

On Filtered Deletes

Ed: I too thank you for your tips in (33205,). Neat. I've been using parts of the technique..,but hadn't thought as far into it as you. It occurs to me that in addition to using the logic in a delete mode, one can also use it in move and copy modes in interesting ways.. agree? Who knows what transpose would do? Jim N.

1

JCN 22-AUG-75 08:22 33301

On Filtered Deletes

(J33301) 22-AUG-75 08:22;;; Title: Author(s): James C. Norton/JCN;
Distribution: /EJK([INFO-ONLY]) JHB([INFO-ONLY]) RADDC([
INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC RADDC; Clerk: JCN;

33301 Distribution

Frank S. LaMonica, William E. Rzepka, Rocco F. Iuorno, Thomas J. Bucciero, Roger B. Panara, John L. McNamara, Joe P. Cavano, Duane L. Stone, Marcelle D. Petell, Thomas F. Lawrence, Edmund J. Kennedy, James H. Bair, Wolf-Hasso Kaubisch, Kim Cynthia Carter, Samuel L. Ruple, Stephen P. Sutkowski, Richard Calicchia, William W. Patterson, Francis J. Hilbing, Robert K. Walker, Frank P. Sliwa, Joe F. Femia, Roger W. Weber, Melville J. Draper, Robert D. Krutz, James W. Hyde, David T. Craig, Fred N. Dimaggio, Robert E. Doane, Richard Nelson, William F. Stinson, Daniel R. Loreto, John B. McLean, Murray L. Kesselman, Edward F. LaForge, Agatha C. Deconde, Alan R. Barnum, Larry M. Lombardo, Roberta J. Carrier, Richard H. Thayer, Frank J. Tomaini, Mike A. Wingfield, Edmund J. Kennedy, Ray A. Liuzzi, Donald VanAlstine, Deane F. Bergstrom

JCN 22-AUG-75 08:40 33302

Filter Operations and a Coming Subsystem: Retrieve

It may be that you already know of the retrieve subsystem,..but if not,..here's some comments about it,

Filter Operations and a Coming Subsystem: Retrieve

Ed: Another hidden capability about to come out we hope is in the retrieve subsystem. That system gives the user the ability to set a filter search to a given level (for text or position, etc set by a content analyzer pattern), permits the user to accept "fathers" in settable ranges up the hierarchy...or "brothers", "daughters", "aunts" (tough terminology right now)...then do copy, move delete so that whole branches selected by the filter (or just carefully selected parts of them) are affected. I use this in process commands branches to segregate my mail, to copy out just parts of branches based on text found at, say, the third level. oh, yes...the content analyzer I use is sometimes a pre-compiled 1-10 program that does some pretty fancy searching and at the same time reformats what is "sent" out...adding text, copying parts... so that in a move or copy...what I get may not even look like what I found... but the same kind of logic: highly selective deleting, moving, copying is used. If you'd like to try the retrieve subsystem...let me know. The reason it's not released generally yet is that we haven't had the time to document it and also, we aren't happy with the terminology for fathers, etc or with the way "levels" are specified: it uses viewspecs to specify what fathers, etc you want to accept (or refuse)... like accept fathers from level: ea to e would get you level one father, level two father if the filter search was set to level three and the level three statement passed... I know that's a little cryptic...but that's the general idea. Want to know more? Jim

Filter Operations and a Coming Subsystem: Retrieve

(J33302) 22-AUG-75 08:40;;; Title: Author(s): James C. Norton/JCN;
Distribution: /EJK([INFO-ONLY]) DLS([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JCN;

33302 Distribution

Edmund J. Kennedy, Duane L. Stone,

NSRDC Directory Changes

FGB 22-AUG-75 08:50 33303

Here are some directory/ident changes for NSRDC. I've asked for some new directories, bumped down page alloc. on some old, & requested one new ident.

Sandy/Feed & Jim,

1

The following is a list of new directories and some changes I'd like made to existing ones. At the end of this exercise, we will have 22 directories and a page allocation of 4750. At least six of the directories will probably disappear after a two-three week changeover period.

1a

I would like the following directories created and ident's associated with them as follows:

1b

ROTH 300 Pages

1b1

Peter N. Roth PNR

1b1a

NELC 300 Pages

1b2

Dana L. Small DLS4

1b2a

Gilbert B. Myers GBM

1b2b

Robert A. Fleming RAF

1b2c

J.G. Noel JGN

1b2d

James J. Goertz JJG2

1b2e

NAVCOSSACT 300 Pages

1b3

Robert Alan Grossman

1b3a

Naval Command Systems Support Activity

1b3a1

Washington, D.C. 20374

1b3a2

Tel: (202) 433-3930 (AV 288-3930)

1b3a3

NSWC 50 Pages

1b4

Mary Lou Blessing MLB

1b4a

Robert D. Archer Jr. RDA

1b4b

Eugene P. Stemple EPS2

1b4c

Manley W. Turner MWT

1b4d

Ira V. West IVW

1b4e

NSRDC Directory Changes

David F, Eliezer	DFE	1b4f
NADC	50 Pages	1b5
Henry G, Stuebing	HGS	1b5a
Harold Doerfel	HD2	1b5b
NRL	50 Pages	1b6
Honey Sue Elovitz	HSE	1b6a
Connie Heitmeyer	CH5	1b6b
Joe McCaffrey	JM5	1b6c
Edward Lewis Aiken	ELA	1b6d
John B, Smith	JBS	1b6e
NWC	50 Pages	1b7
Richard R, Wolff	RRW	1b7a
John J, Zenor	JJZ	1b7b
NUC	50 Pages	1b8
Jack M, Zyphur	JMZ2	1b8a
Isaac Bornstein	IB	1b8b
Robert A, Unger	RAU	1b8c
NCSL	50 Pages	1b9
Anna Watson	AW2	1b9a
Paul C, Bishop	PCB	1b9b
David Brown	DB4	1b9c
Louis M, Robertson	LMR	1b9d
Please change the NSRDC directory so that it has a 300 page disk allocation and only the following idents are associated with it:		1c
L, Kenton Meals	LKM	1c1

Allen Hankinson AH	1c2
Elizabeth Cuthill EHC	1c3

I would like the page allocation of the following directories to be reduced to 50 pages: 1d

NAVAPS	1d1
MATHSCI	1d2
NAVINFO	1d3
NAVMINI	1d4
NAVSEC	1d5

Also, I would like the "organization" and "group" fields changed from NSRDC to : 1e

- "group" should be NALCON (for Navy Laboratory Computer Network) 1e1
- "organization" should be NAVY (for Navy) 1e2

For your information, after all this is done our directory/page allocation will look as follows:d 1f

COMRADE 550	1f1
BRIGNOLI 500	1f2
AVRUNIN 500	1f3
NALCON 300	1f4
NAVIMP 300	1f5
NSRDC 300	1f6
ROTH 300	1f7
NAVCOSSACT 300	1f8
NUSC 300	1f9
NELC 300	1f10
ISDS 50	1f11

NSRDC Directory Changes

FGB 22-AUG-75 08:50 33303

NAVAPS	50	1f12
MATHSCI	50	1f13
NAVINFO	50	1f14
NAVMINI	50	1f15
NAVSEC	50	1f16
NSWC	50	1f17
NADC	50	1f18
NRL	50	1f19
NWC	50	1f20
NUC	50	1f21
NCSL	50	1f22

Good Luck!! I'll be out your way next week so you can beat up on me personally, See you.

1g

NSRDC Directory Changes

(J33303) 22-AUG-75 08:50;;; Title: Author(s): Frank G.
Brignoli/FGB; Distribution: /FEED([ACTION]) JCN([ACTION]) ILA([
INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: FGB;

33303 Distribution

Special Jhb Feedback, James C. Norton, I. Larry Avrunin,

To Susan or Pricilla

In answering your message dated 19 Aug 1975, I will be using a Bedford Terminal Selecterm "System 75". It prints 6 lines to the inch and 10 char per inch. It is "full duplex" The name of the second file these statements will go to will be "Direp-Status-Reports",. The codes in parenthesis will look like this (1235) however the first three numbers is the julian date the number 5 would be the one it should disperse on. If any more questions arrive don't hesitate to contact me... J, Crabtree

1

JLC 22-AUG-75 09:00 33304

To Susan or Pricilla

(J33304) 22-AUG-75 09:00;;; Title: Author(s): Johnny L.
Crabtree/JLC; Distribution: /SGR([ACTION]) PAW2([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JLC;

33304 Distribution

Susan Gail Roetter, Priscilla A. Wold,

Data Management System and the RADC Program

REPLY TO ATTN OF: ISI/7507

23Aug 71

1

SUBJECT: Data Management System and the RADC Program

2

TO: ISIM/John McNamara

3

1. After listening to Hughes' presentation last week (19 Aug 71), it has become most apparent that we should be able to explain: Classical File Designers, how each is implemented on a machine, what hardware and software features facilitate implementation, and finally relate advantages and disadvantages to user problems.

4

2. It follows that each of the Data Management software packages now available, or soon to be available, on the 635 should be rated against the findings (MADAPS and the 1604 should be included).

5

3. Since anything workable can be programmed by a clever programmer, ratings of software and/or hardware features must be on time savings and/or a cost savings basis. This is where Frank Sliwa's test and evaluation program comes into its own. It seems to me key time savings over cost ratios have to be developed and related to File Design features or user problems. Base line or state of the art values should be developed for each ratio.

6

4. For demonstration and test purposes, a "live" data base inherently designed with user data management problems in mind must be developed and maintained. Scenarios that exercise the hardware and software must be developed for each key ratio.

7

5. In order to demonstrate base line capability and the effectiveness of development modifications, a "test bed" must be instrumented, i.e., statistic automatically collected through hardware/software mods to the "Test Bed" Hardware and Software.

8

6. A well-rounded program to do all of these things will develop knowledgeable consultants to assist users in selecting Hardware/Software Systems and in preparing specifications to fill voids.

9

7. Conclusions: If you agree with me, I would like to hear your plans toward accomplishing these goals.

10

Frank J Tomaini

11

Chief, Info Processing Branch

12

Data Management System and the RADC Program

(J33305) 22-AUG-75 10:46;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
40-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 10:43 FJT ;;;;####;

Promotions and Grade Average Dilemma

ISI/7507 26 Jul 72 1

Promotions and Grade Average Dilemma 2

RADC (DO/COL Metscher) 3

As an RADC supervisor, I am concerned. As the manager of R&D in a discipline that requires young and energetic approaches, I am concerned. The already more than one year freeze on raises, the recent reduction in force and the indication of a continuation of the freeze on raises in order to reduce the so-called grade average of the Center to some fixed level by a certain date indicates to me that I will lose the best incumbents of my lowest grade positions. This is simply because they are the most affected by the actions over the past year, since they most deserve a raise and yet are most vulnerable to a reduction in force and can most easily acquire a job elsewhere. 4

Can't the Center propose a more sound approach to the problem of reduction in grade average. Suppose every time a position opens up because of attrition and before it is arbitrarily reduced to the lowest level for hire, a chain of eligible and deserving employees is reviewed for possible promotion. This would mean that, in addition to registers for retention purposes, registers for promotional purposes be established. 5

How it would work: 6

CONDITION: 1. A GS-15 Electronic Engineer retires, 7

2. The Center Grade Avg = $11000/1000 = 11.0$ 8

ACTIONS: 1. Abolish Job 9

Grade Avg = $10985/999 = 10.9966$ 10

2. Reduce job to GS-5 and Hire GS-5 11

Grade Avg = $10990/1000 = 10.990$ 12

3. Promote a GS-14 and reduce GS-14 to GS-5 and hire a GS-5 13

Grade Avg = $10,991$ 14

4. Reduce job to GS-14 15

Promote a GS-13 and reduce the GS-13 job to a GS-5 and hire a GS-5 16

Promotions and Grade Average Dilemma

5. Reduce job to GS-12	17
Promote A GS-11 and Reduce GS-11 to a GS-5 and hire a GS-5	18
6. Promote a GS-14 and GS-13 and GS-12 and GS-11 and GS-9 and GS-7 and reduce GS-7 to a GS-5 and hire a GS-5	19
Grade Avg = $10997/1000 = 10,997$	20
NOTE: As long as attrition results in the opening of a position above the Center's grade average, one or more promotions can be given with some reduction in grade average.	21
If attrition results in the opening of a position below the grade average, abolishment of the job actually increases grade average. This is why a reduction in force, more often than not, will result in an increase in grade average and why it is important to fill lower than grade average positions rather than abolish them.	22
In summary: It is suggested that every effort be made to separate Center controls on grade average decrease rate from the effects of an actual or potential reduction in force. Further, it is suggested that for every attrition, a Grade Average Maintenance Board (established for the purpose or a responsibility added to the present CPB) review the situations and consider worthy candidates for a raise. In this way, each attrition action could result in an attrition chain that would allow raises at the same time, resulting in some reduction in grade average.	23
In conclusion: If it is possible to hold out some possibility of a raise for young deserving individuals, there is some chance of saving them and increasing morale.	24
Frank J. Tomaini	25

Promotions and Grade Average Dilemma

(J33306) 22-AUG-75 11:15;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
5-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 11:09 FJT ;;;#####

