

Hello

Hello Jeanne, I'm sorry we couldn't get acquainted before you left for Washington. You left the first week I was here, and for some reason we never got a chance to chat.

1

I'm not sure just what I'll be doing at ARC. For the time being, I am just building a reporting system to tell architects how much their people are using the system. Beyond that, things are pretty hazy.

2

JCN gave me your office, making me feel like a vampire. You are (surprise!) now sharing an office with Dean Meyer. I packed your books and things away as well as I could. It's all there, but not in any particular order. We also moved your desk down to Dean's office.

3

I hope we can meet soon, either out here or in Washington.

4

RA3Y 27-JAN-75 17:38 31720

Hello

(J31720) 27-JAN-75 17:38;;; Title: Author(s): Raymond R.
Panko/RA3Y; Distribution: /RA3Y([ACTION]) JMB([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub=Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: RA3Y; Origin: < PANKO,
HELLO,NLS;1, >, 27-JAN-75 17:26 RA3Y ;;;;####;

comments on nls to 26 jan

in keeping with your request to provide comments on nls, i am providing this information that i have been gathering in my own file for the last few weeks, this covers information through 26 jan 75 and comes from gilbert, during the period i have been using the system, i have used sendmsg on a multiple time daily basis, but am only now maturing to the point that i feel reasonably comfortable with nls and its related capability, 1

AT THIS POINT MY BASIC COMMENT ON THIS SYSTEM IS THAT IT TENDS TO GENERATE CANDOR AS WE BECOME MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE SYSTEM, 2

i am also finding that nls is probably more difficult to use than it has payoff, at this time; but sndmsg seems to be worthwhile, 3

a third comment is that a delay in the terminal interface processor can confuse the control language so that you can lose groups of characters, 4

nls is a passive system, it is one thing to send a person a message, but how do you prompt him to pick it up?, it seems to me that something is definitely missing here, 5

SENDMSG IS IMPROVING OUR ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS, SOME OF THESE ARE PRETTY SUBTLE AND WOULD BE HARD TO HANG A PRICE TAG ON. I'LL TRY TO ENUMERATE AND KEEP ADDING TO MY LIST FROM TIME TO TIME, 6

the matter of writing something down improves our communications since we have a record of what we have said, this prevents buffering by one oral communicator as he relays a message to the next, 6a

the ability to send to multiple addressees and carbon other interested participants enlarges the group with whom we communicate rather naturally, this provides a broader base of people who are informed and involved in any issue, 6b

the lack of a need to establish two-way verbal communications between busy people and the more difficult task of establishing multi-way communications among more than two people is alleviated since one is assured that everyone receives the message if addressed and ok'ed by the system, 6c

the accounting system for the tips that became operational approximately 26 jan, 75 is an excellent example of a corollary to peter's principle that eventually organizations will confuse the means and the ends, this occurs for at least two reasons; (1) the sign-on at the tip is more awesome than establishing contact with the

comments on nls to 26 jan

ultimate computer and (2) the buffer space in the tip is so reduced that one is forced to hunting and pecking slowly.

7

one of my big surprises is that people browse through other's mailboxes, apparently, they do not consider this amoral even, though it is tantamount to going through another person's in-basket.

8

JCG 27-JAN-75 18:06 31721

comments on nls to 26 jan

(J31721) 27-JAN-75 18:06;;; Title: Author(s): John C. Gilbert/JCG;
Distribution: /JCG([INFO-ONLY]) RPU([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JCG; Origin: < GILBERT,
CMTS-ON-NLS,NLS;9, >, 27-JAN-75 17:59 JCG ;;;;###;

comments on nls

in keeping with your request to provide comments on nls, i am providing this information that i have been gathering in my own file for the last few weeks. this covers information through 26 jan 75 and comes from gilbert. during the period i have been using the system, i have used sendmsg on a multiple time daily basis, but am only now maturing to the point that i feel reasonably comfortable with nls and its related capability.

1

AT THIS POINT MY BASIC COMMENT ON THIS SYSTEM IS THAT IT TENDS TO GENERATE CANDOR AS WE BECOME MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE SYSTEM.

2

i am also finding that nls is probably more difficult to use than it has payoff, at this time; but sndmsg seems to be worthwhile .

3

a third comment is that a delay in the terminal interface processor can confuse the control language so that you can lose groups of characters.

4

nls is a passive system. it is one thing to send a person a message, but how do you prompt him to pick it up?. it seems to me that something is definitely missing here.

5

SENDMSG IS IMPROVING OUR ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS. SOME OF THESE ARE PRETTY SUBTLE AND WOULD BE HARD TO HANG A PRICE TAG ON. I'LL TRY TO ENUMERATE AND KEEP ADDING TO MY LIST FROM TIME TO TIME.

6

the matter of writing something down improves our communications since we have a record of what we have said. this prevents buffering by one oral communicator as he relays a message to the next.

6a

the ability to send to multiple addressees and carbon other interested participants enlarges the group with whom we communicate rather naturally, this provides a broader base of people who are informed and involved in any issue.

6b

the lack of a need to establish two-way verbal communications between busy people and the more difficult task of establishing multi-way communications among more than two people is alleviated since one is assured that everyone receives the message if addressed and ok'ed by the system.

6c

the accounting system for the tips that became operational approximately 26 jan, 75 is an excellent example of a corollary to peter's principle that eventually organizations will confuse the means and the ends. this occurs for at least two reasons; (1) the sign-on at the tip is more awesome than establishing contact with the

comments on nls

ultimate computer and (2) the buffer space in the tip is so reduced
that one is forced to hunting and pecking slowly, 7

one of my big surprises is that people browse through other's
mailboxes, apparently, they do not consider this amoral even, though
it is tantamount to going through another person's in-basket. 8

comments on nls

(J31722) 27-JAN-75 18:08;;; Title: Author(s): John C. Gilbert/JCG;
Distribution: /JAA([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JCG;
Origin: < GILBERT, CMTS=ON-NLS,NLS;9, >, 27-JAN-75 17:59 JCG
;;;####;

comments on nls to 26 jan

in keeping with your request to provide comments on nls, i am providing this information that i have been gathering in my own file for the last few weeks, this covers information through 26 jan 75 and comes from gilbert, during the period i have been using the system, i have used sendmsg on a multiple time daily basis, but am only now maturing to the point that i feel reasonably comfortable with nls and its related capability, 1

AT THIS POINT MY BASIC COMMENT ON THIS SYSTEM IS THAT IT TENDS TO GENERATE CANDOR AS WE BECOME MORE FAMILIAR WITH THE SYSTEM, 2

i am also finding that nls is probably more difficult to use than it has payoff, at this time; but sndmsg seems to be worthwhile, 3

a third comment is that a delay in the terminal interface processor can confuse the control language so that you can lose groups of characters, 4

nls is a passive system, it is one thing to send a person a message, but how do you prompt him to pick it up?, it seems to me that something is definitely missing here, 5

SENDMSG IS IMPROVING OUR ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS, SOME OF THESE ARE PRETTY SUBTLE AND WOULD BE HARD TO HANG A PRICE TAG ON, I'LL TRY TO ENUMERATE AND KEEP ADDING TO MY LIST FROM TIME TO TIME, 6

the matter of writing something down improves our communications since we have a record of what we have said, this prevents buffering by one oral communicator as he relays a message to the next, 6a

the ability to send to multiple addressees and carbon other interested participants enlarges the group with whom we communicate rather naturally, this provides a broader base of people who are informed and involved in any issue, 6b

the lack of a need to establish two-way verbal communications between busy people and the more difficult task of establishing multi-way communications among more than two people is alleviated since one is assured that everyone receives the message if addressed and ok'ed by the system, 6c

the accounting system for the tips that became operational approximately 26 jan, 75 is an excellent example of a corollary to peter's principle that eventually organizations will confuse the means and the ends, this occurs for at least two reasons; (1) the sign-on at the tip is more awesome than establishing contact with the

comments on nls to 26 jan

ultimate computer and (2) the buffer space in the tip is so reduced that one is forced to hunting and pecking slowly.

7

one of my big surprises is that people browse through other's mailboxes, apparently, they do not consider this amoral even, though it is tantamount to going through another person's in-basket.

8

JCG 27-JAN-75 18:09 31723

comments on nls to 26 jan

(J31723) 27-JAN-75 18:09;;; Title: Author(s): John C. Gilbert/JCG;
Distribution: /BRL([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC BRL; Clerk:
JCG; Origin: < GILBERT, CMTS-ON-NLS,NLS;9, >, 27-JAN-75 17:59
JCG ;;;;####;

I have found a few problems :-

1

We are having trouble with ident TEH in receiving journal mail. The only unique factor I can see about this ident is that it doesnot have a directory exclusively for its own use. Using the Sendmail subsystem to send a message or a file to a number of idents, all were received (including the author copy) except the TEH copy,

1a

When inserting a statement which contains a a cntrl=R to redisplay the line does not print the .

1b

A "Process commands from a structure" command only appears to take the first word (as well as the others) in the structure if it is preceeded by a space and even then with a bell (presumably for the space). If the space is omitted then it tries to make sense of the second word in the structure ignoring the first.

1c

After logging in this morning and entering NLS I did a Print Journal command which resulted in No Mail followed by a Goto Sendmail command. The reply to this was "File locking conflict - Please reload" whereupon it appeared to enter a loop (judging from the increase in the times shown by cntrl=T commands). To escape I had to enter a cntrl=C.

1d

NLS problems

(J31724) 28-JAN-75 06:25;;; Title: Author(s): Keith McCloghrie/KM;
Distribution: /FEED([INFO-ONLY]) KM([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: KM;

Attendance at KWAC Meeting III

The odds of my being in attendance at your scheduled KWAC III meeting in February are good. Let me know if there's anything I can do in preparation to assist you. -- Mike

PS - Would be good if the next architect were in attendance, right?

1

Attendance at KWAC Meeting III

(J31725) 28-JAN-75 08:21;;; Title: Author(s): Michael A.
Placko/MAP2; Distribution: /JCN([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC;
Clerk: MAP2;

Project 5550 OUTYEAR PROGRAM

Bill,

This is what I forwarded to Col. Krutz for his approval. I have not heard from him yet. I did not interpret your first message to mean you were also interested in 79 - 81. It will take me awhile to get it together. I will try to arrange a meeting with the Colonel this P. M. and call you to let you know what results. I don't think there is any problem in using the FY78 figures below. Just remember they are not yet approved.

