage is lowered to a point where the operating voltage on all tubes is still slightly above the point of grid current，only a negligible effect on the plate current will be no－ ticed．However，if a tube is below specs it will begin to draw grid cur－ rent and the plate current will change by an equivalent amount．This a： effect is then reflected in a change in the output voltage of the decoder．

An effect similar to grid current is produced by plate current in the cut－off companion gate tube．It had been tacitly stated earlier that the cut－off bias on the circuit would be a minimum of 35 volts．However，if the input deteriorates from its $+10^{\mathrm{V}}$ and $-30^{\mathrm{V}}$ levels it could happen that the cut－off tube might not have enough bias to completely cut off．With a weak－on tube the cathode of both tubes will be at the same potential as the input to the on－tube．Under this condition the cut－off bias on the companion tube is exactly equal to the difference in input levels．The exact minimum value of this difference can not be determined because the cut－off characteristics of the tube are not controlled to the degree re－ quired．However，a test of a representative group of tubes indicates that 13 volts bias will cut off $97 \%$ of the tubes tested to within $10 \mu \mathrm{a}$ 。 This indicates that with the inputs deteriorated to a difference of 13 volts，the＂on＂tube should still conduct $99.8 \%$ of its nominal current． By limiting the minimum difference between inputs to 20 volts we should not expect any difficulty from this source。

The input impedance of this circuit is entirely capacitive but varies in magnitude depending on the state of the tube. Neglecting wiring capacity, there are three states during which the capacity differs. The first state occurs in the region between $+10^{V}$ input and the start of current transition between companion tubes. During this time the cathode essentially follows the grid but the plate remains constant because of the constant current source. At this time the only capacity of importance is the grid to plate capacity of the tube. The second state occurs during transition. At this time the cathode remains essentially fixed but the plate voltage varies depending on the gain of the tube. At this time the capacity is highest being equal to the grid to cathode capacity plus Miller capacity. The third state occurs between $-30^{\mathrm{V}}$ and the start of current transition from a negative approach. At this time the plates are again constant but the cathode follows the grid of the companion tube. The capacity at this time is essentially the grid to plate capacity plus twice the grid to cathode capacity. The calculated values of capacity for states 1,2 , and 3 are respectively 3, 36, $11 \mu \mu f$ 。Measured values of capacity necessarily include the wiring capacity in the plug-in-unit and the integrated effect of all three states. The measured value was $12 \mu \mu f \pm 20 \%$ 。

Table 2.3 presents pertinent information for the evaluation and maintenance of this circuit.

Table 2.3a
Voltage Measurements (Fig. 11)

Point

## Voltage

in volts
A $\quad+10 \pm 2^{\mathbf{v}}$ (or $-30 \pm 2^{v}$ )
B $\quad+11.5 \pm 3.5$
C $\quad+150^{v} \pm 50$

Point Voltage
in volts
D $\quad-30 \pm 2$ (or $+10 \pm 2$ )
E $\quad+150 \pm 50$

Current
in ma
$\frac{\text { Max. Power }}{\text { in watts }}$
Voltage
in volts


22177
"on" section
Plate to cathode $\left\{\begin{array}{lll}143 \pm 43 & 4.115 \pm .065 & 0.78 \\ 140 \pm 40 & 5.485 \pm .095 & 1.0 \\ 139 \pm 39 & 6.17 \pm .11 & 1.1\end{array}\right.$

| Component | Voltage | Current | Max. Power |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "On" SECTION | in volts | in ma | in watts |
| grid to cathode | $-1.5 \pm 1.5$ | 0 | 0 |
| "OFF" section |  |  |  |
| Plate to cathode | $+150 \pm 50$ | 0 | 0 |
| grid to cathode | $-40 \pm 5^{v}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Table to 2.3c |  |  |  |

## Power Requirements

$6.3^{\mathrm{V}}$ ac at $.45 \mathrm{amp} / 2$ CGT biased at ground
Table 2.3d

## Transfer Functions

| Voltage Source <br> having noise | Req (in megohms) <br> $3 / 4$ current source | Unit <br> current source |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | $1 / 8$ |
| :---: |
| current source |

Figure 15a (SA 63878) shows the block symbol and circuit diagram of a single ladder section. Figure 15 b shows how a number of these sections are tied together to make up a push-pull decoder ladder. Drawings 3006895. 3006898, 3006899, 3006902, 3007694, show the necessary card details and assemblies to make up a decoder ladder and its gain control.

To study the steady state response of a general push-pull ladder it is convenient to analyze it by use of symmetrical components. It will be slightly easier to visualize the behavior of this circuit by redrawing it in the form shown in figure 16a (SA~63879). In this circuit current gate tube \#O (CGT-0) will switch a current $I_{0}$ between $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$. CGT-1 will switch $I_{1}$ between $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$, and CGT-j. will switch $I_{j}$ between $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ 。 To consider this circuit symmetrically, we will have to break $I_{j}$ into two components, the common mode $I_{d, j m}=I_{j} / 2$ going into both $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ continuously, and the differential mode $I_{d}=I_{j / 2}$ going into aj and out of $\mathrm{bj}_{0}$ or the reverse.

Considering only the common mode response of this circuit (figure 16a), it is easily seen that $E_{1}=E_{2}$ and $E O=0$ 。 For this condition no
current exists in $K R$ and the circuit can be simplified to that shown in figure 16b. In this case the voltage at any terminal aj due to a current $I_{\text {cmj }}$ alone is simply this current multiplied by the impedance presented by the ladder to this point. In appendix $B$ it is shown that this impedance for terminal aj is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j c m}=\frac{2 R L}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 j-1}}{2^{2 j-1}}+1\right)+2 R_{d} \tag{eq 2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the voltage at any terminal aj due to the current $I_{f c m}$ is

$$
E_{j j}=2 I_{d c m} R d+\frac{R L}{3} \frac{\left(2^{2 j-1}+1\right)}{2^{2 j-1}}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{d c m}=I_{j / 2} \\
& E_{j j}=I_{j}\left[R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 j-1}+1}{2^{2 j-1}}\right)\right] \tag{eq. 2.42}
\end{align*}
$$

To consider the total common mode voltage at terminal aj we must also consider the effect on $a_{j}$ by currents in all other terminals ( $\Sigma \operatorname{IgRg}+$ $\sum_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{ImRm})}$ 。Considering first the effect of currente $I_{g}$ at terminal $a_{g}$ where $\mathrm{g}<j_{0}$ the voltage of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}$ is

$$
E_{g g}=I_{g}\left[\left[R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 g-1}+1}{2^{2 g-1}}\right)\right]\right.
$$

eq 2.42a

Since only a fraction of this voltage will appear at $a_{j}$ it is shown in appendix $C$ that the voltage at $a_{j}$ due to a current at $a_{g}$ is

$$
E_{j g}=I_{g}\left[R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 g-1}+1}{2^{j+g-1}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\text { eq } 2.43
$$

Similarily the voltage at $a_{j}$ due to a current in $a_{m}$ where $m>j$ is shown to be

$$
E_{j m}=\operatorname{Im}\left[R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 j-1}+1}{2^{j+m-1}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\text { eq } 2.44
$$

The common mode voltage at $a_{j}$ due to currents in all terminals is. by superposition

$$
E_{d c i n}=E_{j j}+\sum_{g=0}^{g=j-1} E_{j g}+\sum_{m=j+1}^{m=n} E_{j m}
$$

Substituting equation $2.42,2.43$, and 2.44 and simplifying gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{d c m}=\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} I_{g}\left[R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2^{j+g-1}}+\frac{1}{2^{I j-g I}}\right)\right] \tag{eq 2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the differential mode, a similar line of reasoning can be followed.

The impedance presented by the ladder to any terminal $a_{j}$ is (See Appendix B)

$$
R_{j d m}=\frac{2 R L}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 j-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{2 j-1}}\right]
$$

eq. 2.46

The voltage at terminal $a_{j}$ due to the current in this terminal is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j j}=\frac{I j R L}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 j-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{2 j-1}}\right] \tag{eq 2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The voltage at terminal $a_{j}$ due to a current iN terminal $a_{g}$ where $g<j$ is (See Appendix C)

$$
\text { Ejg }=\frac{\operatorname{IgRL}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 g-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{j+g-1}}\right]
$$

eq 2.48

The voltage at $a_{j}$ due to a current in $a_{m}$ where $M>j$ is (See Appendix C)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{j m}=\frac{I m R L}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 j-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{j+m-1}}\right] \tag{eq 2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The voltage at $a_{j}$ due to currents in all terminals is

$$
E_{d m j}=E_{d m j j}+\frac{g=g-1}{g=0} E_{d m j g}+\frac{m=n}{m=j+1} E_{d m j m}
$$

Substitutims equations 2.47. 2.48, and 2.49 in the above and simplfying gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g d \min }=\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \frac{I_{g R L}}{3}\left[\left(\frac{K-1}{K+2}\right) \frac{I}{2^{J+g-I}}+\frac{I}{2^{4 J-g T}}\right] \tag{eq 2.410}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total voltage at any terminal is the sum of the common mode and difference mode signals subtracted from the positive supply voltage. Since the difference mode may be either positive or negative depending on the setting of the input signal, the differential mode current is either positive or negative. However, to simplify the resulting expression we can consider the current always positive and associate the reversal of sign with the impedance term.

$$
E j=E b b-E j e m \pm E j d m
$$

Substituting equations 2.45 and 2.410 we get

$$
E j=E b b-\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \operatorname{Ig}\left\{R d+\frac{R L}{3}\left[\frac{(K+2) \pm(K-1)}{(K+2) 2(K+g-1}+\frac{1 \pm 1}{\left.2^{-1 j-g 1}\right]}\right]\right\} \text { eq. } 2.411
$$

The minimum voltage at any terminal $a_{j}$ will occur when all currents are flowing through one side of the decoder ladder. In this case we can use the plus signs in eq 2.411 to get,

$$
\text { Ejmin }=E b b-\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \operatorname{Ig}\left\{R d+\frac{R I}{3}\left[\frac{2 K+1}{(K+2) 2^{j+g-1}}+\frac{2}{\left.2^{1 j-g 1}\right]}\right] \text { eq. } 2.412\right.
$$

Similarly the maximum voltage will be of interest and can be derived by using the minus signs of eq. 2.411

$$
E j \max =E b b-\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \operatorname{Ig}\left\{R d+\frac{R_{L}}{(K+2) z^{j+g-1}}\right\} \quad \text { eq. } 2.413
$$

The binary weighting properties of this ladder can now be proven by determining the maximum push-pull differential output of the ladder. Setting $j=0$ in equations 2.412 and 2.413 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Eod }=2(\text { Eomin }- \text { Eomax }) I_{g} \\
& \text { Eod }=\frac{4 R_{\mathrm{L}} K}{K+2} \sum_{0}^{n} \frac{2^{g}}{2^{g}} \quad \text { eq. } 2.414
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $\frac{I_{g}}{2 g}$ emphasizes the binary weighting property of this ladder despite the variation of the terminating resistor.

For most decoder purposes the current to all bits is the same ( $I_{g}=I$ ) with the exception of an additional commonVcurrent ( $I_{L}$ ) drawn by the load attached to the decoder. Under this condition several of the preceding equations can be simplified. (See Appendix D)

Ejmin $=E b b-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R d-2 I R_{L}-\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{j}}-\frac{-2 I R_{L}}{K+2}\left\{K x 2^{-j}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n}}\left[(K+2) 2^{j}+(2 K+1) 2^{-j}\right]\right\}$
EQ. 2.412 a
Eomin $=E b b-\left[I_{L}+(n+1)\right] R d-\left(2 I+I_{L}\right) R_{L}-\frac{2 I R_{L}}{K+2}\left(K=\frac{K+1}{2^{n}}\right) \quad$ EQ. 2.412b
Ejmax $=$ Ebb- $\left.-I_{L}+(N+1) I\right] R d-\frac{R_{L}}{2_{j}^{j}}\left[I_{L}+\frac{2 I}{K+2}\left(2-2^{-n}\right)\right] \quad$ EQ. 2.413a
Eomax $=$ Ebb $-\left[I_{I}(n+1) I\right] R d-R_{L}\left[I_{I}+\frac{2 I}{K+2} \quad\left(2-2^{-n}\right)\right] \quad$ EQ. 2.413b
Eod $=\frac{4 I R I K(2-2-n)}{K+2} \quad E Q .2 .414 a$

In general use it is necessary to amplify the output of a decoder. (See 6M-3284 for a typical amplifier) To reduce the complexity of this amplifier it is desirable to produce as large an output from the decoder as possible. One of the governing factors in the allowable output swing is the bounded limit on the voltage across the current gate tube. The maximum voltage across the tube is dictated by the manufacturer, while the minimum voltage is restricted by the bias condition of the tube. The requirement that the grid current shall not be more than a given percentage of the plate current automatically limits the minimum plate voltage for any given current.

It has been shown in Walquist's Report (R220) that for an infinite decoder ladder terminated in its characteristic impedance and having all its current sources equal, the maximum voltage at the various bits varies, reading a maximum at the center of the ladder, where it is $50 \%$ greater erthan at the output. Since this point then has the minimum voltage across the current gate tube, the output voltage is only $67 \%$ of the maximum attainable if the full tube swing could be used at the output. By increasing the output termination it is possible to arrange the maximum voltage distribution along the ladder so that the maximum voltage will occur at the most significant bit. Since the output is taken from this bit, this will insure that the maximum possible output can be obtained. The necessary amount of increase in the termination can be calculated for the case of a decoder having equal currents going to all its bits by using equation 2.412a. (See Appendix D)

$$
K \geqslant-2^{-n} \quad \text { eq. } 2.415
$$

Since this term is always negative, any terminating resistor used in figure 15 b will insure that the minimum plate voltage will appear at the output tube. This in turn insures that the maximum possible output can be obtained from the decoder when this output is limited by the voltage swing on any plate.

These results apply to push-pull decoders directly. Equation 2.415 can be extended to include single sided decoders by assuming a variable termination of MR (where $R$ is nominal resistance of that decoder). Considering the similarity between a variably terminated single-sided decoder and the push-pull decoder shown in Figure 16A with all current sources conducting on only one side, the two resultant terminations can be equated.

$$
\begin{gathered}
M R=2 K R+2 R=2(K+1) R \\
M=2(K+1) \\
M \geqslant 2(1-2-n)
\end{gathered}
$$

eq. 2.415 a
Several typical decoders are discussed in 6M-3915 (Push-pull Display Decoders in XD-1). Figures 17 and 18 (SA 48620-G and SA 48623-G) show the typical behavior of one of these. This is a three stage decoder using nominal currents of 5.45 ma . a nominal ladder resistance of 5600 ohms. a dropping resistor Rd of 1640 ohms, and a B supply of 244 volts. The output of the decoder is also loaded with an amplifier whose equivalent input circuit consists of a 235 K resistor from each input terminal to a -150 volt supply.

Applying these values to equations 2.412a, 2.413a, and 2.414a gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{J \min }=152+5.08 \times 2^{J}-\left[14.06+5.08\left(\frac{10 K-1}{K+2}\right)\right] 2^{-J} \\
& E_{J \max }=213-\frac{5.6}{2}\left(2.51+\frac{19.1}{K+2}\right) \\
& E_{\text {od }}=214 \frac{K}{K+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 17 shows how these voltages vary as a function of the terminating resistor and the position of the current gate tube in a ladder. For $E_{j} \min : j=0$ for $\nabla_{g} a_{0} j=1$ for $V \eta a$, and $j=2$ for VGa. For $E_{j} \max$ : $j=0$ for $V$ gb 。 $j=1$ for $V$ $7 b$. and $j=2$ for $V_{6} b$. Figure 18 shows how the output voltage varies as a function of the terminating resistor.

Equation 2.412 shows the feasibility of using the $B+$ supply as a means of marginal checking the current gate tube. Any variation on this line appears directly on the plates of all the current tubes so that the plate voltage can be reduced below its normal operating level whatever that may be, by lowering the $B+$ supply by an equivalent amount.