Comments on West Coast Study Group Interim Report

ISIS & ISIM	1
ISI/7507 7 Sep 71	2
Comments on West Coast Study Group Interim Report	3
1. Where are the comments on this report?	4
2. As the DOL organization responsible for Software and Computer Architecture, ISI should be most interested in subject report on guidance and reference for future years. Is it complete and truly a "road map" for developments to be pursued by ISI over the next 5 to 10 years, especially in the areas of :	5
Architecture	6
Microprogramming	7
Computer Netting	8
Computer data Security	9
HOLs	10
Data Management	11
Compiler Generation	12
Software Validation and Reliability	13
Cost Effectiveness Measures	14
Software Testing and Pricing	15
Simulation	16
3. ISI should be certain that the West Coast Study Group has or will address these areas (at least) and that the Study Group made some suggestions as to state-of-the-art and required future research and development. Now is the time for ISI too get into a requirements document the kinds of phrases and statements that can be referenced as direction and guidance for future research and development.	17
4. Accordingly, it is directed that Mr. J. McNamara and Mr. R. Nelson personally insure that key members of their section (as well as themselves) comment on subject report, consolidate their section's comments and submit them to Mr. Al Barnum by Friday, 10 Sep 71, if not sooner. (NOTE: Barry Boehm, Chairman of the Study Group desired comments by 10 Sep 71).	18

Comments on West Coast Study Group Interim Report

s/Frank Tomaini

19

FJT 22-AUG-75 11:25 33307

Comments on West Coast Study Group Interim Report

(J33307) 22-AUG-75 11:25;;; Title: Author(S): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
7-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 18-AUG-75 10:19 FJT ;;;;###;

MULTICS Implementation Working Group

ISI/7507

7 Sep 1971

MULTICS Implementation Working Group

Col Kortz

Mr. Nelson

Mr. McNamara

1. After Mr. Normand's 27 Aug 71 briefing to IS, it is generally agreed that MULTICS will conduct its future research and development,

2. However, before the status-quo will or can be changed, some questions have to be answered and/or conditions met:

a. What effect will a build-up of MULTICS' utilization have on Research & Development and the Center support provided by the 635 and GECOS? For instance, DM-1 is being developed to operate under GECOS and will not be completed until June of 1973. Right now, Auerbach uses prime time and evenings, and will continue to do so for some time to come. What will happen with an increasing use of MULTICS? Will encapsulation of GECOS under MULTICS solve the problem? If so, when will this happen? In the beginning, will MULTICS or GECOS be run at night? Or will encapsulated GECOS be good enough and come early enough to allow simultaneous continuous use of MULTICS and GECOS? What happens to present (under 635/GECOS) time sharing users and remote batch users? What should the phase-over look like?

b. Mr. Normand's presentation indicated that OLPARS/WFES, Associative Processor, and ARPA net would not require significant MULTICS use prior to June 1972. However, in-house and, perhaps, contractual studies of Computer Data Security features, as well as File Hierarchy algorithm development, would require immediate increase of MULTICS over the present four-hours per week. These programs were not presented in sufficient detail to authorize increased MULTICS operation. Accordingly, a detailed briefing must be prepared on our immediate plans for MULTICS and need for increased MULTICS time available. In addition, considerations, including answers to questions in paragraph 2a above, will have to be made.

c. It is obvious that, if MULTICS is to become available for increased use as the ISI development vehicle, its support must be assumed by ISF and HIS. This means a training program for ISF personnel established and a commitment be obtained from HIS (on MULTICS and encapsulated GECOS).

3. It is directed that the Ad Hoc Kortz/Normand MULTICS Implementation Working Group be continued (in two phases) until plans

MULTICS Implementation Working Group

for ISF conversion to MULTICS are acceptable to IS. Phase I objectives of the working group should include: 11

a. Immediate plans for increased MULTICS utilization, i.e., Security, File Hierarchy Studies, ISF Training, Impact on GECOS. 12

b. Necessary plans and "paper" work to implement Phase I. 13

c. Identification of Phase II. 14

s/Frank Tomaini 15

MULTICS Implementation Working Group

(J33308) 22-AUG-75 11:34;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
9-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 11:31 FJT ;;;;####;

Software Reliability

ISI (7507) 20 Dec 71	1
Software Reliability	2
Nelson & McNamara	3
1. Sam DiNitto and Rona Stillman are to write separate overviews of what happened and what next we should do for a software reliability program.	4
2. Should we start some error analysis in-the-house effort, and, if so, who and on what?	5
GECOS et al	6
or	7
DM-1	8
MADAPS	9
3. Should we, on DM-1, do something FORMAL?	10
4. My thoughts are:	11
Do something on DM-1 (at the very least).	11a
How, Contract to Auerbach to do this?	11b
Relative to the question, how is RADC going to handle its own DM-1 maintenance?	11c
Accordingly, our maintenance contract with Auerbach should include logical error classification documenting and "fix" including documentation; this includes RADC participation.	11d
5. Back to Syracuse,	11e
a. Would he help us define a program that RADC should pursue in identifying and classifying software logical errors,	11e1
b. Before we support Reynolds in research leading to the development of a language, he should define the problem he's attempting to solve, in this case, software logical effort "documentation."	11e2
c. What is Storm's language?	11e3
Frank J. Tomamini	11e4

Software Reliability

FJT 22-AUG-75 11:54 33309

(J33309) 22-AUG-75 11:54;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
6-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 11:46 FJT ;;;;#####

Placement of Memos

1. Subject of the memo should be put in the initial position to facilitate research and retrieval, 1

The headings of the memos should be placed at this level. This includes sender address, subject, and address all exactly as in the original, 1a

The first paragraph of the memo should be placed at this level, 1a1

The second paragraph should be placed at the same level as the first. Level in this context is defined in terms of horizontal indentation. The third and all following paragraphs should all be placed at this level, 1a2

Comments should be placed at this level. The appropriate lower levels should be used as in the original memo if they are needed, 1a3

ISI Manpower 2

MIS 3

DMS Validator 4

To ISIM (John McNamara) 5

1. You and I discussed whether or not we have really developed test procedures for evaluating a Data Management System. The conclusion I reached was that we probably will never complete the development of a DMS Validator - just like I don't think we will ever complete the development of a JOVIAL Compiler Validator, 5a

2. However, Joe Cavano is going to help them evaluate at least some part of WWDMS. I understand he is bringing along a sample data base that we have already employed in DMI tests here at RADC. The conclusion I reach, therefore, is that Joe Cavano has very specific ideas as to how he is going to test the subset of WWDMS that will be his responsibility to test, 5b

3. If you think about this, we ought to be able to describe the tests Joe will conduct, or are we saying that Joe will invent as he goes along; and, if we didn't have Joe, we wouldn't be able to support JTSA in this effort, 5c

4. Let's Boot Strap Ourselves - If Joe Can't write his test procedures and define his test materials before he goes, let's have him do this when he returns. It should be the beginning of a DMS Validator - So that next time someone else could go and conduct a test program equal in quality to Joe. It also would

Placement of Memos

appear (Just like the Compiler Validator) that each test program should supplement and improve the DMS Validator,	5d
s/Frank J Tomaini	5e
Merit Promotions, the Dilemma (sic)	6
Promotions and Grade Average Dilemma (sic)	7
RADC Support to MULTICS Implementation at Data Services	8
Trip report - Multics Software Discussions at RADC, 2 - 3 May 1972	9
Meeting among RADC, ESD, and USAF Data Services to discuss plans for MULTICS Implementation at Data Services	10
Data Security	11
WWMCC	12
Security	13
Software Rel	14
Required Reading Material	15
FINDINGS of the West Coast Study Group on Post 80 Info Processing Needs	16
Multics Implementation Working Group	17
Comments on West Coast Study Group Interim Report	18
SUBJECT: Correspondence	18a
Follow-up to Naresky Meeting (DM-1 & Reliability Data Bank)	19
Data Management System and the RADC Program	20
Some Guidance on ISI's Program	21
RADC Data Management System	22
RADC Management Information System - Evaluation of Data Management Software - Development of Meaningful Data Base	23
Correspondence	24
EMBI/7507	7 May 70
	24a

Placement of Memos

TO: ALL SECTION CHIEFS and SECRETARIES 24b

SUBJECT: Correspondence 24b1

The following are reminders about correspondence: 24b1a

a. All correspondence going to procurement (EMK) must be routed through the Division Office (EMB). There have been several instances of late where correspondence has been going directly to Procurement from a SECTION. 24b1a1

b. Any correspondence originating from Branch Section going to an office with a 3-letter symbol, i.e., EML, must be routed through the Division Office (EMB). 24b1a2

c. Official or non-official internal correspondence should not be made available to contractors or their representatives. 24b1a3

Frank J Tomaini 25

Long Range Plan for AF STD Computer Language Development - Evaluation 25a

Placement of Memos

(J33310) 22-AUG-75 12:52;;; Title: Author(s): Edward F.
LaForge/ELF; Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: ELF; Origin: <
LAFORGE, 43-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 08:31 ELF ;;;###;

To Dave Potter re Representing AKW to an AERA meeting

Dave: I have been approached by Carl Zinn, U. of Mich., who is trying to put together a special session for next April's annual meeting of the American Education?? Research Association (in San Francisco) -- topic: "Computer Assisted Seminars," or some such title. He wanted me (or an ARC rep) to be one of four speakers. The others would be: Johanssen of IFFF, heavily into Teleconferencing; Jim Schuyler of U of Chi (or U of I at Chi?), into CAI and who collaborated early with Johanssen at grad school; and Parnes of Michigan, who is working with Zinn now on some local experiments in Teleconferencing.

1

Anyway, what I'd like to do about such approaches to specialized disciplines, for introducing concepts from our world, is to enlist appropriate middle men who would like to help both our AKW world and the world of the special discipline. In that spirit, I'd like to know if you'd be interested in going to that meeting and being on that panel; it would be Potter speaking for himself, recommended by me as being most suitable for presenting to them the concepts and experiences from our AKW world.

2

Carl says that the level of comprehension and acceptance may not be very high, and that attendance at the session may be low, but that he wants to start the process of getting them aware. I'm not sure, but I don't think that a publishable paper is required -- maybe I just inferred that, and without due input.

3

If you are interested, let me know (msg, and/or phone talk). In any event, I'll look forward to seeing you at the KWAC meeting in Cambridge in October. I would enjoy working with you if you'd like, to provide extra background about history of "teleconferencing" as the other panelists would see it, and about our past design considerations and future plans. By Oct I plan to have the next-stage vector for our AKW sub-domain of conferencing, dialogue support, etc, shaped up, about which I'd like anyway to talk with KWAC. I'm giving a paper in Sept -- the digest of which is cited below, to give you a start.

4

"NLS TELECONFERENCING FEATURES: THE JOURNAL, AND SHARED-SCREEN TELEPHONING," (HJOURNAL, 33076, 1)

4a

Comments: This paper was submitted for presentation at COMPCON 75, to be held in Washington D.C., 9-11 Sep 75. They require a 'digest' for their proceedings, limited to a fixed maximum page space.

4a1

Best regards, Doug

5

DCE 22-AUG-75 14:32 33311

To Dave Potter re Representing AKW to an AERA meeting

(J33311) 22-AUG-75 14:32;;; Title: Author(s): Douglas C.
Engelbart/DCE; Distribution: /DAP([ACTION]); Sub-Collections:
SRI-ARC; Clerk: DCE;

33311 Distribution
David A. Potter,

Visit by Sarah Rhodes, NSF OSIS, 22 Aug 75

(J33312) 22-AUG-75 17:41;;; Title: Author(s): Douglas C. Engelbart/DCE; Distribution: /RL([ACTION]) JML([ACTION] Jeanne: please take care of sending material to her) SRI-ARC([INFO-ONLY]) DOCPLAN([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC DOCPLAN; Clerk: DCE;

Visit by Sarah Rhodes, NSF OSIS, 22 Aug 75

Sarah Rhodes is in the Office of Science Information Service (OSIS), of the National Science Foundation. She visited SRI all day, Friday 22 Aug 75. She visited with Tom Humphrey and Pat Whiting-O'Keefe in the morning, and with ARC staff from about 1115 on. Until lunch, Pat, Dirk and I (sometimes Tom) talked with Sarah in my office. Lunch with me, Dirk, and Bob Belleville. Demo after lunch by Bob on the new graphics developments in NLS, followed by more general tour and demo by Dirk. Almost two hours then with me in my office. The following notes were mostly generated during that talk, where I carried my end of the dialogue much of the time by typing at the DNLS terminal.

Special, action items:

Sarah figures that she and Hal Bamford might very well be interested in attending a special day's discussions that I'm considering organizing in association with the KWAC meeting in mid October, in Cambridge. If we want to do it, get them a letter of invitation as soon as possible.

Send to her the NSF number assigned to my Special Studies Support proposal, or else send a copy of the proposal.

Send copy of the COMPCON=paper digest (33076,).

Send copy of this Journal item.

Work up a preliminary thinkpiece to send them, toward an unsolicited proposal that we discussed,

Robert Lieberman should follow through with OSIS on the extended-use possibilities discussed below relative their prospective Utility subscription.

About their prospective Utility subscription:

Consider for one thing our Special Studies Support Service proposal (See Journal Item -- 24758,), where we have proposed even more extensive special services. This was a proposal by ARC, separate from the EPC proposal from the downstairs groups (Humphrey, O'Keefe, et, al.), responding to Category 2 of the earlier Program Solicitation; it apparently was reviewed by a different set of people, since Hal Bamford later said that he hadn't seen it himself. (I'll send her another copy -- unless, if I could find their NSF-proposal number, she could retrieve it from their files).

The only special aspects of such a "conference support" service,

Visit by Sarah Rhodes, NSF OSIS, 22 Aug 75

differing from what we already are doing for our various subscribers, would be:

3b

Potentially a limited and short time period for the duration of the service,

3b1

Some question as to how the KW Architect person/support is provided,

3b2

Perhaps special communication problems -- e.g. users not qualified to use ARPANET,

3b3

Sarah says that many participants could be expected to have some terminal equipment already -- nice if we can match to them, (we already can match to a wide array of the terminals that are practical to use on other time sharing systems today.)