Roger

1

MESSAGES FROM YOU REGARDING SUBJECT,

2

16-JAN-75 0649-PDT PATTERSON: fyy78 program
Distribution: PANARA, krutz, patterson
Received at: 16-JAN-75 06:49:54

2a

Ron Starbuck tells me that the Air Staff is looking at our funding past fy77 and wondering why we have such a big jump from fy77 to fy78. His answer is that we are starting new programs. We need to come up with them fairly soon. There is no specific suspense, but he would like to be able to give some answers by the end of next week. p.s. Part of the increase, of course is in inflation,

2a1

17-JAN-75 0755-PDT PATTERSON: fy78 program
Distribution: PANARA, KRUTZ, patterson
Received at: 17-JAN-75 07:55:10

2b

ref panara message, same subject. I checked your numbers with ron and came up with
fy77=6.5, fy78=10.6, fy79=18.3(1), fy80, 22.6,

2b1

28-JAN-75 0722-PST PATTERSON: fy78 and beyond
Distribution: PANARA, patterson
Received at: 28-JAN-75 07:22:13

2c

Ron Starbuck is getting anxious for an answer to the question of programs for fy 78 and beyond. How are we doing? Also, I was just asked for a funding breakout by task out to fy 81. Anything you can get me by this afternoon will be appreciated. I'll wing the rest, since the mission is going to have to be more c-cubed oriented.

2c1

Major Patterson,

Outlined below is the five year plan for 63728F. It is intended to provide you with the information you require regarding the FY78 plan. You have the descriptions of the proposed tasks in the PMP and in the added descriptions previously supplied for the FY77 planned

Project 5550 OUTYEAR PROGRAM

program. The emphasis in FY77 & FY78 will be in Software C, R, & T.
The five areas below will be emphasized:

- | | |
|--|----|
| 1. Software Data Repository Implementation (pilot & full-blown). | 3a |
| 2. Language Control Facility Implementation. | 3b |
| 3. Modern Programming Practices Evaluations. | 3c |
| 4. Software Tools Acquisitions, Testing, & Evaluations. | 3d |
| 5. SEMANOL for COBOL & FORTRAN. | 3e |

5-YEAR PLAN FOR 63728F

| | FY75 | FY76 | FY77 | FY77 | FY78 | |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|-------|----|
| | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| ARCHITECTURE | | | | | | 5 |
| Assoc Proc | 370 | 535 | 70 | 200 | 200 | 6a |
| Config Proc | | | = | 500 | 800 | 6b |
| Dist Computation Fac | | | = | 600 | 600 | 6c |
| S/W TECHNOLOGY | | | | | | 7 |
| S/W C, R, & T | 1270 | 3185 | 1130 | 4700 | 6500 | 7a |
| Reqmts Anal | | | = | 500 | 1000 | 7b |
| Multisource Data Fusion | | | | = | 500 | 7c |
| ADP SYSTEM SUPPORT | | | | | | 8 |
| Security | 550 | 280 | = | | | 8a |
| H/W TECHNOLOGY | 110 | = | | | | 9 |
| PROJECT 2108 | | | = | 1000 | 1000 | 10 |
| | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | |
| TOTALS | 2300 | 4000 | 1200 | 6500 | 10600 | 11 |

Project 5550 OUTYEAR PROGRAM

RBP 28-JAN-75 09:14 31726

(J31726) 28-JAN-75 09:14;;; Title: (Expedite) Title: Author(s):
Roger B. Panara/RBP; Distribution: /WWP2([ACTION]);
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: RBP; Origin: < PANARA,
BILL.NLS;1, >, 28-JAN-75 09:10 RBP ;;;; #####

Meeting with NAC

I am proud to inform you that you are requested to attend the Feb, 5th meeting of NAC. The occasion will take place between 1000 and 1300 in conf room #10 (2W075) and then from 1300 to 1500 in 1S013. Be sure to be there!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

SPB 28-JAN-75 11:53 31727

Meeting with NAC

(J31727) 28-JAN-75 11:53;;; Title: Author(s): Steve P. Bailey/SPB;
Distribution: /SPN([INFO-ONLY]) SPB([INFO-ONLY]) TEH([
INFO-ONLY]) JNH([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: SPB;

more net trouble

28-JAN-75 1303-PST POTTER: net trouble
Distribution: PETERS AT SRI=ARC, potter
Received at: 28-JAN-75 13:03:34

1

Jeff, I've been having more net trouble today than I usually do in a week or two...connection suspended, net trouble about six or eight times today. Following your advice, I called the NCC...talked with Joel Maiman...he said the problem must be in the IMP at OFFICE-1, that they weren't having any problems there. Well, I am. Any ideas or suggestions? My phone call to NCC didn't exactly leave me feeling like everything would be fixed shortly...

Yours, Dave

1a

DAP 28-JAN-75 13:10 31728

more net trouble

(J31728) 28-JAN-75 13:10;;; Title: Author(s): David A. Potter/DAP;
Distribution: /JCP([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: DAP;

Invitation

Let me formally extend an invitation to you from Terrie and I to reside with us during the forthcoming KWAC III meeting. Let me know when you'll be here and for how long and we'll plan accordingly. Rita is welcome too (so is Happy for that matter)! Anxious to hear from you. -- Mike

Invitation

(J31729) 28-JAN-75 13:19;;; Title: Author(s): Michael A.
Placko/MAP2; Distribution: /RMS2([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC;
Clerk: MAP2;

questions about undelivered messages

When one gets a sendmsg from "mailer" that a message didn't go through, does that refer to sendmail of nls or to sendmsg of tenex? I send so many of each that I can't tell. Also, I get the following files in my directory, which I can't seem to type acceptably in the Tenex delete command in order to get rid of them (the message is obsolete anyway so I don't need them); with ESC, it will recognize up to the period, but types a question mark in response to my typing the characters of the person's name,

| | |
|--|----|
| < BECK, /UNDELIVERABLE=MAIL/,hardy@SRI=ARC;1, > | 1 |
| < BECK, /UNDELIVERABLE=MAIL/,bondurant@SRI=ARC;1, > | 1a |
| < BECK, /UNDELIVERABLE=MAIL/,vannouhuys@SRI=ARC;1, > | 1b |
| < BECK, /UNDELIVERABLE=MAIL/,bair@SRI=ARC;1, > | 1c |
| < BECK, /UNDELIVERABLE=MAIL/,bair@SRI=ARC;1, > | 1d |

JMB 29-JAN-75 06:09 31730

questions about undelivered messages

(J31730) 29-JAN-75 06:09;;; Title: Author(s): Jeanne M. Beck/JMB;
Distribution: /FEEDBACK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI-ARC
FEEDBACK; Clerk: JMB;

EPC Proposal

In the meeting on the Editorial Processing Center proposal, NSF indicated a need to have a definition of feasible alternative approaches to developing an EPC at the end of the experiment if it is successful. That is they realize that the Utility Slot concept is not a suitable approach to a community of small journals accessing a subset of NLS. They are interested in several things:

1) the availability of a commercial packet-switching network over which the system could be accessed,

2) the feasibility of implementing the developed NLS system on another system perhaps run by a commercial outfit,

3) the types of pricing structures that might be used for a community of journals accessing NLS through a Front End,

4) the assumption is that approximately one and one-half years from now they want to be able to implement the system for a community of journal subscribers (and maybe others) at which time the developed system is production oriented and may require a separate or new commercial network and service subscription structure to address the needs of the user community. They want possible answers to these questions.

Have you yet formulated ideas on these problems yet. We need to address them in the proposal revisions which have to go out Friday. I appreciate any input you can give. Doug is not in today and Dick said you were the person to talk to. Thanks,

Pat,

PWO 29-JAN-75 10:11 31731

EPC Proposal

(J31731) 29-JAN-75 10:11;;; Title: Author(s): Pat Whiting
O*Keefe/PWO; Distribution: /JCN([ACTION]) PWO([INFO-ONLY]) DVN([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: PWO;

request for info on NVC

Dirk, could you please send me a reference on the Network Virtual COM format (control characters, etc) for a project we are running to try to develop a standard COM format for the Air force. Thanks, /Larry
crain

request for info on NVC

LAC 29-JAN-75 11:48 31732

(J31732) 29-JAN-75 11:48;;; Title: Author(s): Lawrence A.
Crain/LAC; Distribution: /DVM([ACTION]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC;
Clerk: LAC;

Tenex at NSA

Martin

1

Jim Norton said you might be able to give me a hand. We are getting a TENEX system here at NSA and it looks like the initial configuration will be about a third the size of that at OFFICE. We will start out with 96K core and 3 RP03's as well as a swapping disk and 2 tape drives. Most of my questions deal with the effects of this smaller system on our operation.

1a

When OFFICE first came up what was its configuration? How many pages does OFFICE get from a RP03? As far as that goes what is the number of words per page?

1b

Since we will be limited in storage we would appreciate some values for disk storage of TNLS, DNLS, the JOURNAL sys, RSEXEC, and DEX. Along with the amount of storage needed it will be helpful if we had an idea on what percentage each sys uses of the system and cpu. Also what kind of affect does output processor, l10 and cml have on the load.

1c

I'll try and give you a call to make things a little clearer. Thanks much,.

1d

1e

Steve Bailey

1f

SPB

1g

SPB 29-JAN-75 12:56 31733

Tenex at NSA

(J31733) 29-JAN-75 12:56;;; Title: Author(s): Steve P. Bailey/SPB;
Distribution: /MEH([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: SPB;

DAP 29-JAN-75 13:39 31734

net trouble

bitch a little

net trouble

You may regard this as a formal complaint from a disgruntled user. Yesterday and today were VERY bad days for the net. I was disconnected with a "connection suspended, net trouble" or "host not responding" message several times each day.

This may not be your problem. Nevertheless, from a user viewpoint it really doesn't matter one whole hell of a lot; all I know for sure is that I can't get my work done. This is doubly true because I regard my role as architect as part of my work; and you'll have to admit it's hard to build confidence in the system in new and potential users who see troubles like those of the past couple days.

I'll keep you posted on problems like this. They're individually trivial, but collectively serious. I would not like to see the future of the ETS slot decided on the basis of experiences like this --but they can't be ignored.

1

DAP 29-JAN-75 13:39 31734

net trouble

(J31734) 29-JAN-75 13:39;;; Title: Author(s): David A. Potter/DAP;
Distribution: /FEEDBACK([ACTION]) JHB([INFO-ONLY]) DAP([
INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC FEEDBACK; Clerk: DAP;

FEED 29-JAN-75 15:12 31735

New TI in the Display Area

It would be appreciated if people would not carry it off,

New TI in the Display Area

In order to have a generally available terminal for accessing office-1 directly I've set up a TI on a table with a phone out in the display area.

1

If the noise disturbs anyone or if there are other reasons why this is a bad idea let me know.