There is no direct method of marginal checking the ladder sections. It has been shown in Walquist's Report that variations in the ladder resistors affect the output linearly and can be compensated for by readjusting the current sources. This adjustment is therefore an indication of the state of the ladder resistors as well as the current source cathode resistors. If the range of adjustment on the current source tubes becomes critically small, the ladder resistors and current source cathode resistors must be checked.

Experiments have shown that a delay line effect exists in the decoder ladder, apparently caused by the output capacity of the current gate tubes. This effect can be emphasized by operating the decoder in the following manner. First all bits are set in a fixed position except the least significant bit. This bit is then switched at a 100 KC rate by the output of a flip-flop and the rise time characteristic noted. Figure 19a (SA 48709) shows the result of this experiment on the above mentioned decoder showing especially the rise time and the exponential nature of this ruse time. Secondly all bits are connected to the same flip-flop, with the most significant bit connected in the "1" position and all others connected in the " $O^{\prime \prime}$ position. With no delays present, the output rise time characteristics and magnitude should appear exactly the same as in the first part of the experiment. Figure 19b (SA 48709) shows the result of this experiment. The large overshoot is caused by the signal of the most significant bit appearing at the output before the signal from any of the other bits. This effect has been compensated for experimentally by adding "speed-up" capacitors to the ladder sections as shown in Figure 20 (SA 64005). Figure 19c shows the result of the second part of the above experiment when the capacitors have been added to the ladder.

APPENDIX A:
Equivalent resistance and equivalent voltage of a constant current source:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta E_{1}=\Delta i_{b}\left(\mu_{p}+R_{k}\right)-\mu \Delta e_{g} \\
& \Delta e_{g}=K_{1} \Delta E_{1}-R_{K} \Delta i_{b} \\
& \Delta E_{1}\left(1+\mu K_{1}\right)=\Delta i_{b}\left[(\mu+1) R_{K}+y_{b}\right] \\
& R_{e Q}=\frac{\Delta E_{1}}{\Delta i_{b}}=\frac{(\mu+1) R_{k}+\mu p}{1+\mu K_{1}} \quad E_{Q} 2.21 \\
& E_{e Q}=i_{b} R_{e Q} \quad E_{Q} 2.22
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix B

Impedance $R_{j}$ presented by a decoder ladder with variable termination to any terminal $a_{j}$ 。

Consider the impedance $R_{j}$ to be composed of three parallel components, the left section of the ladder, the 2 R resistor going directly to oj, and the right section of the ladder. It is easily shown that the equivalent resistance of the left section of ladder is $2 R$ since that end is terminated in the characteristic impedance of the ladder. The figure below shows the resultant simplification of the impedance $R_{j}$ 。


Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{J}=2 R \| R_{J C}+2 R d \\
& R_{J c}=2 R \| R_{J R} \\
& R_{J R}=R+R_{(J-1) c}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first few terms for $R_{j}$ can be calculated as in the table below.

| $J$ | $R_{V R}$ | $R_{J C}$ | $R_{V}$ | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | - | $K R_{L}$ | $2 R_{d}+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}(K)$ | $1 / 2$ |
| 1 | $R_{L}(K+1)$ | $2 R_{L}\left(\frac{K+1}{K+3}\right)$ | $2 R_{d}+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left(\frac{K+1}{2}\right)$ | 1 |
| 2 | $R_{L}\left(\frac{3 K+5}{K+3}\right)$ | $2 R_{L}\left(\frac{3 K+5}{5 K+11}\right)$ | $2 R d+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left(\frac{3 K+5}{8}\right)$ | 3 |
| 3 | $R_{L}\left(\frac{11 K+21}{5 K+11}\right)$ | $2 R_{L}\left(\frac{11 K+21}{21 K+43}\right)$ | $2 R_{d}+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left(\frac{11 K+21}{32}\right)$ | 11 |
| 4 | $R_{L}\left(\frac{43 K+85}{21 K+43}\right)$ | $2 R_{L}\left(\frac{43 K+85}{85 K+171}\right)$ | $2 R d+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left(\frac{43 K+85}{128}\right)$ | 43 |

From this table it will be noticed that the values of $R_{j}$ are in the form.

$$
R_{J}=2 R d+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left(\frac{N K+2 N-1}{3 N-1}\right) \text { for } \downarrow \geqslant 0
$$

The resultant values of $N$ are calculated and are found to form a series having the ratio $4 \mathrm{~N}-1$. This series can be expressed more generally as

$$
N=\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{3}
$$

Substituting this general expression of $N$ into the above expression for $R_{j}$

$$
R_{J}=2 R_{d}+\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{2 J-1}}\right] \text { for } 0 \leqslant J \leqslant n
$$

where $n+1$ is the total number of bits in the ladder.

For common mode analysis $K=\infty$ so that

$$
R_{J}=2 R_{d}+\frac{2 R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{2^{2 J-1}}\right)+o R \quad 0 \leqslant J \leqslant n \quad E_{Q} \cdot 2.41
$$

For differential mode analysis $\mathrm{Rd}=0$ so that

$$
R_{J}=\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right)(k+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{2 J-1}}\right] \text { for } 0 \leqslant J \leqslant n \quad E_{q} 2.46
$$

Similarly

$$
R_{J C}=2 R_{L}\left[\frac{N K+2 N-1}{(2 N-1) K+4 N-1}\right]
$$

again

$$
N=\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{3}
$$

So that

$$
R_{J c}=2 R_{L}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right) k+2^{2 v}-1}{\left(2^{2 J}-1\right) k+2^{2 J+1}+1}\right] \text { for } 0 \leqslant J \leqslant n
$$

For differential mode analysis $R d=0$. However, since it does not appear here, this expression is correct for differential mode analysis.

For common mode analysis $K=\infty$ so that

$$
R_{J c(\mathrm{~cm})}=2 R_{L}\left(\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{2^{2 J}-1}\right)
$$

## Appendix C

Voltage at terminal $a_{j}$ of a decoder $l_{a d d e r}$ caused by a current $I_{g}$ is some other terminal $\mathrm{ag}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\circ}$
Case I: g < $j$


The total ladder impedance to the left of $a_{j}$ together with the $2 R$ resistor at $a_{j}$ forms a termination at $a_{j}$ equal to $R_{0}$ Thus, of the entire voltage developed at $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(I_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, the entire portion developed across $2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ will appear at $a_{j o}$ but only $\frac{a}{2^{j-g}}$ portion of the remainder (See R-220
"Analysis and Design of a Digital-to-Analog Decoder" by Robert Louis Walquist Sect. $4.3 \mathrm{pp} 31-64$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{v_{g}}=2 I_{g} R d+\frac{I_{g} R_{g}-2 I_{g} R d}{2^{J-g}} \\
& E_{V_{g}}=I_{g}\left(2 R d+\frac{R_{g}-2 R d}{2^{J-g}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For common mode analysis we have $R_{g}$ from Appendix $B$ :

$$
R_{g}=2 R d+\frac{2 R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 g-1}+1}{2^{2 g-1}}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
E_{v g \mathrm{~cm}}=I_{g \mathrm{~cm}}\left[2 R d+\frac{2 R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 g-1}+1}{2^{J+g-1}}\right)\right]
$$

But $I_{\mathrm{gcm}}=I_{g / 2}$

$$
E_{J g \mathrm{~cm}}=I_{g}\left[R_{d}+\frac{R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 g-1}+1}{2^{J+g-1}}\right)\right]
$$

EQ. 2.43

For differential mode analysis

$$
R_{g}=\frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 g-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{2 g-1}}\right]
$$

and $\quad R d=0$

$$
E_{v g d m}=I_{g d m} \frac{2 R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 g-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{\sqrt{g-1}}}\right]
$$

But $I_{g d m}=I_{g / 2}$ - therefore:

$$
E_{v g d m}=\frac{I_{g} R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 g-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{J+g-1}}\right]-E_{Q}, 2.48
$$

Case II: my


Consider terminal af terminated with the characteristic impedance of the ladder ( R ). Under this condition the voltage at $a_{m}$ due to $I_{m}$ is

$$
E_{m m}=\frac{2}{3} I_{m} R_{L}+2 I_{m} R_{d}
$$

Using the results of Walquist Report R220, the voltage at $a_{j}$ due to the current at $a_{m}$ is

$$
E_{J m}=I_{m}\left(2 R_{d}+\frac{R_{L}}{3 \times 2^{m-J-1}}\right)
$$

The output impedance between terminal $a_{j}$ and line $Y$ at this time is $2 / 3 R$. This portion of the decoder can thus be replaced with its Thevenin equivalent circuit. If this Thevenin circuit is then shunted with an impedance equal to $-R$ in parallel with $R_{j c}$ of Appendix $B$, the output voltage will be equal to the voltage at $a_{j}$ due to $I_{m}$ at $a_{m}$ when the ladder is terminated in other than the characteristic impedance.


For common mode analysis Appendix $B$ shows the value of $R_{j c}$

$$
R_{J c c m}=2 R_{L}\left(\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{2^{2 v}-1}\right)
$$

$E_{j m}$ can be calculated from the Thevenin circuit and the above expression for $R_{j c}$.

$$
E_{J m \mathrm{~cm}}=I_{m \mathrm{~cm}}\left[2 R d+R_{L}\left(\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{3 \times 2^{m+J}}\right)\right]
$$

However $I_{m} \mathrm{~cm}=I_{m} / 2$. Therefore

$$
E_{J m \mathrm{~cm}}=I_{m}\left[R_{d}+\frac{R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{2^{2 J-1}+1}{2^{J+m-1}}\right)\right]
$$

Similarity for differential mode analysis

$$
R_{J C}=2 R_{L}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right) K+2^{2 J}-1}{\left(2^{2 J}-1\right) K+2^{2 J+1}+1}\right]
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{j m}$ can again be calculated from the Thevenin circuit and the ${ }^{j \frac{m}{a}}$ ave expression for $R_{j c^{\circ}}$.

$$
E_{J m d m}=\frac{I_{m d m} R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right)(k+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{m+J-2}}\right]
$$

But $I_{m} d m=I_{m} / 2$. Therefore

$$
E_{J m d m}=\frac{I_{m} R_{L}}{K+2}\left[\frac{\left(2^{2 J-1}+1\right)(K+2)-3}{3 \times 2^{J+m-1}}\right]
$$

Eq. 2.49

Appendix D
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \min , \mathrm{E}_{0}$ min. $\mathrm{E}_{j} \max , \mathrm{E}_{0}$ max., and K for $\mathrm{E}_{0} \min \leqslant \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{min}$ when aIl $I_{g}=I$ and load is a constant current $I_{\text {I }}$ from both output terminals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{J c m}=\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} I_{g}\left[R_{d}+\frac{R_{L}}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2^{J+g-1}}+\frac{1}{2^{|J-g|}}\right)\right] E_{Q} 2.45 \\
& E_{J c m}=\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}+\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}}+\frac{I R_{L}}{3}\left[\frac{2}{2^{J}} \sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \frac{1}{2^{g}}+\sum_{g=0}^{g=J} \frac{1}{2^{J-g}}+\sum_{g=J+1}^{g=n} \frac{1}{2^{g-J}}\right] \\
& \sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \frac{1}{2^{g}}=2-2^{-n} \\
& \sum_{g=0}^{g=J} \frac{1}{2^{J-g}}=\sum_{g=0}^{g=J} \frac{1}{2^{g}}=2-2^{-J} \\
& \sum_{g=n}^{g=n} \frac{1}{2^{g-J}}=\sum_{g=1}^{g=n-J} \frac{1}{2^{g}}=1-2^{J-n} \\
& E_{J} \mathrm{~cm}=\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}+\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}+\frac{I R_{L}}{3}}\left[2^{1-J}\left(2-2^{-n}\right)+2-2^{-J}+1-2^{J-n}\right] \\
& E_{J} \mathrm{~cm}=\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}+\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}}+I R_{L}\left[1+2^{-J}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n}}\left(2^{J}+2^{1-J}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{J d m}=\sum_{g=0}^{g=n} \frac{I_{g} R_{L}}{3}\left[\left(\frac{k-1}{K+2}\right) \frac{1}{2^{J+g-1}}+\frac{1}{2^{J J-g \mid}}\right] E_{Q} 2,410 \\
& E_{J d m}=\frac{I R_{L}}{3}\left[\left(\frac{K-1}{K+2}\right) 2^{1-J}\left(2-2^{-n}\right)+2-2^{-J}+1-2^{J-n}\right] \\
& E_{J d m}=I R_{L}\left\{1+\frac{K-2}{K+2} 2^{-J}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n}}\left[2^{J}+\left(\frac{K-1}{K+2}\right) 2^{1-J}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{J M I N}=E_{b b}-E_{J c m}-E_{J d m} \\
& E_{J M I N}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}}-2 I R_{L}\left\{1+\frac{K 2^{-J}}{k+2}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{2}}\left[2^{J}+\left(\frac{2 K+1}{k+2}\right) 2^{-J}\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
E_{J M I N}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}}-2 I R_{L}-\frac{2 I R_{L}}{K+2}\left\{K 2^{-J}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{2 n}}\left[(K+2) 2^{J}+(2 K+1) 2^{-J}\right]\right\}
$$

EQ. $2.412 a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{O M I N}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-\left(I_{L}+2 I\right) R_{L}-\frac{2 I R_{L}}{K+2}\left(K-\frac{K+1}{2^{n}}\right) E_{a} 2.412 b \\
& E_{J M A X}=E_{b b}-E_{V C M}+E_{V d m} \\
& E_{J M A X}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-\frac{I_{L} R_{L}}{2^{J}}-I R_{L}\left\{1+2^{J}-1-\frac{K-2}{K+2} 2^{-J}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n}}\left[2^{J}-2^{J}+2^{1-J}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(\frac{K-1}{K+2}\right) 2^{1-J}\right]\right\} \\
& E_{J M A X}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-\frac{R_{L}}{2^{J}}\left[I_{L}+\frac{2 I}{K+2}\left(2-2^{-n}\right)\right] E_{Q .2} .413 a \\
& E_{G M A X}=E_{b b}-\left[I_{L}+(n+1) I\right] R_{d}-R_{L}\left[I_{L}+\frac{2 I}{K+2}\left(2-2^{-n}\right)\right] E_{Q .2} 2.413 b
\end{aligned}
$$

$F_{O R} E_{O M I N} \leqslant E_{\text {JAIN }} \quad 0 \leqslant v \leqslant n$
UNDER WORST CONDITION $I_{L}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K-\frac{k+1}{2^{n}} \geqslant K 2^{-J}-\frac{1}{3 \times 2^{n}}\left[(k+2) 2^{J}+(2 k+1) 2^{-J}\right] \\
& 3 \times 2^{n}\left(k-k 2^{-n}-k 2^{-J}-2^{-n}\right) \geqslant-\left[k 2^{J}+2^{J+1}+k 2^{1-J}+2^{-}\right] \\
& k\left(3 \times 2^{n}-3-3 \times 2^{n-J}+2^{J}+2^{1-J}\right) \geqslant 3-2^{J+1}-2^{-J} \\
& k \geqslant \frac{3-2^{J+1}-2^{-J}}{3 \times 2^{n}\left(1-2^{-J}\right)+2^{J}-3+2^{1-J}} \\
& K \geqslant \frac{1-2^{J+1}}{3 \times 2^{n}+2^{J}-2} \\
& K \geqslant \frac{-2 / 3}{2^{n}-1 / 2} \\
& 2^{J}-1 / 2 \\
& k
\end{aligned}
$$

For or $\quad$ K will have its leasmmeneamperalue AS $J \rightarrow O$. THERE FORE LET $J=1$

$$
k \geqslant-2^{-n}
$$

Appendix E: Glossary of Symbols

| CGI | Current Gate Tube (See section 2.3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CU}_{5}$ | Constant current source (See section 2.2) |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\text {eq }}$ | Equivalent voltage source of a circuit - the voltage which would have to be applied to the equivalent resistance of a circuit to produce the same current which the actual circuit carries. |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gg} \mathrm{cm}}$ | The common mode voltage at terminal $g$ of a decoder ladder measured with respect to the $B+$ supply and caused by the common mode current through terminal g. |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gg} \mathrm{d}} \mathrm{dm}$ | Same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gg} \mathrm{cm}}$ except for differential mode voltage and differential mode current. |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}$ | Total voltage at terminal $j$ measured with respect to ground and caused by any currents through any terminals |
| $E_{j ~ c m}$ | Total conmon mode voltage at terminal $j$ measured with respect to $B+$ and caused by any common mode currents through any terminals. |

$E_{j d m} \quad$ Same as $E_{j c m}$ except differential mode voltage and differential mode currents.
$E_{j \max } \quad$ Maximum voltage at terminal $j$ measured with respect to ground when all currents in the decor are switchad side of the decoder ladder.
$E_{j}$ min Minimum voltage at the terminal $j$ measured with respect to ground when all currents in the decoder are switched to the same side of the decoder ladder.
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{jg} \mathrm{cm}}$
$E_{j g} d m$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{jj} ~ \mathrm{~cm}}$
$E_{j j d m}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{jmi}} \mathrm{cm}$
The common mode voltage at terminal $j$ measured with respect to $B+$ caused by a common mode current in the terminal $g$ when $\mathrm{g}<\mathrm{j}$ 。

Same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{jg} \mathrm{cm}}$ except differential mode voltage and differential mode current.