3c

Communication -- How might these distributed parties connect to the service?

3d

New DCA policies for ARPANET use have broadened over old ARPA policies, so that any government agency now has a valid claim for use with its contractors, (Robert Lieberman checked with NIC manager, Jake Feinler; the understood but unwritten policy does seem to be as above. Recommended though that OSIS contact the DCA guy who now [since 1 July] manages the ARPANET -- Robert Brownfield, (202) 692-7583.)

3d1

Alternatives: TYMNET -- but as yet this doesn't connect to our Utility service, except possibly through the ARPANET. A service cost to tie the TYMNET to our utility computer would have to be borne by the subscribers for whom the connection was made,

3d2

But for leaving the future open, for increased-capability information services, using the ARPANET would be much better than any other alternative,

3d3

Secondary question: How much conferencing of this sort could be supported within one slot, along with the office use that OSIS might make of it?

3e

Quite feasible. New time-sharing scheduler employed in the Utility allows multiple terminals to operate simultaneously within one slot -- which would be necessary,

3e1

Size of conference group?

3e2

Sarah says that perhaps five people within any one

Visit by Sarah Rhodes, NSF OSIS, 22 Aug 75

"conference cluster", and a total of perhaps 8 or 10 altogether. [Note: it is the total number that is significant here.]

3e2a

Size of OSIS=Office staff who might use the slot that they contemplate buying for use by the staff of the Access Improvement Program:

3e3

Four professionals in the program -- some question as to whether or not the secretary could be induced to use the system. Could possibly attract users from OSIS staff not in the Program. About 9 to 12 other possibles in this category.

3e3a

Should be no trouble doing a reasonable amount of dialogue, such as could be expected from this small a distributed-participant group, sharing with this relatively small office-user group at OSIS. This refers to computer capacity -- the training problem may strain the one-slot training-service allotment, if there are ten scattered people who have to be trained. This would be a question for our Applications staff to negotiate with the OSIS buyer.

3e4

A question they have (Sarah and Harold B): If they were sponsoring a telconference, e.g. among a grantee-contractor group, could ARC propose a complete support package -- to include Utility service, communication links, and the necessary terminal hardware, together (I assume) with the necessary specialized training services?

3f

Possible unsolicited proposal by me (DCE) to OSIS, for supporting work I want to do toward designing or planning AKW community growth in ways that have a lot of common relevance with the OSIS Program.

4

Sarah says that they would be interested in getting such a proposal, but points out that their FY76 funds are essentially all committed, so that we'd be considering FY77 money, beginning next July -- but it isn't too soon to get a preliminary thinkpiece in to them this Fall.

4a

Miscellaneous: Leverage toward common ends by de facto cooperation with other agencies:

5

Sarah pointed out that OSIS launched the "chem=registry" program that grew quite large, and that several million dollars were contributed by each DOD and NIH into the OSIS Program funding. So there is a history of that rather direct kind of cooperation.

5a

[There is currently a contract out to someone, whose name I

Visit by Sarah Rhodes, NSF OSIS, 22 Aug 75

didn't get, to produce a history of the OSIS activities in NSF. It won't be done for a year yet, but would be interesting to see.)

5b

We discussed the nature of some of the indirect, de facto types of cooperation, where outside parties, via unsolicited proposals, sell development/analysis/experiments to a number of agencies without explicit, formal statements about inter-agency cooperation (although assumedly being quite open about all arrangements), to the end effect of making significant cooperative use of the respective contracts towards mutually valued ends. This is the kind of thing whose formulation and planning I'd like to get some explicit support to do. (See mention above of unsolicited proposal from me to OSIS.)

5c

33312 Distribution

N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White, Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H. Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I. Andrews, James H. Bair, David R. Brown, Glenn A. Sherwood, N. Dean Meyer, Kathey L. Mabrey, Norman R. Nielsen, Thomas L. Humphrey, Robert Louis Belleville, Elizabeth K. Michael, Richard W. Watson, James C. Norton, Robert N. Lieberman, Pat Whiting O'Keefe, Douglas C. Engelbart, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Robert N. Lieberman, Jeanne M. Leavitt, Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick, Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli, Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair, Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L. Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley

Notes re NSA activities with NLS, L10, Utility slot, internal application, etc

To clear up some of the recent communication puzzlers as reported by RWW and CHI. Action called for by JCN.

Notes re NSA activities with NLS, L10, Utility slot, internal application, etc

I just called Mil Jernigan (at her home) to try to untangle the communications problem that seems to exist twixt and between them and us -- cf their call to RWW last week, and to CHI today,

The people who called were:

Mil Jernigan (MEJ) -- chief local advisor on NLS and ARC, having quite a bit to do with the NICE and NMF (see below),

Nancy Marks (NKM) -- a new Computer-Science type, assigned to the NMF to understand what is necessary about NLS source code, to be their operational specialist at their end of the NLS maintenance arrangement with us. Probably also to help with special application-program developments,

Nancy reports to the NMF manager, a person named John Roach(?),

Roy Queen (RWQ) -- software background, more into coordination and applications now, slated to participate in applications development with NLS/L10, assigned in the NICE group. He and Nancy work a lot together these days at Office-1 terminal, as exploration and learning buddies on NLS and L10,

Jack Gillikin -- Software guy assigned to NICE (didn't hear much about him, maybe he is mngr rather than software??)

First off, these above people are organizationally separated from the people responsible for setting up their network and installing their internal, NLS-equipped TENEX. This latter group is headed by Tom Hassing. Keith McCloghrie, who reports to Hassing, has been in contact with us, and he and JCN have a pending contract under development for our Applications people to install and maintain NLS on their TENEX -- same basic sort of deal as with ISI/ARPA for ISIC,

Mil says that none of the calling group was aware of these pending arrangements under way with McCloghrie. Also, she wasn't aware of how we are dividing the development and application responsibilities here,

Also, the situation is complicated there, relative to their communications via their 0-1 slot because apparently their designated architect, Terry Proch, has not become at all involved with the network(s), nor with NLS; and the guy that negotiated the slot is from a research group in still a third organizational area,

The basic communication problem of this past week stems from these four people not approaching us through one of the two

Notes re NSA activities with NLS, L10, Utility slot, internal application, etc

existing channels -- the Utility-slot buyer/architect, or the special NLS installation=maintenance contractor,

3c

The situation there, from Mil's perspective:

4

Many user groups and systems will be involved in their Net (a direct duplicate of ARPANET technology),

4a

There will be a TENEX installed on the Net in late October -- apparently relatively small core. It's use is to be restricted to support Network Management, and the system is called the Network Management Facility (NMF). Apparently the main application system so far slated to be installed is NLS.

4b

Mil implied that there will be hordes of general network users, and that access to the NMF really is going to be restricted to special, authorized usage.

4b1

The heaviest user of NMF and NLS so far lined up is the NICE (Network Information Center) -- modelled to a fair extent after NIC, but aimed to offer more extensive services, if all goes well,

4c

They are already planning to develop management support tools on the NMF -- I gather that special application of NLS is strongly in that picture. Also gather that it is technical project type of management, like with PERT and CPM. Apparently this is what Nancy Marks would be involved in (?).

4d

Second issue -- they have real needs, and according to Mil are willing to pay for the help they need. They don't know what support they are entitled to under their 0-1 slot subscription, and would like to have that cleared up for them. This seems to be more a matter of their not knowing in house who are the various, responsible parties for dealing with our Utility about such matters -- seems indicated for our Utility people to contact the buyer, clear up the responsibility issue, and deal with the responsible party about how they'd like to use their slot=ration of training (NLS or L10).

5

Third issue -- architect, and active, interested parties: Mil says that she seems to be the closest one there to having the interest and de facto role of architect. Jesse Hill maintains interest, and some activity. Keith McCloghrie and Tom Hassing are quite interested, and supportive.

6

Notes re NSA activities with NLS, L10, Utility slot, internal application, etc

(J33313) 22-AUG-75 19:34;;; Title: Author(s): Douglas C, Engelbart/DCE; Distribution: /JCN([ACTION]) RWW([INFO-ONLY]) CHI([INFO-ONLY]) JDH([INFO-ONLY]) JBP([INFO-ONLY]) KJM([INFO-ONLY]) RLL([INFO-ONLY]) BJP([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk; DCE;

33313 Distribution

James C. Norton, Richard W. Watson, Charles H. Irby, J. D. Hopper,
Jonathan B. Postel, Karolyn J. Martin, Robert N. Lieberman, Buddie J.
Pine,

MIKE 23-AUG-75 12:47 33314

this is test message for the NLS videotape demonstration.

Please let me know when you've received this message. (Let's discuss
?)

this is test message for the NLS videotape demonstration,

This Is A Test For The Demonstration Videotape (For Whoever Might Be Interested) Of Nls,

1

This statement looks a little better,

1a

MIKE 23-AUG-75 12:47 33314

this is test message for the NLS videotape demonstration.

(J33314) 23-AUG-75 12:47;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T.
Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /LHD([ACTION]) IMM([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

33314 Distribution

Lawrence H. Day, Inez M. Mattiuz,

test message , I guess.....for the videotape

THIS IS THE SECOND STATEMENT IN THE FILE, BUT IT APPEARS AS NUMBER ONE.

1

THIS SHOULD BE A SUBSTATEMENT OF STATEMENT 2.

1a

THIS IS A TEST MESSAGE FOR THE VIDEO TAPE DEMONSTRATION.

1a1

MIKE 24-AUG-75 09:37 33315

test message , I guess.....for the videotape

(J33315) 24-AUG-75 09:37;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T.
Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /LHD([ACTION]) IMM([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

33315 Distribution

Lawrence H. Day, Inez M. Mattiuz,

A Tracing Pad for GNLS=Requirement and design.

Introduction:

1

The purpose of this note is to describe a suggested new piece of standard workstation equipment for use with the new Graphics NLS Editor. This note will describe the need for this new device, and a general overview of how it could be constructed. A second note will give more detailed specifications and construction diagrams.

1a

Background:

2

The need for the MOUSE=TRAP grows from an understanding of the anticipated scenarios for application of the GNLS system within the Air Force Data Systems Design Center, in support of standard USAF documentation and other documents which the Center produces which require graphics imbedded within textual material. In a nutshell, all current scenarios involve documentors within the various AFSDSC offices feeding hand drawn sketches, marked up printed sketches, and other hardcopy to one (or at most a few) centralized Graphics Specialist(s) who will be responsible for translating the authors' rough sketches into new or changed online diagrams.

2a

It is quite likely that this will also be the normal mode of operation at nearly every location where the Graphics facilities this system will offer would be utilized. The arguments for such a view of the world are primarily economic:

2b

1) The graphics station is quite expensive to procure in the first place. The lineprocessor, textronix4014, and alpha terminal costs close to \$15,000 plus another \$5000 for hardcopy, plus modems (which almost have to be 4800 baud). Thus, to plant such a unit on the floor could easily cost upwards of \$25,000.

2b1

2) The graphics station will be expensive to use. Although no figures are available at this point, it is probably safe to assume that it will require considerable computer power to support graphics editing. Thus it is desirable to get maximum benefit from this resource by having the diagrams or changes desired at least sketched out before entering the system, instead of having the operator push and poke until he hits on a reasonable layout.

2b2

3) Using the station will require a considerable amount of training, and using it well will require an even more considerable amount of experience. Even more than with other online systems (such as DNLS) it will be highly attractive from a productivity point of view to have a few specialists who use the system almost continuously, rather than having a lot of

A Tracing Pad for GNLS-Requirement and design,

Harry Hammand's who each never use the system more than enough to be a menace to themselves and others,

2b3

Given these kinds of investment, operations, and training costs, the only way to realize the (potentially massive) savings in effort, time, and cost from using this system is to be sure it is fully and well utilized,

2c

The Graphic Information Space

3

The GNLS/graphics information space is significantly different in its nature and requirements from the DNLS/text environment. Text is by its very nature a one-dimensional entity. Thus, within DNLS, the second dimension can be used to represent logical structure of the contained information, allowing more rapid perusal and location of a string of interest. However, it must always be noted that this string remains invariant in its basic essence (that of a sequential, one dimensional representation of information) over any transformations of its position on the two dimensional display,

3a

Graphical information, on the other hand, is a true two-dimensional representation of information, where changes in x or y scales or positions (without corresponding proportional changes in the other dimension) alter the basic information content. To retrieve logical level information such as is done in DNLS, it is necessary to ZOOM in for a 'closer' look at the finer details. Thus if DNLS is said to be a two dimensional information space, GNLS must be considered three dimensional.

