3rd Test Run of JOVIAL Equations and Tables

(J31098) 26=SEP=74 15:22;;;; Title: Author(s); Duane L. Stone/DLS; Distribution; /RN2([INFO=ONLY]) RJC([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: RADC; Clerk: DLS;

3rd Test Run of JOVIAL Equations and Tables

This contains altered tab settlings to make the equations and tables line up better. They have nooot been edited for mispellings or errors of ommision.

3rd Test Run of JOVIAL Equations and Tables

pecciou o'v'y

DLS 26=SEP=74 15:22 31098

JOVIAL J73

-19-

26 SEP 74

***************EGUATIONS********

26 SEP 74

Appendix A

SYNTAX EQUATIONS

The following pages contain the complete syntactic description of JCVIAL (J73). The metalinguistic equations are in alphabetical order of the metalinguistic terms being defined. In general, each defining equation is individually boxed. The boxes are nubered sequentially in the upper left hand corner by a number in italics followed by a colon. Following the colon is a list of the box numbers in which the current term is used as a part of the definitions of other terms. The metalinguistic symbology is explained in section 1.4.

Equations 94 and 95 are in one box. These are both valid and necessary definitions for format; list. Equation 144 is the definition for mark. In the same box, opposite each mark is a metalinguistic term (or two). These marks constitute the definitions of these terms. Equation 172 defines pattern; digit. In the same box is information giving the bit patter corresponding to each pattern; digit, depending on the order of the pattern; constant. In the box with equation 190, the definition of relational; operator, is a list of the meanings of the relational; operators. Box 234 contains a definition for system; dependent; character, but the definition is a prose description; a metalinguisitic equation is not feasible. Equations 247 and 248 are in one box. They are both valid and necessary definitions for variable.

1: 233

abbreviation := letter

21 63

abnormal:directive ::= !ABNORMAL data:name

3: 130

absolute:function:call ::= ABS (numeric:formula)

4: 58, 170

definition
actual:define:parameter ::=
 " definition "

5: 101, 170, 180, 191

STOP

alternate:entrance:name

RETURN

procedure:name
TEST control:variable

EXIT statement:name
actual:input:parameter ::=
statement:name
procedure:name
formula
table:name
data:block:name
variable
pointer:formula

6: 170, 180, 191

actual:output:parameter ::= variable

```
7: 166,217

allocation:increment ::= number
```

```
8: 9, 49, 166, 182, 205, 217
allocation:specifier ::= 8 pointer:formula
```

```
alternate:entrance:function:call ::= ALT (
procedure:name )
```

11: 5, 9, 53, 101, 122, 138, 180, 187, 193
alternate:entrance:name ::= name

```
12: 159

*
arithmetic:operator ::=

/
**
```

assignment:operator ::= =

assignment:Statement ::=
formula
variable
indexed:variable:range
indexed:variable:range
format:function:call

formula
format:variable
indexed:variable:range

i5: 159
attribute:association ::= 00 [description:attibute]

161 63

begin:directive ::= |BEGIN reference ;

17: 18

bit:form ::= form

18: 18, 29, 97, 159, 196

pattern:constant
entry:variable
comparison
chain:comparison
bit:string:function:call
shift:function:call
bit:formula ::= bit:form
bit:formula logical:operator bit:formula
NOT bit:formula
bit:formula bit:formula
(bit:formula)
numeric:formula
character:formula

19: 9, 182, 205, 217, 218
bit:number ::= number

20: 18, 130

bit:string:function:call ::=

BIT (formula , numeric;formula numeric;formula)

211 247

bit: variable ::=

entry:variable
BIT (named:variable , numeric:formula ,
numeric:formula)

221 217

bits:per:entry ::= number

23: 130

byte:string:function:call ::=

BYTE (character:formula , numeric:formula , numeric:formula)

241 18

chain:comparison ::= comparison relation:operator formula

25: 26, 32, 46, 62, 100, 112, 120, 137, 213, 234, 240

sign character ::= system:dependent:character

26: 29, 39

character:constant ::= count ' character

271 29

character:form ::= form

281 29

character;format ::= count C

29: 18, 23, 93, 94, 97

character:constant
character:variable
character:form
character:formula ::= character:function:call
character:formula & character:formula
(character:formula)
bit:formula

301 29

character; function; call := function; call

31: 29, 96, 247

named:character:variable character:variable ::= BYTE (named:character:variable , numeric:formula

, numericiformula)

321 233

comment ::= " character "

33: 18, 24

comparison i:= formula relational; operator formula

341 63

compool:directive ::=
name
compool:name
(compool:name);

(compool:name)

351 34

compositname ::= name

36: 219

declaration
compound:statement ::= BEGIN
statement

END ;

37: 38, 97, 238, 241

conditional; formula ;;= formula

381 207

conditionalistatement ::=

IF conditional:formula ; controlled:statement

statement:name : ELSE controlled:statement

39: 9, 42, 182, 205, 233

numeric; constant constant ::= pattern: constant character: constant

40: 97

constant:formula ::= (formula)

41: 166, 167, 217, 218

constant: list ::=

[index]
[index] constant:list:element
constant:list:element

42: 41, 42

constant: list; element ::= "
count (constant: list: element)
constant: list: element

431 136, 148

441 5, 239

named:variable
control:variable ::=
letter:control:variable

45: 38, 141

controlled:statement ::= statement

461 63

copy:directive ::= COPY character

47: 26, 28, 42, 72, 78, 95, 98, 103, 120, 124, 126, 171, 173, 199

count ::= number

48: 182

data:allocator:specifier ::= 0

49: 49, 51, 75

data:block:declaration ::=

environmental:specifier BLOCK data:block:name allocation:specifier

simple:item:declaration table:declaration END; data:block:declaration independent:overlay:declaration

50: 5, 49, 52, 90, 109, 138, 209

data:blockiname ::= name

511 54

item:declaration
data:declaration ::= table:declaration
data:block:declaration
overlay:declaration

52: 2, 129, 174, 195, 244

531 130

procedure:name
data:size:function:Call ::= DSIZE (
 alternate:entrance:name

54: 36, 54, 112, 179

status: list: declaration
form: declaration
data: declaration
null: declaration
declaration := define: declaration
name declaration
processing: declaration
external: declaration
BEGIN declaration END;

551 54

DEFINE define:name (formal:define:parameter)
" definition ";

56: 55, 58

define:name ::= name

57: 4, 55

definition i:= sign

definition:invocation ::= define:name (
 actual:define:parameter)

59: 185

dependent:program:declaration ::= procedure:declaration

60: 15, 69

item:name
description:attribute ::=
item:description

(equ61)

61: 166, 217

dimension: list ::= [lower:bound : upper:bound

621 207

directistatement ::= DIRECT character JOVIAL

63:

compool; directive skip:directive begin: directive endidirective trace:directive copy:directive abnormal: directive setsidirective directive ::= uses:directive pointeridirective orderidirective recursive directive time:directive space: directive linkage;directive interference; directive frequency: directive

641 233

!COMPOOL ISKIP IBEGIN LEND ITRACE 1COPY LABNORMAL ISETS directive:key ::= !USES 1POINTER LORDER IRECURSIVE ITIME ISPACE ILINKAGE IINTERFERENCE IFREQUENCY.

65: 63

end:directive ::= !END;

66: 217

entries:per:word ::= number

67: 18, 21, 117, 252

entry:variable is= table:name [index] @ pointer:formula

68: 9, 49, 166, 182, 205, 217

program:name
IN procedure:name
environmental:specifier ::=

RESERVE

RESERVE

69: 159

evaluation:control ::= 0 [description:attribute]

70: 207

exchange; statement ::= variable == variable ;

71: 207

exitistatement is EXIT statementiname ;

72: 82

count D count Z

exrad is= count Z count D

count Z *

731 130

exrad:function:call ::= XRAD (numeric:formula)

74: 132

exrad:specifier ::= number

751 54

external:deciaration ::=

simple:item:declaration table:declaration data:block:declaration

name: declaration

DEF procedure:declaration alternate:entrance:declaration

REF simple:item:declaration

table:declaration

BEGIN data; block; declaration END

name:declaration procedure:declaration

alternate:entrance:declaration

761 87

field:width ::= number

771 157

fixed:constant ::=

number ,

E scale A

number . number

781 158

integer:part count D fraction:part

fixed:format ::= integer:part
count * R

count * fraction:part

79: 160
fixed:function:call ::= function:call

80: 162
fixed:variable := named:variable

81: 157

floating:constant !!=

number E scale

number

M

E scale

82: 158

floating:format ::= significand E exrad F

83: 162
floating:function:call ::= function:call

floating:variable ::= named:variable

85: 141

for:clause ::= FOR loop:control ;

form ::= form:name (formula)

87: 54

88: 86, 87

forminame ::= name

89: 55, 170 formal:define:parameter ::= letter

90: 9, 170, 182

statement:name
simple:item:name
formal:input:parameter ::= procedure:name
table:name
data:block:name

91: 9, 170, 182

formal;output:parameter ::= simple:item:name

921 95

null:format
insert:format
format ::= skip:format
character:format
pattern:format
numeric:format

93: 14, 130

formatifunction:call ::=

FORMAT (character:formula , format:list , procedure:name)

94: 93, 95, 96

formatilist ::= character:formula

95: 93, 95, 96

format:list ::= count (format:list)

96: 14, 102, 247

format:variable ::=

FORMAT (character:variable , format:list
, procedure:name)

97: 5, 14, 20, 24, 33, 37, 40, 86, 119, 159, 192, 203, 209, 242, 245

pointer:formula
numeric:formula
bit:formula
formula ::= conditional:formula
character:formula
value:formula
numeric:formula
constant:formula

98: 78

count D count Z
fraction:part ::=
 count D

99: 130

fraction:part:function:call ::= FRAC (numeric:formula

100: 65

frequency:directive ::= !FREQUENCY character

1011 30, 79, 83, 125

intrinsic:function:call

procedure:name

function:call ::= @ pointer:formula
 alternate:entrance:name

(actual input parameter

102: 248

format: variable

BYTE (named:character:variable , numeric:formula

functional:variable ::= , numeric:formula

BIT (named:variable , numeric:formula

, numeric:formula)

1031 158

generalized:numeric:formula ::= count N R

104: 207

go:to:statement ::= GOTO statement:name
index ;

105: 115

1061 233

high:point ::= numeric:formula

**
/
**
\
&
===

> <= >= ideogram ::= <>

<

1071 43

numeric:formula increment:phrase ::= BY numeric:value:formula

108: 49, 168

independent; over 1 ay: declaration ::=

[number]

OVERLAY independent: overlay: expression ;

[pattern: constant]

1091 111

110: 108, 109

independent:overlay:expression ::=
independent:overlay:string :

independent: overlay: string

111: 110

independent:overlay:string ::= independent:overlay:element

1121 185

independent:program:declaration ::=
 statement
PROGRAM program:name (character);
 declaration

113: 41, 67, 104, 237 index ::= index:component

114: 113, 116
index:component ::= numeric:formula

index:component:range ::= low:point : high:point

1161 118, 155

index:component:range index:range ::= index:component

1171 150

table:variable indexed:variable ::= entry:variable

118: 14

indexed; variable; range ;;=

item:name [index] @ pointer:formula

tableiname

item:name
all (@ point

tableiname

a pointer:formula)