Same as $E_{g g ~ c m}$ except for terminal $j$. Same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gg} \mathrm{dm}}$ except for terminal j 。
Same as $E_{j g \mathrm{~cm}}$ except for terminal $m$ instead of terminal $g$ and $m>j$.

| $E_{j m} d m$ | Same as $E_{j g d m}$ except for terminal $m$ instead of terminal $g$ and $m>j$ 。 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E_{\text {od }}$ | Differential output vollage |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ max | Same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} \text { max }}$ except for output terminal． |
| $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ min | Same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} \text { min }}$ except for output terminal． |
| $I_{g}$ | Total current out of both $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{a}$ and b$)$ terminals． |
| $I_{j}$ | Same as $I_{\mathrm{g}}$ except for $j$ terminals． |
| $I_{j ~ c m}$ | Common mode current out of terminal $j$ 。 |
| $I_{j d m}$ | Differential mode current out of terminal jo |
| $I_{\text {m }}$ | Same as $I_{g}$ except for terminal $m_{0}$ |
| K | Proportionality factor relating value of terminating resistor of a push－pull decoder ladder to $2 R_{L}$ where $R_{L}$ is the nominal resistance of the ladder． |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | Transfer function for the output voltage variation of the voltage reference circuit vs supply voltage variations． For the circuit under consideration this value is 0.00355 ． |
| IS | Ladder section（See section 2．4） |
| M | Proportionality factor relating the value of a terminating resistor of a single－sided decoder ladder to the characteristic impedance of the ladder． |
| n | One less than the total number of bits in a decoder ladder． |
| N | Total number of bits in a decoder ladder．$N=n+1$ 。 |
| R | Voltage Reference Source（See section 2．1） |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | Characteristic resistance of a decoder ladder． |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ． | Dropping resistor which lowers the $B+$ supply voltage to a level at which the decoder ladder is to operate． |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {eq }}$ | Equivalent resistance of a circuit．It is the ratio of a change in the supply voltage of the circuit to the change in current caused by the change in voltage． |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {je }}$ | The resistance which a ladder presents to a current at terminal $j$ when all resistors from less significant bits are removed．（See appendix B） |


| $R_{j ~ c m}$ | The resistance which a ladder presents to a common mode <br> current at terminal $j_{0}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $R_{j ~ d m}$ | The resistance which a ladder presents to a differential <br> mode current at terminal $j_{0}$ |
| $R_{j r}$ | The resistance which a ladder presents to a current at <br> terminal $j$ when the $2 R$ resistor at terminal $j$ and all <br> other resistors at less significant bits are removed. |
| $R_{j r}=R_{j c}$ in parallel with $-2 R_{0}$ |  |



Attached drawings:

```
Figure 1 - SA 47174
Figure 2 - SA }6328
Figure 3 - SA 48619-G
Figure 4 - SA 63702
Figure 5 - SA 48613-G
Figure 6 - SA 48617-G
Figure 7 - SA 48614-G
Figure 8 - SA 48615-G
Figure 9 - SA 48618mG
Figure lom SA 48616-G
```

```
Figure 11 - SA 63717
Figure 12 -SA 48610-G
Figure 13 - SA 48609-G
Figure 14 - SA 48611-G
Figure 15 - SA 63878
Figure 16 - SA 63879
Figure 17 - SA 48620-G
Figure 18 - SA 48623-G
Figure 19 - SA 48709
Figure 20 - SA 64005
```
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ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
MASTER'S THESIS PROPOSAL
I. TITLE: CIRCUIT APPLICATION OF THE AVALANCHE PHENOMENON IN JUNCTION TRANSISTORS
II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:

Recent research has indicated that the avalanche region of operation of junction transistors has outstanding possibilities in circuit application. Attention is to be given to the problem of application of this effect to practical circuitry. There are four distinct parts to this problem:

1. A survey of the variation in the static characteristics of regular low frequency junction transistors in the avalanche region of operation. At present there are no transistors whose avalanche region characteristics have been controlled in manufacture.
2. Design, construction, and test of basic circuits employing the avalanche region of operation.
3. Formulation of design principles for the design of circuits using the avalanche region of operation of junction transistors
4. Specification of the most desirable set, or sets, of transistor static characteristics in the avalanche region.
III. HISTORY OF THE PROBLEM:

A new mode of operation of junction transistors has recently been developed. This new mode of operation employs the avalanche phenomenon which occurs in semiconductors under high electric field conditions. ${ }^{1,2}$ In the region of the collector characteristics where avalanche breakdown occurs the emitter to collector current gain is equal to or greater than unity. In many ways avalanche breakdown in
transistors is similar to gaseous breakdown in cold-cathode gas tubes, with one notable exception; the control element of the transistor, the base, does not lose control after the breakdown is initiated, as is the case in gas tubes.

The sequence of events which occurs in a junction transistor as it approaches and reaches avalanche breakdown is well understood by the researchers in the semiconductor field. ${ }^{3}$ The phenomenon is adequately described mathematically and thus the factors affecting the characteristics are known and can be controlled in the manufacturing process. However, there has been very little work done in actual application of the avalanche phenomenon to circuitry. Kidd, Hasenberg, and Webster of RCA have recorded the results of the application to several basic circuits and suggest that considerable attention is merited by these and other circuit applications. ${ }^{4}$ They found that typical low frequency junction transistors could be made to operate at the speeds displayed by point-contact transistors. Switching times measured in millimicroseconds were found easily attainable. It was also found that for a given power dissipation the junction transistor could be operated at a higher voltage than could the point-contact transistor. In brief, the junction transistor was found to be more versatile since it has all the characteristics of both types of transistors, but not all the disadvantages.

If the base electrode of a $p-n-p$ junction transistor is positive with respect to the emitter electrode no emitter current flows and the transistor is said to be in "cutoff". If the collector voltage is now caused to become increasingly negative the depletion layer -a region of existence of an electric field -a the collector junction extends increasingly further into the base region and the electric field increases in strength. If surface breakdown due to contamination of the semiconductor surface, or punch-through due to the extension of the depletion layer to the emitter junction does not occur first, avalanche breakdown will eventually occur at some relatively large negative voltage -- from 50 volts to 100 volts for most types of junction transistors.

Avalanche breakdown occurs when a sufficient number of the holes in the depletion layer, which have been thermally generated in the base, attain sufficient energy from the electric field to produce one or more hole-electron pairs by impact ionization. The newly generated holes move rapidly to the collector and the corresponding electrons are swept back into the base region, and out the base electrode, where they forward bias the emitter into conduction. The holes injected at the emitter may now cause impact ionization and these resultant electrons bias the emitter even further into conduction. In fact, a high basecollector current gain is in operation and thus it is easily seen that the process can become highly regenerative with only a small percentage of the holes causing ionization.

The effect of the flow of electrons out of the base lead while the transistor is in the avalanche region of operation can be controlled by a resistance or a voltage. This results in a direct control of the collector current. If the base is caused to go sufficiently positive the emitter will again become back biased and the transistor will return to the cutoff state.

Operation in the avalanche region is characterized by emittercollector current gain greater than unity, by a reversal of base current from that encountered in normal operation, and by three possible output resistances. Typical collector V-I curves show a negative resistance for the highest voltages, a zero resistance for slightly lower voltages, and a positive resistance for even lower voltages. ${ }^{4}$ Both $p-n-p$ and $n \approx p-n$ junction transistors display these characteristics, but investigations have indicated that the $p-n-p$ is by far the most useable of the two. ${ }^{3}$
IV. PROPOSED PROCEDURE:

Since no transistors are being made expressly for operation in the avalanche region it will be necessary to use regular low frequency junction transistors. The characteristics in the avalanche region will vary considerably from one unit to the next since there has been no
effort made to control them. A survey of the collector characteristics, in the avalanche region, of perhaps seventy-five junction transistors will be made. Both $p-n-p$ and $n-p-n$ transistors will be considered. From these transistors will be selected groups which display fundamental differences in characteristics. Special attention will be given to the useable range of collector voltage in the avalanche region, as well as to the magnitudes and voltage ranges of the negative and positive output resistances and the voltage range of the zero output resistance region. The linearity or nonlinearity of the characteristics will also be considered。

The selected transistors will be used in a variety of simple, basic circuits to determine the effects of their characteristics upon circuit operation and design. Using this experimental data and standard circuit theory a set of design principles will be developed to facilitate the design of circuits employing the avalanche phenomenon in junction transistors. The most desirable set, or sets, of transistor characteristics will also be indicated as the result of the experimental work.

The circuits to be built using the junction transistor in the avalanche region of operation will include, among others, a single-shot multivibrator, a flip-flop, and a free-running multivibrator. These three circuits employ a negative resistance region for operation and should give considerable information on the negative resistance portion of the collector characteristics. Another circuit which can employ the negative resistance characteristic is the regenerative amplifier. A chain of these amplifiers, with the output of the last amplifier used as the input to the first amplifier, will oscillate if the feedback is positive. This circuit will be built to facilitate measurement of response times without the need for complex triggering equipment.

The zero impedance region of operation can be studied with a voltagemregulator circuit. Here the transistor would be used to maintain a constant voltage across a varying load. If the load were made to
change abruptly, transient response could be observed over the active range of the zero impedance region of the collector characteristics. The zero or low output resistance region can also be studied with an impedance switch circuit. The principle of operation of one form of impedance switch puts the output impedance of the transistor in parallel with the load resistance of another transistor. ${ }^{4}$ If the shunt transistor is placed in the low or zero output resistance region of operation it will attenuate the output signal of the other transistor. If the shunt transistor is in the normal state of operation it will produce negligible attenuation of the output.

There are probably additional circuits which merit consideration, a pulse amplifier for instance, and they will be investigated if time permits. The objective here is, however, to determine the principles of circuit design and the effects of transistor characteristics on these principles rather than to produce a group of optimized circuits. All of the information obtained, experimentally or otherwise, will be used to specify a desirable set, or sets, of collector characteristics in the avalanche region of transistor operation.
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### 1.0 The $I_{\text {co }}$ (leakage current) Problem

The normal grounded-base transistor output characteristics appear as shown in the solid lines of Figure 1. The cutoff or leakage current is shown as $I_{c o}$.


Fig. I Effect of $I_{c o}$ on Bias Point
A typical load line is shown with a bias point at A having an emitter current fixed at 1 ma . At some higher temperature $T^{0}$ the characteristics become the dotted lines, and the operating point shifts to $B$ which is no longer suitable for linear operation with reasonable signal anplitudes. This shift is almost entirely due to the increase of leakage current $I_{c o}$ with temperature.

Consider, moreover, the case of the grounded-emitter amplifier shown in Figure 2. The biases are such that the transistor is cut off. However, the leakage $I_{\text {co }}$ represents a current flow outward from the base. To get current gain from a grounded-emitter stage normally
requires a current flow outward through the base lead. However, the transistor only knows that "forward current" is being taken from the base; its ultimate destination is of no concern. It has already been


Fig. 2 Leakage in a Grounded-Emitter Stage
pointed out in a previous note that the only way the transistor can supply such a base current is by means of an emitter-collector current $\beta=a / 1-\alpha$ times as large. The removal of holes from the base causes the base to go negative with respect to the emitter until such a current flow can occur. Therefore, $I_{\text {co }}$ temperature-dependence is more serious in a grounded-emitter circuit since the resultant leakage current may be 10-50 times as large.

To get a quantitative picture of the $I_{c o}$ temperature-dependence we will look at the case of the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p}$ junction transistor.
2.0 Leakage Current at the Collector of a P-N $-P$ Transistor

We are interested in conditions at a reverse-biased collector junction of a $p-n-p$ transistor. This is shown in Figure 3 along with a potential profile for the junction. Note that the entire voltage drop takes place across the junction. Holes, which act as charge carriers, are thermally generated in the valance band of the N-region. These holes in the absence of an electric field will diffuse to the junction
boundary where they are accelerated into the P-region immediately by the field existing there.


Fig. 3 Back-Biased Collector Junction
Suppose, following a treatment given by Shockley, we consider for a moment a slab of $N$-type material which has an average hole concentration of $p_{n}$ per unit volume. Assume that these holes decay by recombination with electrons and that they have an average lifetime $\tau$. The rate in numbers per second at which these holes combine with electrons per unit volume must be $p_{n} / \tau$. Under equilibrium conditions this must also be the rate of thermal generation of holes per unit volume.

Now in the case of the base region of the transistor, we would expect all thermally generated holes within a diffusion length of the junction to drift rapidly to the junction and be accelerated into the P-region. The diffusion length, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$, is an average distance which a hole can drift before recombining with an electron and is a few millimeters in transistor base material. Since the transistor base width W is small compared to the diffusion length, all thermally generated holes in the base will diffuse to the boundary. The rate of flow will be

$$
\left(\frac{p_{n}}{\tau}\right) \text { W. holes per square centimeter per second. }
$$

Multiplying by $q$ will give the leakage current density flowing across the junction.

$$
\therefore I_{c o}=q \frac{p_{n}}{\tau} W_{0} \text { per square centimeter junction area. (I) }
$$

If we make use of the relationship,

$$
L_{p}=\sqrt{D_{p} \tau_{p}} \quad \text { or } \quad \tau=L^{2} / D
$$

where $D_{p}=$ the diffusion coefficient in $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sec}$, we get the customary expression for $I_{c o}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c o}=q D_{p}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{L_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}\right) W \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well to note that for a grown p-n diode with end pieces wider than the diffusion length, $L_{p}$ must be substituted for $W$ and the familiar expression for diode leakage is obtained.