3b

Given this criticality of maintaining spatial relationships in GNLS, I would suggest that the mouse is a very poor tool for trying to allow one user to map the graphical information of another into an on-line information space. The difficulty comes in the fact that there is no effective way to fix the mouse in the same physical coordinate system as the diagram to be entered for the duration of the entry process. Thus, the mapping process involves a series of "I think it should go about there" decisions on the part of the operator,

3c

Such decisions are not unreasonable if we consider a scenario in which the operator is mapping his own information representating HIS mental picture into a computerized representation. On the other hand, in our "most common" scenarios, this is clearly not the case. A different person, the author, has already performed this mapping when he sketched the way he wants the information to appear. In these scenarios, to use the mouse, the terminal operator must then map the authors conception, as already

A Tracing Pad for GNL5-Requirement and design.

graphically expressed (in the sketch), into the operators own mental picture, and then back out again as a computerized display. 3d

The potential for loosing, modifying, or adding spurious information in such a process are clearly excessive. Furthermore, the time and 'push-and-poke'ing necessary for the operator to go through all these steps, and then to modify the display of his conception of what the author wanted into something approaching the authors representation of what he wants will clearly be costly and reduce productivity. All this is due to the simple fact that there is now no effective way to rapidly and easily map the authors hardcopy into an NLS Graphics file without filtering it through the operator. 3e

The MOUSE-TRAP 4

The solution to such a dilemma has been well known for years, it involves a method of fixing both the hardcopy information and the position sensor element of the computer in the same x/y coordinate space, and then letting the operator (or in the more advanced case, the computer system itself) trace the original. Such devices are commonly referred to as reverse plotters, tablets, or other rather descriptive names, and tend to be quite sophisticated and thus costly. 4a

However, it should be noted that most of this cost and sophistication is involved in fancy, high resolution systems for determining the position of the stylus, and in translating this position into a machine readable (ie, digital) form. Such a determination and translation process is exactly what the line processor currently performs with the aid of the mouse. Thus the only remaining requirement which must be met is to firmly co-locate and fix the two coordinate systems. 4b

To do this with the Mouse to the Line Processor as it now exists would be a mechanical nightmare. Thus it seems a better solution to start from scratch and build a tablet which will look electronically like a Mouse, but will allow the coordinate system fixing of a traditional computerized tablet. As previously mentioned, we are essentially 75% of the way there, in that the lineprocessor already contains the basic hardware and software system to convert potentiometer produced voltages into x/y positions, what is therefore required is to set up a mechanical environment which can provide the reference frame and pick off the voltages. I fee (assuming the lineprocessor, not the mouse containsthe A/D conversion), a prototype of such a system could be built for well under \$100, and would like to either do so myself or have SRI do so within the scope of their NSW/Graphics efforts. 4c

A Tracing Pad for GNLS=Requirement and design.

The components of the device will be:

4d

An 8 1/2 x 11 inch hardcopy area enclosing a 6 1/2 x 9 inch diagram area (proportional to the workspace on the display, and the same size as the hardcopy the system produces.) Printed layout forms conforming to these specifications will be designed and distributed for use by the authors. The hardcopy sketch will be firmly anchored by clips on a non skid surface in the work area.

4d1

An X/Y positioning system composed of a moving transparent plastic arm vertically across the work area, on which slides a pointer assembly with a CA (Bug) button. The unit will strongly resemble a marriage between a T-square and a slide rule.

4d2

Two potentiometers, one for horizontal and one for vertical position sensing.

4d3

A cable linkage between the pointer and the vertical pot, A cable linkage between the arm and the horizontal pot, The latter linkage will also serve to maintain the "squareness" of the arm.

4d4

A socket into which the mouse cable will plug, a cable and plug to the lineprocessor.

4d5

A control panel with BS, CD, and CA buttons, and a switch to select analog position voltages either from the MOUSE or from the TRAP for use as input to the LP. (Since the contacts are of the Normally Open variety, corresponding buttons on the Mouse can simply be wire "OR"ed and sent directly into the LP. Note that the TRAP contains two CA buttons, one on the switch and one on the pointer assembly.)

4d6

(I am still debating the possibility of putting all three buttons on the pointer unit, but suspect to do so would clutter it badly. Micro switches are a possibility, but I don't think there would be enough "Feel". In the other hand, I think the trap should be a normally one handed (right= leaving the left on the keyset) operation, I guess the choice depends on whether the BS and CD are "abnormal" enough to allow their removal from the pointer unit proper, (Question to SRI: does the DNLS user normally use the index(first) finger or the ring(third) finger to bug

4e

A key point that should be recognized is that this device need not be a very high resolution unit. It's purpose is to allow a human operator to rapidly and easily enter a diagram with the proper position and scale of its components, by bugging key points

A Tracing Pad for GNLS-Requirement and design.

(corners of rectangles, end points of lines, etc). After the basic diagram is in, the operator will then use the normal mouse/keset to add the text and make any final fine adjustments in position or scale required to finish the task.

4f

A rough design of such a unit will be soon available at ISIC in a GNLS file. In the meantime, I welcome suggestions/comments, and would especially appreciate it if SRI could provide me with Engineering specifications of the current Mouse, including the electrical diagrams, specs, and pot values.

4g

A Tracing Pad for GNLS-Requirement and design.

(J33316) 24-AUG-75 09:56;;; Title: Author(s): Lawrence A.
Crain/LAC; Distribution: /RLB2([ACTION]) POOH([ACTION]) EKM([ACTION]) MEH([ACTION]) RWW([ACTION]) JCN([ACTION]) WEC([ACTION]) MAW([ACTION]) AAB([ACTION]) EFF([ACTION]) DPCS([INFO-ONLY]) KWAC([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC DPCS KWAC;
Clerk: LAC;

33316 Distribution

Kathey L. Mabrey, Jeanne M. Beck, David A. Potter, Robert N. Lieberman, Terry H. Proch, Ronald P. Uhlig, Susan Gail Roetter, Michael A. Placko, Stanley M. (Stan) Taylor, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Rudy L. Ruggles, Frank G. Brignoli, Robert M. Sheppard, Richard W. Watson, Douglas C. Engelbart, James C. Norton, James H. Bair, Duane L. Stone, Inez M. Mattiuz, Connie K. McLindon, Robert Louis Belleville, Ann Weinberg, Elizabeth K. Michael, Martin E. Hardy, Richard W. Watson, James C. Norton, William E. Carlson, Mike A. Wingfield, Anthony A.L. Baggiano, Elizabeth F. Finney, Delorse M. Brooks, Elizabeth F. Finney, Beverly Boli, Joseph L. Ehardt, James H. Bair, Robert N. Lieberman, Pat Whiting O'Keefe, James H. Bair, Robert Louis Belleville, Ann Weinberg, Thomas L. Humphrey, Jeanne M. Leavitt, Kirk E. Kelley, Duane L. Stone, Elizabeth J. Feinler, N. Dean Meyer, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Douglas C. Engelbart, James C. Norton, Richard W. Watson, Charles H. Irby, Elizabeth F. Finney, Lawrence A. Crain, E. S. VonGehren, Glenn A. Sherwood

Memo, re videotape demo,

Larry, this is a demonstration of NLS for inclusion in the videotape we are preparing,

1

MIKE 24-AUG-75 10:22 33317

Memo, re videotape demo.

(J33317) 24-AUG-75 10:22;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T.
Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /IMM([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections:
NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

33317 Distribution
Inez M. Mattiuz,

LAC 24-AUG-75 13:14 33318

Request for new directories and changes

Also coming as netmail item

Request for new directories and changes

Reference netmail items from Jeff on 22 aug, (Journal,33298,), and telephone conversations with Ann, 1

Ann suggested that it would be best if Maj Hearn and Lynne Sims had their prime accounts at Office-1 because: 2

that is where most of the DSDC users reside 2a

The systems in that machine are more stable than at ISIC 2b

there is apparently no working journal delivery at ISIC yet, 2c

It appears I will require an account at ISIC to access NSW and NLS systems under development, We also need an account there to backup SRI-AI for netboot in our ELF, Lynne should also have an account there to be able to get at new NLS stuff before it goes to general release, Finally, we need filespace for working on AFM files with new NLS stuff, so we can get them out of Susan and Ann's directories, 3

There are a few accounts at Office-1 which we are not fully utilizing, I would like to redistribute some of the space allocated to these accounts to people who have a more pressing requirement, 4

Therefore, would you please arrange for the following: 5

[Office-1]<crain> reduce to 50 pages, (I will maintain a small account at Office-1 for mail and user assistance, but will move most of my work to ISIC) 5a

[O-1]<AFDSDC> delete files go to <SIMS> 5b

[O-1]<DSDC-SC> increase to 400 pages 5c

[O1]<DSDC-SYO>delete files to <HEARN> 5d

[O1]<DSDC-SYD> reduce to 100 pages 5e

[O1]<HEARN> New account, 100 pages 5f

An IDENT should be established for the Hearn account with the following information:

Name=Hearn, Kenneth P.

Organization=Gunter

Groups=Gunter NSW

Mail Address=

Hard copy=Air Force Data Systems Design Center

Simulation and Analysis Branch (SYOT)

Bld 325

Gunter AFS, Alabama 36114

Request for new directories and changes

Online=
 User=Hearn Host=Office-1
 Network=
 User=Hearn Host=Office-1
 Phone=(205) 279-4222
 Function=NSW Project Management Officer
 Delivery=online.

5f1

[01]<SIMS>New account, 400 pages

5g

An IDENT should be established for the Sims account with the following information:

Name Sims, Marilynne A.
 Organization=Gunter
 Groups=Gunter NSW KWAC DPCS
 Mail Address=

Hard copy=Air Force Data Systems Design Center
 Simulation and Analysis Branch (SYOT)
 Bld 325

Gunter AFS, Alabama 36114

Online=
 User=Sims Host=Office-1
 Network=
 User=Sims Host=Office-1

Phone=(205) 279-4444
 Function=NLS Architect
 Delivery=online.

5g1

****all ISIC accounts in NSW group***

5h

[ISIC]<CRAIN> new account, 400 pages, password LAC

5i

[ISIC]<GUNTER> New account, 100 pages, Netwizzard, password AFS,
 ident=LAC

5j

[ISIC]<SIMS> new account 100 pages

5k

[ISIC]<AFM66-1> new FILES-ONLY account, 1500 pages, password
 COFFIN

5l

This item superceeds the referenced Journal item, Please arrange for these changes as soon as possible, if there are any questions, please contact Lynne Sims or me.

6

Request for new directories and changes

(J33318) 24-AUG-75 13:14;;; Title: Author(s): Lawrence A.
Crain/LAC; Distribution: /JCP([ACTION]) MLK([ACTION]) FEED([
INFO-ONLY]) POOH([INFO-ONLY]) SGR([INFO-ONLY]) EFF([
INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Obsoletes Document(s): 33298;
Clerk: LAC; Origin: < CRAIN, MSG-ACCOUNTS.NLS;4, >, 24-AUG-75
13:09 LAC ;;;;####;

33318 Distribution

Jeffrey C. Peters, Marcia L. Keeney, Special Jhb Feedback, Ann
Weinberg, Susan Gail Roetter, Elizabeth F. Finney,

Who should get the monthly use statistics at AMC?

Hi, RON, I'm glad to see AMC online with its own slots. Should we keep sending monthly use stats directly to you, or would you like us to send copies to one of your subordinates?

Ra3y

1

Who should get the monthly use statistics at AMC?

(J33319) 24-AUG-75 18:22;;; Title: Author(s): Raymond R.
Panko/RA3Y; Distribution: /RPU([ACTION]) PAW2([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RA3Y;

33319 Distribution

Ronald P. Uhlig, Priscilla A. Wold,

Journal demo

This is a demonstration for our viewers illustrating prompts of the Interrogate command in the Sendmail subsystem

1

Journal demo

(J33320) 25-AUG-75 07:47;;; Title: Author(s): James H.
Kollen/JHK2; Distribution: /IMM([ACTION]) LHD([INFO-ONLY]) MTB(
[INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JHK2;

33320 Distribution

Inez M. Mattiuz, Lawrence H. Day, Marshall T. Buck,

RBP 25-AUG-75 08:51 33321

CONCERN - FY76 FUNDS - PROJECT 5550

I tried sending thiss as a message the other day. Evidently, all off the file was not transmitted.

CONCERN - FY76 FUNDS - PROJECT 5550

I am concerned about the rate at which it appears that funds can be obligated and the adequacy of funding in 5550 to implement the FY76 planned program,

1. As you can see in the status listing below, 506K is obligated and 362K of the committed will likely be obligated by 1 Sept,

(TOTAL = \$868K)

2. The PDs to ESD and SAMSO initiated and pre-initiated (650K) can be considered to be obligated. It is just a matter of a telecall to RADC/ACB from these organizations,

(NEW TOTAL = \$1518K)

3. Pre-initiated Data Manipulator and Mass Memory Organization Study (243K) are OIRs and should be obligated shortly,

(NEW TOTAL = \$1761K)

4. The three work shop Utility Service efforts (65K) initiated and pre-initiated; the NSW Computer Connection (110K) initiated - a contract add-on; and NLS Support of Modern Programming Practices and Language Control Study (\$138K) can be obligated in September,

(NEW TOTAL = \$2,074K)

5. The initiated Work Manager Enhancement (forecasted obligation in Sept) and NSW GCOS connection and pre initiated NSW Front-end, Protocols, and Tools (574K) are cost-sharing commitments with ARPA and slips in procurements do not change funds required,

(NEW TOTAL = \$2,648K)

*This brings us well over released \$2,300K

6. The Workshop Utility Service (new contract for Office, Slots) and OA to Partrick AFB, (ARPA Net Command and Maintenance) (201K) are two firm obligations we will incur later on,

(NEW TOTAL = \$2,849K)

The six items above as they are related to my two concerns are as follows:

A. Obligation Rate - The obligation rate for 1 thru 5 could exceed the released \$2,300 before Congress acts. We will have to

CONCERN - FY76 FUNDS - PROJECT 5550

request the release of additional funds from AFSC. I am not sure of the procedures,

2a

B. Adequacy of Funding (\$3,000K) - There is approximately an additional \$1,500 of efforts competing for the \$151K!!!

2b

As you can see, some of the SOW dates have slipped and an analysis and revisions are now being accomplished in ISI - but there is no way we can slip the \$1,500K program to arrive at a 150K program. I think we need an additional 400K to 500K for the Project. I mentioned it to Major Starbuck and he said that he doesn't think there was too much hope that the funds could be reprogrammed. Our experience has been that such reprogramming actions, if approved, usually don't occur until April and such actions are unpredictable right up to the day of the action.