2

New TI in the Display Area

(J31735) 29-JAN-75 15:12;;; Title: Author(s): Special Jhb
Feedback/FEED; Distribution: /SRI-ARC([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: FEED; Origin: < FEEDBACK,
BLAP,NLS;1, >, 9-JAN-75 11:46 FEED ;;;;###;

Response to (31724,) NLS Questions

1. Looks like the ident TEH wasn't set up quite right - I'll fix it and it should be o.k. tomorrow. 2. I couldn't get the problem you described with CTRL R and <CA> to happen. How were you entering <CA>? 3. I haven't had the trouble you describe with the Process command. If you give me a link to your file I'll take a look and see if I can see anything wrong. 4. And you won't believe this but I tried a Print Journal and then Goto Sendmail when I didn't have any journal mail and I got the message No Journal Branches but no problem when I got to sendmail. So unless there is more info you can give me on this one I don't think it'll happen again. Sorry I couldn't be more helpful. Susan/FEED

1

FEED 29-JAN-75 15:53 31736

Response to (31724,) NLS questions

(J31736) 29-JAN-75 15:53;;; Title: Author(s): Special Jhb
Feedback/FEED; Distribution: /KM([INFO-ONLY]) FEED([INFO-ONLY])
; Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: FEED;

Tech Evaluation

ISCA/X7746

27 Jan 75

Technical Evaluation - PR-B-5-3108

RADC/ISCA

ISC

ISM

PMRD (Mr. P. L. Nicotera)

IN TURN

1. Eleven proposals under this solicitation have been evaluated and the results are contained in the following paragraphs.

2. The following offers are considered responsive to the RFP based upon proposals submitted:

a. Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc. (BBN) = (90)

Understanding of the Problem = (30)

BBN displays an insight into the problem far beyond that which is contained in the statement of work. This understanding is a natural extension of specific experience and background BBN has in the distributed computation problem area.

Soundness of Approach = (16)

The proposed approach of generalizing and extending concepts into a methodology for distributed system design is considered quite acceptable.

Compliance with Requirements = (24)

BBN proposes no deviations from the Statement of Work, with the exception that no specific mention is made of the required deliverable data items, monthly reports and final report; clarification is required. If the offeror will deliver the required data items, a score of 30 would be in order.

Special Technical Factors = (20)

Tech Evaluation

BBN has an excellent background in distributed computation problems. BBN has gained significant insight into many issues of concern in this study in particular through their hands-on experience with the Resource Sharing Executive (RSEEXEC). The RSEEXEC is the heart of a BBN in-house test bed for examining distributed processing concepts.

b. University of Southern California/Information Sciences Institute - (86)

Understanding the Problem - (24)

USC/ISI has a unique understanding of the problem and extends the concept definition beyond that given in the Statement of Work. This offeror has a good understanding of the user oriented problems associated with a distributed computation implementation.

Soundness of Approach - (16)

The approach outlined in two tasks, one for the identification of NOS primitives and the other for NOS primitive implementation plan is quite acceptable and should lead to the identification of critical issues in NOS design.

Compliance with Requirements - (30)

This offeror complies with all the requirements of the Statement of Work.

Special Technical Factors - (16)

This offeror has significant experience with the ARPA network and has been involved in distributed computation issues through such projects as the National Software Works, but has had little if any hands-on experience with distributed processing systems.

This offeror proposes no deviations from the requirement of the Statement of Work.

c. Informatics Inc. - (71)

Tech Evaluation

Understanding of Problem - (15)

This offeror has undertaken studies in distributed computation and networking of heterogenous computers with many problem areas similar to those considered under PR No B-5-3108. The problem components covering network topology, user control, transparency, load shading, security, etc., are discussed to a reasonable depth which indicates the contractor has an understanding of the problems to be encountered in the effort.

Soundness of Approach - (16)

The offeror's approach would utilize information derived from other studies combined with those to be developed by his staff. He plans to provide more than one alternative with clarifications of the trade-off involved. His overall approach is sound and adequately addresses the areas of concern.

Compliance with Requirements - (30)

Informatics has indicated close compliance with the specified requirements of the SOW. He specifically states what will be provided including the sequence of preparation and anticipated problem elements to be encountered. The potential line of solution will be evaluated in terms of cost, feasibility and effectiveness.

Special Technical Factors - (10)

The offeror has some limited experience in studies concerned with heterogenous computer networks, however, he does not have any direct working experience with the ARPA Network.

No deviations from the Statement of Work are proposed.

d. Sperry Univac - (65)

Understanding of Problem - (15)

The offeror has a reasonable understanding of the problem. He seems overly concerned with some of the details of the problem and has in some instances lost sight of some of the overall objectives of

Tech Evaluation

distributed processing. The problem of distributed data bases has not been adequately discussed.

Soundness of Approach - (10)

The offeror will study all the areas enumerated in the Statement of Work. He has a reasonable plan for accomplishing the end goal of the design of a distributed network. Because of his somewhat limited network experience he intends to rely heavily on interaction with RADC to define the specific functions to be studied. While the problem of distributed control is heavily discussed the problem of distributed data is not treated as thoroughly.

Compliance with Requirements - (30)

The offeror complies with all the requirements as stated in the Statement of Work.

Special Technical Factors - (10)

The offeror has had some limited experience in ARPA network operations. He maintains a very distant host interface on the system tied to the IMP at the University of Illinois. Some work has been done on network operation systems but this has been limited to experimental hardware organization under study at Univac. General network experience is limited.

This offeror proposes no deviations from the Statement of Work.

e. Computer Sciences Corporation - (59)

Understanding of Problem - (15)

CSC has a good understanding of the problems associated with distributed computation. Each of the areas stated as necessary in the SOW such as system throughput, frontend processing, and remote job entry were covered in detail. In particular, the problems associated with user job transparency which are important to a practical implementation of a NOS were outlined adequately.

Soundness of Approach - (10)

Tech Evaluation

CSC has an approach which includes analyzing the technical problems and defining a set of hardware/software alternatives to a distributed computation study. They promised to pay special attention to critical system function and software components. Also CSC will address all relevant design aspects. This has a crucial significance because of the nature of the problem.

Compliance with Requirements - (24)

CSC has shown great initiative in giving an adequate explanation of the work statement items and has promised to deliver a report which contains answers to the problems of distributed computation. All requirements in the SOW were exposed to the light and no attempt was made to coverup.

Special Technical Factors - (10)

The experience level of CSC has been shown to be adequate in particular through their work with the prototype WWMCCS Intercomputer Network.

This offeror proposes no deviations from the Statement of Work,

3. The following offers are considered non-responsive to the RFP based upon the proposals submitted.

a. Auerbach - (55)

Understanding of the Problem - (15)

This offeror through his discussion of the various aspects of the distributed computation has shown that he has a good understanding of the problem. They have offered more detail and have expanded on issues presented in the Statement of Work. This offeror's discussion is surprisingly good considering the lack of experience in the specific problem area.

Soundness of Approach - (10)

The three task approach of system architecture, implementation strategies and resource estimation would address the

Tech Evaluation

major issues of the study. Though the approach is adequate the concern is whether this offeror could carry it to an acceptable conclusion.

Compliance with Requirements - (30)

This offeror complies with all of the requirements of the statement of work.

Special Technical Factors - (0)

This offeror has no background experience in computer networks. Though the offeror has presented an average proposal there is serious doubt whether the study can be effectively carried out as proposed. This offeror would require considerable amounts of time just to acquire the necessary background and develop a degree of confidence before proceeding to the more advanced issues required to be investigated in this study.

b. INCO Inc. - (26)

Understanding of Problem - (6)

INCO displays a very shallow or superficial understanding of the problem. Concepts discussed by this offeror appear to be disjointed and do not relate to the total system concept to be investigated under this effort. With the lack of depth displayed in the proposal it can hardly be believed that INCO can produce the results required at the conclusion of this effort.

Soundness of Approach - (10)

The offeror's approach is, in this case, not outstanding nor is it unacceptable. The prime criticism being that significant time may be consumed in a study of user's requirements and current network resources. This study of requirements and resources is not considered critical to this effort; for this approach to be acceptable expenditures of time in that area should be minimized.

Compliance with Requirements - (6)

The offeror has omitted any reference to the items to be

Tech Evaluation

investigated in this study as contained in paragraph 4.1.4, of the Statement of Work. Though INCO has stated that the problem will be investigated there is no confidence that such important areas as "automatic job assignment", "dynamic job relocation" and "network protocols" will be investigated.

Special Technical Factors = (4)

INCO states that the government needs to provide to the contractor "considerable amount of information about existing systems" and provide for "hands on access to the ARPANET and its resources". Such comments lead to the conclusion that INCO will be spending an unacceptable amount of time on gaining background experience. Therefore, the results of this effort would produce more knowledgeable personnel at INCO but not a study report which would be of value to the government.

c. Westinghouse (16)

Understanding of Problem (6)

Westinghouse misunderstands the problem of investigating the aspects of distributed computation. They have stated it to be a division by classes of computational functions and a subsequent generation of special architectures. An in-depth presentation of the problems of distributed computation was not evident.

Soundness of Approach (0)

The Westinghouse approach as stated is not based on a sound method of attacking the problem. Westinghouse proposes that a DHCCS computer system be employed to handle the distributed computation problem, thus limiting the scope of the investigation short of what is considered essential. Westinghouse does not explicitly state which approach they intend to pursue. They have presented a reordering of the requirements of the SOW and state that they will accomplish them but do not state how to any depth. They state the importance of solving the security and reliability problem, but not how they intend to solve it. Their approach of providing at least one system architecture for each class of operation is totally incorrect. The SOW requires a set of architectures which covers all

classes of operation. The probability of success using their approach is very low.

Compliance with Requirements (6)

The Westinghouse proposal does not indicate how they intend to comply with many of the requirements in the SOW. For example, the proposed program is in variance with our requirement that a family of distributed computation architectures be specified and defended.

Special Technical Factors (4)

Westinghouse has moderate experience in networking. Their experience is limited to data multiplexors, and remote job entry facilities which have limited applicability to the study required.

d. Systems Architects, Inc. (14)

Understanding of problem (0)

SAI has presented no evidence that it understands the problems and complexities of distributed computation. The list of areas including user job transparency, automatic job assignment, remote job entry, and extensions to network protocols was not even mentioned. The proposal suggests that SAI knows so little about the problems of a generalized distributed computation network that they did not even address many of the critical issues suggested in the SOW.

Soundness of Approach (4)

SAI intends to approach the problem of distributed computation by extensively interviewing current network users, compiling a list of network functions, and using these to generate possible architectures for the NOS. This approach is unacceptable because it does not take the required approach of considering the generalized problems of an unknown technology and formulating solutions to the various components of RJE, file transfer, and interprocess communication.