There will also be a leakage term of the same form for thermal electrons generated in the collector region and accelerated into the base. However, the width of the recrystallized p-type collector region is much smaller than $W$ and $n_{p}$ (the number of thermal electrons) is smaller than $p_{n}$ because the collector region resistivity is much less. As a result, the electron contribution to $I_{c o}$ for an alloy pnp is slight.
3.0 Temperature Dependence of $I_{\text {co }}$

The following derivation is based on the energy-band theory of semiconductors and Fermi-Dirac statistics and follows Shockley's method. (Those unfamiliar with this theory may skip the next few equations and consider the result of the derivation which gives the relation between $I_{c o}$ and temperature.)
As shown above, $I_{c o}=p_{n}\left(\frac{q^{w}}{\tau}\right)$ per square centimeter.
Now it can be shown ${ }^{1}$ that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{n} p_{n}=2.33 \times 10^{31_{T} 3} \exp \left(-\frac{E_{G}}{k T}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. Shockley, W., "Electrons and Holes in Semiconductors," p. 245, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., New York, 1950.

$$
\therefore I_{c o}=2.33 \times 10^{31}\left(\frac{q_{w}}{n_{n}} \cdot \frac{I}{\tau}\right) T^{3} \exp \left(-\frac{E_{G}}{k T}\right):
$$

But $n_{n} \approx N_{D}-N_{A}$, the difference in the number of donors and acceptors. Also we can make the assumption (not necessarily a very good one) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau=\frac{m}{q} \mu \approx \frac{m}{q} \mu_{0} T^{-3 / 2} \\
\therefore & I_{c o}=2.33 \times 10^{31}\left\{\frac{q^{2} w}{\left(N_{D}-N_{A}\right) m \mu_{0}}\right) T^{3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{E_{G}}{k^{T}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The temperature-dependent part of the expression is

$$
T^{3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{G}}}{\mathrm{kT}}\right)
$$

Suppose we evaluate this at some temperature

$$
T_{0}+\Delta T
$$

where $T_{0}$ is a fixed temperature and $\Delta T$ a small increment.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\therefore & \left(T_{0}+\Delta T\right)^{3 / 2} \exp \left\{\frac{-E_{G}}{k\left(T_{0}+\Delta T\right)}\right\}= \\
& T_{0}{ }^{3 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\Delta T}{T_{O}}\right)^{3 / 2} \exp \left\{\frac{-E_{G}}{k T_{0}\left(1+\frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding each part separately:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1+\frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2} \approx 1+\frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}+\sim \sim \approx \exp \left(\frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}\right) \\
\exp \left\{\frac{-E_{G}}{k T_{0}\left(1+\frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}\right)}\right\} \approx \exp \left\{\frac{-E_{G}}{k T_{0}}\left(1-\frac{\Delta T}{T_{0}}\right)\right\} \approx \exp \left(\frac{-E_{G}}{k T_{0}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{E_{G} \Delta T}{k T_{0}{ }^{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore we can express the temperature dependence of $I_{c o}$ (subject to the above assumptions and approximations) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c o}=\text { constr. } T_{o}^{3 / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{E_{G}}{\mathrm{KT}_{0}}\right) \exp \left\{\left(\frac{3}{2 T_{0}}+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{G}}{\mathrm{KT}_{0} 2}\right) \Delta \mathrm{T}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
I_{c o}=\left(I_{c o}\right)_{0} \exp (\text { const } \Delta T)
$$

The constant evaluated at $278^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ is

$$
\frac{3}{2 T_{0}}+\frac{E_{G}}{k T_{0}{ }^{2}}=\frac{3}{2 \times 278}+\frac{8300}{(278)^{2}}=0.11 \text { per } \operatorname{deg} C
$$

Therefore the $I_{c o}$ temperature dependence is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c o}=\left(I_{c o}\right)_{25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \exp [0.11(\mathrm{~T}-25)] \text { per unit area. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, the leakage current increases about 11 per cent per degree centigrade at room temperature, or it doubles every 6 or $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4.0 Thermal Stability of Transistors

Consider the equivalent circuit of a transistor which has an $I_{\text {co }}$ leakage as shown below.


Fig. 4 Transistor with Leakage

The leakage current $I_{c o}$ splits between the base and emitter branches. The emitter current $I_{\text {eo }}$ causes the current generator to become active, increasing the collector current. In the steady state,

$$
I_{c}=I_{c o}+\alpha I_{e o} \quad \text { at point } C
$$

But

$$
I_{e o}=\left(\frac{\bar{R}_{b}}{\bar{R}_{e}+\bar{R}_{b}}\right) I_{c}
$$

where

$$
\bar{r}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}+r_{\mathrm{b}} \quad \text { and } \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{e}}
$$

$$
\therefore I_{c}-\left(\frac{\alpha \bar{R}_{b}}{\bar{R}_{e}+\bar{R}_{b}}\right) I_{c}=I_{c o}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c}=\frac{I_{c o}}{1-\left(\frac{\alpha \overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{e}+\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{b}}}\right)}=S I_{c o} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $S$ is called the circuit stability and may be written,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha}{1+\bar{R}_{e} / \bar{R}_{b}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we add $d-c$ biases the equation for $I_{c}$ becomes,

$$
I_{c}=S I_{c o}+f_{1}\left(V_{E}\right)+f_{2}\left(V_{c}\right)
$$

The circuit stability is therefore given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{8 I_{c}}{\delta I_{c o}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the question of thermal stability. The maximum internal power dissipation in a transistor occurs at the collector junction under normal bias conditions. This dissipation causes a temperature rise which increases $I_{c o}$ and causes more dissipation.


Fig. 5 Heat Flow in a Junction Transistor
The heat generated at the junction (temperature $T_{j}$ ) flows outward to the atmosphere (temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) through the potting compound and along the leads.

The condition for thermal stability is that the increase in heat dissipation due to the temperature rise at the junction must be less than the corresponding increase in heat flow from the junction. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d T_{j}}<\frac{1}{H} \quad \text { for thermal stability. } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{P}=$ total power dissipation in the transistor

$$
T_{j}=\text { collector junction temperature }
$$

$H=$ total thermal resistance, i.e., the rise per watt dissipation of the junction temperature above ambient.

Now suppose we consider the case of Figure 4 and use the expression relating $I_{c o}$ to temperature.
Then $\quad I_{c}=S I_{c o}=S I_{c o 25} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\theta}\right)$
where $I_{\mathrm{co} 25}$ is the leakage current at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta T$ is the junction temperature rise above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\theta$ is the "thermal constant" of germanium
With a back collector-bias applied, the power dissipation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=V_{c} I_{c}=V_{c} S I_{c o 25} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\theta}\right) \\
\therefore & \frac{d P}{d T_{j}}=\frac{V_{c} S I_{c 025}}{\theta} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\theta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now suppose we evaluate this with the junction at room temperature where $\Delta T=0$.

$$
\therefore \frac{d P}{d T_{j}}=\frac{V_{c} S I_{c o 25}}{\theta}<\frac{I}{H} \text { for stability. }
$$

or, to maintain a stable condition when the transistor is connected to a circuit with only a collector bias applied at room temperature, the supply voltage must be below a maximum given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}=\frac{\theta}{\mathrm{HSI}_{\operatorname{co}} 25} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider what happens when the transistor is biased so that an additional bias collector current $I_{c_{1}}$ is flowing. In this case,

$$
I_{c}=S I_{c o 25} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\theta}\right)+I_{c_{1}}
$$

and $\quad P_{c}=V_{C} S I_{c o 25} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\theta}\right)+V_{C} I_{C_{1}}$.
but $\quad \Delta T=H P_{C}$ so for stability:

$$
\frac{d P_{c}}{d T_{j}}=\frac{d P_{c}}{d\left(H P_{c}\right)}=\frac{V_{c} S I_{c o 25}}{\theta} \exp \left(\frac{H P_{c}}{\ominus}\right)<\frac{1}{H}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(\frac{H P_{c}}{\ominus}\right)<\frac{\theta}{H S I_{\mathrm{co25}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{0}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}} \\
& \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{c}}<\frac{\theta}{\mathrm{H}} \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~V}_{0}}{V_{c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, to prevent thermal runaway the collector current must meet the
the condition below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c}<\frac{\theta}{H V_{c}} \ln \left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{c}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is one important consideration that has been neglected for the sake of simplicity in the above calculations -- i.e.-- the variation of collector voltage with $I_{c}$ due to the drop across the collector load. The expressions above are for zero $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (Vc assumed constant). The larger the load resistance, the more the safety factor above the predicted values.

The purpose of the above discussion was to show qualitatively how thermal runaway can take place, rather than to calculate a quantitative runaway point.

There are, in general, three factors which limit the operating range of power transistors: the maximum dissipation rating, thermal runaway, and ambient temperature. These limits are shown below in Figure 5.


Fig. 5 Power Dissipation Limits

The transistor has a maximum dissipation based on the maximum allowable junction temperature. It must be derated for ambient temperatures above $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for runaway conditions as shown in Figure 5. This derating may be expressed by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a l l o w a b l e}=P_{\max }-C_{1} \ln \left(S V_{c}\right)-C_{2}\left(T-T_{A}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general there may be two other limiting factors. One, the maximum current rating, usually applies only to maintaining certain gain specifications. The second, the maximum voltage rating, puts a righthand limit to the operating region in Figure 5.

The problems discussed in this note affect all types of transistors. They become particularly acute, however, in the case of power transistors where currents and dissipation are unusually high. Thermal runaway can destroy a transistor if proper precautions are not taken.
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## Introduction

The circuit to be analyzed was intended as a building block with the same functional properties as a surface-barrier transistor, but with improved electrical characteristics. Such a unit has the advantage that it can be used in simple "direct-coupled" logic and in flip -flops; all circuit complications are concentrated in the emitter follower inverter combination (EFI) which may be packaged and handled the same way a transistor is now handled. Design

The circuit to be analyzed is shown below.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& I^{\prime \prime}=\text { larger of two current values } \\
& I^{\prime}=\text { smaller of two current values } \\
& I^{\prime}=\text { halfway value (see below) }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the analysis all emitter base voltages and the emitter -collector voltage during saturation of $Q_{2}$ will be assumed $O_{0} \lambda$ shall mean $\frac{\beta \text { active }}{\beta \text { sat. }}$ for the chosen degree of saturation of $Q_{2} . \quad \lambda>1$ 。 $Q_{1}$ per ate as an emitter -follower, $E_{3}$ is chosen so that it remains in the active region. $Q_{2}$ draws no base current in the off-condition.

The circuit will be constrained to have a point of unit amplification situated half-way between the two extremes (in terms of input and output voltages). The constraint insures a transfer characteristic with a maximum of margin against noise.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\text { voltage swing at point } \mathrm{D} \\
& \delta E=\text { voltage swing at point } C \text { and } A \\
& E_{\mathrm{u}}=\text { the more positive voltage at } D .
\end{aligned}
$$

Introduce $R_{p}=\frac{R_{m} R_{b}}{R_{m} * R_{b}}$ and consider base circuit of $Q_{2}$
saturation:


$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\Delta E-E E_{u}}{R_{p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Halfway:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}=\frac{\frac{\Delta E}{2}-E_{u}}{R_{p}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the halfway point the transistor is in the active region, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}=\frac{1}{\beta} I_{c}{ }^{n} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the constraint mentioned earlier.
In saturation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=\lambda \frac{I}{\beta} I_{c}^{\prime \prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now according to equations (1),(2),(3), and (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}}{I b}=2 \lambda=\frac{\Delta E-E_{u}}{\frac{\Delta E}{2}-E_{u}}=\frac{\frac{\Delta E}{E_{u}}-1}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta E}{E_{u}}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $\frac{\Delta E}{E u}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta E}{E_{u}}=\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (6) in (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{E_{u}}{R_{p}}\left[\frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda-1}-1\right]=\frac{E_{u}}{R_{p}} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation represents one constraint on $E_{u}$ and $R_{p}$ after $I_{b}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\lambda$ have been chosen. Eq. (6) gives the constraint on $\Delta E_{\text {. }}$. If we assume $\Delta E$ given a more convenient form of the equations is

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{u}=\Delta E \frac{\lambda-I}{2-I}  \tag{8}\\
& R_{p}=\frac{\Delta E}{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\lambda}{2 \lambda-I} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We must now introduce $R_{b}, R_{m}, E_{2}$ and $\delta E$ as variables instead of $R_{p}, E_{u}$, and $\Delta E$. Consider the resistance divider in the base connections of $Q_{2}$


Let $\rho=\frac{R_{b}}{R_{m}+R_{b}}$
then $\Delta E=(1-p) \quad \delta E$
and $R_{p}=\rho R m$

$$
E_{u}=E_{2} \rho
$$

Equations (11),(12), and (13) together with equations give us

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m} \frac{p}{1-\rho}=\frac{\delta E}{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\lambda}{2 \lambda-I}  \tag{14}\\
& E_{2} \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}=\delta E \frac{\lambda-1}{2 \lambda-1} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

In equations (14) and (15) $\lambda$ is chosen to give the degree of saturation required by consideration of switching speed, parameter variations, and emitter-collector voltage drop for $Q_{2}$ 。
$E$ and $\rho$ are free to vary within reasonable limits. $R_{m}$ and $E_{2}$ are dependent variables giving circuit values corresponding to the choice of $\lambda, \delta E, I_{b}^{\prime \prime}$, and $\rho$ in conformity with the halfway requirement mentioned earlier. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{b}=\rho\left(R_{m}+R_{b}\right)=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} R_{m}=\frac{\delta E}{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\lambda}{2 \lambda-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the off-condition $Q_{2}$ draws no base current

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{b}^{\prime}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e}^{\prime}=\frac{E_{2}}{R_{b} * R_{m}}=(1-p) \frac{E_{2}}{R_{m}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (14 and (15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e}^{\prime}=\left(I_{-p}\right) \frac{\lambda-I}{\lambda} I_{b}^{\prime \prime} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e}^{\prime \prime}=I_{b}^{\prime \prime}+\frac{E_{2}}{R_{m}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again using equations (14) and (15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e}^{\prime \prime}=I_{b}^{\prime \prime} \frac{2 \lambda-1}{\lambda} \tag{aI}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e f}^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta} I_{e}^{\prime} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\quad I_{e f}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\alpha}{\beta} I_{e}^{\prime \prime}$
Next consider the inverter collector circuit. It will be assumed that the collector is always fully loaded with emitter followers or an equivalent dummy load. The on-condition corresponds to the following diagram

giving

$$
\begin{align*}
& -E+n \cdot I_{e f}^{n} R_{c}=-\delta E  \tag{24}\\
& R_{c}=\frac{E-\delta E}{n \cdot I_{e f}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{24.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The off-condition corresponds to

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n I_{e f}^{\prime}+I_{c}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{R_{c}}=E \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $R_{c}$ between (24) and (25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c}^{\prime \prime}=n \frac{E}{E-\delta E} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime}-I_{e f}^{\prime} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and solving for $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{I_{c}^{\prime \prime}}{\frac{E}{E-\delta E} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime}-I_{e f}^{\prime}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using equations (23),(22),(21), (20), and (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{\frac{1}{\alpha} \beta^{2}}{\frac{E}{E-\delta E}(2 \lambda-1)-(1-p)(\lambda-1)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $E \gg E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{\frac{1}{a} \beta^{2}}{\lambda+\rho(\lambda-1)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\rho=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, of course, is the maximum obtainable.
Tolerance to variations in $\beta$ :
Next we will determine what happens to the degree of saturation, $\lambda$, of $Q_{2}$ when the $\beta^{\prime}$ s are different from the average values assumed in the design. Secondly, what can be done in the design to reduce the effect of variations in $\beta$ ?

Consider the input circuit in the on-condition. (Remember assumptions mentioned at beginning。)


The combined emitter -follower input impedance is $z$. For simplicity let

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=\frac{a}{\beta}  \tag{BI}\\
& \frac{I}{z}=\frac{I}{R_{b}} \sum_{i}^{n} \gamma_{i}  \tag{32}\\
& I_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{E}{R_{c}+Z} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=I_{n}^{\prime \prime} z=\frac{E \frac{R_{b}}{\sum_{c}^{n} r_{i}}}{\frac{R_{h}}{\sum_{1}^{m} r_{i}}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e f_{1}}=\frac{\delta_{E}}{\frac{R_{b}}{\gamma_{1}}}=\frac{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\sum_{c}^{n} \gamma_{i}}}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{\sum_{1}^{n} \gamma_{i}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

How does $I_{\text {eff }}$ vary when $\gamma_{i}$ varies?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I_{e f}}{\partial \gamma_{i}}=\frac{R_{c}+\frac{R}{\sum_{i}^{n} \gamma_{i}} E \frac{\gamma^{I}}{\sum^{n} \gamma_{i}} 2^{+} E \frac{\gamma^{I}}{\sum_{i}^{n} \gamma_{i}} \frac{R_{b}}{\left(\sum^{n} \gamma_{i}\right)^{2}}}{\left[R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{\sum_{1}^{n} \gamma_{i}} 2\right.} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume next that at the point of differentiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1=}=r_{2}=\ldots=r_{i}=\ldots=r_{n} \quad \gamma \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and simplify (36)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I_{e f_{1}}}{\partial \gamma_{i}}=\frac{-E R_{c} \frac{1}{n^{2} \gamma}}{\left[R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n \gamma}\right]^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above we assumed that $i \neq 1$. Then from (35) assuming (37)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I_{e_{I}}}{\partial Y_{I}}=\frac{\partial I_{e f_{I}}}{\partial \gamma_{i}}+\frac{E \frac{I}{n_{Y}}}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n_{Y}}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters in equations (38) and (39) obey the relationships derived in the first part of this paper. We can therefore express $\partial^{I_{e f}}{ }_{l}$ and $\partial^{I_{e f}}$ in terms of other circuit parameters using these $\frac{\mathrm{er}_{1}}{\partial \gamma_{i}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{ef}_{1}}{\partial \gamma_{1}}$ relationships.