119: 43

initial:phrase ::= formula

120: 92

count S

insert:format ::= count /

letter

count " character

121: 182

instruction; allocation; specifier ::= pointer; formula

1221 130

procedure:name
instruction:size:function:call ::= ISIZE ()
alternate:entrance:name

123: 157, 175

integer:constant ::= number

124: 158

count Z count D

integer:format ::= R

count D count Z

1251 160

integer: function; call ::= function; call

126: 78

count Z count D integer:part i:=

127: 130

integer:part:function:call ::= INT (numeric:formula)

1281 162

named:variable
integer:variable ::=
letter:control:variable

1291 63

interference:directive ::= |INTERFERENCE data:name : data:name :

130: 101

format: function: call byte:string:function:call bit:string:function:call alternate:entrance:function:call number: of:entries: function: call location: function: call shift: function: call absolute:function:call words!per!entry:function:call intrinsic:function:call ::= exrad:function:call significand: function:call signed; function; call signum: function: call size:function:call type:function:call fraction:part:function:call integer:part:function:call instruction: size: function: call data: size: function: call

132: 9, 60, 166, 167, 182, 205, 217, 218

item:description ::=

C sizetspecifier

F , R significand:specifier , exrad:specifier

status:list
status:list:name
, R size:specifier
, precision:specifier

133: 52, 60, 118, 167, 187, 205, 218, 229, 237, iteminame ::= name

```
134: 1, 89, 120, 135, 136, 145, 197, 221
```

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
I
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
7

135: 44, 128, 233, 248

1etter:control:variable ::= 1etter

136: 140

letter;loop:control ::= letter (control:clause

137: 63

linkage:directive ::= !LINKAGE character ;

138: 130

statement:name
 named:variable
location:function:call ::= LOC (table:name)
 data:block:name
 procedure:name
 alternate:entrance:name

139: 130

AND OR logical:operator ::= EGV XOR

140: 85

named:loop:control
loop:control ::=
letter:loop:control

141: 207
loopistatement ::= for:clause contolled:statement

142: 115

low:point ::= numeric:formula

1431 61

number lower:bound ::= simple:item:name

1441 197

plustsign minustsign asterisk slash backislash ampersand > greater than : sign lessithanisign equalsisign atisign mark decimalipoint 11= colon comma semicolon space left:parenthesis, parenthesis right:parenthesis, parenthesis left:bracket, bracket right: bracket, bracket prime quotation; mark dollarisign exclamation; point

145: 11, 34, 35, 50, 56, 88, 133, 183, 186, 206, 220, 221, 225, 233, 236, 241

letter numeral

146: 54, 75

statement:name
name:declaration ::= NAME
procedure:name

1471 31, 102

named; character; variable ::= named; variable

148: 140

named:loop:control ::= named:variable (control:clause)

149: 219

named:statement ::= statement:name : statement

150: 21, 44, 80, 84, 102, 128, 138, 147, 148

simple; variable named: variable ::= indexed: variable

151: 54, 164, 215

NULL ;
null:declaration :==
BEGIN END

1521 92

null:format ;:=

153: 219

NULL; null:declaration ::= BEGIN END;

154: 7, 19, 22, 47, 66, 74, 76, 77, 81, 108, 123, 143, 169, 177, 189, 194, 201, 210, 214, 223, 232, 233, 243, 249, 250

number ::= numeral

155: 130

number:of:entries:function:call ::= NENT (table:name [index:range])

156: 120, 145, 154, 197

0 1 2 3 numeral ::= 4 5 6 7

157: 39, 159

integer:constant
 fixed:constant
numeric:constant ::= floating:constant
 status:constant
 qualified:status:constant

158: 92

generalized:numeric:format
integer:format
numeric:format ::=
fixed:format
floating:format

159: 3, 18, 20, 21, 23, 31, 73, 97, 99, 102, 105, 107, 114, 127, 142, 159, 161, 175, 196, 198, 200, 202, 232

numeric:constant numeric:variable numeric:function:call

numeric:formula

numeric:formula ::= numeric:formula arithmetic:operator

evaluation;control numeric:formula evaluation;control

formula

attribute:association (numeric:formula) bit:formula

160: 159

integer:function:call
numeric:function:call ::= fixed:function:call
floating:function:call

161: 97, 107

numeric:value:formula ::= [numeric:formula]

162: 159, 176, 247
integer:variable
numeric:variable ::= fixed:variable
floating:variable

1631 63

order:directive ::= !ORDER ;

164: 165

null:declaration ordinary:table:item:declaration

ordinary:table:body ::= ordinary:table:item:declaration BEGIN END subordinate; overlay; declaration

165: 235

ordinary; table; declaration ::= ordinary; table; heading ordinary:table:body

166: 165

ordinary:table:heading ::= environmental:specifier TABLE table:name allocation: specifier

> allocation:increment dimension:list structure: specifier packing:specifier

itemidescription = constant:list ;

167: 131, 164

ordinary:table:item:declaration ::=

ITEM item:name item:description
packing:specifier = constant:list ;

168: 51

independent:overlay:declaration overlay:declaration ::= subordinate:overlay:declaration

169: 9, 166, 167, 182, 205, 217, 218

N

packing:specifier ::= M number

D

170:

actual:define:parameter
formal:define:parameter
parameter ::= actual:input:parameter
formal:input:parameter
actual:output:parameter
formal:output:parameter

171: 18, 39, 108

pattern:constant ::= 3 B count * pattern:digit

4
5

172: 171

pattern patternidigit order

0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	1	0		
0	0	0	1	1	2 3	2
0	0	1	0	0	4	
0	0		0	1	5	
0	0	1	1	0	6	
0	0	1	1	1	7	3
0	1	0	0	0	8	
0	0 0 1 1	1 0 0	0	1	9	
0	1	0	1	0	A	
0	1	0	1	1	В	
0	1	1	0	0	C	
000000000000111111111111111111111111111	1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 1 1 1			D	
0	1	1	0	1 0	patternidigit ::=	E
0	1	1	1	1	F	4
1	0	0	0	0	G	
1	0	0	0	1	Н	
1	0	0	1	0	I	
1	0	0	1		j	
1	0	1	0	1 0	K	
1	00	1	0	1	L	
1	0	1	0 1 1 0		M	
1	Ô	1	1	1	N	
1	0 0 1	0	Ô	0 1 0	0	
1	1	0	0	1	P	
i	1	0	1	0	Q	
1	1	0	1	1	R	
1	î	1	Ô	Ô	5	
1 1 1	1	î	0	1	T	
1	1	1	1	0	Ů	
1	1	1	1	1	V	5
4	-	A	4	+		

26 SEP 74

1731 92

pattern:format ::= 3 B count P

1741 63

pointer:directive ::= !POINTER pointer:formula : data:name ;

175: 5, 8, 67, 97, 101, 118, 121, 174, 180, 208, 237

176: 247

pointer: variable ::= numeric: variable

177: 132

precision:specifier ::= number

1781 221, 233

ABS ALL ALT NENT NOT AND NULL BEGIN FIT NWDSEN BLOCK OR BY OVERLAY BYTE PROC DEF PROGRAM DEFINE REF DIRECT REMQUO DSIZE RESERVE ELSE RETURN END SHIFT primitive ::= ENTER SIG EQV SIGNED EXIT SIGNUM FOR SIZE FORM STATUS FORMAT STOP FRAC SWITCH GOTO TABLE IF TEST IN THEN INT TYPE ISIZE UNTIL ITEM WHILE JOVIAL XOR LOC XRAD NAME ZAP

1791 181

declaration procedure:body ::= statement

```
180: 207
   procedure: call: statement ::=
      remquo:procedure:call:statement
         procedureiname
                @ pointer:formula
         alternate:entrance:name
            ( actual:input:parameter
             actual:input:parameter
              actual:output:parameter
181: 59, 75, 184
  procedure: declaration ::= procedure: heading
  procedure:body
182: 181
  procedure: heading ::=
                         environmental:specifier
  PROC procedure; name
                         data; allocation; specifier
       instruction; allocation; specifier
      ( formal:input:parameter
      formalioutputiparameter
         environmental:specifier
                                 item:description
        allocation: specifier
      packing:specifier
                              [ bitinumber ]
```

constant

183: 5, 10, 53, 68, 90, 96, 101, 122, 138, 146, 180, 182, 167, 193

procedure:name ::= name

1841 54

program:declaration
processing:declaration ::= procedure:declaration
alternate:entrance:declaration

185: 184

independent:program:declaration program:declaration ::= dependent:program:declaration

1861 68, 112

programiname ::= name

187: 157

status:list:name
item:name
qualified:status:constant := V(table:name
status)

procedure:name alternate:entrance:name

188: 63

recursive; directive ::= !RECURSIVE ;

189: 16, 211

reference ::= number

190: 24, 33, 246

< less than equal

greater than

relational toperator ::=

>= greater than or equal, not less than

<> less than or greater than, not equal

<= less than or equal, not greater than

191: 180

remquo:procedure;call:statement ::=

1921 43

formula
replacement:phrase ::= THEN
value:formula

193: 207

procedure:name
return:statement ::= RETURN
alternate:entrance:name

194: 77, 81 scale ::= number

195: 63
sets:directive ::= LSETS data:name

196: 18, 130
shift:function:call ::= SHIFT (bit:formula , numeric:formula)

197: 25, 57, 234

sign ::= numeral mark

JOVIAL J73

198; 18, 130

signed:function:call ::= SIGNED (numeric:formula)

1991 82

count D . count D .

count * count

200: 130
significand:function:call := SIG (numeric:formula)

201: 132
significand:specifier ::= number

202: 130

signum:function:call ::= SIGNUM (numeric:formula)

203: 207

simple:assignment:statement ::= variable = formula ;

2041 175

simple:integer:variable ::= simple:variable

2051 49, 75, 131

simple:item:declaration ::=

[bitinumber] = constant

206: 90, 91, 109, 143, 208, 243 simple:item:name ::= name

2071 219

simple:assignment:statement
assignment:statement
exchange:statement
go:to:statement
exit:statement
test:statement
simple:statement
zap:statement
stop:statement
conditional:statement
switch:statement
procedure:call:statement
direct:statement

208: 150, 204

simple:variable ::= simple:item:name @ pointer:formula

209: 130

formula size:function:call ::= SIZE () data:block:name

210: 132

size:specifier ::= number

END

2111 63

skip:directive ::= !SKIP reference ;

212: 92

skip:format ::= X

213: 63

space:directive ::= ISPACE character

214: 109

spacer tra number

215: 216

null:declaration
specified:table:body ::=
specified:table:item:declaration
BEGIN specified:table:item:declaration

2161 235

specified:table:declaration t:= specified:table:heading
specified:table:body

217: 216

specified:table:heading ::=

environmental;specifier
TABLE table;name
allocation;specifier

: allocation:increment dimension:list

structure: specifier

words:per:entry bits:per:entry bit:number entries:per:word

packingspecifier itemsdescription packingspecifier

[bit;number , word;number] =
constant:list ;

218: 131, 215

specified:table:item:declaration ::=

ITEM item: name item: description

packing:specifier [bit:number

, word:number] = constant

219: 36, 45, 112, 149, 179, 232

null:statement
statement ::= simple:statement
compound:statement
named:statement

220: 5, 38, 71, 90, 104, 138, 146, 149, 232 statement:name ::= name

221: 187, 222, 233

primitive
status ::= name
letter

222: 157, 223
status:constant ::= V(status)

224: 54

status:list:declaration ::= STATUS status:list:name
status:list;

225: 132, 187, 224
status:list:name ::= name

226: 207

stop:statement ::= STOP ;

227: 166, 217

p structure; specifier ::= T

228: 164, 168

subordinate; overlay; declaration ;; = OVERLAY subordinate; overlay; expression ;

2291 231

item:name
subordinate;overlay:element ::=
 (subordinate;overlay:expression)

230: 228, 229

subordinate:overlay:expression ::= subordinate:overlay:string :

subordinate: overlay: string

2311 230

subordinate:overlay:string ::= subordinate:overlay:element

2321 207

switch:statement ::=

SWITCH numeric:formula ; statement:name :

BEGIN [number] statement
, END ;

233:

primitive
ideogram
name
letter:control:variable
symbol ::= abbreviation
number
constant
comment
directive:key
status

2341 25

systemidependenticharacter

Most computer systems can read and write more characters than are encompassed in the set of JOVIAL sign. The entire set that can be handled is know as the set of characters. The characters that are not signs are known as system:dependent:characters.