Compliance with Requirements (6)

Tech Evaluation

The program proposed by SAI is not in accordance with the requirements of the SOW. The SAI approach of determining functional classes and designing around them cannot produce a meaningful result as long as it does not address the issues raised in the SOW. There is no indication of how SAI will comply with the requirements of determining network architecture which shall be treated as a single processor whose internal processes are made transparent to the user.

Special Technical Factors (4)

SAI has some limited experience in networking but not with heterogeneous computer networks. Their experience is not sufficient to guarantee any degree of success in the performance of the work required.

e. Control Data Corp. - (14)

Understanding of the Problem - (0)

It is apparent that Control Data has missed the objective of the effort. They are viewing Distributed Computation as a minor extension of a computer network. They have lost the complete concept of a network operating system and the dynamic and heuristic characteristics desired in a distributed system.

Soundness of Approach - (4)

Control Data does not provide an approach that would lead to the results desired by the study. Their approach is as if the offeror were being required to design a network without providing a specification. They propose to define a system and then propose implementation. This was not what was desired. The in-depth analysis and insight into the basic problems of distributed computation cannot be achieved by their proposed approach.

Compliance with Requirements - (6)

Task 1 and 2 as proposed by Control Data are not requested services in the SOW. Task 3 does not meet the requirements as delineated in Section 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 of the SOW. The contractor does not propose to attack the problems detailed in the SOW. The offeror proposes a level of effort at 1100 to 1140 hours. It would

Tech Evaluation

be impossible for this offeror to deliver a useful product with this low effort level.

Special Technical Factors - (4)

Most of the Control Data experience has been limited to providing computer services in this for a computer utility network. Their expertise seems to lie in engineering large systems which may or may not utilize more than one processor. They have little experience in distributed computation in the context of the ARPANET.

f. Boeing Computer Services (BCS) - (10)

Understanding of the Problem - (0)

The contractor indicates that a portion of the effort will be expended in a technology review (Task 1) of networking concepts, mini computers, communications and telecommunications. This task was not a SOW requirement, would expend most of the projects allotted manhours, and would not contribute significantly to the effort. This is an example of the contractor not appreciating or understanding the major areas of interest.

It is evident from the proposal that the contractor is not familiar with the detailed aspects of the problem and has shown less than minimum satisfactory effort in its preparation, therefore, based on the contents of the proposal, the contractor could not generate a satisfactory product.

Soundness of Approach - (4)

The offeror generally restates the requirements given in the statement of work without adequate amplification or discussion of the approach he intends to use. He is placing heavy dependence on RADC to restate and explain the requirements in detail after contract award thus implying that they lack experience and do not have sufficient confidence or background knowledge to initiate the effort.

Compliance with Requirements - (6)

Contractor indicates that he will comply with the requirements, however, there is no significant discussion pertaining

Tech Evaluation

to each requirement area. Therefore, the contractor's ability to comply SOW requirements involves considerable risk,

Special Technical Factors - (0)

Offeror does not have any experience with a heterogenous network as required for background experience in the office. Although contractor has developed a homogeneous computing service network, no experience is evident for the ARPA type heterogenous networks.

Qualifications Based on Offeror Data (Unsatisfactory):

Contractor is not committing fully qualified experienced people to work on this effort. The individual they plan to use full time is a 1974 graduate having six months experience with the company. Principal investigator with better qualifications will work only part time. Since this effort requires experienced and very competent personnel, the chance that the contractor could provide a good product is remote. Also, since he is using an inexperienced and probably low salaried new employee to perform the bulk of the work in this effort, he could underbid other offerors who intend to furnish qualified people.

THOMAS LAWRENCE
Computer Architecture Section
Computer Technology Branch

2 Atchs
1, AFSC 66
2, Proposals

MURRAY KESSELMAN
Computer Architecture Section
Computer Technology Branch

LEON PANAS

Tech Evaluation

Computer Architecture Section
Computer Technology Branch

MICHAEL WINGFIELD, Capt, USAF
Information Management Sciences Section
Information Processing Branch

Tech Evaluation

(J31737) 30-JAN-75 05:32;;; Title: Author(s): Roberta J.
Carrier/RJC; Distribution: /TFL([ACTION]) RJC([INFO-ONLY]) DRL2(
[INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: RJC; Origin: <
CARRIER, TMEMO,NLS;2, >, 27-JAN-75 08:12 RJC ;;;; #####

Thanks for numbers

Thank you, As you can tell I am using them. This may be your first
mezxsage via computer from Grenage Village, I don't know whose boobs
ar involved, but the temperature here is about like there, which
makes it nice.

1

Thanks for numbers

(J31738) 30-JAN-75 06:12;;; Title: (Unrecorded) Title;
Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /JAKE([ACTION]);
Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: DVN;

Oh, COM not NVT

I realized later that I had seen COM and heard NVT in my mind, You must have thought my response crazy. The question of Network virtual COM requires more thought. I will send you a considered reply early next week when I am back at ARC

1

Oh, COM not NVT

(J31739) 30-JAN-75 06:18;;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van
Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /JOAN([ACTION] dpcs notebook please) LAC(
[INFO-ONLY]) JPB([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk:
DVN;

FY 76 AMC Use of Office

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Management Information Systems Directorate Use of OFFICE-1
During FY 76 and Related Matters

DATE: 29 January 1975

1. On Monday, 27 January 75 an informal meeting was held between Mr. John Gilbert, Director of Management Information Systems, Mr. John Cianflone, Chief, Resources Division, and Dr. Ronald Uhlig, Chief, Scientific and Management Information Division. Mr. Gilbert made the following decisions regarding use of the OFFICE-1 facilities by this Directorate during FY76, 1
2. Mr. Gilbert said that we would purchase a slot at OFFICE-1 for this Directorate for FY 76 and that Mr. Cianflone should budget accordingly. He also said that Mr. Cianflone should plan to obtain terminals for use within the Directorate as follows: One hardcopy terminal and two CRT terminals for each Division, and one hardcopy terminal and two CRTs for the "front office". Anticipated use of the terminals would be that the Division Secretaries would use the hardcopy terminal, the Division Chief would use a CRT and one additional CRT terminal would be available to share among the action officers within a Division. The "front office" use would be that the hardcopy terminal would generally be for the two secretaries and the CRT terminals would be for the Director and the Deputy Director. The total number of terminals for the Directorate would be 8 CRTs and 4 hardcopy terminals. Generally, all of the terminals should be portable. 2
3. In addition to budgeting \$40K for purchasing a slot at OFFICE and additional funds for obtaining the terminals (the terminals currently in use are leased using the RDT&E Funds obtained for the "SNDMSG Experiment"), funds would be needed for paying an appropriate share of AMC HQ use of the ARPA node at MERDC. This would probably range between \$20k to \$40k, depending on whether HDL would be sharing in use of the node during FY 76 and what the node costs during FY 76 turn out to be, 3
4. Use of mailboxes within the Directorate was seen to be as follows: one mailbox for each Division Chief, one mailbox for the Director, one mailbox for the Deputy Director, and one mailbox assigned to each Division for general use by action officers within that Division, for a total of eight mailboxes. A maximum of ten mailboxes can be made 4

FY 76 AMC Use of Office

available within each slot.

7

5. We will also attempt to generate interest on the part of two other groups within AMC in buying separate slots. These groups are the MSC ADP Chiefs, and ten individuals from the SECC. ALMSA and LSSA would tie in with the MSC Chiefs. The cost to each individual would be between \$4000 and \$5000 per year, which should be possible within their budgets. Mr. Gilbert will discuss this with the MSC ADP Chiefs when he meets with them next month, and Dr. Uhlig will discuss this with some key individuals in the SECC. In the event that the MSC chiefs are unable to obtain a separate slot ALMSA and LSSA would share in the AMC Headquarters slot.

8

6. Mr. Cianflone stated that he was planning on paying \$10K as the AMC Headquarters share for use of the MERDC ARPA net node. However, if customers could not be found to make up the difference, AMC might have to pay the entire \$52K owed for FY 76. Mr. Gilbert stated that if AMC was paying at that level, he wanted MERDC to make sure that the ANTS System would be up 24 hours per day/7 days per week.

9

Ronald P. Uhlig

10

FY 76 AMC Use of Office

(J31740) 30-JAN-75 06:20;;; Title: Author(s): Ronald P. Uhlig/RPU;
Distribution: /AMC-MIS([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC AMC-MIS;
Clerk: RPU; Origin: < UHLIG, OFFUSE,NLS;4, >, 29-JAN-75 05:45
RPU ;;; #####

JMB 30-JAN-75 07:28 31741

ESTIMATE OF PROPORTIONS OF MY TIME SPENT LAST 2 1/2 WEEKS AT ARPA

Estimates compiled at JHB's request

ESTIMATE OF PROPORTIONS OF MY TIME SPENT LAST 2 1/2 WEEKS AT ARPA

| | | |
|---|-------|--------|
| ESTIMATE OF PROPORTIONS OF MY TIME SPENT LAST 2 1/2 WEEKS AT ARPA: | | 1 |
| Formal Training: | <5%> | 1a |
| Note--only one class so far. But much more is being scheduled for the future, | | 1a1 |
| Direct Assistance, specific applications: | <30%> | 1b |
| Hardware, TIP, network problems: | <30%> | 1b1 |
| Note--Hardware: DNLS workstation problems. Both Connie and I hope these stop soon! | | 1b1a |
| One bad Delta Data | | 1b1a1 |
| Serviceman has been here twice but it still is broken, Connie may agree to receive a Data Media instead and send the Delta Data back to SRI to let Martin deal with its repair. | | 1b1a1a |
| One bad Line Processor | | 1b1a2 |
| Martin is mailing a replacement card for it, | | 1b1a2a |
| Documenting, scenarios, handouts: | <30%> | 1c |
| Misc, administrative, reading mail, etc: | <5%> | 1d |
| Do not take this as having any bearing on how my time will be spent in the future (I hope): See Notes above, | | 1e |

ESTIMATE OF PROPORTIONS OF MY TIME SPENT LAST 2 1/2 WEEKS AT ARPA

(J31741) 30-JAN-75 07:28;;; Title: Author(s): Jeanne M. Beck/JMB;
Distribution: /JHB([ACTION]) UD([INFO-ONLY]) RLL([INFO-ONLY]
) DCE([INFO-ONLY]) JCN([INFO-ONLY]) MEH([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC UD; Clerk: JMB;

Prof. Samuelson's Visit

I would be interested in meeting Professor Samuelson if he comes to ARC. In addition to working at SRI, I am a graduate student in communications at Stanford, and I am interested in NLS's capacity as an information retrieval system or front end.