3

I suggest we reexamine funding Item 3 above in 5597 and getting \$200K reprogrammed into 5581 from other 6,2 projects for use in funding the facility. The two efforts in item 6 could then be transferred to 5581 and funded from the funds now programmed for the facility. The net effect will be a surfacing of \$444K in 5550 for use on the \$1,500K of additional efforts.

4

THIS IS THE STATUS OF FY76 5550 PR'S AS OF 18 AUG 75.

5

OBLIGATED

TRAVEL	2,222	(B63256)	Panara	6a
AP Applications Study	87,400	(B63116)	Klayton	6b
Assoc Proc Signal Proc Sty	69,311	(B63117)	Summers	6c
Distributed Computation Study	22,860	(B63118)	Lawrence	6d
Training- Structured Prog	5,250	(B63245)	Mark	6e
Workshop Utility Service	82,185	(B63249)	Stone	6f
Tools for Good Structures (CMU)	75,400	(B63250)	White	6g
S/W Data Collection (Facility Design)	55,646	(B63251)	Slavinski	6h
System Analysis Support (SAI)	15,000	(B63252)	Kennedy	6i
S/W Data Repository (Facility Design)	42,823	(B63253)	Palaimo	6j
Software Reliability Study	49,500	(B63254)	Cellini	6k

	SUB-TOTAL	507,597		7

COMMITTED

TRAVEL	19,778	(B63256)	Panara	8a
TDR's	3,000	(B63255)	Panara	8b
STARAN System S/W Maintenance	42,000	(B63105)	Vito	8c
Large Scale Info Sys (S.U.)	100,000	(B63133)	Previte	8d
WWMCCS Program Sppt Library	200,000	(B63201)	Ruple	8e
Synthesized Structured Prog	19,620	(B63246)	Ives	8f

	SUB-TOTAL	384,398		9

INITIATED

Workshop Util Svc - Terminals	45,000	(B53314)	Stone	10
NLS Sppt of Mod Prog Practices	65,862	(B53254)	Cavano	10a
Lang Cont. Facility Design Study	72,000	(B53288)	DiNitto	10c
NSW GCOS Connection	99,000	(B63223)	Liuzzi	10d
Software CR&T (PD to ESD)	200,000	(B63226)	White	10e
Works Manager Enhancements	325,000	(B63227)	Rzepka	10f
V&V Aid Catalog (PD to SAMSO)	95,000	(B63229)	Landes	10g
ADP System Security (PD to ESD)	280,000	(B63247)	Panara	10h
NSW Computer Connection	110,000	(B63277)	Wingfield	10i

	SUB-TOTAL	1,291,862		11

PRE-INITIATED

				12
Data Manipulator	149,981	(B63115)	Johnson	12a
Mass Memory Organization Study	92,715	(B63119)	Hofstra	12b
S/W Rel Meas Study (PD to SAMSO)	75,000	(B63248)	Robinson	12c
Design Code/Verification	61,530	(B63267)	Mark	12d
COBOL Statistics Collector	70,000	(B63281)	Stover	12e
JAVS Implementation	55,000	(B63282)	Robinson	12f
NSW Frontend, Protocols & Tools	150,000	(B63288)	Stone	12g
Workshop Utility Service - IBM Term	9,973	(B63289)	Stone	12h
Workshop Utility Service - IBM Slot	10,000	(B63290)	Stone	12i
	SUB-TOTAL	674,199		13
	PROGRAM-TOTAL	2,852,833		
	OVERAGE	552,833		14
	RELEASED-TOTAL	2,300,000		15
	FYDP	3,000,000		16

NEED SOW &/or 77

Available				17
AVS - J4	45,000	(B6xxxx)	Robinson	17a
Overdue Expected 1 April				17b
RADC Multics Augmentation	95,000	(B6xxxx)	Wingfield	17b1
Overdue Expected 1 June				17c
AVS J73 Design	70,000	(B6xxxx)	Robinson	17c1
Interim Control of Languages	55,000	(B6xxxx)	Motto	17c2
Overdue Expected 1 July				17d
Fortran - AVS/CDC7600	45,000	(B6xxxx)	Robinson	17d1
NSW Tool Conversions	40,000	(B63243)	LaMonica	17d2
Improvements to SEMANOL	70,000	(B63204)	Ives	17d3
Overdue Expected 1 August				17e
Automated Testcase Generator	70,000	(B6xxxx)	Robinson	17e1
Data Systems Design Ctr Prog Envir	50,000	(B63212)	Sliwa	17e2
Auto Code Generators for Computers	50,000	(B63208)	DiNitto	17e3
Improvements t JOCIT	170,000	(B63207)	Motto	17e4
Overdue Expected 15 August				17f
Fortran - AVS/HIS6180	45,000	(B6xxxx)	Robinson	17f1
Expected 1 Sept				17g
Workshop Utility Svc(New Contract)	133,000	(B6xxxx)	Laforge	17g1
JOCIT/J73	150,000	(B5xxxx)	DiNitto	17g2
O.A. to Patrick - Comm & Maint	68,000	(B6xxxx)	Lawrence	17g3
Expected 1 Oct				17h
SAMTEC Prog Environment	100,000	(B63210)	Bergstrom	17h1
PAVE PAWS Prog Environment	100,000	(B63211)	Lombardo	17h2
Data Services Center Prog Envir	50,000	(B63213)	LaMonica	17h3
Expected 1 Nov				17i
JOVIAL/J3 Compiler for Multics	30,000	(B6xxxx)	DiNitto	17i1
Status and Reporting System	12,000	(B6xxxx)	Palaimo	17i2
Expected 1 Dec				17j
Proc Const Prog for J3 & J73	20,000	(B6xxxx)	Ruple	17j1
S/W Data Repository Implementation	25,000	(B6xxxx)	Palaimo	17j2

	SUB-TOTAL	1,493,000		18

PROPOSED NEW EFFORTS

				19
A. P. Fortran Compiler for DMA	30,000	(B63xxx)	Reimann	19a

CONCERN - FY76 FUNDS - PROJECT 5550

(J33321) 25-AUG-75 08:51;;; Title: (Expedite) Title: Author(s):
Roger B. Panara/RBP; Distribution: /RDK([ACTION]) FJT([ACTION])
ARB([ACTION]) FJH([ACTION]) DRL2([ACTION]) RN2([ACTION])
JLM([ACTION]) RJC([ACTION]) RBP([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: RBP; Origin: < PANARA,
FUNDS76,NLS;1, >, 25-AUG-75 08:44 RBP ;;;;####;

33321 Distribution

Robert D. Krutz, Frank J. Tomaini, Alan R. Barnum, Francis J. Hilbing, Daniel R. Loreto, Richard Nelson, John L. McNamara, Roberta J. Carrier, Roger B. Panara,

DVN 25-AUG-75 10:09 33322

Needs of Help

This responds to 26245

Needs of Help

I see the most important need for help as a way of discriminating between sophisticated and naive users. I have suggested before that the user be able to set a parameter in User Options saying she/he is at a given level of expertise. Then help would show that user an appropriate version of the node in question. I do not mean to keep users from sophisticated explanations. To this end the parameter should be set by the user and we might add a mechanism whereby the more sophisticated explanation always appeared as a menu item under the less sophisticated version (and vice versa),

1

The largest cost would be in writing time. It would be possible to estimate how long a writer would need to build a naive node, say an hour, and then offer sponsors a price for demystifying N 100 nodes of Help.

2

I agree all the suggestions in (26245,) would be helpful. None are as important in my mind as cutting help to fit the user's sophistication.

3

Let us not forget the Map and Full views that were in the original designs of help.

4

Before we do too much in the way of thinking about more sophisticated search and tutorial functions, we should get in touch with our friends working on Scholar at BBN and see if some coordination is in order.

5

Needs of Help

(J33322) 25-AUG-75 10:09;;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van
Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /DMB([ACTION] Dirt notebook please) DIRT(
[INFO-ONLY]) MCG([INFO-ONLY]) LEG([INFO-ONLY]) DHC([
INFO-ONLY]) DLS([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC DIRT;
Clerk: DVN;

33322 Distribution

Delorse M. Brooks, Jonathan B. Postel, Priscilla A. Wold, Rita Hysmith, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Elizabeth F. Finney, Beverly Boli, Lawrence A. Crain, Kirk Sattley, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert N. Lieberman, Ann Weinberg, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Douglas C. Engelbart, James H. Bair, Elizabeth K. Michael, Richard W. Watson, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Harvey G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Laura E. Gould, Jeanne M. Beck, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, James C. Norton, Mario C. Grignetti, Laura E. Gould, David H. Crocker, Duane L. Stone,

Helpful hint No. 5a - filtering; moves, transposes

Helpful hint No. 5a - filtering; moves, transposes

In response to my helpful hint No 5 - Filtered Deletes, (33205,) Jim Norton popped up with a statement to the effect that, "It occurs to me that in addition to using the logic in a delete mode, one can also use it in move and copy modes in interesting ways.. agree? Who knows what transpose would do?"

...So I figured why not try and see what happens. Starting with the following:

Branch one level 1

Branch one level 2

Branch one level 3

Branch one level 4

Branch one level 5

Branch one level 6

Branch two level 1

Branch two level 2

Branch two level 3

Branch two level 4

Branch two level 5

Branch two level 6

Branch three level 1

Branch three level 2

Branch three level 3

Branch three level 4

Branch three level 5

Branch three level 6

I moved the branch "Branch two level 2" to follow "Branch one level

Helpful hint No. 5a - filtering; moves, transposes

1" invoked the filter with a control u, used the dbb viewspec and put it at the same level, With the following result: 7

Branch one level 1	8
Branch one level 2	8a
Branch one level 3	8a1
Branch one level 4	8a1a
Branch one level 5	8a1a1
Branch one level 6	8a1a1a
Branch two level 2	9
Branch two level 3	9a
Branch two level 1	10
Branch two level 4	10a
Branch two level 5	10a1
Branch two level 6	10a1a
Branch three level 1	11
Branch three level 2	11a
Branch three level 3	11a1
Branch three level 4	11a1a
Branch three level 5	11a1a1
Branch three level 6	11a1a1a

This suggest all sorts of interesting capabilities, Next I decided that you couldn't do anything great with copy that we do not already do using the viewspecs, So I decided to try the transpose, The effect of this is a little esoteric as yu can see, I transposed the Branches at "Branch one level 1" and "Branch three level 2" used the option and the filter dbbb, With the following result: 12

Branch three level 2	13
Branch three level 3	13a

Helpful hint No. 5a - filtering; moves, transposes

Branch three level 4	13a1
Branch two level 1	14
Branch two level 2	14a
Branch two level 3	14a1
Branch two level 4	14a1a
Branch two level 5	14a1a1
Branch two level 6	14a1a1a
Branch three level 1	15
Branch one level 1	15a
Branch one level 2	15a1
Branch one level 3	15a1a
Branch one level 4	15a1a1

As you can see the filter works starting from the margin as expected but unexpectedly the substructure, below that selected by the filter is LOST,

16

I can't think of any general use for this capability. Suggest you practice on these if you feel you have an interesting application. If you do don't keep it a secret.

17

Helpful hint No. 5a - filtering; moves, transposes

(J33323) 25-AUG-75 10:15;;; Title: Author(s): Edmund J.
Kennedy/EJK; Distribution: /RADC([INFO-ONLY]) JHB([INFO-ONLY])
JCN([INFO-ONLY] See what you started!) ; Sub-Collections: RADC;
Clerk: EJK;

33323 Distribution

Rocco F. Iuorno, Thomas J. Bucciero, Roger B. Panara, John L. McNamara, Joe P. Cavano, Duane L. Stone, Marcelle D. Petell, Thomas F. Lawrence, James H. Bair, James C. Norton, Wolf-Hasso Kaubisch, Kim Cynthia Carter, Samuel L. Ruple, Stephen P. Sutkowski, Richard Calicchia, William W. Patterson, Francis J. Hilbing, Robert K. Walker, Frank P. Sliwa, Joe F. Femia, Roger W. Weber, Melville J. Draper, Robert D. Krutz, James W. Hyde, David T. Craig, Fred N. Dimaggio, Robert E. Doane, Richard Nelson, William F. Stinson, Daniel R. Loreto, John B. McLean, Murray L. Kesselman, Edward F. LaForge, Agatha C. Deconde, Alan R. Barnum, Larry M. Lombardo, Roberta J. Carrier, Richard H. Thayer, Frank J. Tomaini, Mike A. Wingfield, Edmund J. Kennedy, Ray A. Liuzzi, Donald VanAlstine, Deane F. Bergstrom, Frank S. LaMonica, William E. Rzepka

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

ON POST 80 INFO PROCESSING NEEDS

1, Primarily the problems (roadblocks) to be solved (torn down) are software:

a. Data Security

b. High Cost & Ineffective Scheduling

c. Unreliability

d. Lack of Standards

e. Testing Methods

f. Definition of Requirements

g. Transferability

2, Hardware problems to be solved are:

a. Environmental (mobile, airborne and radiation hardened)

b. Relatively inexpensive high speed, immediate access memory (10-12 bits solid state)

c. Ability to process 100,000,000 instructions per second. NOTE: The problems to be solved cannot be neatly divided into software and hardware solutions. For instance, the need for more speed and immediate access memory can be partially solved by computer architecture. Similarly, the problem of multi-level data security will probably be solved through the development of both software and hardware "locks." Accordingly, a well-balanced R&D program attacking "Software Roadblocks" must take into account hardware advances as well; and, conversely, a Hardware R&D program cannot ignore "software" and "architecture" approaches.