235: 49, 51, 75

ordinary:table:declaration
table:declaration ::=
specified:table:declaration

236: 5, 52, 67, 90, 109, 118, 138, 155, 166, 187, 217, 251, 252

table:name ::= name

237: 117
table:variable ::= item;name [index] @
pointer:formula

2381 43

WHILE

conditional:formula terminator:phrase ::= UNTIL

valuesterminator

239: 207

test:statement ::= TEST control;variable ;

2401 63

time:directive ::= !TIME character

241: 63

trace:directive := !TRACE (conditional:formula)
name ;

242: 130

type:function:call ::= TYPE (formula)

243: 61

number
upper:bound ::=
simple:item:name

244: 63

Uses:directive := !USES data:name ;

245: 97, 192, 246

value:formula ::= [formula]

246: 238 value:terminator ::=

WHILE value:formula relational:operator variable UNTIL variable relational:operator value:formula

247: 5, 6, 14, 70, 203, 246

pointer; variable
numeric; variable
variable ; = bit; variable
character; variable
format; variable

248: 5, 6, 14, 70, 203, 246

named:variable
variable ::= letter:control:variable
functional:variable

2491 217, 218

word:number ::= number

2501 217

words:per:entry ::= number

26 SEP 74

251: 130

words:per:entry:function:call ::= NWDSEN (table:name)

2521 207

table:name
Zap:statement ::= ZAP
entry:variable

DLS 26=SEP=74 15:22 31098

=78=

JOVIAL J73

26 SEP 74

K

1

m

n

0

11

12

13

14

15

6 14	Column 7 15	0	1 9	102	3 11	4 12	5 13
6 E Row	Code 7 F	0 8	1 9	2 A	3 B	4 c	5 D
ò			space	0	9	p	
p 1			1	1	A	Q	a
q 2			"	2	В	R	b
r 3				3	C	S	c
4			s	4	D	T	đ
t 5			- 1	5	Е	U	е
6			6	6	F	٧	f
7				7	G	W	g
8 8				8	Н	X	h
9)	9	I	Y	i
y 10 2				1	J	Z	1
-							

Notes: row 0, column 3: zero
row 1, column 3: one
row 7, column 2: prime, often rendered as a vertical mark
in JOVIAL
row 12, column 6: a lowercase letter

<

M

N

0

Figure 2=1. Characters

row 15, column 4: an uppercase letter

fixe	diconstant	value	size	p	recision
19A0 19 19A3 19 19A=2 16 2,3A0 2 2,3A=1 2 2,3A2 2		5 8 3 2 1 4		0 3 =2 0 =1 2	
2,3a5 2	2.28125		7 8		5

	Left symbol		Right s	утьо1	starts w	iths	
	ends in	numeral	letter	8			
	numeral		SR		SR	SR	SR
S	letter	SR SR SR	SR	SR SR SR	SR SR SR	SR SR SR	SR
SP							

Value of the conditional:formula

IF Skip the controlled:statement following Execute the following controlled:statement this conditional:formula, then skip the

controlled:statement

Execute the controlled:statement immediately

following the matching

following the matching ELSE ELSE if there is

one.
if there is one.

UNTIL Execute the controlledistatement. Go on to the next controliclause

or exit the loop if there is

no further control: clause.

WHILE Go on to the next contoliclause Execute the controlled:statement.

or exit the loop if there is no further controliclause.

bitiformula	110	01011100	01010111
10000101	01111100		
padded	00000110	01011100	01010111
10000101	01111100		
selected	01011	100 010:	10111
10000101			

х	У	x\y	×	У	x/y
7	0	undefined	-3.7	2	0,3
1	2	1	4.6	1.5	0.1
2	2	0	=0.1	1.5	1.4
3	2	1	1	-2	-1
-3	2	1	3.7	=2	=0.3
3.7	2	1.7	=3.7	=2	=1.7

First or Only Character

Meaning

Number of integer bits

A Number of fraction bits (bits after the point).

Z Maximum size I+A the system normally allows for fixed and integer arithemetic.

Y An even larger maximum size I+A allowed evaluation:Control (often about 2*z).

V Value.

Second Character

Meaning: "Of the ... "

- First operand
- 2 Second operand
- M Modulus (for x\y).
- Numerator (for X/Y or X/Y).
- Denominator (for x/y).
- Integer operand (if the other is fixed),
- A Fixed operand (if the other is integer).
- R Result (preliminary result if S exists),
- S Result required by evaluation:control.
- B Base in exponentation.

E Exponent.

Value of original	Value of	Value of
bit:formula bit:formula	numeric:formula	resulting from SHIFT
11111	3	11000
11111	=1.7	01111
00000100000	5	10000000000
00000100000	-3	00000000100
101	3	000
101	-3	000
101	=2	001
101		000

bit:formula	8	bitiformula
result		

10	1	101	
111001		00011110101	11100100011110101
00010000		0000010	000100000000010
0	0	00	

p	q	NOT p	p OR q	p EQV q	p AND q	P XOR q
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	0

```
= (assignment) == (exchange)
     EQV
          XOR
2
     OR
3
     AND
          (logical)
4
     NOT
     = < > <= >= <> (relational)
5
6
7
8
     ' / \ ( with or without evaluation:control )
9
     indexing @ ( pointing, evaluation:control )
10
     88 (attribute:association)
```

In the algorithm it is necessary to consider several operands and operations simultaneously. The following diagram shows the relationships, All are pegged in relation to the present operand, Any operation may replace *.

A # B # C # D # E # F # G # H # I # J # K

the next operation

the prior operand

the next operand

the prior operation

the current operation

the present operand

The leftmost operand of the formula is initally the present operand.

Start Evaluate present operand.

The next operand becomes Evaluate next operand. Is there a current the present operand. operation?

ves

Is there a next operation the present with higher precedence becomes the value than the current operation? formula.

no

The value of

operand of the

no

Combine the present operand The prior operand becomes and the next operand in accordance with the current Exit operation. The result becomes the present operand (which has been evaluated). Is there a prior operation no

the present operand.

yes

Figure 4-2. Combination Algorithm

ABC		XYZ t	The state of the s		
Operation		Char	Bit	Int	Fix
Float		a water way			
type		Convert	ed to		
ABC assignment XYZ	Char	Char	Bit	Bit	Bit
(a)so parameter	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
matching and Int	Bit	Bit	Int	Int	Int
exchange, both	Fix	Bit	Bit	Fix	Fix
Fix					
ways) Float Bit	Bit	Float	Float	Float	
ABC arithmetic XYZ Float Float	Float	Note 1	Note 2	Float	
XYZ arithmetic ABC	Other	Note 3	Note 4	Scale	
Scale Float	001101	11000			
ABC relational XYZ 6 Note 6	Char	Note 5	Int	Note 6	Note
Bit Note 6	Int	Note 6	Note 6	Note 6	
or Int Note 6		Int	Scale	Float	
XYZ relational ABC	Float	Note 6	Int	Float	
Float Float					
ABC & XYZ Cher	Char	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
XYZ & ABC Other	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
ABC logical XYZ	Any	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
XYZ logical ABC					
Indexing, pointing Int		Note 7	Int	Int	Int

Figure 4-3, Type Conversion

Entrance used ALT (procedure; name) integer status: constant normal 0 v (procedure: name)

first alte:	rnate		1	V	(first
alternate:	entranceiname)				
second alt	ernate		2	٧	(second
alternate:	entranceiname)				
etc. e	tc.			etc.		

parameter type:fu qualified:status:cons	nctions	call		
type	value	status	siconstant	
bit:formula V(TYPE:BIT)	0		V(BIT)	
integer:formula V(TYPE:INT) (signed or unsigned)		1		V(INT)
fixed:formula V(TYPE:FIX) (signed or unsigned)	2		V(FIX)	
floating:formula V(TYPE:FLOAT)		3		V(FLOAT)
character:formula V(TYPE:BYTE)		4		V(BYTE)

START

O== skip A1, do A2 A? E=3

1== do A1

CNULL B?

D? skip A2 do B2 or none),

1== do D1 1== do B1

Skip D2 (if any

and A2

E=2

O== skip C1, do C2 (NULL of none), skip B2 and A2

C?

1== do C1

Skip C2 (if any), B2 and A2

E=1 EXIT

No terminatoriphrase

Terminatoriphrase

JOVIAL J73

No initialiphrase, iA, Leave controlivariable alone, 1B. Same as 1A except replacement; phrase, Execute controlled::statement execute controlled:statement or increment; phrase just once, zero or one time depending

onterminator:phrase.

Initial:phrase 2A. Initialize control: variable. 2B. Same as 2A except Execute controlledistatement execute only controlledistatement zero or one time depending fust once.

on terminator:phrase.

Replacement; phrase 3A. Leave control: variable alone 3B. Same as 3A except test in only for the first execution, Before accordance with terminator: phrase

each subsequent execution of the before every execution of

the controlled: statement, replace the

controlled:statement ==

value of thecontrol: variable. even the first one, Repeat executions "forever".

Increment:phrase 4A, Same as 3A except add 4B. Same as 4A except only to value of control: variable test as in 3B. instead of replacing value.

Initial:phrase and 5A. Initialize control:variable. 5B, Same as 5A except Execute controlled; statement once. replacement:phrase check for termination

Replace value of control; variable before each execution.

before each subsequent execution, Repeat executions "forever".

Initial:phrase and 6A. Initialize control:variable. 6B, Same as 6A, except increment; phrase Execute controlled; statement once, check for termination Add to value of control:variable before each

execution. before each subsequent execution.