1

RA3Y 30-JAN-75 08:12 31742

Prof. Samuelson's Visit

(J31742) 30-JAN-75 08:12;;; Title: Author(s): Raymond R.
Panko/RA3Y; Distribution: /JAKE([ACTION]) RA3Y([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RA3Y;

Capabilities announced in--25187,>--Usersubsystems availability

When and how will the user-subsystem "Modify" be available at Office-1? It is needed at ARPA for a special application, for funding tables. This subsystem was announced in <JOURNAL, JRNL24, J25187>, but it is not in the <PROGRAMS> directory at Office-1, so cannot be loaded. Neither is PUBLISH. When they are available as user-subsystems (I guess when the version of NLS at ARC is moved over to Office-1), should access by users be:

```
Goto (subsystem) Programs
Load Program XXXXX
Goto (subsystem) XXXXX ?
```

1

JMB 30-JAN-75 09:03 31743

Capabilities announced in--25187,>--Usersubsystems availability

(J31743) 30-JAN-75 09:03;;; Title: Author(s): Jeanne M. Beck/JMB;
Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC FDBK;
Clerk: JMB;

Acknowledgement of (31734,) - Net trouble

Your message was received and will be kept filed in the feedback file as a registered complaint. Thanks for the input - sometimes people have a hard time understanding how people feel out on the net and messages like yours help. Susan/FEED

Acknowledgement of (31734,) - Net trouble

(J31744) 30=JAN=75 09:46;;; Title: Author(s): Special Jhb
Feedback/FEED; Distribution: /JHB([INFO-ONLY]) DAP([INFO-ONLY])
; Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: FEED;

Acknowledgement of (25242,) and (25241,)

Both bug reports were received and have been put in the bugs branch
of feedback, Susan/FEED

FEED 30=JAN=75 09:49 31745

Acknowledgement of (25242,) and (25241,)

(J31745) 30=JAN=75 09:49;;; Title: Author(s): Special Jhb
Feedback/FEED; Distribution: /POOH([INFO=ONLY]) ; Sub=Collections:
SRI=ARC; Clerk: FEED;

Follow up on KSH for info, re a U.S. mail-order house having difficulties that might be alleviated through the use of an Automated Order Service, such as that operated by Sears in Toronto.

H.Q. Planning
Bell Canada

TO: K.S. Hoyle, Assistant Vice-President, Planning

Interest Expressed By Northwest Tel.
Re Sears Ltd.'s Automated Order Service

I talked with Northwest Tel's, Peter Beckman about some of the problems that the mail-order house of Fingerhut Corp. were having. Specifically, we mentioned the problems that were outlined in the FORTUNE article, namely the rising costs experienced by Fingerhut as a result of increased postage costs, increased number of customers defaulting on contractual obligations, and increased inventory costs for unsold merchandise.

2

P.M. Beckman
District Sales Manager
Northwest Tel. and Tel.
224 S. Fifth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 344-5158

2a

I told him of what Sears Ltd. was up to in the way of Automated Order Services. He seemed very interested, but indicated that Fingerhut had not thought about anything like that (despite the fact that they already have a large INWATS network across the U.S.).

3

Peter was interested in hearing more about Sears' A.O.S. work, indicating that he would like to discuss the possibility of a similar venture with both Fingerhut and Montgomery-Ward.

4

I'm sending him a package of the press releases that accompanied Sears' entrance into this venture, along with some of this department's work in related areas.

5

After reviewing what I send him on the Sears work, and after exploring it with the Wards people, Peter is going to get back in touch with me. In any case, I will follow this up in about four weeks.

6

7

MIKE 30-JAN-75 10:15 31746

Follow up on KSH for info, re a U.S. mail-order house having difficulties that might be alleviated through the use of an Automated Order Service, such as that operated by Sears in Toronto.

(J31746) 30-JAN-75 10:15;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T. Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /KSH([INFO-ONLY]) LHD([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

testing journal to TEH

this is a test message to ident TEH to test whether feedback have
cured the problem,

1

KM 30-JAN-75 11:42 31747

testing journal to TEH

(J31747) 30-JAN-75 11:42;;; Title: Author(s): Keith McCloghrie/KM;
Distribution: /TEH([INFO-ONLY]) KM([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: KM;

DAP 30-JAN-75 12:11 31748

more net trouble

for the record

more net trouble

In reward for your prompt aknowledgement of yesterday's m,message,
here's another: around 10:45 PST today we couldnt get to OFFICE-1 at
all,..reached ARC just fine.

1

DAP 30-JAN-75 12:11 31748

more net trouble

(J31748) 30-JAN-75 12:11;;; Title: Author(s): David A. Potter/DAP;
Distribution: /FEEDBACK([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: NIC FEEDBACK;
Clerk: DAP;

MIKE 30-JAN-75 12:33 31749

draft of a letter to speakers inviting them to Tues, supper

this draft is for review by lhd, with copies for info to piw,imm.

draft of a letter to speakers inviting them to Tues. supper

Dear Speaker,

1

On behalf of the organizers of "Technology and Growth", I would like to invite you to a special supper being held for the speakers (and spouses or guests, if accompanying them in Ottawa) on Tuesday evening.

2

In order to provide you with an opportunity to meet the other speakers in a relaxed and informal atmosphere, the organizers have arranged for supper to be served after the evening reception. This supper will be served at *** o'clock in the ## of the Carlton Towers Hotel. (Limited seating accommodation dictates that only the speaker and his respective guest be included in this invitation.)

3

I expect that most of the speakers will be attending this supper, and consequently, no confirmation of this invitation is required. I am looking forward to your company at supper, and hope you will be able to attend.

4

Yours sincerely,

Lawrence H. Day
Conference Co-Chairman

5

MIKE 30-JAN-75 12:33 31749

draft of a letter to speakers inviting them to Tues. supper

(J31749) 30-JAN-75 12:33;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T.
Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /LHD([ACTION]) PIW([INFO-ONLY]) IMM(
[INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

this s not complete.....10 slides on the technical results are being
made up separately.....any comments ? (I know, I know, I spelled
Forrester's name wrong.....I think I'll leave that slide
out....'Forster' !)

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|--|----|
| "Controlled Conflict Generation as Input to the Technology Assessment Process" | 1 |
| Overview | 2 |
| Delphi Overview | 2a |
| Limitations of Delphi | 2b |
| Suggestions for Improvement - SPRITE | 2c |
| SPRITE in a T.A. Context | 2d |
| Specific Contributions of SPRITE | 2e |
| Introduction to B.P.G. Tech. Assessment Work | 3 |
| B.P.G. Tech. Assessment Program - Subjects: | 4 |
| Computer Assisted Instruction | 4a |
| energy, travel/communications substitution | 4b |
| new communications services in the Canadian North | 4c |
| "wired city" | 4d |
| B.P.G. Tech. Assessment Program - Methodologies: | 5 |
| Cross-Impact Analysis | 5a |
| Statistical Survey and Analysis | 5b |
| Dynamic Modeling (a la Forster) | 5c |
| Delphi-derivative | 5d |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|--|-----|
| Delphi Overview - What is it ? | 6 |
| A series of iterative questionnaires | |
|submitted to experts in a given field | |
|in order to generate a consensus among them | |
|and thus provide an input into the technological forecasting decision-making process. | 6a |
| Delphi Overview - Applications ? | 7 |
| Technological Forecasting | 7a |
| Economic Forecasting | 7b |
| Policy Formulation | 7c |
| Marketing Research | 7d |
| Technology Assessment | 7e |
| Delphi Overview - Major Users ? | 8 |
| Private R&D Houses (Think Tanks) | 8a |
| Fed'l, Government Departments and Agencies | 8b |
| University Researchers | 8c |
| Corporate Planning Departments | 8d |
| Industry Associations | 8e |
| Limitations of Delphi - CLASSICAL APPLICATIONS | 9 |
| little consensus generated | 9a |
| Limitations of Delphi - CLASSICAL APPLICATIONS | 10 |
| "forced" consensus often a factor | 10a |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|---|-----|
| Limitations of Delphi - CLASSICAL APPLICATIONS | 11 |
| inputs of 50% of respondents are ignored | 11a |
| Limitations of Delphi - CLASSICAL APPLICATIONS | 12 |
| magnitude of standard error increases after 1st interation | 12a |
| Limitations of Delphi - CLASSICAL APPLICATIONS | 13 |
| little interaction BETWEEN panelists | 13a |
| Limitations of Delphi - RECENT APPLICATIONS | 14 |
| Limitations of Delphi - RECENT APPLICATIONS | 15 |
| proliferation of inter-disciplinary concerns | 15a |
| Who are the experts ? | 15b |
| Limitations of Delphi - RECENT APPLICATIONS | 16 |
| Subject of Technology Assessment is NOT technology. | 16a |
| Limitations of Delphi - RECENT APPLICATIONS | 17 |
| Increasing emphasis on social and economic consequences | 17a |
| Limitations of Delphi - RECENT APPLICATIONS | 18 |
| no longer possible to devote a majority of our time to searching for answers | 18a |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|---|-----|
| searching for relevant questions will increasingly concern us | 18b |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 19 |
| How can we upgrade the classical technological forecasting methodology to better reflect the concerns found in Technology Assessment programs ? | 19a |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 20 |
| methodology geared toward the exposition and exploration of relevant issues | 20a |
| methodology with the aim of inputting to the process of resolving the issues, rather than resolving them directly | 20b |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 21 |
| solicit inputs from a variety of interest groups | 21a |
| recognize that there are no experts in most areas | 21b |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 22 |
| bring new insights to light through stimulation of interest groups | 22a |
| emphasis on conflict generation rather than development of consensus | 22b |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 23 |
| encourage dialogue and controversy through use of semi-structured questions, rather than multiple-choice, | 23a |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 24 |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|---|------|
| constant encouragement to qualify answers, and more importantly, to criticize inputs of the other participating groups | 24a |
| An Alternative Methodology for Technology Assessment | 25 |
| A new name.....SPRITE..... Sequential polling and Review of Interacting Teams of Experts | 25a |
| Assumptions Implicit in SPRITE | 26 |
| There are significant differences between groups. | 26a |
| The SPRITE environment will bring forth and clarify the differences. | 26b |
| The differences will provide the planner with new insights. | 26c |
| Criticism of SPRITE | 27 |
| results are not actionable | 27a |
| identifying the interest groups who can contribute most significantly is still a problem | 27b |
| it doesn't have the internal consistency of more structured approaches such as the Mitre seven step outline | 27c |
| the new name sounds gimmicky | 27d |
| SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" | 28 |
| SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" | 29 |
| Subject Areas Explored: | 29a |
| Entertainment and Education Services | 29a1 |
| Information Retrieval Services | 29a2 |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

| | |
|--|--------|
| Transaction-Oriented Services | 29a3 |
| Remote Interaction Services | 29a4 |
| SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" | 30 |
| Explore Impacts Relating to: | 30a |
| allocation of time | 30a1 |
| inter-personal relationships | 30a2 |
| relationships with extra-family institutions | 30a3 |
| privacy and security | 30a4 |
| dependence on technologies involved | 30a5 |
| SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" | 31 |
| Participating Interest Groups: | 31a |
| Housewives | 31a1 |
| Students | 31a2 |
| Welfare workers | 31a3 |
| Consumerists | 31a4 |
| Educators | 31a5 |
| Professional Researchers | 31a6 |
| SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" | 32 |
| Examples of SPRITE environment | 32a |
| Participants' Reactions to the SPRITE environment. | 32b |

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" 33

SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" 34

SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" 35

SPRITE and the T.A. of the "Wired City" 36

MIKE 30-JAN-75 13:19 31750

slide presentation of MIKE for conference (March 10-11, 1975, right
?)