For simplicity let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{E R_{c} \frac{1}{n^{2} Y}}{\left(R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n_{Y}}\right)^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{E \frac{1}{n \varphi}}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n \varphi}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I_{e f_{1}}}{\partial r_{i}}=-A \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial I_{e f_{1}}}{\partial r_{I}}=-\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple consideration shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n Y}}=n I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{I}{\gamma} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n Y}} \cdot \frac{R_{c}}{R_{c}+\frac{R_{b}}{n Y}}=n I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \cdot \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{I}{n Y} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \quad \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

For simplicity we will consider a systematic simultaneous drift (or deterioration) of all $\beta^{\prime} s\left(o r \gamma^{i} s\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& d I_{e f_{I}}=d \gamma((n-1)(-A)-A+B)=d \gamma(-n A+B)  \tag{48}\\
& d I_{e f_{I}}=\frac{d \gamma}{\gamma} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\delta E}{E} \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

However

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{e_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{I}{\gamma} I_{e f_{1}}^{\prime \prime} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d I_{e_{1}}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{\gamma} d I_{e f_{1}}-\frac{1}{\gamma^{2}} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} d \gamma \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d I_{e_{I}}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{d \gamma}{\gamma^{2}} I_{e f}^{\prime \prime} \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since the base of Q2 is fixed at 0 volts

$$
\begin{equation*}
d I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=d I_{e_{1}}^{\prime \prime} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore using (23) and (21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{d \gamma}{\gamma} \cdot I_{b}^{\prime \prime} \cdot \frac{2 a-1}{a} \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

However according to (4) for fixed $I_{c}^{\prime \prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda=d \beta \frac{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{c}^{\prime \prime}}+\beta \frac{d I_{b}^{\prime \prime}}{I_{c}^{\prime \prime}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

To distinguish the two $\beta^{\prime} s$ ( $\alpha^{i} s$ and $\gamma^{\prime} s$ ) let index 1 refer to $Q I$ and 2 to Q2 for the moment.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \lambda=\lambda \frac{d \beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}-\frac{d \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{I}}(2 \lambda-1) \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (56) gives the change in the degree of saturation $\lambda=\frac{\beta \text { act }}{\beta \text { sat }}$ when $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ vary.

As an approximation we may write

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma \approx \frac{1}{\beta} \\
& \frac{d \lambda}{\lambda} \approx \frac{d \beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}+\frac{d \beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \frac{(2 \lambda-1)}{\lambda} \frac{E-\delta E}{E} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

## Conclusion

From (28) it follows that E must be large compared with $E$ to give a large $n_{0}$. From (57) it follows that $E=\delta E$ must be small compared with $\delta E$ in order to give good tolerance to variations in the beta of Q1. These requirements conflict. Since $n$ is of the order of magnitude of $\frac{\beta^{2}}{\lambda}>100$ a decrease in $n$ can easily be tolerated. The relative variation $\frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}$ in the degree of saturation, is at best $\frac{d \beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}$ which is approximately what would be obtained in a simple inverter (without emitter-follower)。

When properly designed the circuit will lead to approximately the same sensitivity to variations in beta in Q2. The large n presumably means that fewer Q2's will be required in a computer, however.

Design Example
Let us assume that Q2 be operated (in saturation) at $I_{c}^{\prime \prime}=2 \mathrm{~mA} I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=0.3 \mathrm{~mA}$. Assume further an average $\beta=20, \alpha=0.95$, then $\lambda=\frac{20}{\frac{2}{0.3}}=3$.

In order to reduce the effect of variations in the $\beta$ of Q1 (see equation 57) $\frac{E-\delta E}{E}$ must be small; choose $E=3 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\delta E=2.5 \mathrm{v}$ 。 Then from equation 57

$$
\frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}=\frac{d \beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}+0.28 \frac{d \beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}}
$$

Random variations in Q1 will tend to cancel.
For the design we may use the following four equations setting $\rho=0$ for simplicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{2}}{R_{m}}=I_{m}=I_{b}^{\prime \prime} \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived from (14 and (15)。

$$
\begin{align*}
& n=\frac{\frac{\beta^{2}}{a}}{\frac{E}{E-E}(2 \lambda-1)-(\lambda-1)}  \tag{28}\\
& R_{b}=\frac{\delta E}{I_{b}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{\lambda}{2 \lambda-1} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and from $(24.5),(23)$, and (21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}=\frac{E-\delta E}{n \frac{a}{\beta} I_{b}^{\prime \prime} \frac{2 \lambda-I}{\lambda}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{m}=0.3 \frac{3-1}{3}=0.2 \mathrm{~mA} \\
& \mathrm{n}=\frac{\frac{20^{2}}{0.95}}{\frac{3}{3-2.5}(2.3-1)-(3-1)}=15 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{2.5}{0.3} \frac{3}{2.3-1}=5 \mathrm{k} \Omega \\
& R_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{3-2.5}{15 \frac{0.95}{20} 0.3 \frac{2.3-1}{3}}=1.4 \mathrm{k} \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

The resulting design, shown below, would have to be corrected to take into account the small transistor voltage drops which have been neglected in the above analysis.


A flip $-f l o p$ capable of driving 14 EFI stages will consist of two of the above stages simply cross connected. Choosing $\rho=0, \lambda=3, I_{b}^{\prime \prime}=0.3$ $\mathrm{mA}, I_{c}^{\prime \prime}=2 \mathrm{~mA} ; \beta=20$ and $E=4 \mathrm{v}$ and $E=4.5 \mathrm{v}$ the following circuit is obtained


For this circuit

$$
\frac{d \lambda}{\lambda}=\frac{d \beta_{2}}{\beta_{2}}+\frac{d \beta_{1}}{\beta_{1}} \circ 0.18
$$

In the final design this circuit would also have to be corrected to take transistor voltage drops into account.
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## Introduction：

The peak current and average power which the memory－plane drivers must deliver can be reduced by decreasing the dimensions of the magnetic memory elements．The following analysis indicates the limits of size reduction and the results which such reductions may yield．

I．Theoretical Ruminations
A．Possible Geometries
The two geometries to be considered and their dimensions are shown in Fig。 IA－Thin Film and Fig。 1B－Toroid。


Fig．IA－Thin Film


Fig．1B－Toroid
B. Primary Limits on Geometry

The primary limits on geometry are (1) the peak voltage signal $V_{p}$ must be great enough to be sensed reliably and (2) the switch time $\tau_{s}$ must be suitable to the desired memory cycle time (certainly less than half the cycle time).

The instantaneous output voltage for a one turn sense
winding is

$$
v(t)=10^{-8} \mathrm{~A} \frac{d B}{d t}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{-B_{r}}^{4 B_{m}} d B=\frac{10^{+8}}{A} \int_{0}^{\infty} v(t) d t \approx \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{10^{+8}}{A} \times \nabla_{p} \tau_{s} \approx 2 B_{m}
$$

where $V_{p}$ is in volts, $\tau_{s}$ in seconds, $A$ in square centimeters, and $B_{m}$ (the maximum induction in the square hysteresis loop) in gauss. We can express the requirement on $A$ in terms of the material parameter $B_{m}$ and the memory limits $\nabla_{p}$ and $\tau_{s}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A} \gtrsim \frac{1}{4} \times 10^{+8} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{p}} \tau}{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

C. Cross-Sectional Areas

1. Thin Film

Let $w=C_{1} t$, where $C_{1}$ is a constant determined by the magnitude of the demagnetizing field tolerable for a square loop; $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is in the order of $10^{3}$. The cross-sectional area is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{w^{2}}{C_{1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Toroid

Because about four mutually perpendicular wires of assumed diameter $d_{W}$ must pass through the toroidal memory element, the inner diameter $d_{i}$ has a lower limit, $d_{i}>2 d_{W}$; also geometry dictates that
the following assumptions be made:
(a) $\mathrm{d}_{0}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{C}_{2}>1$
(b) $h=C_{3} d_{i} ; C_{3}>1$

The area is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=C_{3} \frac{C_{2}-1}{2} d_{i}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## D. H-I Relationships:

## 1. Thin Film

In the calculation of the field between two sheets of current, the following approximations are made:
(a) The field inside a rectangular coil of length $w$ centimeters and of N turns is the same as for a similar solenoid.
(b) The current sheets above and below the thin film can be created by overlapping the rectangular coil as shown in Fig. 2 .


Fig. 2 - Approximation of Current Sheets

From this crude analysis we get
$H \approx \frac{4 \pi N_{i}}{10 w}=\frac{4 \pi I}{10 w}$
where $I$ is the total current in amperes flowing in both sheets (split equally between the two) and $H$ is in oersteds.
2. Toroid

The field in a toroid is
$H \approx \frac{8 I}{10\left(d_{0}+d_{i}\right)}$
E. Geometry Factor

We define a geometry factor $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}$ as
$F_{g}=\frac{H}{\bar{I}}$.

Substituting the cross-sectional area limit of Eq. (1)
into Eqs. (2) and (3) and these into Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively, gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{g}(\text { thin film }) \approx \frac{8 \pi}{\sqrt{C_{l}}} \times 10^{-5} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\nabla_{\mathrm{p} s}^{\tau}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{g} \text { (toroid) } \quad 8 \sqrt{2} \times 10^{-5} \frac{\sqrt{C_{3}\left(C_{2}-1\right)}}{C_{2}+1} \sqrt{\frac{B_{m}}{V_{p} \tau_{s}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

F. Order-of - Magnitude Calculations

For purposes of comparison, the geometry factor of the F397 toroid ( $d_{i}=54$ mills, $d_{0}=80$ mills, $h=22$ mills ) is 2.4. We make the following general assumptions for both geometries:
(I) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} \approx 10^{-2}$ volt (in present memory $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}} \approx 10^{-1}$ volt)
(2) $\tau_{s} \approx 10^{-7}$ second (present $\tau_{s}$ is $10^{-6}$ second).

With these assumptions, we get for thin films

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}(\text { thin } \mathrm{film}) \approx 16 \frac{\text { oersted }}{\text { ampere }}
$$

where $C_{1}=2 \times 10^{3} ; B_{m}=8 \times 10^{3}$ gauss, typical for square loop metals. For a toroid where $C_{2}=1 ; C_{3}=2 ; B_{m}=1.5 \times 10^{3}$ gauss, typical for ferrites,

$$
F_{g}(\text { toroid }) \approx 50 \frac{\text { oersted }}{\text { ampere }}
$$

The next section indicates the relative difficulty of obtaining the above geometry factors.
II. Discussions and Conclusions:

The ease of fabrication is one factor governing the practicality of a given memory-element geometry. In the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}$ factors above, the thin film would have the dimensions $\mathrm{w}=7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}$ by $t=3.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}$; the toroid, $d_{i} \approx 3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}, d_{0}=6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{~h}=3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}$ 。 The thin film could be made by evaporation techniques with relative ease; but the ultra-small toroid would be almost impossible to make. A toroid a scale magnitude larger would be possible; this gives $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}} \approx 5$. Also it must be remembered that a minimum of three conductors each capable of carrying milliampere currents must pass through the toroid.

Thus fabrication difficulties seem to make the thin film geometry more feasible. Other geometries may offer more than the thin film so that a further study of geometry is worthwhile.

Changing geometry alone is not the answer to the very-fastmemory problem. In the preceding calculations it has been assumed that magnetic materials and memory scheme exist such that a memory cycle time of about $2 \times 10^{-7}$ second is possible and the $V_{p} \approx 10^{-2}$ volts can be sensed reliably。

In conclusion, a memory element can be reduced in size (I) yielding an improvement in the peak current-average power requirement of the memory (2) at the expense of the peak signal voltage if and only if
(3) a material and memory scheme exist such that $\tau_{s} \approx 10^{-7}$ second is possible.
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Abstract: This report describes a redesigned high-speed flip -flop which was developed for MTC for the Z2177 (0528) vacuum tube. Some of its advantages over previously existing flip-flops are:

1) faster mode of operation ( 4 mc )
2) decreased upper and lower level delays
3) greater reliability
4) ability to drive larger loads (this characteristic may make it possible to eliminate cathode followers following the high-speed flip-flop in some applications.)
The performance characteristics may be summarized as follows:
5) for set and clear operation (unbalanced load), the flipflop will drive $300 \mu \mathrm{ff}$ at a 2 mc rate and will drive light loads ( $20 \mu \mu \mathrm{f}$ ) at a 4 mc rate.
6) for set and clear operation (balanced load), the flip-flop will drive 200 u pf at a 1.5 mc rate and will drive all loads less than 100 u pf at a rate of 2 mc or more.
7) for complement operation (unbalanced load), the flip-flop will drive 200 uhf at a 1 mc rate and will drive all loads less than $47 \mu \mathrm{mf}$ at a rate of 2 mc or more.
8) for complement operation (balanced load), the flip-flop will drive 100 yup at a 1 mc rate and will drive all loads less than 47 yup at a rate of 2 mc or more.
9) the upper and lower level delays for set and clear operation (regardless of the amount of loading) is 0.04 and $0.01 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ respectively.
10) the lower level delay for complement operation (regardless of the amount of loading is $0.04 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ).
11) the upper level delay for complement operation varies from 0.11 to $0.15 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ depending on trigger amplitude (but is also independent of the amount of loading).
Also included is a history of the high-speed flip-flop leading up to the design changes. A circuit diagram, layout, and complete set of performance characteristics are also embodied in the report. dividuals or groups without express authorization. It may not be reproduced in whole or in part without permission in writing from Lincoln Laboratory.

### 1.0 Background History

This high-speed flip flop is the result of a study made on existing highospeed vacuum tube flip flops. It was originally precipitated by a decision made several years ago to eventually replace all 5965 vacuum tubes in computer equipment by a more reliable version called the 22177 . The major change in the 22177 over the 5965 (besides its superior mechanical construction) is the use of a gold-plated grid structure whereas a silver plating had previously been used. Since gold is more active than silver in the electrochemical series, it produces (in a bogie tube) a contact potential which averages about $\frac{1}{2}$ volt more positive at the grid terminal. The additional bias (small that it may sound) has an extreme deteriorating effect on flip-flop operation. The reason for this is that the conducting tube in a flip-flop is operating approximately at zero bias (actually it is several tenths of a volt nositive). As shown in Fig. l。 the operating path of the MTC Mod。IV flip-flop is plotted on the "Average Plate Characteristics" of the 22177 tube. An "on" tube will be sitting at pt "A" on the operating Path. The average 22177 tube will then draw somewhat over 8 ma. when conducting according to these characteristics. Fig. 2. shows the same path of operation plotted on the "Average Plate Characteristics" of the 5965 tube. According to these characteristics an "on" tube will also draw somewhat over 8 ma . However, the respective grid biases are 0.25 volt and -0.30 volt. Therefore, the insertion of a 22177 tube into a given high-speed flip-flop means that there is a bias shift of 0.55 volt. This is essentially the same as inserting a 35 percent "down" 5965 into the flip-flop. A seemingly simple solution is to increase the available plate current either by more positive grid bias or by increasing the plate voltage while keeping the grid bias constant. The circuit is already operating at several tenths of a volt positive. More positive grid bias is highly undesirable because of the complement trigger diode which diverts the grid current around the grid-cathode path effectively shorting the grid to the cathode. This will induce flip-flop failure and is the main reason for not trying to increase the available plate current by making the bias more positive. It is also prudent to avoid excessive grid current which adversely affects tube reliability. The other method suggested of increasing performance is to increase the plate voltage while keeping the grid bias constant. This means using higher supply voltages and consequently higher circuit dissipation. However, this is not a disadvantage per se. The disadvantage lies in the use of the higher value of resistances which increase time constants and in general slows circuit performance such as introducing excessive delays, etc. This is highly undesirable in a high-speed flipflop. An obvious solution would be to use a "hotter" tube. This was ruled out because the 22177 has been eminently successful in all its other circuit applications to date and it was thought to be more feasible to adapt the flip-flop to the Z2177 rather than to adopt another tube for use in the flip-flop. In addition, other "bugs" which appeared while using the $Z 2177$ tube in the flip-flop were an increased trigger sensitivity. Most flip-flops actually free-run with only slightly "down" Z2177's in use while bogie Z2177's have a minimum trigger sensitivity of only several volts when used in the flip-flop. This increased circuit sensitivity with the 22177 is at least partially caused by the decreased
amount of bias available at the grid of the tube which is cutoff.
The use of passive networks in either the grid or cathode circuits of a flip -flop can be used to overcome extreme trigger sensitivity. These circuits generally make use of additional bias (either self or fixed) to increase the negative voltage on the cutoff tube thereby necessitating larger triggers to initiate flip-flop action and also to allow the grid to go positive without the grid shunting effects. Some of these biasing circuits have been used successfully in previous models of low speed flip-flops. One such arrangement is shown in Fig. A.