Repeat executions "forever".

start ALPHA Set BETA to 3

2 or 3 Set GAMMA to the

? value of BETA

> If GAMMA equals 2 set BETA to 2

Set BETA to the value of GAMMA

Set ALPHA to 7 next

<1, 5 or >6 Undefined

Format:Lists

,,10C 10C,, Input Buffer Field *28.3bb* 28.3b *bABCD *bABb *28.3bs27bABC* 2 *bABCDb* *Ds27bbbb* "ABbbbbbbbbb" "ABbbbb" 3 ALPHABET ABTHERMOPILED 1 "ALPHAD" "ALPHABBETS27" "BETS27Ab" "Abbbbb" "THERMO" *THERMOPILE* 3

483PS3PS3PS3P 585PS5P

Bah! 000 042 616 821 00011 62011 Humbug 487 56D 627 567 28ELM M4TB7

Input Buffer Field 5N, 6N, SNSN

1.2E3-b485bb3b7 1 1.2E3

2 =485

+46b7,00015A1B. 1 illegal field

(contains blank)

1,07,40

2 .00015

+SD4D=3ZDDR =SDSZSZSZ +SD","ZZ","DDR

1573.64 + 1573 1574 1 5 7 3 + 15,74

= 27 = 0027 = 27 = 2 7 = 27

0.0 0000 00 0 00

=10740 undefined =10740 undefined =

Input Buffer Field "SPEEDb",DDD,"MPH ,DDDSSSS

SPEEDb100bMPH 1 100 *SPEED*

2 100

-1074

26 SEP 74

+ZZDD.DZZ =4Z*3DR =4Z* =4Z2*R

1573,6405 +1573.64 1573641 1573 157 =27 =27.0 =27000 =27 =3 0.0 00.0 000 =10740 undefined undefined undefined

1573,6400 1573,6410 1573,0000 1570,0000 =27 =27 =27 =30 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 undefined undefined undefined

+ 39,7528 +.397528E+2 397528 E 1 398. E =001 + 39752 E + 4 =.008711246 =.871125E=2 = 8711246 E =3 = 871. E =005 = 87112

PROGRAM AA XX (table:name)

PROC BB XX (item:name)

PROC CC no occurrence of XX

PROC DD XX used but not declared

PROC EE

Figure 7-1. Scope of Names

Serial Structure Parallel Structure ist haif AB[0] ist haif AB[0] ist half AB[1] 2d half AB[0] COTEX 1st half AB[2] ist half AB[i] ist half AB[3] 2d half AB(1) 2d half AB[0] XX[1] 2d half AB[1] 1st half AB[2] 2d half AB[2] 2d half AB(2) 2d half AB(3) XYIOI XY[2] XY[1] 1st half AB(3) 2d half AB(3) XY[2] XYE31 XY[3]

Example: Table MN has 2 items, AB and XY, and 4 entries, 0, 1, 2, and 3.
Item AB occupies 2 words.
Item XY occupies 1 word.
Note: 12 consecutive computer words are shown in each illustration above.

Figure 7-2. Serial and Parallel Table Structure

Tight Structure

entry [0] entry [1] entry [2] entry (3) entry (4) entry (5)

A table of six entries is medium packed, three entries to the word.

OVERLAY AA, AB, AC : BA, (BX : BY, BZ), BC ;

AC

AA

AB

BA BX

BC

BY BZ

RELATED 200,FF,G	STRUCTURE G : DD		AA,100,(BB : EE	,	CC, EE, DD
cc	AA		AA	CC	
100		100			
EE	BB	EE	ВВ		
DD				DD	
200					200
FF					FF
GG					GG

Figure 7-4, Allocation of a Related Structure

entrance	number	status:constant		
normal first alter	* 17 TO 17 TO 18 T	V C	procedure:name)
second alter	ntrance:name) nate ntrance:name)	2	v(

RELATIVE WORD O					
1 2 AB[0,0,0] 1 AB[0,0,1] 2 XY[0,0,0] 1 AB[0,1,0] 3 1 AB[0,0,1] 1 AB[0,1,1] 4 2 AB[0,0,1] 1 AB[0,2,0] 5 XY[0,0,1] 1 AB[0,2,1] 6 1 AB[0,1,0] 1 AB[0,3,0] 7 2 AB[0,1,0] 1 AB[0,3,1] 8 XY[0,1,0] 1 AB[1,0,0] 9 1 AB[0,1,1] 1 AB[1,0,1] 10 2 AB[0,1,1] 1 AB[1,0,1] 11 XY[0,1,1] 1 AB[1,1,1] 12 1 AB[0,2,0] 1 AB[1,2,0] 13 2 AB[0,2,0] 1 AB[1,2,0] 14 XY[0,2,0] 1 AB[1,2,1] 15 1 AB[0,2,1] 1 AB[1,3,1] 16 2 AB[0,2,1] 1 AB[1,3,1] 16 2 AB[0,2,1] 1 AB[2,0,0] 17 XY[0,2,1] 1 AB[2,0,0] 17 XY[0,2,1] 1 AB[2,0,0] 18 1 AB[0,3,0] 1 AB[2,1,0] 19 2 AB[0,3,0] 1 AB[2,1,0] 19 2 AB[0,3,0] 1 AB[2,2,0] 11 AB[0,3,1] 1 AB[2,2,1] 22 AB[0,3,1] 1 AB[2,3,1] 23 XY[0,3,1] 1 AB[2,3,1] 24 1 AB[1,0,0] 2 AB[0,0,0] 25 2 AB[1,0,0] 2 AB[0,0,0] 26 1 AB[2,2,1] XY[2,0,1] 66 1 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,0,1] 67 2 AB[2,3,0] XY[2,1,0] 68 XY[2,3,0] XY[2,1,1] 69 1 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,2,1] 70 2 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,2,1]	RELATIVE	WORD	SERIAL		PARALLEL
2		1	AB[0,0,0]	1	
5	1	2	AB[0,0,0]		
5	2				
5	3	1			
6 1 AB[0,1,0] 1 AB[0,3,0] 7 2 AB[0,1,0] 1 AB[0,3,1] 8	4	2	AB[0,0,1]		
7	5		XY[0,0,1]	1	
8	6	1	AB[0,1,0]	1	
9 1 AB[0,1,1] 1 AB[1,0,1] 10 2 AB[0,1,1] 1 AB[1,1,0] 11	7	2	AB[0,1,0		
10	8		XY(0,1,0)		
11		1	AB[0,1,1]	1	
12	10	2	AB[0,1,1]	1	AB[1,1,0]
13			XY[0,1,1]	1	AB[1,1,1]
14	12	1	AB[0,2,0]	1	
15	13	2	AB[0,2,0]	1	AB[1,2,1]
16	14			1	AB(1,3,0)
16	15	1	AB[0,2,1]	1	AB(1,3,1)
17	16	2	AB[0,2,1]	1	AB[2,0,0]
18	17			1	AB[2,0,1]
19		1	AB[0,3,0]	1	AB[2,1,0]
21	19	2	AB[0,3,0]	1	AB[2,1,1]
21	20		XY[0,3,0]	1	
22 2 AB[0,3,1] 1 AB[2,3,0] 23	21	1	AB[0,3,1]	1	AB[2,2,1]
23			AB[0,3,1]		AB[2,3,0]
25 2 AB[1,0,0] 2 AB[0,0,1]	23		XY[0,3,1]	1	
** 62	24	1	AB[1,0,0]	2	AB[0,0,0]
** 62	25	2	AB[1,0,0]	2	AB[0,0,1]
62					
62					
63 1 AB[2,2,1] XY[1,3,1] 64 2 AB[2,2,1] XY[2,0,0] 65 XY[2,2,1] XY[2,0,1] 66 1 AB[2,3,0] XY[2,1,0] 67 2 AB[2,3,0] XY[2,1,1] 68 XY[2,3,0] XY[2,2,0] 69 1 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,2,1] 70 2 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,3,0]			www.*		WW
64 2 AB[2,2,1]	The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section s		XY[2,2,0]		XY[1,3,0]
65					
66 1 AB(2,3,0) XY(2,1,0) 67 2 AB(2,3,0) XY(2,1,1) 68 XY(2,3,0) XY(2,2,0) 69 1 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,2,1) 70 2 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,3,0)	17712	2			
67 2 AB(2,3,0) XY(2,1,1) 68 XY(2,3,0) XY(2,2,0) 69 1 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,2,1) 70 2 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,3,0)					
68		111111111111111111111111111111111111111			
69 1 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,2,1) 70 2 AB(2,3,1) XY(2,3,0)		2			
70 2 AB[2,3,1] XY[2,3,0]		N /A			XY[2,2,0]
					XY[2,2,1]
71 XY(2,3,1) XY(2,3,1)		2			
	71		XY(2,3,1)		XY(2,3,1)

Figure 10=1 Indexing and Storage Allocation

		Bits				Bits
0=1 22=31	2=11	12=21	22=31	0=1	2=11	12=21
0	DD 40 24	BB[0,0]	BB[0,1]	bB[0,2]		BB[0,0]
BB [0,1]	BB [0,2]	BB[0,3]	BB(0,4)	BB[0,5]		BB[0,3]
BB[0,4]	BB[0,5]	BB[0,6]	BB [0,7]			BB[0,6]
BB[0,7]	BB[1,0]	BB[1,0]		BB[1,2]		BB[1,1]
BB[1,2]	BB[1,3]	BB[1,3]		BB[1,5]		BB[1,4]
BB[1,5]	BB[1,6]		Company of the same	BB (1,01		
BB[2,0]	BB[2,1]	BB[1,6]	BB[1,7]			BB[1,7]
6 BB[2,3]	BB[2,4]	BB[2,0]	BB[2,1]	BB[2,2]		BB[2,2]
7 BB[2,6]	BB[2,7]	BB (2,3)	BB[2,4]	BB[2,5]		BB[2,5]
8		BB[2,6]	BB[2,7]			BB[3,0]
BB [3,1]	BB[3,2]	BB[3,0]	BB[3,1]	BB[3,2]		BB[3,3]
BB[3,4]	BB (3,5)	BB [3,3]	BB[3,4]	BB[3,5]		BB[3,6]
BB[3,7]	BB[4,0]	BB[3,6]	BB (3,7)			BB[4,1]
BB[4,2]	BB[4,3]	BB[4,0]	BB[4.1]	BB[4,2]		BB[4,4]
BB[4,5]	BB (4,6)	BB [4,3]	BB[4,4]			BB [4,7]
BB[5,0]	BB [5,1]			55(1,5)		BB [5,2]
14 BB [5,3]	BB [5,4]	BB [4,6]	BB [4,7]			and the state of
15 BB [5,6]	BB [5,7]	BB [5,0]	BB [5,1]	BB [5,2]		BB [5,5]
16 17		BB[5,3] BB[5,6]	BB[5,4] BB[5,7]	BB[5,5]		

Figure 10-2 Indexing and Allocating Tight Structure Tables

NSW; Components, Tools and Senerio of Use

(J31099) 26=SEP=74 16:04;;;; Title: Author(s): Duane L. Stone/DLS; Distribution: /ARB([INFO=ONLY]) RDK([INFO=ONLY]) FJT([INFO=ONLY]) JLM([INFO=ONLY]) MAW([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: RADC; Clerk; DLS;

NSW; Components, Tools and Senerio of Use

A draft of my view of the NSW, with reasons why the Air Force should support it.