(J31750) 30-JAN-75 13:19;;; Title: Author(s): Michael T.
Bedford/MIKE; Distribution: /PIW([INFO-ONLY]) LHD([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: MIKE;

More on (31724,) questions

Thanks for looking into the problem on ident TEH - I will let you know what results. As regards the ctrl-R problem, I inserted the by typing ctrl-V followed by ctrl-D (note that while typing this message in, a cntrl-R typed now does not display the two lines above). This happens all the time in this kind of situation (but not in a Print command). I have tried it in other directories and under different idents and the same happens. Perhaps we can link our terminals together and I will demonstrate. I have set up file (mccloghrie,process) with two statements - one with a space in front and one without. Perhaps you can try these. I do believe that you could not get the "File locking conflict" to occur - I mentioned it because it was the second time it had happened to me but I agree that it does not consistently happen.

1

More on (31724,) questions

(J31751) 30=JAN-75 13:20;;; Title: Author(s): Keith McCloghrie/KM;
Distribution: /FEED([INFO-ONLY]) KM([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub=Collections: NIC; Clerk: KM;

offquota

The new offquota allocation scheme stinks! NSA purchased 1 slot with the understanding that if another slot was unused, it was available as needed. It is assumed that this allocation scheme will allow the maximum utilization of the Knowledge Workshop Technology.

1

Under the new scheme, the cutoff point is when the load factor reaches 6. This load factor does NOT affect the response time for TNLs users unless they execute heavy CPU bound commands (output processor, etc.).

2

If user response is suffering do to heavy load factors I suggest you restrict the use of cpu bound commands to low load time frames rather than dumping the user.

3

What is more agrivating is that the situation is temporary. As the slots become more used, offquota will dissappear, and the loading factor will still remain unless hardware/software modifications are made to reduce the problem.

4

The klinker is that the new scheme doesn't work as advertised. We were locked out numerous times yesterday when the load factor was 3 or less and only 17 to 19 jobs were running.... Go back to the old method, and work on increasing efficiency instead.

5

Jess Hill, COIR

6

offquota

(J31763) 1-FEB-75 06:59;;; Title: Author(s): Jesse N. Hill/JNH;
Distribution: /FEED([ACTION]) JCN([ACTION]) CKM([INFO-ONLY])
TEH([INFO-ONLY]) KM([INFO-ONLY]) THP([INFO-ONLY]) RWM([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: JNH; Origin: <
HILL, OFFQUOTA,NLS;2, >, 1-FEB-75 06:48 JNH ;;;###;

Suggested Topics for Architects Meeting

Please try to respond by the middle of this week so that I can send around a tentative agenda by Fri. or Mon.

Suggested Topics for Architects Meeting

Here are some additional suggested topics for the Architects' Meeting which is being held Feb. 18-21. Please send comments and I will then send around a revised list. Frank

Suggested at last KWAC Meeting

What the new ones (KWAC-ers) have experienced

Feedback in NLS 8

Possibly workshops on specific problems

Suggested by JCN

Talks of specialized use of NLS by some architects

Potential system additions desired by KWAC collectively

Plans for further expansion of the user community

Suggested by FGB

Getting Acquainted

New Sites: Who/Where are they? What are they doing? When/Why are they coming on?

Old Sites: Something like the new sites plus last 6 months in review

Newsletter Revisited: Put one out as "meeting notes" so we will publish at least one issue this time around

Expansion of Office-1 facilities

For example, can a teleconferencing package be made available.

Integrating NLS into local site operations.

For those sites willing to share experiences, what does effective NLS use require in terms of people support, documentation support, hardware support (e.g., hi-quality printers, cassettes,..), etc.

Improving the Effectiveness of KWAC

Each of us are probably doing things of interest to others (e.g., writing user programs, coordinating the production of

Suggested Topics for Architects Meeting

- documents, etc.) Can we take another crack at establishing a better mechanism for information exchange? 4d1
- There is also the old problem of establishing user idents and possibly getting them validated for one directory or another. And then, I imagine one must remove idents from time to time. Why can't the local Architect be responsible for this? 4d2
- Improving the Effectiveness of Office-1 4e
 - At any given time, one may encounter difficulty in determining what is at Office-1, what will be available, and what is going away. Suggestions? 4e1
- The NSW and NLS 4f
 - More on the Back End/Front End NLS split and any implications this may have for NLS users. 4f1
- Future NLS expansion & to what degree can KWAC affect this? 4g
- Miscellaneous 4h
 - Improved access to NLS (e.g., via a commercial net) 4h1
 - Alternative pricing schemes 4h2
 - DEX over the Net (& how it compares to having very large buffers available in your TIP,ANTS,ELF or whatever) 4h3
- Specific Problems 4i
 - My own involve integrating NLS into our local site operations and using NLS to cooperatively produce documents (where the cooperators are geographically dispersed and have varying degrees of expertise in NLS). If you all will send me additional topics, I'll try and work up some sessions to discuss them in. 4i1

Suggested Topics for Architects Meeting

(J31764) 1-FEB-75 13:52;;; Title: Author(s): Frank G. Brignoli/FGB;
Distribution: /KWAC([ACTION]) ILA([INFO-ONLY]) RJM2([INFO-ONLY
1) CMC([INFO-ONLY]) LAH([INFO-ONLY]) PRB([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: NIC KWAC; Clerk: FGB;

1st DRAFT OF KWAC MSG

Ron-

I have a draft of message in my NOTEBOOK file that I would like you to ok. It is located at address SCRATCHPAD.d - or 3a, if you prefer.

Please give me your comments, Ed vonGehren

1

SMT 1-FEB-75 21:01 31765

1st DRAFT OF KWAC MSG

(J31765) 1-FEB-75 21:01;;; Title: Author(s): Stan M. Taylor/SMT;
Distribution: /RPU([ACTION]) BRL([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections:
NIC BRL; Clerk: SMT;

Thanks for answers in (31760,)

Thanks Susan. Ident TEH now has no problem receiving journal mail and yes, name delimiters is the obvious answer to my Process command problem - I should have thought of that myself. One other query - is there anyway to find out the distribution of a journal mail item ?
Keith,

KM 3-FEB-75 06:23 31766

Thanks for answers in (31760,)

(J31766) 3-FEB-75 06:23;;; Title: Author(s): Keith McCloghrie/KM;
Distribution: /FEED([INFO-ONLY]) KM([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: KM;

file product of dr beam visit

AGENDA FOR DR. WALTER BEAM'S VISIT TO RADC

DATES: 18 - 19 December 1974

18 December

0900 Arrive by military air
0900-1000 Welcome, Meet with Division Chiefs & Commander
Topic: Dr. Beam's View of C3 (No RADC Overview)
1000-1200 Information Sciences Division
1200-1300 Lunch
1300-1330 Executive Session with Commander
1330-1630 Information Sciences Division

19 December

0830-1130 Information Sciences Division
1130-1230 Lunch
1230-1630 Communications & Navigation Division
1630 Depart by military air

Dr. Walter Beam - Consultant for Advanced Technology - SAFRD

Mr. Charles Porter - USAF/RDPS

Maj Ron Starbuck - USAF/RDPE

file product of dr beam visit

Maj William Patterson - AFSC/DLCE

file product of dr beam visit

INFORMATION SCIENCES DIVISION

TENTATIVE AGENDA

18 December

| | | |
|------|--|-------------------|
| 1000 | Overview | Col Robert Krutz |
| 1015 | Introduction to Software Area | Mr. Dick Nelson |
| 1025 | HOL Control and J73 JOVIAL | Mr. Sam DiNitto |
| 1200 | LUNCH | |
| 1300 | Executive Session: Dr. Beam with Col Giesy | |
| 1330 | Software Sciences Program | Mr. Dick Nelson |
| 1500 | Software Management Program | Mr. John McNamara |
| 1900 | DINNER | |

19 December

| | | |
|------|--|------------------|
| 0830 | Computer Architecture | Mr. Jim Previte |
| 0945 | Pattern Recognition & Computer Graphics | Mr. Dick Metzger |
| 1045 | Pattern Recognition Demonstration | Mr. Dick Metzger |
| 1115 | Executive Session: Dr. Beam w/Col Krutz & Mr. Barnum | |
| 1130 | LUNCH | |

file product of dr beam visit

Notes on Dr. Beam's Visit.....18, 19 December 1974

The following are comments from Dr. Beam unless otherwise noted. They are not actual quotes, but rather summary of comments which reflect his views or philosophy.

Col Krutz's Presentation

*How to insure your visibility as Info Sci focal point - PR type?

*Any contact with Gunter? Grace Hopper thinks they are using good techniques to write software. Bob Scherr thinks different "living in sin". Grace's success is being able to get COBOL accepted for business application. APL and BASIC successful due to too easy not to use. B-15 has 54 modules--all but 2 in assembly language - not the way to go. We are ones that can get HOL accepted.

*You will lose if you are not in main stream. Need to stress strategy for getting in main stream in the software area. I would like to discuss this further. You must steer; steer Air Force and the contractor at the same time.

*If this lab can spec main system, can probably do this area more good than any other approach.

*NELSON: D&F of \$100,000 create problems. BEAM: Not too much limitation if D&F process flows. I and my boss are committed to make this flow by making the D&F route a lot easier. Can, also for instance, group all JOVIAL task under one D&F. Must have good D&F, though. PANARA: Class D&F problem. BEAM: Must use class D&F if separate procurement. But can do it.

*Missing one block in flow chart. Filter and Coordinate between "evaluate" and "decide." This is where we are having problem.

*I am interested in controlling architectures and to establish a common, central "set" of software to interface compilers to.