## FIG. A

The inherent disadvantage in these circuits is that in order to achieve sufficient biasing action reasonable large values of resistance and/or reactance are necessary (in the order of several thousand ohms). At low repetition rates this magnitude of resistance can be tolerated without adverse effects on performance. However, at high repetition rates, the time constants in the grid or trigger circuits are appreciably affected by the use of these bias networks. This results in PRF sensitivity problems. In addition, the output loading characteristics of a flip-flop are also adversely affected by these networks. The reason for this is that a tube under a given set of operating parameters will supply just so much current. If some of this current is dissipated to develop
bias there is that much less current available for driving the output load. In a given flip-flop this means decreased operating margins.

Another method of overcoming high trigger sensitivity is to attenuate the trigger pulse. However, when trigger sensitivities are so high that the flip-flop free-runs with practically no input pulse, this method is impractical.

The use of silicon diodes as a bias device to decrease trigger sensitivity was also attempted. These units have a forward conduction characteristic which allows approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ volt to be impressed across them before forward conduction occurs. They also have an obvious advantage of not adding any significant additional resistance into the circuit in which they are placed (although their capacitance must be taken into account when used in high frequency applications.) The results obtained by the use of these diodes were very encouraging; however, in order to get sufficient biasing action, five or six diodes had to be added in series.

The seeming dilemma was partially solved by using negative grid clamping as well as previously existent positive grid clamping. As explained later in the report the grid was clamped to a cathode tap which supplies approximately a -9 Volt clamp. In addition, the voltage dividers were redesigned so that the tube will operate with slightly less positive bias. This should mean longer tube life in the circuit. The -30 V diode still provides approximately 2.5 ma of current. This ensures that the -30 V clamp will hold even with a $140 \%$ down" Z2177. In addition the elimination of the pulse transformers (for set and clear action) reduces delays to a minimum. The elimination of cathode capacity also aids in keeping delays at a minimum.

Another inovation was the use of positive pulses in triggering the flip-flop. Positive pulses are less sensitive in triggering a flip-flop than are negative pulses. The reason for this is that regenerative action does not occur until the triggered grid has passed cutoff and thus a portion of the input pulse has been used just to raise the level of the grid to cutoff. The remainder of the pulse is amplified in the normal manner and aids in the transition of the flip-flop. This phenomena has been extremely useful in this case because the 22177 tube as used in flip-flops has been very sensitive to triggers.

Another useful aspect of the redesigned flip-flop is the higher impedance the circuit offers to an incoming pulse (due to the fact that triggering is accomplished on the "off" side). This reduced loading on the input pulse line is especially helpful in computer applications where many flip-flops may be triggered at the same time. The redesigned flipflop is shown in Fig. 37.

## 2．0 Waveform Specifications

Fig．3．shows the output waveform specifications which are met by the new design．Since two distinct methods of triggering the flip－flop are employed，（for complementing and setting and clearing）there are two sets of output specifications given．These characteristics are tied down by means of formulii in which the output loading $C$ is specified by the user．This same data is also shown in Fig。 4 and 5 in which the various parts of the component waveshape are broken down and plotted versus the output loading capacities．

One of the reasons why this flip－flop may be operated as high as four megacycles is that the inherent delays have been materially reduced． For set and clear operation the input pulses are capacitively－coupled eliminating the pulse transformer delay（approximately $0.02 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ；positive triggering is employed by which method inherently lower values of delay are encounted；the cathode stiffness has been reduced by eliminating all circuit capacitance at the cathode which allows the cathode to follow the grid waveform more readily；and by using negative grid－clamping（as well as the previously－existent positive clamping），allows the memory capacitor to complete its charging in a shorter time．These changes have reduced the upper level delay to approximately $0.04 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ and the lower level delay to $0.01 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ for set and clear operation．Other advantages gained are that both upper and lower level delays are independent of capacitive loading and the upper level delay is independent of trigger amplitude changes．

For complement operation，due to triggering difficulties，transformer－ coupled negative－pulse triggering was retained．However，the upper level delay was kept independent of changes in capacitive loading by the previously－mentioned method of grid clamping．In addition，the upper level delay was made independent of capacitive loading by the elimination of cathode capacity．These changes produced an upper level delay of approximately $0.13 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ and a lower level delay of $0.04 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ．Actually there is an advantage to an increased delay for complement operation． In counting applications of the flip－flop，the outputs are sensed during the triggering operation；therefore，it is desirable that the outputs remain at their original levels until after the trigger has passed （ $0.1 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ ）．The negative grid clamp has been instrumental in reducing the changes in upper level delay with trigger amplitude from $0.1 \mu \mathrm{sec}$ at 10 V trigger to $0.15 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ at 40 V trigger（Fig。6）。

## 3．0 PRF Response Characteristics（vs Pulse Width）

Fig．7．shows the effect of various pulse widths on the ability of the flip $\quad$ flop to complement at a given pulse repetition frequency．The curves are shown only for complement operation since set and clear operation produces the same effects．The ability of a pulse to success－ fully trigger a flip－flop is dependent upon the energy content of the pulse or the area defined by the pulse．For example，a square pulse of unity amplitude has approximately $37 \%$ more energy content than a half sine wave pulse of same maximum amplitude．This means that the square
pulse would be $37 \%$ more effective in triggering a flip-flop. For this reason, in all tests performed in this report the sine wave shape was adhered to as closely as possible.
4.0 PRF Response Characteristics (vs Load)

Fig. 8 and 9 show the effects of placing capacitive load on the output. As seen in the characteristics the effect of a given capacitance on performance depends upon the mode of operation desired, either complement or set and clear, with load either unbalanced or balanced. These characteristics are necessary in order to set up a criteria of operation limitations for the flip-flop. In all cases the pulse width is $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. A rather unusual aspect of the set and clear unbalanced load characteristics is that for PRF's up to 2 mc the flip-flop sensitivity is independent of loading. However, if this mode of operation is to be selected, attention must also be given to rise and fall times and margins (as is true in all cases).

### 5.0 Capacitive Load Characteristics

One of the basic criteria in determining the maximum capacitive load which can be driven is the transition time of the flip-flop. The transition time will determine whether or not the proper levels will be reached for a given PRF. The levels, in turn will be determined by whether gate-tubes or both gate-tubes and diode-logic are to be driven. This dictates a lower level of either -15 V or -30 V respectively. It is interesting to note that the transition time ( $\mathrm{to}-30 \mathrm{~V}$ ) is always $\geqslant$ the transition time (to +10 V ). However, this is not true for the (fall) transition time ( $\mathrm{to}-15 \mathrm{~V}$ ) which can be either greater than or less than the transition time (to +10 V ). Therefore, when driving gatetubes either the (fall) transition time ( $\mathrm{to}-15 \mathrm{~V}$ ) or the transition time ( $\mathrm{to}+10 \mathrm{~V}$ ) may be the limiting value. When driving both gate-tubes and diode-logic the transition time ( $\mathrm{to}-30 \mathrm{~V}$ ) is always the limiting value.

In order to simplify the selection of maximum load capacity for a given mode of operation, a group of curves was complied called "Limits Of Capacitive Loading For Various Modes Of Operation". These curves are shown in Fig. 10. In compiling these curves, the only criteria considered was that of pulse sensitivity and margins. In a given application, after one has consulted these curves, the next step is to check the "Capacitance Load Characteristics" (Fig. 8 and 9) to see if the desired load is compatible with the transition time to obtain the desired levels.

### 6.0 Resistive Load Characteristics

. The amount of "and" current (the resistive current drawn from the flip-flop when the output is down) which can "be taken, is determined by the maximum transition time, the lower voltage level, and the margins on the same side as the "and" gate. The circuit will supply adequate
levels at sufficient margins up to approximately 10 ma of resistive load current (with no capacitive load). Using the curves already included for capacitive loading, the new transition time may be computed if the external resistive load is known.

It should be noted that whenever a resistive load is drawn from the flipoflop the output impedance of the cathode follower must be changed. This must be done in order to insure that when the output is up, the cathode follower will draw the same amount of current it had been drawing without load. This can be done either internally (by changing the 30 K resistor) or externally (by adding a resistance to the output returned either to the -150 V or +150 V sources).

### 7.0 Grid Clamp Return Characteristics

The most significant improvement in this flip-flop was the direct result of the negative clamping circuit at each flip-flop grid. Previously, the grid, upon switching from conduction to non-conduction went through a level change of 40 volts times the $D C$ attenuation ratio. This was equivalent to 14.3 volts. From the 22177 characteristic curves it is seen that the tube requires only about -3 volts to cut it off as compared to the theoretical cutoff value $\frac{e b}{\mu 0}$ or for this case $\frac{110}{20}=-5.5$ volts。
The lowest cutoff $\mu\left(\mu_{0}\right)$ for a 22177 tube is 20 , at 0.15 ma plate current. The $\mu$ that is important in this circuit is at a plate current of 2.5 ma 。 With these specifications in mind a series of tests were run by complementing the flip-flop at various pulse repetition frequencies while different tap points on the cathode resistor were selected. These curves are shown in Fig. 11 as PRF curves for various values of "a" (where "a" is the ratio of the resistance from cathode-to-tap to the entire cathode resistance). The curves show that the only difference in the selection of tap points (as far as the PRF characteristics are concerned) is the trigger sensitivity. This would tend to indicate a value of "a" between 0.15 and 0.20 . The selection was further narrowed down by the availability of RMA values of resistance to an "a" of 0.173. As seen in the circuit schematic this ratio is produced by using 1100 and 5100 ohm resistances. This ratio produces a negative clamp voltage of approximately 9.1 volts. This value of clamp voltage appears to limit the negative grid overshoot to a minimum and at the same time has a margin of safety over tubes with the most remote cutoff $(-5.5 \mathrm{~V})$. These results were further substantiated by the running of margins.

The use of this clamp circuit does not materially affect the stability factor of the flip-flop as the stability factor is determined mainly by the DC divider network.

### 8.0 DC Divider Network And Tube Margins

As a result of many performance tests made on the high-speed flip flop using 22177 tubes in all states (from $40 \%$ down to $20 \%$ high) it was felt that improved reliability and performance would be obtained by
reducing the ratio of the divider network somewhat. These circuit changes are shown in Fig. 37. The effects produced by these circuit changes are plotted in Fig. 12 and 12 as tube margins for both old and new divider networks.

All tests in this report were run with the old divider network (Fig. A) because several resistors in the old divider network of the MTC Mod. II flip-flop were not very accessible. However, it is suggested that all future models of the high-speed flip-flop should be constructed with the new divider network. (Fig. 39).

### 9.0 Marginal Checking

The circuit margins are shown for the four modes of operation (set and clear, unbalanced and balanced, complement, unbalanced and balanced) in Fig. 14 through 17. As memtioned previously in the report all curves were obtained with the old divider network. The new divider network will produce margins which are approximately $40 \%$ greater than the old divider network. This is caused by the greater attenuation ratio of the marginal checking voltage prior to the grid. However, in spite of this difference both circuits will perform in similar fashion. The curves of complement margins (unbalanced load) are shown both at 2 KC and 2 MC trigger PRF. However, since for this case the high frequency margins closely follow the low frequency margins only the nowload high frequency curve is shown. The curves for set and clear margins both unbalanced and balanced loads should be taken only at low PRF's since for the high frequency case the margins are extremely large. This is seen in Fig. 15.

### 10.0 Tolerances

Since flipoflops are by nature symmetrical circuits, they require close matching components in places where the components can effect the performance adversely. Such a place, for instance, is in the grid divider circuit where "off-center" tolerances effect the grid bias and consequently the loop gain. The plate circuit is also sensitive to deterioration of performance due to tolerances. Fig. 18 shows the effect of changes in plate tolerance voltage upon flip-flop margins. In Fig. B is shown the circuit which was used to obtain the curves.


The PTV (Plate Tolerance Voltage) is a useful concept in analyzing the effects upon performance of all tolerances involved in the plate circuit. These tolerances were then used to compute the maximum tolerance excursions permissible for both the 56 K and the 15 K plate resistors. The diode back resistances were neglected in the computations which follow: The open circuit voltage at the flip-flop plate with a PTV of 250 V is

$$
\frac{15}{71}(250-10)+10=60.8 \mathrm{~V}
$$

The Thevenin impedance which the flip-flop plate sees is 15 K in parallel with 56 K or 11.8 K . The amount of ( 56 K ) resistance tolerance ( T ) which will produce the above plate voltage and the same Thevenin impedance is:

$$
\left[\frac{\frac{56(1+T)(11.8)}{56(1+T)-11.8}}{56(1+T)+56(1+T)(11.8)}\right](250-10)+10=60.8
$$

Where: $T=+0.715$ or $+71.5 \%$
However, since the Thevenin impedance was 11.8 K the 15 K resistor must be 13.4 K when the 56 K resistor was $96.0 \mathrm{~K}(+71.5 \%)$

In a similar fashion the minimum tolerance of the 15 K resistor was found to be $-13.4 \%$. The tolerance of the 56 K plate resistor at the same time is $127 \%$.

Fig. 19 shows the effects on margins of lowered back resistance on the grid trigger diode. (CR5 or CR6.)

### 11.0 Performance Photographs

Fig. 20 through 36 show typical performance characteristics in photographs. Fig. 20 through 24 show the internal effects due to pulse trains. This particular method of testing flip-flops is extremely useful since the high and low frequency performance characteristics as well as any DC level changes are immediately evident. As can be seen by the above mentioned sequence of photographs no part of the flip-flop is adversely PRF sensitive nor is there any significant level changes at any circuit point which would indicate future trouble. Fig。 29 and 30 show the effects of the clamping circuit in preventing set pulses from affecting the clear side of the flip-flop and clear pulses from affecting the set side of the flip-flop. Fig. 29 was taken at a set and clear PRF of 2 mc while Fig. 30 was taken at 1 mc . The small feed-through to the opposite side at 1 mc operation will not in any way affect the performance of the flip-flop. Fig. 3l. and 32. show the critical pulse which is necessary to clear the flip-flop after a relatively long pulse train. For the case shown ( $2 \mathrm{MC} \operatorname{PRF}$ ) the critical pulse amplitude is 12 V as seen in Fig. 31. The remaining photographs (Fig. 33 through 36) are self-explanatory as they show the flip-flop under various modes of operation for both input conditions and output loading.
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> PA $=$ PULSE AMPLITUDE PW $=$ PULSE WIDTH

$$
\begin{gathered}
20 \mathrm{~V} \leq P A \leq 40 \mathrm{~V} \\
0.08 \mu \mathrm{SEC} \leq P W \leq 0.12 \mu \mathrm{SEC} .
\end{gathered}
$$

```
UL = UPPER LEVEL
ULD = UPPER LEVEL DELAY
FT = FALL TIME
TF = TRANSITION TIME (FALL) TO-15V
TTF = TRANSITION TIME TO LOWER
LEVEL
```

SET AND CLEAR OPERATION:

ULD $\approx 0.04 \mu \mathrm{SEC}$.
$F T=0.20+0.00365 C$
$T F=0.12+0.00215 \mathrm{C}$
$T T F=0.24+0.00365 \mathrm{C}$

COMPLEMENT OPERATION:
ULD $\approx 0.13 \mu$ SEC.
$F T=0.23+0.00365 C$
$T F=0.24+0.00215 C$
$T T F=0.36+0.00365 C$

WHERE: $C$ IS $I N$ MMFD times are in $\mu \mathrm{SEC}$.