BACKGROUND	1
The priniciple short term way in which we can improve the current problems of unreliable and costly software systems, is to support programmers and their management with modern methods and toolsref. Monterey Study.	e 1a
Experience, methods and tools to support modern programming practices exist within many R&D environments around the ARPANET, but unfortunately seldom on the machines for which AF systems are being developed.	16
The NSW will allow AF (and eventually AF contractor) programmers and their managers to use these tools where they exist, in a straightforward manner, without a costly capital investment for each system.	10
NSW	2
The NSW represents the first concerted effort to accomplish the primary goal for which the ARPANET was initially constructed, is resource sharing. It consists of four components, none of which can be removed with out reducing the whole thing to ashes.	2 a
The network exec or the "works manager",	2a1
the detailed implementor or "foreman"	2a2
the user interface or "front end",	2a3
and the tools themselves.	244
WORKS MANAGER == MCA EFFORT	3
MCA will develop the network executive or "works Manager". It will keep track of the NSW files, where they are stored, their versions, who last updated them etc. It will be responsible for knowing who has access to which tools and which files. It will keep track of tool useage and do the accounting and billing. In the case of tools that reside on more than one host, it will determine loading and connect the user to the least loaded host.	3a
FOREMAN==MCA EFFORT	4
MCA will develop the software which performs the detailed login into individual hosts, moves files from one host to another, etc. In general, the Foreman is responsible for seeing that the commands given by the Manager are carried Out, and if they are	
aborted, reports the status back to the Manager,	4a

6a3

6b

FRONT END -- SRI EFFORT 5 SRI will develop the NSW "front end" system, which will provide a Coherent user interface to the NSW and hence to the tools. 5a The front-end will reside in a PDP-11, running the ELF time-sharing executive. It will contain the grammer and user profile for the particular tool requested by the user. It will perform the terminal mapping functions of a TIP, as well as the command feedback functions of the program being used at the moment. 5a1 SRI will develop the NSW protocols which allow tools to be interfaced with the works manager, and also ARPANET protocols which allow file transfer etc. to be accomplished in a more efficient manner. 5b TOOLS -- INITIAL 6 NLS 68 SRI will reconfigure NLS, so that it can be interfaced with the NSW; ie, the division into a front-end and a back-end. The NLS front-end will be written in the command Meta Language (CML), compiled on the PDP=10x and shipped over the ARPANET to the PDP-11. The front end will contain the command language parsing, user feedback and terminal support functions. The back-end (executable procedures) will reside on one or more PDP=10Xs. This is being done for two purposes: 6a1 to develop the protocols for interfacing tools in general and their command languages to the NSW. 6a1a to cut the cost of NLS use in half. 6a1b Sri will add to the capability of NLS, to make it a more complete documentation system. In particular they will 6a2 modify the output processor to improve its ease of use and to generate code acceptable to the Linotron at WPAFB. 6a2a add an elementary line drawing capability. 6a2b SRI will add features designed specifically to support COBOL

The priniciple tool residing on the B=4700 at Gunter AFB will

programmers operating in the RJE mode.

B=4700

be a COBOL compiler. It will be used by AFDSDC programmers to develop software for the B=3500s. 6b1 LINTRON 60 The Linotron at WPAFB is capable of generating quality output in several fonts and styles. Experimentation with a commercial COM organization indicates that this is desireable when a document is widely distributed and updated infrequently. total process of publishing documents in this manner is less costly than conventional typesetting, however the particular commercial COM service now in use is unduly expensive. The Linotron at WPAFB is government owned, underused and cheaper to use, since it goes directly to paper with out the intermediate step of microfilm. 601 DATACOMPUTER 6d The data computer is a mass storeage divice (a trillion bits) accessed via a data management system on a PDP=10. It will be used as the main backup storage device for the NSW. 6d1 SENERIO FOR NSW USE The following is my understanding of a "typical" senerio of how 7a the NSW is initially expected to be used. A programmer at AFDSDC in Alabama would use terminals located in his working environment, connected to a PDP=11, connected to an TIP or IMP, to log into the NSW computer, After being recognized as a legitimate NSW user, he would indicate which tool he wished to use. 7a1 Let us assume that he wants to work further on a COBOL program he has been writing. He would give the works manager a command to "get me some NLS". 7a2 After determining which machine the user should be connected to, the works manager gives the command to the foreman "connect this terminal to host XYZ and log him into NLS". The foreman makes the network connection, logs into TENEX and starts the NLS subsystem running. While this is happening, the works manager sends the NLS grammer and user profile to the PDF=11, to set up the NLS front=end tailored to that particular user. The Nsw machine invokes a dynamic reconnect and takes itself out of the loop, so that the front-end and back-end have a 7a3 direct network connection.

During the course of the session in NLS, the user can access

others files, copy code into his program, edit, rearrange, get special views, etc. He might have need to refer to a program specification document. He would obtain it by saying Load File and giving the directory and file names. If it was not on the local host, a signal would be sent to the NSW host, which would consult its catalogues, determine that it resided at the Data Computer, issue a command to the foreman to retrieve it and send a copy to the user*s current host; probably flagged as read only.

784

presuming that the user had the program written to the best of his ability, he would invoke an NLS user program which would make preliminary syntax checks, correct misspelled reserved words, and notify the user of reserved words not declared. After the user had corrected these errors he would invoke a second user program that would insert the necessary "control cards", strip the indentation associated with NLS, prepare a file in a format suitable for entry to the B=4700 via RJE and notify the works manager of its existence.

7a5

The user would then contact the works manager and request the B=4700 COBOL compiler tool. He would specify the file to be compiled, whether or not he wished to be notified when the job finished or aborted and the file name of any results returned from the compilation. The works manager would issue the appropriate commands to the foreman, who would see that the file was moved from the NLS machine into the RJE queue on the B=4700 disc.

7a6

In doing so, it will talk with the B=4700 NCP, which is resident in the pDp=11. The pDp=11 will communicate with the B=4700 by simulating a device the B=4700 operating system knows (initially a tape drive).

7a6a

The operator would be notified of its existence, and schedule it according to the procedures used within the B=4700 facility.

7a7

Upon logging out of the NSW, the Works Manager would request charges from the hosts used during that session, add them to the user's account and at the end of the month, prepare and send him a bill.

7a7a

In a similar manner, the user could instruct the NSW to archive the object code file, in which case it would be moved from the B=4700 to the Data Computer.

7a8

The same general techniques would be used to access any tool available via the NSW. A document could be partially prepared on different machines, using editors like QED, TECO, NLS, etc.

It could be merged under NLS, reformated, draft copies printed at each coordinators' site, changes incorporated, output processor directives inserted, a sequential file created, moved to the tape unit on the WPAFB TIP, carried to the Linotron, photocomposed, multiple copies printed and distributed to recipients. This would all be accomplished through the facilities and under the control of NSW. It can be accomplished now, but an individual would have to know all the idiosyncracies of each tool; their login procedures, their operating systems, the command languages..syntax and grammer..of their user programms, file compression and transfer protocols, etc., etc., etc.

7a9

REASONS FOR AIR FORCE SUPPORT OF NSW

AIR FORCE in General

8a

Direct coupling of R&D and using commands in the computer system development area.

8ai

lower cost, more reliable software, which is more responsive to the user's needs.

8ala 8alb

shortened development = to = applications cycle,

Balc

better use of R&D computer expertize.

mechanism whereby commericially developed (as well as AF sponsored) tools can be placed in a competitive marketplace for use and evaluation,

8a2

Minimize the replication and transferal of useful tools to many machines and development sites.

8a3

Using commands

8p

Initially AFDSDC

8b1

Access to NLS, the most powerful documentation and programming suport system in the country today!!!

abia

ability to write COBOL source code, edit it, have it checked for misspellings etc. and formated for entry into the RJE system on the B=4700.

8biai

ability to maintain all source code listings, system documentation, management data, etc; cross index them, retrieve them, modify them quickly...

8b1a2

ability to publish documents via the Linotron,	8b1a3
soon WWMccs and others	852
besides the above capabilities; the WWMCCS community and other AF users on the ARPANET will have access to RADC developed tools	8b2a
Jovial compilers	8b2a1
preprocesors to support structured programming	8b2a2
test tools	8b2a3
and any other tools we care to make available via the NSW.	8b2a4
RADC	80
vehicle for "exporting" tools we develop in a meaningful way,	801
direct involvement in the development of a prototype operational system for AFDSDC	8c2
supported 50% by General Robbins out of D&M money.	8c2a
supported 25% by ARPA.	8c2b
supported 25% by RADC.	8c2c
in on the ground floor of the first major ARPANET development effort aimed specifically at its initial goal of resource sharing.	803
We can apply the knowledge gained to:	8c3a
AFSC network	8c3a1
WWMCCS network	8c3a2
	8c3a3
	8c3a4
	8c3a5

3rd COM Test run... Equations and Tables

(J31100) 26=SEP=74 16:17;;; Title: Author(s): Duane L, Stone/DLS; Distribution: /RJC([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: RADC; Clerk; DLS;

3rd COM Test run... Equations and Tables

Contains revised Tabstops to line up equations and tables better. This has not been edited for mistakes. It contains directives for overall format and type styles which are good.

3rd COM Test run. . . Equations and Tables

Section o'x'x

DLS 26-SEP-74 16:17 31100

-19-

26 SEP 74

JOVIAL J73

26 SEP 74

Appendix A

SYNTAX EQUATIONS

The following pages contain the complete syntactic description of JOVIAL (J73). The metalinguistic equations are in alphabetical order of the metalinguistic terms being defined. In general, each defining equation is individually boxed. The boxes are nubered sequentially in the upper left hand corner by a number in italics followed by a colon. Following the colon is a list of the box numbers in which the current term is used as a part of the definitions of other terms. The metalinguistic symbology is explained in section 1.4.

Equations 94 and 95 are in one box. These are both valid and necessary definitions for format: list. Equation 144 is the definition for mark. In the same box, opposite each mark is a metalinguistic term (or two). These marks constitute the definitions of these terms. Equation 172 defines pattern: digit. In the same box is information giving the bit patter corresponding to each pattern: digit, depending on the order of the pattern: constant. In the box with equation 190, the definition of relationals operator, is a list of the meanings of the relationals operators. Box 234 contains a definition for system: dependent: character, but the definition is a prose description; a metalinguistic equation is not feasible. Equations 247 and 248 are in one box. They are both valid and necessary definitions for variable.

1: 233

abbreviation := letter

21 63

abnormalidirective ::= |ABNORMAL data:name

31 130

absolute: function: call ::= ABS (numeric: formula)

4: 58, 170

definition actual:define:parameter ::= " definition "

5: 101, 170, 180, 191

STOP

alternate:entrance:name

RETURN

procedure:name TEST control:variable

EXIT statement:name
actual:input:parameter ::=
statement:name
procedure:name
formula
table:name
data:block:name
variable
a pointer:formula

6: 170, 180, 191

actual:output:parameter ::= variable

7: 166,217
allocation:increment ::= number

8: 9, 49, 166, 182, 205, 217
allocation:specifier ::= 8 pointer:formula

9: 75, 184

alternate:entrance;declaration ::=

ENTER alternate:entrance;name

(formal:input:parameter
: formal:output:parameter)

environmental:specifier item:description allocation:specifier

packing:specifier [bit:number]

= + constant ;

10: 130

alternate:entrance:function:call ::= ALT (
procedure:pame)

11: 5, 9, 53, 101, 122, 138, 180, 187, 193
alternate:entrance:name ::= name

151 159

JOVIAL J73

```
12: 159
  arithmetic:operator ::=
13:
  assignment:operator ::= =
14: 207
  assignment:statement ::=
                formula
         variable
                               indexed:variable:range ;
         indexed:variable:range =
                format: function: call
                formula
     format: variable =
                indexed: variable: range
```

attribute:association ::= 00 [description:attibute]

16: 63

beginsdirective ::= IBEGIN reference ;

17: 18

bit:form ::= form

18: 18, 29, 97, 159, 196

pattern:constant
entry:variable
comparison
chain:comparison
bit:string:function:call
shift:function:call
bit:formula := bit:form
bit:formula logical:operator bit:formula
NOT bit:formula
bit:formula & bit:formula
(bit:formula)
numeric:formula
character:formula