*Would like a background paper on J3 (NWMCCS) including life cycle costs.

*Keep up in-house capability

file product of dr beam visit

*Mentioned need for a different look at the design language to see if it can handle parallel functions, not sequential.

*Harry Davis is in parallel processing (e.g. STARAN) for target tracking.

file product of dr beam visit

Dick Nelson's Introduction to DiNitto's Presentation

*I am collecting documents on Software area. Would appreciate any useful inputs (e.g. CCIP-85, Monterey Conference).

*NELSON: Productivity--Ratio of 4 to 1 difference for language against 25:1 for individual productivity. BEAM: I have seen figure 10:1 APL: Fortran. NELSON: Can understand that.

*Add to Buzzward: Life Cycle Cost. Even if we have to increase the acquisition cost to reduce the life cycle cost.

*Introduce one "silver bullet" at a time. There are no panaceas in the software area. Too dependent on people. Must improve people aspects.

*Mgt has need to get answers on short notice and better answers than now available in regard to software costs. STARBUCK: No place in AF today can this be achieved. BEAM: No place anywhere. But needed very bad. If you can get such a facility and answer these critical questions occasionally, then people will give you a number of million of dollars to play in your sandbox.

*TOMAINI: See lots of reliability in hardware but not software. BEAM: Not a similar analogy due to faultless programming versus errors in software. TOMAINI: The problem is in undetected errors. BEAM: Agree.

*Need presentation and vugraphs with more zip.

file product of dr beam visit

Sam DiNitto's Presentation

*To Do: Buy software for some project and give them to show them results, i.e., Technology Demonstration.

*Two jobs: (1) Convince mgt of correctness of approach, (2) Convince people to use it.

*Another problem with compilers: Lack of diagnostics. I think this held back PL/1.

*Another problem: Proliferation of computer architecture. I'm as worried about this hardware architecture proliferation as I am of the software.

*DINITTO: JOVIAL had no I/O. Included FORTRAN like I/O in J3. BEAM: Why FORTRAN mentality. FORTRAN has poor I/O. NELSON: WWMCCS was factor. DINITTO: They were familiar with this type. Doesn't affect run time if not included.

*Compiler alone for a target computer will not convince people to use language. There are other aspects, such as debugging aids. I don't know what all would be included here, but we must do full job. What can be done? MUST try to design aids with as much commonality as possible for various target machine. Old story: Complex system include operating system and other parts. DINITTO: Will cover some of these this afternoon. BEAM: Probably should have a list of what people think assist their efforts. Then study list and add others to find items to concentrate your efforts.

*Interactive compiling is no good. Programmers are poor typists. Better to have coding forms and then input. But still interaction is good. People who use it, like it. Would like to see how you use interaction.

*The FORTRAN default to use a single index to get at a doubly scripted array is bad. DINITTO: Not in J73. User wanted but we threw out. BEAM: User sometimes still want things to get them in trouble. One success of COBOL is its necessity to spell out details.

*NELSON: Committee approach to language design is bad. BEAM: But committee refinement is good. But really no good method to assure the design of a good language. NELSON: Hoare has also said no really good method.

*Having a place to go to order compiler is necessary. Must do

file product of dr beam visit

job to demonstrate this capability. e.g. small SPO, critical job, no resources. Do for him.

*I am worried about hardware architectural proliferation which seems to be taking off like a missile.

*Software problem suffers from fact it is so immense that no one can get their arms around it.

*Regulation of language is contrary to Military men in Command and Control who want flexibility to make decisions. Especially bad problem in Navy.

*Tremendous opportunities in software area. Problem is to get to it. Must consider critical decisions at critical times.

*We seem to be lacking a plan as to where we can go with these concepts and plans. Need a five year or seven year plan.
NELSON: Thought you would never ask. Here is a five year draft plan.

*Start with premise: Minimizing number of languages is a good thing. Do we all agree. ALL: Yes. PATTERSON: Not sure one is proper figure. BEAM: For IBM 5 is proper number. FORTRAN - Traditional, APL - they want, COBOL - necessary, RPL - ? Assembly - because they let user fiddle with system. When I left, about 70 compilers though. No one wanted to introduce new language due to cost.

*It doesn't appear that JOVIAL has any feature which makes it better address real time situations. NELSON: We can show some comparisons.

*Work in such areas as Compiler Implementation Tool not totally lost even if go to something like CSM-4. Concepts valid even if not detail. Need to get across view of general, yet must tie to specific.

*There is the extensible language facility at Harvard. If Cheatham has interesting results, must study independently to see what merits for us.

*Let me say, I think what is complete programming environment. Best example Burrough B5500. Integrated architecture and language. Twelve people etc. System lasted 10 yrs. But are we talking 400 people working on bits and pieces.

*Let small group of very bright people do tools, i.e. innovations.

file product of dr beam visit

*Reiteration of Philosophy: Visibility, recognition, selling, building on what you have.

*In talking inadequacy in languages, might mention FORTRAN was developed in 1958. I really think it developed too early in computer history for full development.

*Commercial data processing: compiler modification NO=NO. You will eventually have this problem too.

*Performance timing shown to be bad for long-life system. I don't like this as reason for developing new language. As consultant, used to say don't tune past a certain point.

*Macros is an add-on that are only nice to have. Question is whether you control programmer by telling him what to do with Macros or restrain him in programming languages.

*A key question is: How many places are there with skilled JOVIAL programmers. NELSON: Quite a few. Some with dialects of J3.

*DINITTO: An advantage is control of language. BEAM: That's what IBM said about PL/1.

*Say "the Air Force needs to maintain HOL Control and the easiest way to control a language is to have its own" rather than say "the Air Force needs to maintain its own HOL."

*You guys watch DAIS. Else they may go off course. What is this I hear about a new developmental computer (directed to DAIS representative,) Trainer (DAIS project Rep) I hope not. We are also striving for standardization.

*I want to be an advocate of language control. Want to be your emissary on this as I visit people all over the country.

file product of dr beam visit

Nelson's Presentation

*A great deal of things you have to do in this area do not sound exciting. Problem is people going HO-HUM on you, PR guy must say for instance: no error data exists for JOVIAL code of n thousand lines. Extra costs XSM, Must do something.

*One of more interesting things: Where are errors found? This can tell you where to put effort. NELSON: We are doing this.

*Things you want to test more thoroughly are those items which will not be used often. If used frequently, testing will naturally occur in use.

*Need probability and deterministic view and need to marry these views.

*I don't know whether treating software errors like hardware errors have any validity, particularly if we understand problem.

*Case study information is useful and should be included in your presentation. Would be nice to get good example of software errors causing malfunctions or real problems. If example relates to another study going, would help

*Might be interesting to have Grace Hopper up.

*Syntax of a programming language is a natural language (English type) statement. Not convinced that systems are that way. We draw flow with boxes, etc.

*Programmers are as provincial as anybody. I fear that if we lock design languages to DO,...WHILE,... type descriptives, we are leading programmers to continue to think in nested iteration etc. This may not be what we want with different designs of computer systems. Analogy in hardware in that system is composed of CPU plus peripherals; we would object if description of system only concentrated on memory.

*Reliability means something different in software than hardware. Perhaps a better term is "Effective Error Control."

*In reference to structured programming, I like the word System Analyst instead of Chief Programmer.

file product of dr beam visit

John McNamara's Presentation

*Disagrees strongly with on-line preparation of program. But good scheme is to put on coding sheets, typists enters, and then interacts to compile.
Group--(after discussion) Generally agree. Method of input not important

What is important is on-line edit, debugging, etc.

*If you give programmer all tools, he can't use all day--too high an individual activity for 8 hours a day; can only use to a certain point.

Thus strive for optimal use of tools.

*Suppose contractor has all available tools, but his programmers produce lousy code. I know there is no absolute standard; but how do we handle this.

*We may have to think about this: Mutual criticisms of practice or someone in AF who does subjective view of code and assigns merit.

Perhaps can do by sampling small segments. Going to be needed to qualify software vendors. TOMAINI: We were thinking of plans for qualifying software vendors to SPOs.

*We do prototype in hardwares. Why not in software? Out of range?

NELSON: Must have 30,000 lines of code; time period to take over 1 year, involve 8 or more people. Too expensive. BEAM: Can you pay for one?

NELSON: No one wants to pay for second. STARBUCK: Big systems must be supported by SPOs. Not really that kind of money in R&D.

BEAM: Ought to keep eye out for suitable system to try to do something.

*DAIS are people like yourselves. Must put near operational requirements.

*Would you kindly think of C3 function in concept of network. I am not convinced that ARPANET is suitable for C3. REPHRASED: For tactical C3 need redundancy or distributed memory and facilities. ARPA network is extremely democratic network. Should it be used?

*Incumbent on us to be alert on C3 due to problem.

*Maybe during next year we should address question of whether it is costing us more to avoid problems we can solve by using

file product of dr beam visit

machine with modern computer techniques such as virtual store, etc. Particularly as regards third generation computer system,

*You have to work at several levels; (1) technology and scientific level, and (2) regulation level. I think software is more regulation than science,

*PDP-10's have not been demonstrated to be efficient file handlers,

*I have personal doubts if NSW type operation can serve productive programming shop although useful for occasional user or research user,

*If doing this later, might put all tools at one location rather than sending data. Communications costs are not going down as fast as hardware costs. MCNAMARA: Other point is software development cost for tools. BARNUM: Operating systems for different computers don't support all of these tools. BEAM: They have to if they want to sell computers. BARNUM: But when?

*Are you in DBMS? MCNAMARA: Lot of effort but now getting out.

BARNUM: Basically people thought the AF didn't need to support, industry could.

BEAM: Without commercial motivation, will always have specialized expensive system. But not pleased with IBM efforts.

Am not impressed with IMS approach (hierarchical, pointer type approach).

STARBUCK: Even Congress indicated get out. BEAM: May be best to sit out awhile.

*I hope someone is looking at future requirements and what future systems might include in way of capabilities. TOMAINI: Too many "now" organizations like JTSA without looking ahead. TOMAINI: CCIP-85 to staff, but not agreed on. If can get agreement on part of it, should publish to industry. BEAM: political situation makes it difficult to dictate to industry.

Jim Previte's Presentation

*Crying need for single instruction stream with parallel processing for a variety of military requirements. You have best focal point. That is, think more in terms of parallel processing rather than content addressability.

*I think micro-processor technology has made the time come for associative processing. I am most anxious that you remain on top and be consultant to all in Air Force on this; be focal point for Air Force.

*Must compare cost for associative processing with IMS structure on conventional memory in order to avoid error of judgment; i.e., look at cost of AP over on IMS equivalent.

*Management Information Systems were a disaster, which adversely affected DBMS.