3. Discussion: At this time, it is with some confidence that the management of the Information Sciences Branch can state that the following areas of major emphasis and sub-emphasis are relative to post 80 Command & Control Info Processing Needs:

I. GENERAL

A. Develop an in-house (Blue suit and Civil Service) expertise in major areas of R&D endeavor.

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

B. Concepts applicable to a given major software package (such as a compiler) can be generalized and extended for all major software packages.

5a2

II. SPECIFIC

5b

A. DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

5b1

(1) Develop Standards in association with users, i.e., Classify Data File features, as well as update, retrieval, communications, and security features against major sets of "user's" needs.

5b1a

(2) Data Security techniques must consider hardware features (console keys for instance), firm ware (microprogramming) and software (codes), as well as the consideration of the fact that the computer hardware/software system may be accessed by a non-secure communication channel. One idea suggested by the West Coast Study Group was to consider the Computer System (including its local and remote I/O channels and devices) as a "Communication System." In the Communications world, classified information is transmitted, stored, and forwarded in an encrypted mode. Why not "extend" this concept to a computer system?

5b1b

(3) Definition of requirements in an unambiguous way is claimed to be the single most significant roadblock in obtaining satisfactory, if not outstanding, computer resident data management software for Command & Control (note that this requirement is not independent of the need for Standards). It is felt that reasonably Standards and unambiguous statements of user needs would result in industrial provided data management capability at little or no cost to the USAF. To help the user better determine and identify his needs, a practical modeling facility should be developed, with emphasis in empirical solutions.

5b1c

(4) Testing Methods and Data Points.

5b1d

What has become obvious to all who have been involved in software development, production and costing, is that there is not enough controlled empirical data on which to base and reference cost estimates and performance. It seems that software cost estimates and schedules are based on so-called "folk lore hearsay." Accordingly, Test Scenarios must be developed against user needs and controlled tests run (with "real" data) on the RADC Data Management "Emulation" model. The objective being to "build" the reservoir of data points

on which to base the cost and time required to produce software,

5b1e

(5) Ineffective Scheduling and the attendant High Cost of Software Production has been identified as a major problem area. Certainly better standards, unambiguous Requirements and Empirical Test Data will contribute to the demise of this roadblock; but it appears more can be done. Structured Programming Techniques, Modular Design, Effective Selection and Utilization of a HOL, and Documentation Standards are techniques that should be pursued,

5b1f

B. JOVIAL STANDARDIZATION

5b2

(1) Definition of Requirements, i.e., What is the Command & Control requirement for an HOL? The West Coast Study Group has identified this question as a question in dire need of an answer. For instance, in a critical analysis of major software produced at a particular Command & Control Center, 50% of all machine instructions are loads and stores (about 25% temporary); 25% are loop controls and housekeeping; and 25% are problem-solving. Similarly, it is generally accepted that Military Problems lend themselves to matrix algebra solutions and Command & Control problem solution require great amount of bit manipulation. The study group is of the opinion that an exhaustive list of military problems, well-defined and justified, would contribute significantly to the integrity of a Standard for a Command & Control HOL. Accordingly, such surveys and analysis should be initiated as soon as possible.

5b2a

(2) High Cost and Ineffective Scheduling of the production of compilers has been identified as a "possible" problem. I say "possible" because Dr. Boehm stated that such declaration was based hearsay. It was recommended that effort be expanded to collect statistics to prove or disprove the "high" cost of compilers. Nevertheless, the RADC program to develop JOCIT and SEMANOL in order to maintain quality and reduce cost of JOVIAL compilers should continue. In addition, it seems logical to build a Compiler instrumented to collect statistics to evaluate Structured Programming Concepts, Effectiveness of Compiler Validation Schemes, and Language Standards.

5b2b

(3) Unreliable Software is another software problem identified by the West Coast Study Group. It is of particular significance to HOL and related compilers. As "you" well know, Compiler Validators are a set of so-called Bench mark programs. These Bench mark Programs are supposed

to "adequately" evaluate the sufficiency of a compiler; but do they? It is recognized that a 100% validation is practically impossible. Much discussion was held on trying to determine a practical level of validation,

5b2c

Firstly, it was concluded that there is a lack of data on which to base a conclusion. Accordingly, it is important that RADC's JOVIAL Validator be used extensively to collect data and that RADC develop a program for storage and analysis of such data. In addition, it was concluded that some things could be done to improve software, even though we are not sure how unreliable software really is. These things relate to an area called Structural programming. Dr. Goodenough of ESD refers to a MITRE that talks about eliminating the "Go To" statement. SIS Mitre study report as a Bibliographical Appendix, listing a number of studies relating to Structured programming. RADC should collect "all" the literature on Structured Programming and study it, followed by a planned controlled evaluation of the promising structured programming techniques. To do this, we may have to develop a "modular" compiler. Also, it is recommended that RADC pursue the John Hopkins' proposal but only in the area of Software Reliability and Software Validation,

5b2d

(4) Testing Methods Problem: As has already been suggested, the RADC JOVIAL Validation Program, collection of test data and its analysis, and Structured Programming all have bearing on the Testing Methods Problem. In addition, there is Rona Stillman's work in Theorem Proving through the use of an Associative Processor. The potential of this work toward the solution of the Software Validation Problem must be evaluated and plans developed accordingly,

5b2e

(5) The Transferability Problem has mostly to do with replacement and software validation. It comes as the result of replacing a computer with the intent or desire to run a significant percentage of the "old" software on the new machine. And it comes when a significant software package (such as a compiler) is to be purchased for a number of installations or one installation that is to generate machine instructions that that will "run" at a number of installations. Accordingly, the transferability problems associated with the JOVIAL compiler will diminish if SEMANOL and JOCIT are successful; and will further diminish with good standards and better testing and validation techniques; and all but disappear with microprogramming exploitation (emulation and the concept of a software factory),

5b2f

C. ASSOCIATIVE PROCESSOR EXPERIMENT

5b3

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

(1) The need for an airborne command Post with a 40 MIPS processing capability has been identified. Similarly, a 40 MIPS intelligence processing capability has been identified. An integrated capability of up to 100 MIPS is desirable. As you know, the RADC program is aimed at determining and defining the role that associative processing, will "play" in solving this high speed airborne command post processing problem. It is worth repeating two overall important objectives of this program:

5b3a

(a) Develop in-house expertise in all aspects of the program.

5b3a1

(b) Use "real" data and base conclusions on empirical results.

5b3a2

(2) All of the Software problems identified by the West Coast Study Group apply in spades to the system and job software for an Associative Processor. Accordingly, it is important that key individuals be assigned to the software development for an Associative Processor. It is understood NAD is extending a "JOVIAL-like" language for a Parallel and/or Associative Processor. Are we familiar with this effort?

5b3b

(3) The RADC Associative Processor Evaluation Program is not any less significant if it proves that a General Purpose Associative Processor is not cost effective. In fact, in that case, RADC's role may be more important. The test bed may be used to prove special purpose design necessary to solve peculiar-to-the-Air Force/Military problems.

5b3c

(4) Hardware and Software instrumentation of the Test Bed is a critical and essential feature.

5b3d

D. AUGMENTED HUMAN INTELLECT

5b4

(1) While not identified by the West Coast Study Group as a solution to any particularly pressing problem area, it is RADC management's feeling that eventually, the computer made readily available to an individual and groups of individuals as an aid in these day-to-day operations, AHI will be absolutely necessary to the alternative of being buried in one's own paper work.

5b4a

(2) The ISIM-controlled experiment does not appear to have progressed at the rate expected. For instance, the FY73 Program and FY72 Program paper work seems to be worse off this year than last. Let's get going!

5b4b

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

(3) Some things to be added to ISI's data base:

5b4c

(a) TN's, Development Directives, Program Management Directives, TPO's, etc. This year's TPO briefing and associated flow charts were a mess and the procedures for their development must be straightened out in time for next year. ISI management conceives the SRI/RADC effort to be the solution and wants each section chief to maintain a continuous update of the year's current and planned program. Tom Bucciero is to be able to generate "different" hard copy cuts on a moment's notice,

5b4c1

(b) Technical Library - Mr. Jim Cellini has initiated an effort to accumulate and maintain a Technical Paper reference library within the physical confines of ISI and related to the major areas of effort (Languages, Data Management, Architecture, and AHI). This library effort should be augmented by the RADC/SRI effort. Jim Cellini should participate. Some of the things to be outputted are a periodic bibliography and a required reading list. It is obvious that a required reading list will mean section chief involvement,

5b4c2

III. AFTERTHOUGHTS:

5c

A. ISI management has been led to believe that there is a basic deficiency in PL-1 that makes it unfit for structured programming techniques. Is this true? If so, what impact does this have on any plans ISI has for using PL-1,

5c1

B. The selection of Honeywell 6000 machines for worldwide installations could impact on our plans. As a result, ISI management would like some brain-storming on possible RADC roles,

5c2

C. ISI personnel has a pretty good mix of academic backgrounds in Information Sciences and related fields. I would like to see some "formalized" technical brainstorming sessions. The hope here is that this may result in some research efforts for the near future,

5c3

IV. As a result of this paper, ISI management requests that each section chief comment verbally on what he plans to do,

5d

S/Frank J Tomaini
Col Lortz

copies to: J, McNamara, R, Nelson,

6

FINDINGS OF THE WEST COAST STUDY GROUP

(J33324) 25-AUG-75 11:04;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
11-MEMO,NLS;3, >, 25-AUG-75 06:58 FJT ;;;;####;

ISI MANPOWER

REPLY TO ATTN CF: ISI/7507 13 Dec 72 1

SUBJECT: ISI Manpower 2

TO: Mr. Bethke 3

1. As you know, ISI has lost, for one reason or another, the following personnel: 4

1/2 *Lt Col Kortz (Masters) 4a

 O Dr. Rona Stillman 4b

 * Dr. Neil Stillman 4c

 *Dr. Casper DeFiore 4d

 *Mr. Donald Elefante (Masters) 4e

 *Capt Johnson (PhD) 4f

* Losses to the Associative Processor Program 4g

NOTE: (1) Because Capt (Maj) Patterson has moved to Branch, 1/2 of LtCol Kortz' loss is assigned to the AP Program, 4h

 (2) I agree, Capt Johnson was only on board a week before he was "shipped out," 4i

O Key loss to Software Program, 5

2. Also, as you know, ISI has acquired the following personnel: 6

Lt Michael Wingfield (PhD) 6a

Dr. Ed Kennedy (PhD) 6b

*Mr. Maris Sturans (Masters) 6c

*Gains to AP Program 6d

3. Also, as you know, ISI has some expected probability of success in acquiring the following personnel through hire or transfer: 7

OMr. Fred Dion (Masters) Transfer 7a

Mr. William Jones (Masters) Hire 7b

*Capt Johnson (Phd) Transfer 7c

*Mr. Don Mark (Bachelors) Transfer 7d

OMr. Fred Dion would be a key re-gain to Software program, 7e

*Capt Johnson and Don Mark would be key re-gain to the AP/Architecture Program, 7f

4. If all of the gains come to pass, ISI will achieve a net gain of two and the AP Program a net loss of one and one-half. You might say that's not so bad considering everybody else is losing! Also, you might say that I should assign more of my gains to the AP Program at the expense of AHI/MIS and Software! But before you say that: Firstly, remember at this point in time I do not have Dion, Jones, Johnson, or Mark; secondly, Dr. Kennedy was transferred to bolster the AHI/MIS evaluation; thirdly, I believed the Software area important and deficient of key research and development types even before Dr. Rona Stillman left and, Accordingly, for balance I have assigned Lt Wingfield and Slavinski to it (Lt Wingfield to Modeling and evaluation of DMS and Slavinski to be "retreaded" to the Software Validation and Reliability area). Accordingly, I feel Fred Dion is a must for the Software Validation and Reliability area (if I ever get him); fourthly, Mr. William Jones is limited physically (cannot travel at all) and has a COBOL background which is immediately applicable to our in house MIS efforts-- however, I admit (should I be able to get him) he is an excellent candidate, in about a year, for in-house Software Reliability and Validation efforts. 8

5. In summary, I am most hurting in the AP and Software Reliability area. Capt Johnson, Mr. Mark and Mr. Dion could help no end. Right now, Capt Johnson and Mr. Mark belong to ISF (I believe if ISF were to give you a "Gains and Loss" summary for the past six months, they would show a heavy plus). I could use them even if Capt Johnson came with some responsibility to support the Comm Common Processor. In addition, Mr. Dion has repeatedly questioned me on the possibility of a transfer to ISI. 9

6. I know that the division has been beset with high level briefings and management problems and, accordingly, find it difficult to address some of the things I have written here, but the AP Program is critical--we must play catch-up. Col Thayer has done a fine job in his briefings on Software - we are going to be tapped more and more in this area. The Center MIS and RAC are "hot" and require more support. Your consideration and efforts to obtain for ISI the following personnel are urgent: 10

Mr. Fred Dion 10a

Mr. William Jones 10b

ISI MANPOWER

Capt Johnson	10c
Mr. Don Mark	10d
S/Frank J Tomaini	11

ISI MANPOWER

FJT 25-AUG-75 11:10 33325

(J33325) 25-AUG-75 11:10;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
39-MEMO.NLS;2, >, 21-AUG-75 11:08 FJT ;;;;####;

Sample

This is a hopeless example of online blithering by a neophyte, sorry for the hacking.