19: 9, 182, 205, 217, 218
bit:number i:= number

20: 18, 130

bitistring:function:call ::=

BIT (formula , numeric:formula , numeric:formula)

21: 247

bit: variable ::=

entry:variable
BIT (named;variable , numeric:formula
numeric:formula)

221 217

bits:per:entry ::= number

23: 130

byte:string:function:call ::=

BYTE (character:formula , numeric:formula numeric:formula)

241 18

chain:comparison ::= comparison relation:operator formula

25: 26, 32, 46, 62, 100, 112, 120, 137, 213, 234, 240

sign character :;= system:dependent:character

261 29, 39

charactericonstant ::= count ' character

27: 29

characteriform ::= form

281 29

characteriformat ::= count C

29: 18, 23, 93, 94, 97

character:constant
character:yariable
character:form
character:formula ::= character:function:call
character:formula & character:formula
(character:formula)
bit:formula

301 29

character: function: call := function: call

31: 29, 96, 247

named:character:variable character:variable ::= BYTE (
named:character:variable , numeric:formula

, numericiformula)

321 233

comment ::= " character

33: 18, 24

comparison ::= formula relational:operator formula

341 63

(compooliname)

35: 34

compool:name ::= name

361 219

declaration
compound:statement ::= BEGIN
statement

END

37: 38, 97, 238, 241

conditional:formula ::= formula

38: 207

conditional:statement ::=

IF conditional: formula ; controlled: statement

statement:name : ELSE controlled:statement

39: 9, 42, 182, 205, 233

numeric:constant constant ::= pattern:constant character:constant

401 97

constant:formula ::= (formula)

41: 166, 167, 217, 218

constant:list ::=

[index] [constant:list:element constant:list:element

421 41, 42

constant; list; element ; = count (constant; list; element)
constant; list; element

43: 136, 148

increment:phrase terminator:phrase
replacement:phrase
control:clause ::= initial:phase
increment:phrase
terminator:phrase replacement:phrase

441 5, 239

named:variable
control:variable ::=
letter:control:variable

45: 38, 141

controlled:statement ::= statement

461 63

copyidirective ::= COPY character

47: 26, 28, 42, 72, 78, 95, 98, 103, 120, 124, 126, 171, 173, 199

count ::= number

48: 182

data:allocator:specifier ::= @

49: 49, 51, 75

data:block:declaration ::=

environmental: Specifier
BLOCK data: block: name
allocation: specifier

simple:item:declaration table:declaration END; data:block:declaration independent:overlay:declaration

50: 5, 49, 52, 90, 109, 138, 209 data:block:name ::= name

51: 54

item:declaration
data:declaration ::= table:declaration
data:block:declaration
overlay:declaration

52: 2, 129, 174, 195, 244

item:name
data:name i:= table:name
data:block:name

531 130

procedure:name
data:size:function:call ::= DSIZE (
alternate:entrance:name

54: 36, 54, 112, 179

status: list: declaration
form: declaration
data: declaration
null: declaration
declaration ::= define: declaration
name declaration
processing: declaration
external: declaration
BEGIN declaration END;

551 54

define: declaration ::=

DEFINE define; name (formal; define; parameter)
" definition ";

56: 55, 58

define:name ::= name

57: 4, 55
definition i:= sign

definition:invocation ::= define:name (
 actual:define:parameter)

59: 185

dependent:program:declaration ::= procedure:declaration

60: 15, 69

item:name
description:attribute ::=
item:description

(equ61)

61: 166, 217

dimension:list ::= [lower:bound : upper:bound]

62: 207

directistatement ::= DIRECT character JOVIAL

631

composlidirective skip:directive beginidirective endidirective traceidirective copy:directive abnormalidirective setsidirective usesidirective directive ::= pointer; directive orderidirective recursive directive time:directive space: directive linkage:directive interference: directive frequency:directive

641 233

ICOMPOOL ISKIP IBEGIN IEND ITRACE ICOPY IABNORMAL ISETS directive:key ::= IUSES IPOINTER ICRDER IRECURSIVE. ITIME ISPACE ILINKAGE LINTERFERENCE IFREQUENCY

651 63

endidirective ::= !END ;

66: 217

entries:per:word ::= number

67: 18, 21, 117, 252

entry:variable ::= table:name [index] @ pointer:formula

68: 9, 49, 166, 182, 205, 217

program:name IN procedure:name environmental:specifier ::=

RESERVE

RESERVE

691 159

evaluation:control ::= @ [description:attribute]

70: 207

exchange:statement ::= variable == variable ;

71: 207

exit; statement ::= EXIT statement; name ;

72: 82

count D count Z

exrad is= count Z count D

count Z *

73: 130

exrad:function:call ::= XRAD (numeric:formula)

74: 132

exrad:specifier ::= number

75: 54

external:declaration ::=

simple: item: declaration table:declaration data:block:declaration

name:declaration

DEF

procedure:declaration alternate; entrance; declaration

REF simple:item:declaration

table: declaration

BEGIN data:block:declaration END name: declaration

procedure; declaration

alternate:entrance:declaration

76: 87

field:width ::= number

77: 157

fixed:constant 11=

number

E scale A scale A number , number

78: 158

integer:part fraction:part

flxed:format ::= integer:part
count * R

count * fraction:part

79: 160
fixed:function:call ::= function:Call

80: 162
fixed:variable := named:variable

81: 157

floating:constant ::=

number E scale

number .
scale

E scale

number , number

82: 158

floating:format ::= significand E exrad R

83: 162
floating:function:call ::= function:call

84: 162
floating:variable ::= named:variable

85: 141

for:clause := FOR loop:conerol ;

86: 17, 27
form ::= form:name (formula)

87: 54

88: 86, 87

form:name ii= name

89: 55, 170 formal:define:parameter ::= letter

90: 9, 170, 182

statement:name
simple:item:name
formal:input:parameter ::= procedure:name
table:name
data:block:name

91: 9, 170, 182

formalioutput:parameter ::= simple:item:name

921 95

null:format
insert:format
format ::= skip:format
character:format
pattern:format
numeric:format

93: 14, 130

format:function:call ::=

FORMAT (character:formula , format:list
, procedure:name)

941 93, 95, 96

format:list ::= character:formula

95: 93, 95, 96

format
format:list ::=
 count (format:list)

96: 14, 102, 247

formativariable ::=

FORMAT (character:variable , format:list , procedure:name)

97: 5, 14, 20, 24, 33, 37, 40, 86, 119, 159, 192, 203, 209, 242, 245

pointer:formula
numeric:formula
bit:formula
formula ::= conditional:formula
character:formula
value:formula
numeric:formula
constant:formula

98: 78

count D count Z

fraction:part ::

991 130

fraction:part:function:call ::= FRAC (numeric:formula)

100: 65

frequency;directive ::= :FREQUENCY character

101: 30, 79, 83, 125

intrinsic:function:call

procedure:name

function:call ::= a pointer:formula
alternate:entrance:name

(actual:input parameter

102: 248

formativariable

BYTE (named:character:variable , numeric:formula

functional:variable ::= , numeric:formula

BIT (named:variable , numeric:formula

, numericiformula)

103: 158

generalized:numeric:formula ::= count N R

104: 207

go:to:statement ::= GOTO statement:name [
index] ;

105: 115

high:point ::= numeric:formula

107: 43

numeric:formula increment:phrase ::= By numeric:value:formula

108: 49, 168

independent: overlay: declaration ::=

[number]
OVERLAY independent:overlay:expression ;
[pattern:constant]

1091 111

spacer
simple:item:name
independent:overlay:element ::= table:name
data:block:name
 (independent:overlay:expression)

110: 108, 109

independent:overlay:expression ::=
independent:overlay:string :
independent:overlay:string

1111 110

independent:overlay:string ::= independent:overlay:element

1121 185

independent:program:declaration ::=
 statement
PROGRAM program:name (Character);
 declaration

113: 41, 67, 104, 237

index ::= index:component

114: 113, 116

index:component ::= numeric:formula

115: 116

index; component; range := low; point : high; point

116: 118, 155

index:component:range index:range ::= index:component

117: 150

table:variable
indexed:variable ::=
entry:variable

118: 14

indexed; variable; range ::=

iteminame [index] @ pointer:formula

table:name

item:name a pointer:formula ALL (

table:name

1191 43

initial:phrase ::= formula

1201 92

count S

numeral insert:format ::= count / letter

count " character "

121: 182

instruction; allocation; specifier ::= pointer; formula

1221 130

procedure:name
instruction:size:function:call ::= ISIZE ()
alternate:entrance:name

123: 157, 175

integer:constant ::= number

124: 158

count Z count D
integer:format i:= R
count D count Z

125: 160

integer:function; call ::= function; call

1261 78

count Z count D integer;part ::= count D

127: 130

integer:part:function:call ::= INT (numeric:formula)

128: 162

named:variable
integer:variable ::=
letter:control:variable

1291 63

interference:directive ::= !INTERFERENCE data:name :
data:name ;

130: 101

format: function; call byte:string:function:call bit:string:function:call alternate:entrance:function:call number: of:entries:function:call location: function; call shift:function:call absolute: function: call words:per:entry:function:call intrinsic:function:call ::= exrad:function:call significand: function: call signed; function; call signum: function: call size: function: call type:function;call fraction:part:function:call integer:part:function:call instruction: size: function: call data: size: function; call

132: 9, 60, 166, 167, 182, 205, 217, 218
item:description ::=

C size; specifier

F , R significand: specifier exrad: specifier

status:list status:list:name , R size:specifier + U , precision:specifier

133: 52, 60, 118, 167, 187, 205, 218, 229, 237, 1teminame ::= name

```
134: 1, 89, 120, 135, 136, 145, 197, 221
```

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
I
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y

135: 44, 128, 233, 248

1etter:control:variable ::= letter

136: 140

letter:loop:control ::= letter (control:clause

137: 63

linkage:directive ::= !LINKAGE character ;

138: 130

statement:name
named:variable
location:function:call ::= LOC (table:name)
data:block:name
procedure:name
alternate:entrance:name

1391 130

AND OR logical:operator ::= EQV XOR

1401 85

named:loop:control
loop:control ::=
letter:loop:control

141: 207
loop:statement := for:clause contolled:statement

1421 115

lowspoint ::= numericsformula

143: 61

number lower:bound ::= simple:item:name

144: 197

plusisign minus; sign asterisk slash backislash ampersand greater:than:sign lessithanisign equals:sign atisign decimal:point mark 11= colon comma semicolon space left:parenthesis, parenthesis right:parenthesis, parenthesis left:bracket, bracket right:bracket, bracket prime quotation: mark dollar:sign exclamation:point

26 SEP 74

145: 11, 34, 35, 50, 56, 88, 133, 183, 186, 206, 220, 221, 225, 233, 236, 241

letter numeral name ::= s s

146: 54, 75

statement:name
name:declaration ::= NAME
procedure:name

147: 31, 102

named; character; variable ;; = named; variable

1481 140

named:loop:control ::= named:variable (control:clause)

1491 219

namedistatement ::= statement:name : statement

150: 21, 44, 80, 84, 102, 128, 138, 147, 148

simple:variable named:variable ::= indexed:variable

151: 54, 164, 215

NULL ;
nullideclaration ::=
BEGIN END ;

1521 92

nulliformat ::=

153: 219

NULL ; nullideclaration ::= BEGIN END ;