LL = LOWER LEVEL
LLD = LOWER LEVEL DELAY
RT = RISE TIME
TR = TRANSITION TIME (RISE) TO-15V
TTR = TRANSITION TIME TO UPPER LEVEL
SET AND CLEAR | COMPLEMENT

OPERATION:
LLD $\approx 0.01 \mu$ SEC.
$R T=0.23+0.0009 C$
$T R=0.09+0.0002 C$
$T T R=0.24+0.0009 C$
OPERATION:
LLD $\approx 0.04 \mu$ SEC.
$R T=0.21+0.0008 \mathrm{C}$ $T R=0.24+0.0021 \mathrm{C}$ $T T R=0.25+0.0008 \mathrm{C}$

WHERE: $C$ IS IN MMFD times are in $\mu s E C$.

FIG. 3


FIG. 4
CAPACITIVE LOAD CHARACTERISTICS
FOR SET AND CLEAR OPERATION


FIG. 5
CAPACITANCE LOAD CHARACTERISTICS FOR COMPLEMENT OPERATION


FIG. 6
EFFECTS OF TRIGGER AMPLITUDE ON UPPER LEVEL DELAY


FIG. 7
COMPLEMENT PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY PULSE WIDTH CHARACTERISTICS


FIG. 8


FIG. 9
COMPLEMENT PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS


FIG. 10
LIMITS OF CAPACITIVE LOADING FOR VARIOUS MODES OF OPERATION



FIG. 12


FIG. 13


FIG. 14
SET AND CLEAR MARGINS (UNBALANCED LOAD)



FIG. 16


F16. 17


MARGINS vs. INJECTED PLATE TOLERANCE VOLTAGE



FIG. 20


FIG. 21


FIG. 22


FIG. 23


FIG. 24

INPUT PULSE TRAIN ON SET SIDE.

VERT. SCALE: 20V/CM HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu$ SEC./CM

PULSE TRAIN AT $39 \mu \mathrm{H}$ CHOKE.

VERT. SCALE: $20 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{CM}$ HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu$ SEC./CM

PULSE TRAIN AT DAMPING DIODE.

VERT. SCALE: 20V/CM HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu \mathrm{SEC} . / \mathrm{CM}$

PULSE TRAIN AT CATHODE TAP.

VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu \mathrm{SEC} . / \mathrm{CM}$

PULSE TRAIN AT CATHODE.
VERT. SCALE: 20V/CM HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu$ SEC./CM

RECOVERY OF FLIP-FLOP TO PULSE TRAINS AT VARIOUS TEST POINTS


FIG. 25

NO LOAD (8PF)
VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM
HOR. SCALE: $0.1 \mu$ SEC./CM


FIG. 27

NO LOAD (8PF) VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM HOR. SCALE: O.I $\mu$ SEC./CM

SET AND CLEAR OPERATION


FIG. 28

478 PF LOAD
VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM
HOR. SCALE: $0.5 \mu \mathrm{SEC} . / \mathrm{CM}$

EFFECTS OF CAPACITIVE LOADING ON OUTPUT WAVEFORM


FIG. 29

WAVESHAPE PRIOR TO GRID DIODE.

VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM HOR. SCALE: $0.1 \mu$ SEC. /CM SET \& CLEAR: $2 M C / S E C$.


FIG. 30

WAVESHAPE PRIOR TO GRID DIODE.

VERT. SCALE: IO V/CM HOR. SCALE: $0.2 \mu \mathrm{SEC} . / \mathrm{CM}$ SET \& CLEAR: IMC/SEC.

EFFECT OF CLAMPING CIRCUIT AT DIFFERENT PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCIES


FIG. 31
CRITICAL PULSE

INPUT PULSE TRAIN WITH CRITICAL PULSE NECESSARY TO CLEAR FLIP-FLOP AFTER EACH BURST.

VERT. SCALE: $20 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{CM}$ HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu$ SEC./CM


FIG. 32
CLEARED BETWEEN PULSE TRAINS

OUTPUT OF FLIP-FLOP FOR INPUT CONDITIONS OF FIG. 31

VERT. SCALE: $20 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{CM}$
HOR. SCALE: $10 \mu$ SEC./CM


FIG. 34


FIG. 35


FIG. 36

SET AND CLEAR OPERATION

VERT. SCALE: IOV/CM HOR. SCALE: $0.1 \mu S E C / C M$

EFFECTS OF PULSE AMPLITUDE ON UPPER LEVEL DELAY



FIG. 38
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Abstract: The question of transistor reliability can be answered with assurance only by testing reasonable numbers of units under conditions similar to those which will occur in use. Toward this end 444 surface-barrier transistors (type SB-100) have been placed on life test and have accumulated a total of 1,653,500 transistor-hours with 2 failures. In addition an 8-digit multiplier (TM-1) has operated for 2,800 hours with 480 transistors with 1 transistor failure.

TM -1, which uses modified direct-coupled circuits, has operated for periods of a week without error on building AC power. A double-rank 8-digit shift register using directcoupled circuits and completely shielded, has maintained a fixed pattern while shifting at a $1 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{s}$ rate for 4,526 hours and at this writing is continuing to do so.

All transistors considered, the failure rate is about $0.1 \%$ per thousand hours.


### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

When new components are used in applications where reliability and longevity may be measured in terms of very large amounts of money and perhaps even human life, it is essential to know in advance the reliability and life expectancy of the new device. This information can best be obtained by working with the component in a system of reasonable size, but setting up such a system takes time. As an alternative special circuits can be built rapidly and in quantity to test the device under conditions similar to those anticipated in service. This latter approach has been used for the most part in life-testing transistors in Group 63.

Life testing ${ }^{1}$ of transistors started in 1952-53 when 91 pointcontact transistors of various types were placed in some rather severe tests for a 5000 hour period. There were 14 failures. Some more useful life tests were made on junction transistors during 1952-54 by R. H. Baker of Group 24. A brief summary of the results he obtained is listed below:

| TYPE OF TRANSISTOR | No. OF UNITS |  | DURATION OF TESTS | FAILURES |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PNP Alloy (audio) | 128 |  | 16,016 hours |  |
| (4 Mechanical) |  |  |  |  |

These tests showed that conventional transistors could be used with success in carefully designed circuits. A failure was defined to have occurred when the circuit quit operating normally. The transistor parameters in some cases varied considerably during the course of the tests.

The overall tests, including a few not listed here, total about 8 million transistor-hours and the failure rate is somewhat over 0.1\% per thousand hours.

1. Results of Transistor Life Tests; June 1952 to April 1953, Div。 6 Memorandum 6M-2325.

When it was decided to use large numbers of surface-barrier transistors in high-speed computer circuits, it was considered necessary to initiate life tests immediately. This memorandum describes the present status of these tests.

### 2.0 DIRECT-COUPLED FLIP-FLOP TESTS

When surface-barrier transistors became available to the laboratory in Nov. '54, a life test consisting of two flip-flops of the type shown in Fig. I was started. About a month later four more such circuits were placed on test. Initially to save time the trigger pulses were supplied by a system of Burroughs Pulse Control vacuum tube equipment. This system was replaced on Dec. 1, 1955 by the transistorized control shown in Fi.g. 2. There have been two transistor failures, both sudden and complete and both apparently caused by external means. The first at 125 hours occured when the ground clip of a scope probe brushed the collector of a flip-flop transistor. The second failure occurred at 7,075 hours during the removal of a driver transistor for testing.

The transistors are soldered into circuits so there can be no regular parameter checks. However, all transistors were tested initially and were removed for retesting at 7,075 hours. The results of this test are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Average change in forward } \alpha=\text { none } \\
& \text { Average change in reverse } \alpha=\text { none } \\
& \text { Average change in } I_{c o}=+0.7 \mu a \\
& \text { Average change in } I_{e o}=+0.7 \mu a
\end{aligned}
$$

A summary of the flip-flop tests is presented below:

## Circuit

FF no. 1 and 2
FF no. 3 thru 6
Control

## Running Time No. Transistors

9,166 hours 8
8,371 hours 16
336 hours

33 Total

Total Transistor Hours $=210,288$
Number of Failures $=2$

### 3.0 SHELF LIFE TEST

As a control test 16 transistors were placed in sockets in the same area as the other tests but not connected to any supply voltages. These transistors have been measured periodically with no change in parameter values.

A summary of the test follows:
Number of transistors $=16$
Number of hours $=5,014$
Total transistor hours $=80,224$
Number of failures $=0$

### 4.0 FREE-RUNNING DIRECT-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTER

Three free-running shift-registers, each containing 16 transistors, have been placed on life test. These are 2-digit double-rank registers with transfer gates directly coupled in such a manner that the pattern shifts continually at a rate determined by the circuit parameters. The transistors are mounted in sockets so they can be removed for testing.

The first of these is the direct-coupled register shown in Figure 3. This unit runs at $1.75 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{sec}$, and has an output voltage swing of 0.45 volts. During its 5,536 hours of operation the transistor parameters have been checked periodically. The results are:

Forward and reverse $\alpha=$ no change $I_{c o}=+0.27 \mu a$ average change in 4,200 hours $I_{\text {eo }}=+0.26 \mu \mathrm{Na}$ average change in 4,200 hours

The present status of this test is listed below:
Number of transistors $=16$
Number of hours $=5,536$
Total transistor hours $=88,576$
Number of failures $=0$

### 5.0 FREE-RUNNING DIODE-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTER

This circuit, shown in Fig. 4 is the same as the previous one except that diodes are used for collector-to-base coupling of all transistors. This arrangement gives an output swing of l volt at a frequency of $1.61 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{sec}$. The parameter data shows the following:

> Forward and reverse $\alpha=$ no change
> $I_{\text {co }}=+0.06 \mu a$ average change in 4,000 hours
> $I_{\text {eo }}=+0.08 \mu a$ average change in 4,000 hours

The results to date of the tests are:
Number of transistors $=16$
Number of hours $=4,576$
Total transistor hours $=73,216$
Number of failures $=0$

### 6.0 FREE-RUNNING RC-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTER

The third register of the same type uses RC-coupling between each collector and base as shown in Fig. 5. The circuit operates at $3.03 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{sec}$ with an output of 1.0 volts. Parameter tests are listed below:

> Forward and reverse $=$ no change
> $I_{c o}=+1.17 \mu a$ average increase in 4,100 hours $I_{\text {eo }}=+1.31 \mu a$ average increase in 4,100 hours

The change in $I_{c o}$ and $I_{e o}$ for the three registers is different. However, at this time there is no evidence to suggest that this is the result of anything other than variation in groups of transistors. The results of the RC-coupled register tests are as follows:

> Number of transistors $=16$
> Number of hours $=5,484$
> Total transistor hours $=87,744$
> Number of failures $=0$

### 7.0 8-DIGIT DIRECT-COUPLED SHIFT REGISTERS

Two 8-digit direct-coupled shift registers with control circuits have been placed on life test. These are double rank units which shift at a rate of $1 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{sec}$. There are 80 transistors in each register and 19 in each control. One unit is completely shielded and the other is unshielded in an attempt to determine the effect of outside noise. 3-volt batteries are used for supply voltages. The power requirements are 420 mw each. The circuit of the register is shown in Fig. 6; the control in Fig. 7.

### 7.1 SHIELDED 8-DIGIT SHIFT REGISTER

The pattern circulating in the register is 11001000 and the register is checked morning ana night to see that it is unchanged. The present run was started June 8, 1955 and as of December 14, an elapsed time of 4,526 hours, it has still remained unchanged. Batteries are changed once a week without stopping the register's operation. During the course of a week the supply voltage varies from -3 volts to about -2 volts. A summery of the results of the test follows:

Number of transistors $=99$
Total number of operating hours $=5,828$
Longest error-free run $=4,526$ hours, continuing.
Total transistor hours $=576,972$
Number of failures $=0$

### 7.2 UNSHIELDED 8-DIGIT SHIFT REGISTER

This register is also circulating a 11001000 pattern at lmc/sec. It is operated under conditions in all respects similar to the first except that there is no shielding. The longest error-free run made by this unit was 1,801 hours. A comparison of the error-free intervals of the two registers is given on the following page. An error here means a loss of pattern.

UNSHIELDED REGISTER


## SHIELDED REGISTER

## Error No. Hours since Last Error

 Testing, etc. 771 60
2 71
3
469
55
4
90
336
144
4526 (continuing)
5828 Hours Total

The record of the shielded register has been the better, but part of the early poor record of each unit was due to cold solder joints, unsoldered connections, poor grounds, etc. There is no satisfactory way of comparing these factors in each case and no details are available. A summary of the information on the unshielded register follows:

Number of transistors $=99$
Total number of operating hours $=4486$
Longest error-free run $=1,801$ hours
Total transistor-hours $=444,114$
Number of failures $=0$

### 8.0 SINGLE-RANK 8-DIGIT SHIFT REGISTER

A single-rank 8-digit shift register, using the TM-1 flip-flop shown in Fig. 8, has recently been put into operation. The driving circuits are shown in Fig. 9 and 10. This unit has not been in operation long enough to give any significant results. A summary of the data avilable follows:

Number of transistors $=149$
Total number of operating hours $=620$
Total Transistor-hours $=92,380$
Number of failures $=0$
The unit is still undergoing initial checks and error-free runs last only a day or two at present. Margins can be measured and will be checked daily in the future.

### 9.0 THE 8-DIGIT MULTIPLIER

An 8-digit multiplier, TM-1, has been in operation 2,800 hours. The unit has 480 transistors and there has been one failure, a mechanical break at the base tab inside the case, which showed up as an intermittent. The pulse rate is $4 \mathrm{mc} / \mathrm{sec}$. The unit operates from 170 V AC building power and consequently one 8-day period has been the longest error-free run. A typical TM-1 flip-flop is shown in Fig. 8. A summary of the present information follows:

> Number of transistors $=480$
> Operating time $=2,800$ hours
> Total transistor hours $=1,344,000$
> Number of failures $=1$
10.0 SUMMARY

There are 444 transistors in straight life-test circuits which have accumulated $1,653,500$ transistor-hours. There are 480 transistors in TM-1 which have amassed 1,344,000 transistor-hours. There have been 3 failures. This is summarized in the accompanying table. The failure rate works out to about $0.1 \%$ per thousand hours.


| TEST | NO．OF TRANSISTORS | $\frac{\text { OPERATING TIME }}{\text { HOURS }}$ | TRANSISTOR－HOURS | FAILURES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FF\＃l and 2 | 8 | 9166 |  |  |
| FF\＃3 and 6 | 16 | 8371 | 210，288 | 2 |
| Control for above | 9 | 336 |  |  |
| Shelf Life | 16 | 5014 | 80，224 | 0 |
| Direct－coupled Shift－reg． | 16 | 5536 | 88，576 | 0 |
| Diode－coupled Shift－reg． | 16 | 4576 | 73，216 | 0 |
| R－C Coupled Shift－reg． | 16 | 5484 | 87，744 | 0 |
| Shielded Shift－reg． | 99 | 5828 | 576，972 | 0 |
| Unshielded Shift－reg． | 99 | 4486 | 444，114 | 0 |
| Single－rank Shift－reg． | 149 | 620 | 92，380 | 0 |
| TM－1（Multiplier） | 480 | 2800 | $1,344,000$ | 1 |
|  | 924 |  | $2,997,514$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |

TABLE I－SUMMARY OF SBT LIFE TESTS

Attachments: Fig. 1. A-65100
Fig. 2. A-65101
Fig. 3. B-63083
Fig. 4. B-63067
Fig. 5. B-63066
Fig. 6. C-62994
Fig. 7. C-63051
Fig. 8. B-65102
Fig. 9. B-65103
Fig. 10. B-65104
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FIG. 3
FREE-RUNNING SHIFT REGISTER (DIRECT-COUPLED)


NOTE:ALL DIODES ARE SYLVANIA INIBBA
FIG. 4
FREE-RUNNING SHIFT REGISTER (DIODE-COUPLED)
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FIG. 5
FREE-RUNNING SHIFT REGISTER (R-C COUPLED)
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Abstract: The heating of a memory core caused by high frequency switching has been studied experimentally. For a switching frequency of 400 kilocycles(the maximum freequency possible in a coincident-current two-to-one selection memory of 5 microsecond cycle time) the temperature of the memory core (DCL material) may rise more than $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above ambient in still air or $34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an oil bath. At 200 kilocycles (the maximum reasonable switching frequency in the memory) the temperature rises are $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively At $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ above ambient $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the memory core fails to hold information dependably Thus, with forced air cooling the present memory should have no problem with heating; however, memories of shorter cycle time face serious heating problems.