Dan

Sample

(J33326) 25-AUG-75 11:28;;; Title: Author(s): Priscilla A.
Wold/PAW2; Distribution: /PAW2([INFO-ONLY]) DCL([INFO-ONLY])
SGR([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: GSG;

33326 Distribution

Priscilla A. Wold, Dan C. Lynch, Susan Gail Roetter,

RADC Support to MULTICS Implementation at Data Services

REPLY TO ATTN OF: ISI/7507 1

SUBJECT RADC Support to MULTICS Implementation at Data Services 2

TO: Bill Bethka 3

1. Here is a list of Items (a subset of the Data Service's list) that I believe we have made a "commitment" to support including the kind of support. This does not mean that there is ESD agreement or that we couldn't get out of our commitment: 4

a. Security Software 4a

(1) Definitions: Two-Level System to permit processing of Top Secret and Secret info 4a1

(2) ESD responsible for MULTICS "Fixes." RADC and Facility responsible for Test and Evaluation 4a2

d. Open Source Security 4b

(1) Definition : Multi-Level Security 4b1

(2) ESD and Panel (Glasser Committee) responsible for program definition (40 million and six yrs). Implementation is "open." RADC and Facility responsible for Test and Evaluation. 4b2

g. Compilers 4c

(1) Definition: Development of Compiler (Primarily COBOL) 4c1

(2) HIS will develop compilers; RADC will evaluate. 4c2

h. MULTICS Data Management System 4d

(1) Definition: Provide "quick-fix" capability for OSD (Tucker) by fall of 1974--Initial design and implementation capable of expansion to complete for Data Services. 4d1

(2) RADC responsible for Quick-fix." Expansion is "open," 4d2

K & L. Terminals 4e

(1) Definition: Identification of off-shelf terminal development of interface software followed by extensive graphics capability 4e1

m. Applications Software (Includes Facility planning) 4f

RADC Support to MULTICS Implementation at Data Services

(1) Definition: Support for Initial Installation Design and
Implementation, plus continued consultation

4f1

(2) RADC and ESD

4f2

s/Frank J. Tomaini

4g

RADC Support to MULTIICS Implementation at Data Services

(J33327) 25-AUG-75 11:30;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
41-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 22-AUG-75 10:14 FJT ;;;;####;

Routing and Transmittal Slip

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP 1

TO: Frank 2

REMARKS: This is a draft, uncirculated version. Please add, fill in, or change whatever you please, and either call me or mail a marked up copy in the next couple of days. Thanks, Ed. 3

FROM: AFDSC/XM Pentagon, Wash DC 20330 4

DATE: 5/5/72 5

PHONE: 52810 6

Memorandum for the Record 8

Subj: Trip Report - Multics Software Discussions at RADC, 2 - 3 May 1972 9

1. At the request of Col Mebane, Mr. Pieper and I arranged to visit RADC as a part of his orientation in Multics. Since this trip presented an oppourtunity to discuss software development plans, I suggested to Maj Schell that his organization could meet with us at RADC for that purpose. As a result, a series of meetings were held there on 2 and 3 May 1972. Attending those meetings were: 10

Mr. Ray Pieper	AF/ACDC	5016	10a
Lt Col Edward J. Bell III	AFDSC/XM	52810	10b
Capt Wah Leong	AFDSC/EO	75594	10c
Capt Sidney Reed	AFDSC/SF	56161	10d
Lt Col Dennis Conrady	ESD/MCI		10e
Major Roger Schell	ESD/MCI		10f
			10g
Mr. Frank Tomaini	RADC/IS		10h
Mr. John McNamara	RADC/IS		10i
Mr. Rocco Iuorno	RADC/IS		10j
Mr. William Rzepka	RADC/IS		10k

Routing and Transmittal Slip

Lt Col Thayer	RADC/IS	10l
Lt Col Lee Kortz	RADC/IS	10m

2. The 13 software tasks listed in attachment 1 were reviewed with the purpose of defining them and making a suggestion as to which organization had interest in and resources to support the software effort. While no person at the meeting was in a position to commit his organization to supporting any effort, it was agreed that it would be a first step for the people at these meetings to recommend involvement where they felt it to be in order. 11

3. Four software efforts were indentified as being important to a joint, coordinated development effort. They are: 12

a. The separation of users based on whether they are processing Top Secret information or not. 12a

b. Providing the necessary data management techniques to meet the existing and near term requirements of OASD (Systems Analysis), 12b

c. Developing terminal interface modules for graphics equipment, 12c

d. Supporting the applications programming effort in the AFDCS that supports Multics users. 12d

4. The definition of each of these four projects, and the interest and resouces discussed are outlined here: 13

a. Separation of the Top Secret and Secret users is a problem of looking for obvious holes in Multics, and adding a fail-safe mechanism to cover any problems arising from systems failure. Since the design problem is fairly straight forward, ESD sees the problem as being an effort that will be wholly accomplished by Honeywell, who will deliver this software capability with the operating system. 13a

Relationships to file interchangeability, detachable segments, and other removable media are to be indentified and explained by the people working on each of those problems. The data management system development is so closely related to the security functions, that any data management effort must have, for that reason alone, a major interest in any security development. 13b

The AFDCS has proposed funding a development effort for this task. The Center plans to use a consultant to provide a statement of the scope of work, and to assist in developing a certification and testing program of the final product. We plan to use ESD resources to provide technical guidance to Honeywell, and RADC

Routing and Transmittal Slip

resources, both to provide consultants and to provide necessary Air Force owned equipment for testing and support, 13c

b, Part of the OASD (Systems Analysis) requirement involves a restricted, but sophisticated, subset of data management capabilities. Both ESD and RADC have well developed interests in such activities. In the case of ESD, a major acquisition (the SAC management information system) has given them and their available contractor consultants experience in such acquisitions that they feel can be exploited in this effort. RADC has been applying in-house and contractor resources to the technology areas relevant to data management applications, and is interested in transferring to users the knowledge they have acquired. 13d

RADC is looking at a concept for data management applications that is "tuned" to the Multics method of handling security of files and data elements. They would like to conduct an in-house development effort and subsequently use controlled outside help. During the near-term, RADC needs an in-house data management capability, and consequently regards participation in developing specific applications as appropriate to their goals. 13e

c. The existing facilities for connecting graphics terminals to Multics are awkwardly programmed. Unlike typewriter-like terminals, which use tables of device characteristics that can be changed easily, the graphics DM (device interface module) is written to support only one terminal, Adage Corporation's ARDS 100. A development effort by Honeywell is needed before any other graphics terminal can be used effectively with Multics. While this is estimated to require around six man-monthly effort (by Major Schell), it is not being done at this time. 13f

Both EsD and RADC have an interest in this effort, although the immediate concern is on the part of RADC, where a Techtronic 4002 graphics terminal is being connected to Multics as part of the OLPARS project. 13g

d. The support team for OASD (Systems Analysis) is to be manned by the AFDSC. Both ESD and RADC have individuals who possess skills and knowledge that can be made available to that team. After the meetings, this area was discussed by phone. It was agreed that obtaining support for applications programming should exploit MULTICS capabilities for OASD (Systems Analysis) and the entire AFDSC user community. Two major preliminary actions for such support are: 13h

(1) Contact points at each of the three organizations must be established. These contacts should be with personnel working in the areas related to the problems of interest. 13h1

Routing and Transmittal Slip

(2) AFDSC personnel must define the problem in each case prior to contacting RADC and ESD. The problem definition should be forwarded to RADC and ESD for their action. With informal communications established, it should be possible to have some actions begun before formal statements are prepared for each problem.

13h2

As a general approach, methods should be used to provide full access to the user community by the individuals working on problems in the various functional areas. A formal agreement along these lines should be made that gives RADC and ESD the kind of environment that permits them to use their resources effectively in solving user problems associated with MULTICS.

13h3

5. The contact points for each organization were discussed, and tentatively identified as follows:

13h4

RADC

13h4a

Mr. John McNamara

Extension 3827

13h4b

Mr. Louis Comito

13h4c

Mr. Dick Metzher

13h4d

b. ESD

13h5

a.

13i

(1)

13i1

(2)

13i2

(3)

13i3

b.

13j

(1)

13j1

(2)

13j2

(3)

13j3

c.

13k

(1)

13k1

(2)

13k2

Routing and Transmittal Slip

(3)	13k3
(4)	13k4
d.	13l
(1)	13l1
e.	13m
(1)	13m1
(2)	13m2
(3)	13m3
f.	13n
(1)	13n1
(2)	13n2
(3)	13n3
g.	13o
(1)	13o1
(2)	13o2
(3)	13o3
(4)	13o4
(5)	13o5
(6)	13o6
(7)	13o7
(8)	13o8
(9)	13o9
(10)	13o10

FJT 25-AUG-75 11:34 33328

Routing and Transmittal Slip

(J33328) 25-AUG-75 11:34;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < TOMAINI,
36-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 25-AUG-75 11:04 FJT ;;;;####;

Journal demo

This is a demonstration for our viewers illustrating prompts of the Interrogate command in the Sendmail subsystem

1

Journal demo

(J33329) 25-AUG-75 12:18;;; Title: Author(s): James H.
Kollen/JHK2; Distribution: /IMM([ACTION]) LHD([INFO-ONLY]) MIKE(
[INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JHK2;

33329 Distribution

Inez M. Mattiuz, Lawrence H. Day, Michael T. Bedford,

Follow-up to Naresky Meeting (DM-1 & Reliability Data Bank)

REPLY TO ATTN OF: ISI/7507 1

SUBJECT: Follow-up to Naresky Meeting (DM-1 & Reliability Data Bank) 2

TO: ISIM (John McNamara) 3

IS (Al Barnum) 4

1. We (ISI) heard a briefing last week (approx 18th Aug 71) on the applicability of DM-1 to the the RC plans to bring the Reliability Data Bank to RADC, 5

2. We learned that: 6

a. There is an individual in RC that understands the reliability problem and DM-1, 6a

b. There is a Reliability Data Bank working at the contractor's plant with a data base structured with SOPs already developed for update, quality control, and report generation, 6b

c. Syracuse U, is interested in helping to accomplish the overall design of the transfer of the Reliability (Central) Data Bank from the contractor (where it is manually operated) to RADC for DM-1/635/645 implementation, 6c

d. RC is willing to assign their knowledgeable individual to transfer design, 6d

e. ISI is willing to get involved (extent to be determined), 6e

f. Resources in the form of hardware, money for hardware, and for implementation of details of transfer not yet identified. (NOTE: Contractor personnel will be made available for the operation of the Reliability Central at RADC), 6f

3. Follow-Up required: 7

a. ISIM to determine and suggest level of ISI involvement, 7a

b. IS to determine and suggest level of ISF involvement, 7b

Frank J Tomaini/ 8

FJT 25-AUG-75 13:37 33330

Follow-up to Naresky Meeting (DM-1 & Reliability Data Bank)

(J33330) 25-AUG-75 13:37;;; Title: Author(s): Frank J. Tomaini/FJT;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: FJT; Origin: < LAFORGE,
42-MEMO,NLS;1, >, 21-AUG-75 08:09 ELF ;;;;####;

25 August Telecon

RED 25-AUG-75 17:09 33331

In accordance with your request for a more definite cost breakdown on SRI Proposal number ISU 75-127 it will take a little morre time than expected. We will get you the information as soon as possible,
Steve Miller

1

25 August Telecon

RED 25-AUG-75 17:09 33331

(J33331) 25-AUG-75 17:09;;; Title: Author(s): Reddy Dively/RED;
Distribution: /CF([ACTION]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: HEB;

33331 Distribution
Craig Fields,

Did this make it?

Pam,

Did I get it right this time? It sure is fun trying to ignore the damn <cr> key for an old TENEX hacker. I will not press my luck to far on this note.

Dan

1

DCL 25-AUG-75 20:32 33333

Did this make it?

(J33333) 25-AUG-75 20:32;;; Title: Author(s): Dan C. Lynch/DCL;
Distribution: /DCL([ACTION]) PAW2([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: DCL;

33333 Distribution

Dan C. Lynch, Priscilla A. Wold,

Oh Priscilla!!!

I will not do that again.
Dan

1

Oh Priscilla!!!

(J33334) 25-AUG-75 20:46;;; Title: Author(s): Dan C. Lynch/DCL;
Distribution: /PAW2([ACTION]) DCL([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: DCL;

33334 Distribution

Priscilla A. Wold, Dan C. Lynch,

If I had remembered to use the wold trick, then I would have been able to get your name printed to me anddmy gaffe would have never been committed. I must remember to use all the aids that I can, as my memory fails me as i creep into my years.

1

(J33335) 25-AUG-75 20:50;;; Title: Author(s): Dan C. Lynch/DCL;
Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: DCL;

I wonder what the forst part meant?

dan

1

DCL 25-AUG-75 20:55 33336

(J33336) 25-AUG-75 20:55;;; Title: Author(s): Dan C. Lynch/DCL;
Distribution: /PAW2([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: DCL;

33336 Distribution
Priscilla A. Wold,

We forgot scrolling!

<Hjournal, 26326,> lists essential and possible help needs. It is a fairly complete list. We forgot scrolling. There was a start at the need description for some of the items but not enough time for a full development of the need or specs for all of the items. This should be done as soon as possible.

We forgot scrolling!

One of the major complaints of help users is that there is no way to read about a subject sequentially. The way the accessing system works makes it practically impossible to learn about a topic by simply reading what has been written without having to re-read things and compute complicated back up algorithms in order to see the next paragraph. The lack of this capability has forced us to write choppy fragmented paragraphs of information which are many times cryptic and not easily converted into readable pages on paper. The implementation of the verbose view as proposed at <hjournal, 26326, 1b3> would solve these problems for the typewriter user, but the display user also needs a way to view the next screen full via a scrolling mechanism of some kind. After the verbose view in the list of help needs, should be added "The ability to scroll".

1

KIRK 25-AUG-75 21:13 33337

We forgot scrolling!