154: 7, 19, 22, 47, 66, 74, 76, 77, 81, 108, 123, 143, 169, 177, 189, 194, 201, 210, 214, 223, 232, 233, 243, 249, 250

number ::= numeral

155: 130

156: 120, 145, 154, 197

157: 39, 159

integer:constant
 fixed:constant
numeric:constant ::= floating:constant
 status:constant
 qualified:status:constant

158: 92

generalized:numeric:format
integer:format
numeric:format ::=
fixed:format
floating:format

159: 3, 18, 20, 21, 23, 31, 73, 97, 99, 102, 105, 107, 114, 127, 142, 159, 161, 175, 196, 198, 200, 202, 232

numeric:constant numeric:variable numeric:function:call

numericiformula

numeric:formula ::= numeric:formula arithmetic:operator

evaluation:control numeric:formula evaluation:control formula attribute:association

attribute:association (numeric:formula) bit:formula

160: 159

161: 97, 107

numeric:value:formula ::= [numeric:formula]

162: 159, 176, 247
integer:variable
numeric:variable ::= fixed:variable
floating:variable

163: 63

orderidirective ::= LORDER ;

1641 165

null:declaration ordinary:table:item:declaration

1651 235

ordinary:table:declaration ::= ordinary:table:heading ordinary:table:body

1661 165

ordinary:table:heading ::=
 environmental:specifier
TABLE table:name
 allocation:specifier

allocation:increment dimension:list
structure:specifier packing:specifier
item:description = constant:list ;

1671 131, 164

ordinary:table:item:declaration ::=

ITEM item:name item:description
packing:specifier = constant:list ;

168: 51

independent; overlay; declaration overlay; declaration ::= subordinate; overlay; declaration

169: 9, 166, 167, 182, 205, 217, 218

N

packing:specifier ::= M number

D

170:

actual:define:parameter
formal:define:parameter
parameter ::= actual:input:parameter
formal:input:parameter
actual:output:parameter
formal:output:parameter

171: 18, 39, 108

pattern:constant ::= 3 B count * pattern:digit

4
5

1721 171

11110

0 0 0 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 3 0 0 1 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 1 5 0 0 1 1 0 6 0 0 1 1 1 7 0 1 0 0 0 8 0 1 0 0 1 9 0 1 0 1 0 A 0 1 0 1 B 0 1 1 0 0 C 0 1 1 0 1	1
0 0 1 0 0 4 0 0 1 0 1 5 0 0 1 1 0 6	2
0 0 1 0 1 5	
0 0 1 1 0 6 0 0 1 1 1 7 0 1 0 0 0 8	
0 1 0 0 0 8	3
0 4 0 0 0	3
01001 9	
0 1 0 0 0 0 8 0 1 0 0 1 9 0 1 0 1 0 A 0 1 0 1 1 B 0 1 1 0 0 C	
0 1 0 1 1 B	
0 1 0 1 1 B 0 1 1 0 0 C 0 1 1 0 1 D 0 1 1 1 0 patternidigit :=	
0 i i 0 i D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	
0 1 1 0 1 D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	E
0 1 1 1 1 F	4
1 0 0 0 0 G 1 0 0 0 1 H	
10101 L	
1 0 1 1 0 M	
1 0 1 1 1 N 1 1 0 0 0 O O 1 1 0 0 1 P 1 1 0 1 0 Q 1 1 0 1 1 R	
1 1 0 0 0	
1 1 0 0 1 P	
1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 R	
1 1 0 1 1 R 1 1 1 0 0 S	
1 1 1 0 1 T	

pattern pattern; digit order

1731 92

pattern:format ::= 3 B count P

1741 63

pointer; directive ::= :POINTER pointer; formula : data:name ;

175: 5, 8, 67, 97, 101, 118, 121, 174, 180, 208, 237

integer:constant
pointer:formula ::= simple:integer:variable
(numeric:formula)

176: 247

pointer:variable ::= numeric:variable

177: 132

precision:specifier ::= number

178: 221, 233

ABS ALL ALT NENT NOT AND BEGIN NULL FIT NWDSEN BLOCK OR OVERLAY BY BYTE PROC DEF PROGRAM DEFINE REF DIRECT REMQUO DSIZE RESERVE ELSE RETURN END SHIFT primitive i:= ENTER SIG EQV SIGNED EXIT SIGNUM FOR SIZE FORM STATUS FORMAT STOP FRAC SWITCH GOTO TABLE IF TEST IN THEN INT TYPE ISIZE UNTIL ITEM WHILE JOVIAL XOR LOC XRAD NAME ZAP

179: 181

declaration procedure;body ::= statement

```
207
180:
   procedure: call: statement ::=
      remquo:procedure:call:statement
         procedureiname
                 @ pointer:formula
         alternate:entrance:name
               actual:input:parameter
                 actual:input:parameter
               actual: output: parameter
181; 59, 75, 184
   procedure: deciaration ::= procedure: heading
   procedure:body
182: 181
   procedure:heading ::=
                         environmental:specifier
   PROC procedureiname
                         data:allocation:specifier
         instruction: allocation: specifier
           formal:input:parameter
      formal!output:parameter
         environmental:specifier
                                 item: description
```

4

allocation; specifier

[bit:number]

packing: specifier

constant ;

183: 5, 10, 53, 68, 90, 96, 101, 122, 138, 146, 180, 182, 167, 193

procedureiname ::= name

1841 54

program:declaration
processing:declaration ;:= procedure:declaration
alternate:entrance:declaration

1851 184

independent:program:declaration
program;declaration
dependent:program:declaration

1861 68, 112

programiname ::= name

187: 157

status:list:name
 item:name
qualified:status:constant ::= V(table:name
status)

procedure:name alternate:entrance:name

188: 63

recursive: directive ::= !RECURSIVE ;

189: 16, 211

reference it= number

190: 24, 33, 246

< less than

= equal
> greater than

relational:operator ::=

>= greater than or equal, not less than

<> less than or greater than, not equal

<= less than or equal, not greater than

191: 180

remquo:procedure:call:statement ::=

192: 43

formula
replacement:phrase ::= THEN
value:formula

193: 207

procedure:name
return:statement ::= RETURN
alternate:entrance:name

1941 77, 81

scale it= number

195: 63

sets:directive ::= |SETS data:name ;

196: 18, 130

shift:function:call ::= SHIFT (bit:formula , numeric:formula)

1971 25, 57, 234

sign ::= numeral mark

JOVIAL J73

198: 18, 130

signed:function:call ::= SIGNED (numeric:formula)

199: 82

count D . count D

count D . count D

count D .

significand ::=

count D * count D

count * count D

count D count *

2001 130

significand; function; call ::= SIG (numeric; formula)

201: 132

significand; specifier ::= number

202: 130
 signum:function:call ::= SIGNUM (numeric:formula)
203: 207
 simple:assignment:statement ::= variable = formula ;
204: 175

simple:integer:variable ::= simple:variable

206: 90, 91, 109, 143, 208, 243 simple:item:name ::= name

207: 219

simple:assignment:statement
assignment:statement
exchange:statement
go:to:statement
exit:statement
test:statement
simple:statement
zap:statement
stop:statement
conditional:statement
switch:statement
procedure:call:statement
direct:statement

208: 150, 204

simple:variable ::= simple:item:name @pointer:formula

2091 130

formula
size:function:call := SIZE ()
data:block:name

210: 132

size: specifier ::= number

END

2111 63

skip:directive ::= |SKIP reference ;

2121 92

skip:format ::= X

213: 63

space:directive ::= ISPACE character ;

214: 109

spacer 11= number

2151 216

null:declaration
specified:table:body ::=
specified:table:item:declaration
BEGIN specified:table:item:declaration

2161 235

specified:table:declaration ::= specified:table:heading
specified:table:body

217: 216

specified:table:heading ::=

environmental; specifier
TABLE table: name
allocation: specifier

: allocation:increment dimension:list

structure: specifier

words:per:entry bits:per:entry bit:number entries:per:word

packingspecifier item:description packingspecifier

[bit:number , word:number] = constant:list ;

218: 131, 215

specified:table:item:declaration ::=

ITEM item:name item:description

packing: specifier [bit:number

, word:number] = constant ;

219: 36, 45, 112, 149, 179, 232

null:statement
statement ::= simple:statement
compound:statement
named:statement

220: 5, 38, 71, 90, 104, 138, 146, 149, 232 statement:name := name

221: 187, 222, 233

primitive
status i:= name
letter

222: 157, 223
status:constant ::= V(status)

223: 132, 224

status:list ::=

t number] status:constant
number] status:constant

224: 54

status:list:declaration ::= STATUS status:list:name
status:list ;

225: 132, 187, 224

status:list:name ::= name

226: 207

stop:statement ::= STOP ;

227: 166, 217

structure; specifier ; :=

228: 164, 168

subordinate;overlay;declaration ::= OVERLAY
subordinate;overlay;expression ;

2291 231

item:name
subordinate:overlay:element ::=
 (subordinate:overlay:expression)

230: 228, 229

subordinate: overlay: expression ::=

subordinate:overlay:string : subordinate:overlay:string

231: 230

subordinate; overlay; string ::= subordinate; overlay; element

232: 207

switch:statement ::=

SWITCH numeric:formula ; statement:name :

BEGIN [number] statement
, END ;

2331

primitive
ideogram
name
letter:control:variable
symbol := abbreviation
number
constant
comment
directive:key
status

234: 25

system:dependent:character

Most computer systems can read and write more characters than are encompassed in the set of JOVIAL sign. The entire set that can be handled is know as the set of characters. The characters that are not signs are known as system:dependent:Characters.

235: 49, 51, 75

ordinary:table:declaration
table:declaration ::=
specified:table:declaration

236: 5, 52, 67, 90, 109, 118, 138, 155, 166, 187, 217, 251, 252

table:name ::= name

237: 117
table:variable ::= item:name [index]
pointer:formula

238: 43

WHILE

conditional:formula terminator:phrase ::= UNTIL

value:terminator

2391 207

test; statement ; = TEST control; variable ;

240: 63

time:directive ::= !TIME character

241: 63

trace:directive ::= |TRACE (conditional:formula)

242: 130

type:function:call ::= TypE (formula)

243: 61

name ;

number
upper:bound ::=
simple:item:name

244: 63

uses:directive ::= |USES data:name |

245: 97, 192, 246

value:formula ::= [formula]

246: 238 value:terminator ::=

WHILE value:formula relational:operator variable UNTIL variable relational:operator value:formula

247: 5, 6, 14, 70, 203, 246

pointer:variable numeric:variable variable ::= bit:variable character:variable format:variable

248: 5, 6, 14, 70, 203, 246

named; variable
variable ::= letter:control:variable
functional:variable

249: 217, 218

word:number ::= number

250: 217

words:per:entry ::= number

26 SEP 74

2511 130

words:per:entry:function:call ::= NWDSEN (table:name)

252: 207

table:name
zap:statement ::= ZAP
entry:variable

6 14	Column 7 15	9	1 9	102	3 11	4 12	5 13
6 E Row	Code 7 F	0	1 9	A 2	3 B	4 C	5 D
ò			space	0	8	P	
p 1			1	1	A	Q	a
q 2				2	В	R	ь
3				3	c	S	c
\$ 4			\$	4	D	T	d
t 5				5	E	U	e
u 6			&	6	F	٧	£
V 7			,	7	G	W	g
8 M			,	8	Н	x	h
X g			,	9	I	Y	i
y 10					J	Z	1
2				,	K		
11			,	<	L	1	1
13 14 15			;	> ?	M N O	,	k 1 m n