*Cost AP processor for AWACS vs 4-PI. Cost trade off where AP advantageous is about cross over for performance on chart.

*General comments on importance of AP

*RADC should be having interaction with Army regarding use of AP in tactical situation.

*There is too large a segment of people who are saying new problems require new architecture. This is not so.

*Not clear as to what primitives are in configurable processor. The configurable processor is not everything to everyone.

*Big problem in system design is partitioning hardware and software. *PREVITE: Configurable processor is aimed for hardware/software tradeoff.

*Essentially configurable processor is a series parallel process which can collapse algorithm. I see as "Configurable Calculator". I don't seek data formatting, data transfers, etc. Maybe you should call it a "Multiple Function Series Parallel Processor".

*You got to be extremely careful that you don't come up with something which can be done better simply. Must not pick sample problems which can be solved easily; e.g., you're convinced that configurable processor is way of doing it when it really can be done with a single chip.

file product of dr beam visit

*There are stupid things to do (e.g. emulate PDP 11/45 and use as in-house processor) but also clever things to do. Your job is to find clever ones. Be careful of picking targets. If you have a scheme of configuring common hardware to do uncommon jobs better, more reliability, etc., you're much better off.

*If you talk designing "computers", people will blow top. There is a strong negative reaction. If you talk complex dedicated digital systems, people won't think you are inventing the wheel.

*Consider netting of serial processors in a battlefield situation. Localized picture and global picture. Analyzing the system requires the labs getting together with the System Analysts.

*Need chart that shows number of dedicated digital systems that need solving as military market, i.e., figure to support circle chart. Talk about addressing dedicated requirements (speed, etc). For example, in the year Y, there were X number of dedicated requirements that we couldn't meet.

*Only two critical aspects in hardware; (1) Is satisfactory hardware available (e.g., weight, size)? (2) Can it do job in time limit? Should emphasize second more in presentation; critical requirement is time.

*Should discuss approach with a couple trips to industry. Could start with Dave McCall at Boeing. Visit industry. Ask them where they think the Government should put dollars in facilities (too high risk, high cost for industry).

*Emphasize real time to separate from commercial data processing.

*If we can transfer to commercial world a technology from military application, that would be good.

*In reference to software first, you may inhibit yourself as much as designing the hardware first.

*Can we handle real time. Can you do it at all, e.g., with MOS circuits or bi-polar circuits. Be wise to emphasize the real time aspects. Stay abreast with industry's work on process control. This is more real time related.

*I may ask your help in strategizing dedicated, real time area.

*Keywords in vugraphs don't hit you. Keypoints: Timing is

file product of dr beam visit

critical (real time), reliability is critical, size, weight,
etc.

file product of dr beam visit

Dick Metzger's Presentation

*Complex variable is area which has shed some light in PR field although somewhat limited,

*For a Pattern Recognition Design capability, need to (1) establish potential market, (2) establish available market (who has a need which is not yet satisfied), (3) plan to reach available market, (4) phase out of R&D. Use 1, 2, or X number of people to operate it. But it has to pay its own way. Must be cost effective. We must convert it on turnover. Give yourself certain amount of time and at some point of time, cast off apron strings,

*Should take look at people at CRL who are getting a color display for weather. (Off shelf components) Should look if still in displays. LORETO: We're not in displays,

*For D&F = please explain as well as possible what it is for. Anticipate questions and answer them.

*Raster Graphics; Computer Graphics with joy stick and light pen is old hat. Not sure what remains to be proven or are you just doing for experience. METZGER: In this area what remains to be proven is how many terminals can be in clusters. BEAM: Don't really have to build to prove that. METZGER: Yes, you can prove speed on paper. But prototype will be used in application.

*Make sure that when you do the high performance jobs that you still do the simple jobs as well.

*I suggest new title (for real world chart): "Interactive Design of Pattern Recognition Logic."

file product of dr beam visit

(J31767) 3-FEB-75 07:20;;; Title: Author(s): Robert D. Krutz/RDK;
Distribution: /RJC([INFO-ONLY]) FJH([INFO-ONLY]);
Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: RDK; Origin: < KRUTZ,
BEAMVISIT,NLS;1, >, 22-JAN-75 06:11 RJC ;;;; #####

funds

[no content was specified!]

funds

(J31778) 3-FEB-75 08:58;;; Title: Author(s): William W.
Patterson/WWP2; Distribution: /RBP([ACTION]); Sub-Collections:
NIC; Clerk: WWP2; Origin: < PATTERSON, WWP2,NLS;2, >, 27-DEC-74
12:09 WWP2 ;;;;####;

course 2

I read your document describing the second TNL5 course. It looks like a nice piece, but I do have a few comments. Can we talk about them?

course 2

(J31779) 3-FEB-75 09:12;;; Title: Author(s): Raymond R.
Panko/RA3Y; Distribution: /JHB([ACTION]) RA3Y([INFO-ONLY]) ;
Sub-Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RA3Y;

Request for new Directories

Would you please set up the following directories associated with the ARPA Group:

| | |
|--|------|
| INFORMATION FOR DIRECTORY REQUEST | 2 |
| Directory Name: DSDC=SD | 2a |
| Account: 80 | 2b |
| Password: SDC | 2c |
| A 4001located Disk Pages: | 2d |
| Default Protection: 775200 | 2e |
| INFORMATION FOR INDIVIDUAL IDENT ENTRY (source: NIC requirement) | 3 |
| INFORMATION FOR DIRECTORY REQUEST | 3a |
| Directory Name: AFDAA=XO | 3a1 |
| Account: 80 | 3a2 |
| Password: DAAXOP | 3a3 |
| Allocated Disk Pages: 50 | 3a4 |
| Default Protection: 775200 | 3a5 |
| INFORMATION FOR INDIVIDUAL IDENT ENTRY (source: NIC requirement) | 3b |
| Name:Crabb, William T. ; Orton, Stephen L | 3b1 |
| Home Organization: GUNTER | 3b2 |
| Phone: (205) 279-4940 | 3b3 |
| US Mail Address: | 3b4 |
| AF Data Automation Agency/XO | |
| Gunter AFS, ALA 36114 | 3b4a |
| Network Mailbox: AFDAA-XO@Office-1 | 3b5 |
| NLS Mailbox: Office-1 | 3b6 |
| Delivery: Journal | 3b7 |
| Name: Beverly S. Boatner | 3c |

Request for new Directories

Home Organization: GUNTER 3d
 Phone: (205) 279-4912 3e
 US Mail Address: 3f
 Command Systems Division(SDC)
 Air Force Data Systems Design Center
 Gunter AFS, ALA 36114 3f1
 Network Mailbox: DSDC-SD@office-1 3g
 NLS Mailbox: Office-1 3h
 Delivery: Journal 3i

Would you please also add my ident (LAC) to the authorized list of users for all accounts associated with the Gunter subgroup. This is so I can help these people or in worst case do the work for them without logging in as them. 4

If you could set these accounts up within the next week or so, I would appreciate it greatly, as the sooner I can get these people practicing on their own accounts the better. 5

(They are currently learning the system using my account and the STALOG directory, which leads to response time problems when multiple users access the same directory.) 5a

INFORMATION FOR DIRECTORY REQUEST 6

Directory Name: DSDC-SY 6a
 Account: 80 6b
 Password: SYD 6c
 Allocated Disk Pages: 100 6d
 Default Protection: 775200 6e

INFORMATION FOR INDIVIDUAL IDENT ENTRY (source: NIC requirement) 7

Name: Jo Ann Hall, AAB, AJM, LAC 7a
 Home Organization: GUNTER 7b
 Phone: (205) 279-4221 7c

Request for new Directories

| | |
|---|-----|
| US Mail Address: | 7d |
| Operations Research Division (SYO) Air Force Data Systems Design Center Gunter AFS, ALA 36114 | 7d1 |
| Network Mailbox: DSDC-SY@Office-1 | 7e |
| NLS Mailbox: Office-1 | 7f |
| Delivery: Journal | 7g |
| Thanks, | 8 |
| Larry Crain | 8a |

LAC 3-FEB-75 12:59 31780

Request for new Directories

(J31780) 3-FEB-75 12:59;;; Title: Author(s): Lawrence A. Crain/LAC;
Distribution: /CKM([ACTION]) FEED([ACTION]) AAB([INFO-ONLY])
; Sub-Collections: NIC; Clerk: LAC; Origin: < CRAIN,
DIR-REQ,NLS;3, >, 3-FEB-75 12:21 LAC ;;;;###;

Status on ARPA/RADC/SAI Contract

As of today 3 Feb 75, I understand that the contract funds are obligated. We were attempting, as of 31 Jan, to add almost another \$200K to bring the total to \$300K - before the obligation. But we missed the boat and now a new 77 has been initiated and signed by me. This new PR will be for the purpose of adding money to an existing contract. Hopefully it gets out today.

1

The reason for all the rush is calls from SAI and from ARPA indicating that the money in the contract not yet signed has all been spent and that SAI is concerned about continuing work without positive signs that there is money available. So much for all the control RADC is going to have over this contract. But, I suppose that SAI can't be faulted for not living up to a contractual obligation, to wait for clearance from procurement before embarking on a new job, when they have no contract.

2

Status on ARPA/RADC/SAI Contract

(J31781) 3-FEB-75 14:22;;; Title: Author(s): Edmund J. Kennedy/EJK;
Distribution: /JLM([ACTION]) FJT([INFO-ONLY]) RDK([INFO-ONLY]
) ARB([INFO-ONLY]) IJB([INFO-ONLY]) ; Sub-Collections: RADC;
Clerk: EJK;

Status on ARPA/RADC/SAI Contract

As of today 3 Feb 75, I understand that the contract funds are obligated. We were attempting, as of 31 Jan, to add almost another \$200K to bring the total to \$300K - before the obligation. But we missed the boat and now a new 77 has been initiated and signed by me. This new PR will be for the purpose of adding money to an existing contract. Hopefully it gets out today.

1

The reason for all the rush is calls from SAI and from ARPA indicating that the money in the contract not yet signed has all been spent and that SAI is concerned about continuing work without positive signs that there is money available. So much for all the control RADC is going to have over this contract. But, I suppose that SAI can't be faulted for not living up to a contractual obligation, to wait for clearance from procurement before embarking on a new job, when they have no contract.

2

EJK 3-FEB-75 14:25 31782

Status on ARPA/RADC/SAI Contract

(J31782) 3-FEB-75 14:25;;; Title: Author(s): Edmund J. Kennedy/EJK;
Distribution: /ELF([INFO=ONLY] I sent this to jlm rdk fjt arb tjb) ;
Sub-Collections: RADC; Clerk: EJK;