(J33337) 25-AUG-75 21:13;;; Title: Author(s): Kirk E. Kelley/KIRK;
Distribution: /BEV([ACTION]) JBP([ACTION]) ARC-DEV([INFO-ONLY
]) ; Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC ARC-DEV; Clerk: KIRK;

33337 Distribution

Beverly Boli, Jonathan B. Postel, Mary Ann Kellan, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli, James E. (Jim) White, Ann Weinberg, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Dirk H. Van Noubuys, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth K. Michael, David S. Maynard, Karolyn J. Martin, Harvey G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Charles H. Irby, Joseph L. Ehardt, Robert Louis Belleville, Don I. Andrews, Richard W. Watson, Douglas C. Engelbart,

I just read your "Draft of DDPCS Thinkpiece" document (33291,). Sure looks good. Hope it gets the attention it deserves. I particularly like the proposed Objectives and Method of Approach.

Very small items: typos in (055,"1962") (058,"aides") -- I think? (070,"11/20"). How about in (043) branch: person weeks? haha, Jim

1

(J33338) 26-AUG-75 06:56;;; Title: Author(s): James C. Norton/JCN;
Distribution: /PWO([ACTION]) DVN([ACTION]) ; Sub-Collections:
NIC; Clerk: JCN;

33338 Distribution

Pat Whiting O'Keefe, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys,

JCN 29-SEP-75 16:56 33339
8-SEP-75
SRI-ARC 33339

SRI Proposal No, ISU 75-188

ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

Prepared for:

National Security Agency
Fort George G. Meade
Maryland 20755

Attn: Mr. Tom Hassing
Mr. John Roach

Prepared by:

James C. Norton, Assistant Director
Augmentation Research Center

Approved:

Douglas C. Engelbart, Director
Augmentation Research Center

Bonnar Cox, Executive Director
Information Science and Engineering Division
Stanford Research Institute

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188

ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

This proposal was sent in Sept 75, It should serve as a model for providing help to client run computers running NLS, Rob (RLL)

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188
 ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

INTRODUCTION

The Augmentation Research Center (ARC) at Stanford Research Institute (SRI) has developed a general-purpose interactive environment known as the "Augmented Knowledge Workshop" (AKW), which currently utilizes the ARC NLS system and related methodologies.

In order to involve a significantly larger user community so as to deliver the results of our research and to provide useful feedback to our system developers, ARC has established the Workshop Utility Service. The service includes computer service (now provided through Office-1 operated for ARC by Tymshare, Inc. and Office-2 being started with service provided by Bolt, Beranek, and Newman (BBN)) and technical services (provided by ARC Applications staff). The service is operated for subscribing organizations under contract with SRI. We have gained considerable experience with what is needed to provide this new service during the past 19 months of operation of the Utility. We have learned that stable service depends not only on the staff operating the TENEX facility, but also on ARC staff maintaining and updating the NLS software. In addition, user feedback functions are essential, as are appropriately provided user training, documentation, and applications assistance.

ARC is also supporting USC-ISI in the provision of NLS at the USC-ISI-C facility for use by the ARC Development staff and others under the Air Force- and ARPA-sponsored National Software Works program.

As part of NSA's use of the ARPANET, NSA users are actively learning NLS and using it in their daily work under a one "slot" subscription at Office-1. In so doing, NSA users gain experience that can be applied to the further introduction of NLS when service becomes available on the NSA TENEX facility. We are assuming that participation in the AKW community through continued use of the Utility service will provide valuable experience for the NSA users who are involved in that interaction.

Additional needs for assistance from the ARC Applications staff now arise, as NSA is about to begin operation of a PDP10 TENEX facility that will provide NLS service to NSA users directly.

PROPOSED PROJECT ACTIVITY

In order for NLS service to be provided in a solid, well-maintained manner, certain services will have to be

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188

ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

furnished to NSA by the ARC Applications staff. Therefore, we propose the following efforts:

1. Continued assistance to NSA in the specification of the proper computer facility configuration, the tuning of TENEX 1.33 for NLS, and the transfer and loading of NLS and its files onto the NSA machine,

2. Continued assistance in tuning TENEX and updating new releases as they may occur,

Changes to the TENEX operating system must be carefully studied for possible effects on NLS. Also, we have been strong supporters and contributors to many TENEX updates,

3. Maintenance and updating of the NLS software as bugs are reported, and maintenance of the Journal software and the NLS file set,

The Journal system requires continuing attention for its expanding identification, accession numbering, and delivery subsystems,

4. Assistance in the establishment of a FEEDBACK service, an essential part of smooth NLS service as we have seen at the computer facilities that run NLS (Office-1, BBNB, and ISIC),

This service is vital for effective technology transfer and the further evolution of a developing system.

ESTIMATED TIME AND CHARGES

It is proposed that the work outlined herein be performed during a period of 12 months commencing 1 October 1975,

Pursuant to the provisions of ASPR 16-206.2, attached is a cost estimate and support schedules in lieu of the DD Form 633-4,

CONTRACT FORM

It is requested that any contract resulting from this proposal be awarded on a cost-plus-fixed fee basis,

ACCEPTANCE PERIOD

This proposal will remain in effect until 1 October 1975. If consideration of the proposal requires a longer period, the

2a

2a1

2a2

2a2a

2a3

2a3a

2a4

2a4a

3

3a

3b

4

4a

5

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188
ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

Institute will be glad to consider a request for an extension of
time.

5a

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188

ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

COST ESTIMATE

Personnel Costs

Supervision	185 hrs. at 12.12	\$ 2,242	6
Professional	1458 hrs. at 8.03	11,708	6a
Technical	370 hrs. at 4.96	1,835	6a1
Clerical	370 hrs. at 4.67	1,728	6a1a
	Total Direct Labor	\$ 17,513	6a1a1
	Payroll Burden @ 29.0 %	5,079	6a1a1a
	Total Labor and Burden	22,592	6a1a1b
	Overhead @ 110.0 %	24,851	6a1a1c
	Total Personnel Costs	47,443	6a1a1d

Direct Costs

	Travel		6a1b
	6 trips Wash @ \$ 347 =	\$ 2,082	6a1b1
	Auto Rental 6 days @ \$15 =	90	6a1b1a
	Subsistence 6 days @ 42.50 =	255	6a1b1b
	Communications	500	6a1b1c
	Total Direct Costs	2,927	6a1b2
	Total Estimated Cost	50,370	6a1b3
	Fixed Fee	4,030	6a1c
	Total Estimated Cost Plus Fixed Fee	\$ 54,400	6a1d

6b
6b1
6b1a

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188
 ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

SCHEDULES

SCHEDULE A
 DIRECT LABOR

7
 7a
 7a1
 7a1a

Direct labor charges are based on the actual salaries for the staff members contemplated for the project work plus a judgmental factor applied to base salary for merit increases during the contract period of performance. Frequency of salary reviews and level of merit increases are in accordance with the Institute's Salary and Wage Payment Policy as published in Topic No. 505 of the SRI Administration Manual and as approved by the Defense Contract Administration Services Region.

7a1b
 7a2
 7b

SCHEDULE B
 OVERHEAD AND PAYROLL BURDEN

7b1

These rates have been found acceptable by the Department of Defense for bidding and billing purposes for the year 1975. We request that these rates not be specifically included in the contract, but rather that the contract provide for reimbursement at billing rates acceptable to the Contracting Officer, subject to retroactive adjustment to fixed rates negotiated on the basis of historical cost data. Included in payroll burden are such costs as vacation, holiday and sick leave pay, social security taxes, and contributions to employee benefit plans.

7b1a
 7b2
 7c
 7c1
 7c1a

SCHEDULE C
 TRAVEL COSTS

Air fares and car rental rates are established in the current Official Airline Guide. Domestic subsistence rates and travel by private auto are established standards based on cost data submitted to DCAA.

7c1b
 7c1c

JCN 29-SEP-75 16:56 33339

JCN 29-SEP-75 16:56 33339

SRI Proposal No. ISU 75-188
ARC Applications Support of NLS on the NSA TENEX Facility

(J33339) 29-SEP-75 16:56;;; Title: Author(s): James C. Norton/JCN;
Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: RLL; Origin: < LIEBERMAN,
NSA,NLS;2, >, 23-SEP-75 17:33 RLL ;;;
####;

title

Iwonder where this shows up?

title

Does this come in at the end?

1

title

(J33340) 26-AUG-75 10:28;;; Title: Author(s): Dan C. Lynch/DCL;
Distribution: /DCL([INFO-ONLY]) GSG([INFO-ONLY]) PAW2([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: DCL;

33340 Distribution

Dan C. Lynch, Geoffrey S. Goodfellow, Priscilla A. Wold,

DVN 26-AUG-75 10:45 33341

Please Add Ra3y Panko to the Docplan Group

Marcia, Please do, His ident is Ra3y

1

DVN 26-AUG-75 10:45 33341

Please Add Ra3y Panko to the Docplan Group

(J33341) 26-AUG-75 10:45;;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van
Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /KLM([ACTION] docplan notebook please)
MLK([ACTION]) DOCPLAN([INFO-ONLY]) RA3Y([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC DOCPLAN; Clerk: DVN;

33341 Distribution

Kathey L. Mabrey, Marcia L. Keeney, James H. Bair, David R. Brown,
Glenn A. Sherwood, N. Dean Meyer, Kathey L. Mabrey, Norman R.
Nielsen, Thomas L. Humphrey, Robert Louis Belleville, Elizabeth K.
Michael, Richard W. Watson, James C. Norton, Robert N. Lieberman, Pat
Whiting O'Keefe, Douglas C. Engelbart, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Raymond
R. Panko,

Printing and the NLS-8 Command Summary

The NLS-8 Command Summary does not seem to include the Print command, I suggest you also check to see if the Command summary leaves out other TNLS features, Thanks Sandy,

Printing and the NLS-8 Command Summary

(J33342) 26-AUG-75 10:49;;; Title: Author(s): Raymond R.
Panko/RA3Y; Distribution: /FEED([ACTION]) SRI-ARC([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: RA3Y;

33342 Distribution

James E. (Jim) White, Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H. Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I. Andrews,
Special Jhb Feedback, Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura J. Metzger, Carolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick, Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli, Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair, Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L. Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer

ATT Long Lines Demonstration September 29th

ATT Long Lines Demonstration September 29th

John Heyes of the ATT Long Lines organization called me recently to discuss the possibility of our giving a demonstration of DNLS/TNLS at the 1975 Long Lines President's Conference September 29th in Pennsylvania.

His telephone number: (201) 885-2568

John had been to Bell Canada in Montreal recently and was given a demo by Mike Bedford that really got their attention. They also talked with Larry Day. The approach to business planning Bell is taking is also of interest to them. John Heyes feels that ATT-LL should be doing the same kind of experimenting with new technology outside of the Bell Labs. At the present, he is considering buying NLS support for ATT staff from the Utility service, though he will need to know much more before he is sure they should. For the moment, he is VERY interested.

In addition to my demo, Larry Day will be bring a video tape Bell is making on the AKW and the service, slanted toward Bell Canada's use of the system.

The purpose of the demonstration, then is to give John and his associates a closer look at the AKW and the Service, while gaining the attention of the appropriate people at ATT.

The conference will be attended by the President of ATT-LL, all of the VP's, and Directors and who knows who else?

We have been using the 1200 baud Vadic modem at ARC with great success through a DAA installed here. In addition, we have tried dialing to NBS Tip, where NSRDC has one. That works fine, too.

John is having a DAA (1000A CDT-type with handset) installed at the conference center for the demo and will also have another phone for TNLS use available.

I plan to leave for the demo on Saturday, Sept 27th, set the equipment up Sunday and check it out, and give the demo from about 5pm EST on into the evening. I will probably swing by Washington (ARPA, NSA, AMC?) on the way back.

Martin: Since I will be away until about Wednesday, Sept. 24th, you will need to make the arrangements for shipping the display, etc to the Conference Center.

The address is:

ATT Long Lines Demonstration September 29th

ATT Long Lines President's Conference
 Buck Hill Inn
 Buck Hill Falls
 Pennsylvania 18323

Phone: (717) 595-7441

1h2

John assures me that the people at the Conference Center will be expecting the boxes and will have them ready for me to work with, I don't think I will have any trouble setting up if I can get in touch with you or Rod Sunday of that week, What do you think?

1i

Bonnie: I will need reservations to fly from SFO to Newark, New Jersey and a rental car reserved at Newark, I leave SF Saturday, September 27th hopefully 10am or so, ATT has reserved a room for me at the Center, I plan to leave the Conference Center by car to the Newark airport Tuesday morning and would like a flight from Newark to Washington National Tuesday noon, I'll use a cab in the Washington area where I will be at ARPA with Connie McLindon late Tuesday, Wednesday, I'll be needing reservations at the Marriott Keybridge Tuesday night, I hope to fly back to SFO Wednesday from Wash National about 630 or 7pm one-stop (Chicago?) is ok, No advance needed,

1j

So.... here we go again..let's hope they listen and watch, Jim

1k

ATT Long Lines Demonstration September 29th

(J33343) 26-AUG-75 13:16;;; Title: Author(s): James C. Norton/JCN;
Distribution: /MEH([ACTION]) DCE([INFO-ONLY]) RWW([INFO-ONLY]
) JHB([INFO-ONLY]) RLL([INFO-ONLY]) SGR([INFO-ONLY]) BJP([INFO-ONLY]) LHD([INFO-ONLY]) MIKE([INFO-ONLY]) RA3Y([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: JCN;

33343 Distribution

Martin E. Hardy, Douglas C. Engelbart, Richard W. Watson, James H. Bair, Robert N. Lieberman, Susan Gail Roetter, Buddie J. Pine, Lawrence H. Day, Michael T. Bedford, Raymond R. Panko,