Distribution: The following are to receive the complete memorandum a

```
Best, R., B-131 Sarles, F.W.
Bradspies, S. Smith, D.O.
Brown, D.R. Zopatti, R.
Childress, J.D. Papian, W.N.
Davidson, G。
Ellis, D.H.
Freeman, JoR.
Goodenough, J.B.
Guditz, E.A.
Gurley, B.
Mitchell, J. L.
Menyuk, \(N\).
Olsen, K.H.
\(\mathrm{PaCl}_{9} \mathrm{R} . \mathrm{A}^{2}\)

\section*{Introduction:}

The power \(P\) in ergs per second dissipated in a memory core switching at a frequency \(f\) in cycles per second is
\[
\begin{equation*}
P=f \times 10^{7} V \int_{-B_{r}}^{B_{m}} H_{m} d B \approx 5 \times 10^{6} \times f_{u} V_{l} I_{m} \tau \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(V\) is the volume of the core in cubic centimeters, where \(H_{m}\) is the maximum field in oersteds, \(B\) is in gauss, \(V_{l}\) is the output voltage signal in volts, \(I_{m}\) is the maximum current in amperes, and \(\tau_{s}\) is the switch time in seconds. Thus, for a memory core switching at \(f=500 \mathrm{kc}\),
\[
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} \approx 3 \times 10^{5} \frac{\mathrm{ergs}}{\text { seconds }} \approx 3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{watt} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
\]
an appreciable amount of power to be dissipated by an element the size of a memory core.

The heat energy dissipated by a memory core is a function of (1) the difference between the temperature of the core and the ambient temperature and (2) the nature of the medium into which the heat must go. Thus, as the frequency of switching is increased, the core temperature rises to effect the necessary additional dissipation.

Unfortunately, the properties of the core are dependent on the temperature. As the temperature increases, the switch time \(\tau_{s}\) decreases and the critical field \(H_{d}\) (the minimum amplitude of disturb pulses which cause disturb sensitivity) decrease. When \(H_{d}\) becomes equal to \(\frac{H_{m}}{2}\), the memory core fails to hold information; thus \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{no}}\), the maximum operating temperature for the core, is defined as the temperature at which \(H_{d}=\frac{A_{m}}{2}\).

The maximum temperature \(T_{\text {no }}\) depends on the type memory scheme in which the core must operate. For a perfect memory scheme (by "perfect" is meant that the only material requirement is that it be magnetic) \(T_{n o}=T_{c}\), the Curie temperature; for less ingenious schemes, the requirement on \(\mathrm{T}_{n 0}\) is that it be higher than the maximum temperature a core can reach in normal memory operation.

\section*{Experimental Procedure:}

The heating by high frequency switching of a core of the DCL memory core composition was measured indirectly. First the undisturbed output voltage \(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{l}}\) for \(I_{m}=0.9\) amperes was measured as a function of temperature. Then \({ }_{u} V_{l}\) was measured as a function of the frequency of switching. By means of the first set of data, the increase in \(\mathrm{u}_{1}\) caused by high frequency switching was translated into the equivalent change in temperature. The results are presented in Fig. \(l_{0}\)

Fig。 2 is a plot of field amplitude margins as a function of temperature. The upper limit on \(H_{m}\) is given by
\[
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}}=0.5 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
\]
the condition for operation in a coincident-current memory, two-to-one selection. An additional margin, \(R_{s p}=0.55\) is also shown. The lower limit is determined by the minimum field for which the switching time \(\tau_{s}\) is
\[
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{s}=1.25 \text { microsecond } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
\]
the upper limit of \(\tau_{s}\) in the MTC type memory. An additional limit, \(\tau_{s}=1.0\) microseconds, is also included.

\section*{Discussion and Conclusions:}

The memory operates at the point \(H_{m}=1.9\) oersted and \(T_{0}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) on the Operation Limits plot, Fig. 2. Thus for these conditions \(T_{n o}=53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\); so a rise of temperature \(\Delta T \approx 28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) is permissible.

If the memory were operated at \(H_{m}=2.3\) oersteds and \(T_{0}=-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\), the maximum temperature would be \(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{no}}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and \(\Delta \mathrm{T} \approx 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). Thus at the expense of higher driving power to the memory and refrigeration, wider temperature limits could be obtained.

The present MTC memory is cooled by forced air circulation. The cooling of the core by forced air circulation is better than that for still air and somewhat less than for immersion in an oil bath. The cooling would depend on the air velocity. An experiment with a fan
blowing air over a core gave values almost midway between those for still air and those for oil immersion.

The maximum possible frequency at which a core in a memory of 5 microsecond cycle time could be switched is 500 kilocycles. This means that a single register is being continuously selected and at least one bit is having a ONE read out and rewritten continuously. This is a somewhat improbable occurrence -- and a useless one in terms of information handling. The reasonable occurrence is that a particular register be selected every other cycle resulting in a maximum switching frequency of 200 kilocycles.

From Fig. 1, \(\Delta T\) for a core switching at 400 kilocycles is greater than \(57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in still air or \(34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) in an oil bath (Dow Corning 550 Silicone Oil); for 200 kilocycles, \(\Delta T\) is \(33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\) and \(22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\), respectively. Since the maximum allowable temperature rise is about \(28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\), it is evident that the memory may operate near the temperature limit but should not exceed the limit so long as the cooling system functions.

For very high-speed memories, heating is a serious problem. Two approaches suggest themselves:
(1) Increase the surface area to volume ratio of the element;
(2) Move the memory operating point to a lower temperatare.
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\section*{TITIE:} HOLE STORAGE IN A SATURATED GROUND-EMITTER TRANSISTOR CIRCUIT

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM:
A solution for the variation with time of the distribution of minority carriers in a transistor will be determined for a saturated, RCcoupled, grounded-emitter circuit during turnoff. This solution will be broken into several phases, and the effect of circuit and transistor parameters upon the shape and duration of each phase will be determined.

\section*{HISTORY OF THE PROBLEM:}

Digital computers use large numbers of vacuum tubes, in the range of 1000 to 10,000 , and hence require large amounts of plate and filament power, space, and cooling. For these reasons transistors are attractive as replacements for the vacuum tubes in newer computers. As the various type transistors have been developed, each has been tried out in digital computer circuits flip-flops, gates, and pulse amplifiers. The first \(^{\text {fin }}\), transistors, the point-contact type, were experimented with but due to the difficulty of producing large numbers of similar units and to their instability, no large systems were built using them. \({ }^{1}\) Junction transis tors, which were developed next, are much more uniform and can be manufactured with good control; however, digital computer circuits using
1. Superscripts refer to the bibliography at the end of the proposal.
them cannot compete with vacuum tubes in many applications where speed is important.

Computer circuitry is essentially large signal circuitry wherein circuit levels take on one of two values to represent the binary numbers 0 and 1. The collector characteristics of junction transistors are divided into three regions; collector current cutoff, active, and collector current saturation regions. In the collector current cutoff region the transistor is an open circuit and in the current saturation region it acts as if it were a short circuit. \({ }^{2}\) These two regions therefore provide built-in level clamping for computer circuitry. Due to a phenomenon called hole storage, a considerable time is required for the transistor to recover after removing the drive which has put it into the saturated region. \({ }^{3}\) Therefore, to maintain fairly good switching times with junction transistors elaborate circuits have been developed to prevent the transistor from saturating. \({ }^{4}\)

By allowing the transistor to enter saturation many simplifications in circuitry and computer logic may be made, since the transistor may now be treated as a relay. \({ }^{5}\) In addition, the circuits become less critical with respect to transistor parameter and component values. With the development of the surfacembarrier transistor, which has very high speed due to its small dimensions and excellent control in manufacturing due to the fabrication technique, saturating type circuits have become practical for high speed systems. \({ }^{6}\) Other considerations rule out the use of nonsaturating circuits with surface-barrier transistors; the low voltage swings encountered in the circuits do not allow effective clamping with diodes having faster recovery than the transistors.

The original saturating switching circuits using surface－barrier transistors are direct coupled in that the collector of one circuit is connected directly to the base of the next circuit as shown in Fig。 la．\({ }^{5}\) This circuit requires that the emitter－collector voltage of a transistor in saturation be less than the voltage required to cause any base cur－ rent to flow in the second stage which is off．This type circuit leads to a small component count and fairly good speeds．It has been found in investigations carried out at Lincoln Laboratory that by the addition of a parallel RC coupling circuit with positive bias，an improvement could be made in the tolerance of these circuits to transistor parameters and in the speed with which a saturated transistor could be turned off．The basic circuit for RC coupled switching circuits is shown in Fig．Ib．

Typical computer circuits using RC coupled saturating grounded－ emitter transistors are shown in Fig．2．，where（a）is an＂or＂gate， （b）is an＂and＂gate，and（c）is a flipaflop with its associated＂set＂ and＂clear＂inputs．It caneasily be seen that all of these circuits are combinations of the basic circuit of Fig。 lb。A simplified unloaded equivalent to this basic circuit is shown in Fig．3a with the mercury relay corresponding to the preceeding transistor．It is this circuit which will be evaluated with respect to hole storage in this thesis．

The phenomenon under study is similar to that which causes reverse recovery problems in point－contact and junction diodes．\({ }^{7,8}\) The hole storage phenomenon has been investigated for junction transistors，but under different conditions；i．e．，the base being an open circuit during turnoff，or the base being shorted to the emitter during turnoff．\({ }^{3,9}\) The desired result therefore will be obtained by solving the transistor
equations for the particular boundary conditions imposed by the RC coupling circuit.

For a \(p-n-p\) transistor in current saturation, a plot of hole density, \(p\), vs. distance through the base, \(x\), combined with a simple equivalent circuit is shown in Fig. Sb, and that for an active transistor, in Fib. Bc. Using many simplifying assumptions, the transistor equations which relate the variables in these figures \({ }^{10}\) can be approximated as follows.
\[
I_{E}=\frac{1}{\gamma} I_{\epsilon}(p), \quad I_{c}=I_{c}(p)
\]
where \(\gamma\) is the emitter efficiency, \(I_{E}\), the emitter current density; \(I_{c}\), the collector current density; \(I_{\epsilon}(p)\), the emitter hole current density; and \(I_{c}(p)\), the collector hole current density. If no electric fields exist in the base, the hole current densities are:
\[
I_{\epsilon}(p)=-\left.q D_{p} \frac{d p}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{\epsilon}}, \quad I_{c}(p)=-\left.q D_{p} \frac{d p}{d x}\right|_{x=x_{G}}
\]
where \(q\) is the charge on a hole and \(\mathbb{D}_{p}\), the diffusion constant for holes. The equation governing the hole distribution in the b as reduces to
\[
d^{2} p / d x^{2}=0
\]
in the steady state if volume and surface recombination are neglected. This yields a solution for \(p\) as follows
\[
p=p \epsilon-\left[I_{c} / q D_{p}\right]\left(x-x_{\epsilon}\right)
\]
and gives \(I_{\epsilon}(p)=I_{c}(p)\), where \(p_{\epsilon}\) is the hole density at the emitter junction. If infinite planar junctions are assumed, on a per unit area basis
\[
I_{B}=I_{E}-I_{C}=\left(\frac{1}{\gamma}-1\right) I_{C}
\]
where \(\gamma\) is a function of \(p \in\) due to such effects as surface recombination and resistivity modulation in the base. The hole density at the junetions are also related to the junction voltages by
\[
P_{\epsilon}=P_{B}\left(e^{q \Phi_{\epsilon} / k T}-1\right), \quad p_{c}=p_{B}\left(e^{q \Phi_{c} / k T}-1\right)
\]
where \(p_{\in}\) and \(p_{c}\) are the hole densities at the emitter and collector junctions; \(\Phi_{\in}\) and \(\Phi_{C}\) are the emitter and collector junction voltages; and \(p_{B}\) is the normal hole density in the base. In the active region, \(\bar{\Phi}_{C}\) is negative and hence \(p_{c}\) is approximately zero. From \(I_{c}\) we can find \(p \in\), from which we obtain \(\gamma\), then \(I_{B}\). However in the saturated region, \(I_{B}\) and \(I_{c}\) are determined by external circuit conditions. From them we can find a value for \(\gamma\), and hence \(p_{\epsilon}\) and from it \(p_{c}\). In this case, \(p_{c}\) is not zero as shown in Fig. Ba. As a result of this, \(\Phi_{c}\) is positive which accounts for the low voltage across the transistor in saturation.

In the turnoff period it is obvious that the collector junction voltage cannot go negative into the active region until \(p_{c}\) has been reduced to zero. Therefore the switching time is largely dependent on the speed with which the hole density at the collector junction can be reduced to zero. During turnoff, as always, \(I_{E}=I_{c}+I_{B}\), hence if we can cause reverse base current to flow, the value of \(I_{\epsilon}(p)\) will be reduced. In fact, if \(I_{B}\) can be made more negative than \(I_{c}\), holes will
be removed through the emitter junction in addition to those leaving through the collector. At present, only qualitative hole density dise tributions can be given for the circuit of Fig. \(3 a_{\text {; }}\), however, typical base and collector waveshapes are shown in Fig. 4 for the listed circuit parameters. A break in the active region rise will be noted for those waveshapes obtained with small \(C_{B}\). The cause of this break is not known at present but it will be investigated in the course of this thesis.

\section*{PROPOSED PROCEDURE:}

All of the equations previously quoted are obtained through the use of a large number of questionable assumptions. Such affects as surface and volume recombination, variation in emitter efficiency, electric fields in the base region, or others, may be responsible for the hole storage recovery as observed in Fig. 4. Observations will be made using simpler turnoff conditions such as open base or constant reverse base current, and from these observations a mathematical model incorporating the pertio nent effects will be developed. A solution for the hole density and collector waveshape will be determined which is valid for the various phases of the waveshape and for various combinations of transistor and circuit parameters which are met in typical surface-barrier saturating RC-coupled switching circuits.

\section*{EQUIPMENT NEEDED:}

All test equipment and material will be supplied by Lincoln Labora* tory.

\section*{ESTIMATED DIVISION OF TIME:}

Preparation of the proposal 50
Further study of the literature 50
Experimental work and analysis 150
Correlation of results and formulation 100 of deductions and conclusions

Preparation of the thesis report 100

TOTAL ..................... 450
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FIG. I(a)
BASIC DIRECT COUPLED SWITCHING CIRCUIT


FIG I(b)



FIG. 3 (a)
CIRCUIT UNDER TEST


FIG. 3 (b) HOLE DENSITY FOR A SATURATED TRANSISTOR


FIG. 3 (c)
HOLE DENSITY FOR AN ACTIVE TRANSISTOR
\(t \mu S E C\)

\(t \mu S E C\)

\[
\begin{aligned}
E_{C G} & =-3.0 \mathrm{VOLTS} \\
E_{p} & =+10 \mathrm{VOLTS} \\
R_{B} & =2.2 \mathrm{~K} \\
R_{p} & =180 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
\]

PHILCO SB-100 SURFACE BARRIER TRANSISTOR
FIG. 4```
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