Notes: row O, column 3: zero
row 1, column 3: one
row 7, column 2: prime, often rendered as a vertical mark
in JOVIAL
row 12, column 6: a lowercase letter
row 15, column 4: an uppercase letter

Figure 2=1. Characters

±:	Exediconstant	val	ue size		precision
19A0	19	5		0	
19A3	19	8		3	
19A=2	16	3		=2	
2.3A0	2	2		0	
2.3A=1	2	1		-1	
2.3A2	2,25	4		2	
2.3a5	2,28125		7		5
2,3A6	2,296875		8		6

	Left		Right s	ymbol	starts w	ithi	
	symbol ends in	numeral	letter	s			
	numeral		SR		SR	SR	SR
SR	letter	SR SR SR	SR	SR SR SR	SR SR SR	SR SR SR	SR
SR							

Value of the conditionalsformula

0

IF Skip the controlledistatement following Execute the following controlled; statement this conditional:formula. then skip the

controlledistatement

Execute the controlled:statement immediately

following the matching

following the matching ELSE ELSE if there is one.

if there is one,

UNTIL Execute the controlled:statement. Go on to the next controliclause or exit the loop if there is

no further control: clause,

WHILE Go on to the next contol; clause Execute the controlled; statement, or exit the loop if there is no further control; clause.

bit:formula	110	01011100	01010111
10000101	01111100		
padded	00000110	01011100	01010111
10000101	01111100		
selected	01011	100 0101	0111
10000101			

×	У	x/A	×	У	x/A
7	0	undefined	-3.7	2	0,3
1	2	1	4,6	1,5	0,1
2	2	0	-0,1	1,5	1.4
3	2	1	1	=2	-1
*3	2	1	3,7	=2	=0,3
3,7	2	1.7	-3.7	-2	-1.7

First or Only Character

Meaning

Number of integer bits

A Number of fraction bits (bits after the point).

Z Maximum size I+A the system normally allows for fixed and integer arithemetic.

Y An even larger maximum size I+A allowed evaluation:control (often about 2*z).

V Value.

Second Character

Meaning: "Of the ... "

- first operand
- 2 Second operand
- M Modulus (for x\y).
- N Numerator (for x/y or x/y).
- D Denominator (for x/y).
- I Integer operand (if the other is fixed).
- A Fixed operand (if the other is integer).
- R Result (preliminary result if S exists).
- S Result required by evaluation:control.
- B Base in exponentation.

E Exponent,

Value of original	Value of	Value of
bit:formula bit:formula	numeric:formula	resulting from SHIFT
11111	3	11000
11111	=1.7	01111
00000100000	5	1000000000
00000100000	-3	00000000100
101	3	000
101	-3	000
101	=2	001

bit:formula	&	bitiformula
result		

10	1	101	
111001		00011110101	11100100011110101
00010000		0000010	000100000000010
0	0	00	

p	q	NOT P	p OR q	p EQV q	p AND q	P XOR q
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	1	1	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	1	0

```
= (assignment) == (exchange)
1
     EGV
          XOR
2
     OR
     AND (logical)
4
     NOT
     = < > <= >= <> (relational)
5
6
     * / \ ( with or without evaluation:control )
8
9
10
     indexing @ ( pointing, evaluation:control )
     00 (attribute:association)
```

In the algorithm it is necessary to consider several operands and operations simultaneously. The following diagram shows the relationships. All are pegged in relation to the present operand. Any operation may replace #.

A # B # C # D # E # F # G # H # I # J # K

the next operation

the prior operand

the next operand

the prior operation the current operation

the present operand

The leftmost operand of the formula is initally the present operand.

no

26 SEP 74

JOVIAL J73

Start Evaluate present operand.

The next operand becomes Evaluate next operand, Is there a current the present operand. operation?

ye5

Is there a next operation The value of the present operand with higher precedence becomes the value than the current operation? of the formula.

no

Combine the present operand The prior operand becomes and the next operand in accordance with the current Exit operation. The result becomes the present operand (which has been evaluated). Is there a prior operation no

the present operand.

yes

Figure 4-2. Combination Algorithm

ABC Operation		Char t	ype Bit	Int	Fix
Float				70100	
type		Convert	ed to		
ABC assignment XYZ	Char	Char	Bit	Bit	Bit
(a)so parameter	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
matching and Int exchange, both	Bit Fix	Bit Bit	Int Bit	Int Fix	Int
ways) Float Bit	Bit	Float	Float	Float	
ABC arithmetic XYZ Float Float	Float	Note 1	Note 2	Float	
XYZ arithmetic ABC Scale Float	Other	Note 3	Note 4	Scale	
ABC relational XYZ 6 Note 6	Char	Note 5	Int	Note 6	Note
Bit Note 6 or Int Note 6 XYZ relational ABC Float Float	Int	Note 6 Int Note 6	Note 6 Scale Int	Note 6 Float Float	
ABC & XYZ Char XYZ & ABC Other	Char Bit	Bit Bit	Bit Bit	Bit Bit	Bit
ABC logical XYZ Bit XYZ logical ABC	Any	Bit	Bit	Bit	Bit
Indexing, pointing Int		Note 7	Int	Int	Int

Figure 4=3, Type Conversion

Entrance used ALT (procedure:name) status: constant integer

first alternate		1	٧	(first
alternatesentrancesnam	e)				
second alternate		2	V	C	second
alternate:entrance:nam	e)				
etc. etc.			etc.		

parameter type;fu qualified;status:cons	inction: c	a11		
type	value	status;	constant	
bit:formula V(TYPE:BIT)	0		V(BIT)	
integer:formula V(TYPE:INT) (signed or unsigned)		1		V(INT)
fixed:formula V(TYPE:FIX) (signed or unsigned)	2		V(FIX)	
floating:formula V(TYPE:FLOAT)		3		V(FLOAT)
character:formula V(TYPE:BYTE)		4		A (BALE)

START

0== skip A1, do A2

A? E=3

1== do A1

(NULL O== skip B1 O== skip D1, do D2

B?

D? Skip A2 de B2 or none),

1== do B1 1 -- do D1

Skip D2 (if any

and A2

E=2

O== skip C1, do C2 (NULL or none), skip B2

C?

1== do C1

E=1 Skip C2 (if any), B2 and A2

No terminator:phrase

Terminator:phrase

JOVIAL J73

No initial:phrase, iA, Leave control:variable alone, iB. Same as iA except replacement:phrase, Execute controlled::statement execute controlled:statement or increment:phrase just once. Zero or one time depending

onterminatoriphrase,

Initial:phrase 2A. Initialize control:variable.
2B. Same as 2A except
only Execute controlled:statement execute
controlled:statement
just once. zero or one time depending
on terminator:phrase.

Replacement:phrase 3A. Leave control:variable alone 3B. Same as 3A except test in only for the first execution. Before accordance with terminator:phrase

each subsequent execution of the before every execution of

controlled:statement, replace the the

value of the control variable, even the first one, Repeat executions "forever".

Increment:phrase 4A. Same as 3A except add 4B. Same as 4A except only to value of control:variable test as in 3B. instead of replacing value.

Initial:phrase and 5A. Initialize control:variable.

5B. Same as 5A except replacement:phrase Execute controlled:statement once, check for termination

Replace value of control:variable before each execution.

before each subsequent execution. Repeat executions "forever".

Initial:phrase and 6A. Initialize control:variable.
6B. Same as 6A, except
increment:phrase Execute controlled:statement once,
check for termination
Add to value of control:variable before each

execution.

before each subsequent execution.

Repeat executions "forever".

start ALPHA Set BETA to 3

=

? 2 or 3 Set GAMMA to the value of BETA

4 If GAMMA equals 2 set BETA to 2

6 Set BETA to the value of GAMMA

Set ALPHA to 7 next

<1, 5 or >6 Undefined

Format:Lists

Input Buffer Field ,,10C 10C,,

28.3b*bABCD*bABb 1 *28.3bb*

28.3bs27bABC

2 "bABCDb" "D\$27bbbb"
3 "ABbbbbbbbb" "ABbbbb"

ALPHABET AbTHERMOPILED 1 'ALPHAD'

"ALPHABETS27"
2 "BETS27Ab" "Abbbbb"
3 "THERMOPILE" "THERMO"

4B3pS3pS3pS3p 5B5pS5p

Bah! 000 042 616 821 00011 62Q11 Humbug 487 56D 627 567 28ELM M4TB7

Input Buffer Field 5N, 6N, SNSN

1,2E3=b485bb3b7 1 1,2E3

37

+46b7.00015A1B. 1 illegal field (contains blank)

nk)

1,07,40

3 1,

Format;Lists
Input Buffer Field "SPEEDb",DDD,"MPH ,DDDSSSS
SPEEDb100bMPH 1 100 'SPEED' 100

-1074

26 SEP 74

+ZZDD,DZZ =4Z*3DR -4Z* =4Z2*R

1573,6405 +1573,64 1573641 1573 157 =27 =27.0 =27000 =27 =3 0.0 00.0 000 =10740 undefined undefined undefined

1573.6400 1573.6410 1573.0000 1570.0000 =27 =27 =27 =30 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 undefined undefined undefined

+,6DE+3ZR +SD*6ZSES=3Z* =S3D,SES=3DR +S3*5DSES+S3Z

+ 39.7528 +.397528E+2 397528 E 1 398. E =001 + 39752 E + 4 =.008711246 =.871125E=2 = 8711246 E =3 = 871. E

PROGRAM AA
XX (table:name)

PROC BB XX (item:name)

PROC CC no occurrence of XX

PROC DD XX used but not declared

PROC EE

Figure 7-1. Scope of Names

Serial Structure Parallel Structure ist half AB[0] ist half AB[0] 2d half AB[0] ist half AB[1] ist half AB(2) KYTOI 1st half AB[1] 1st half AB[3] 2d half AB[1] 2d half AB[0] 2d half AB[1] XX[1] 1st half AB[2] 2d half AB[2] 2d half AB(2) 2d half AB(3) XXIOI XX[2] ist haif AB[3] XY[1] 2d half AB(3) XY[2] XY (3) XY[3]

Example: Table MN has 2 items, AB and XY, and 4 entries, 0, 1, 2, and 3.

Item AB occupies 2 words.

Item XY occupies 1 word.

Note: 12 consecutive computer words are shown in each illustration above.

Figure 7=2. Serial and Parallel Table Structure

Tight Structure

entry [0] entry [1] entry [2] entry [3] entry [4] entry [5]

A table of six entries is medium packed, three entries to the word.

OVERLAY AA, AB, AC : BA, (BX : BY, BZ), BC ;

AC

AA

AB

BA

BX

ВС

BY BZ

	STRUCTUI	RE	AA,100	,(BB EE)	CC,EE,DD
cc	AA		AA		CC	
100		100				
EE	ВВ	EE	ВВ			
DD					DD	
200						200
FF						FF
GG						GG

Figure 7=4, Allocation of a Related Structure

entrance	number	sta	tusiconstant	
normal	0	V(procedureinam	e)
first alter	# 4.06 (M. C.)	1	V C	
alternatese	ntrance:name)		
second alte	rnate	2	٧(
alternatesa	ntwance.name	•		

RELATIVE	WORD	SERIAL		PARALLEL
0	1	AB[0,0,0]	1	AB[0,0,0]
1	2	AB[0,0,0]	1	AB[0,0,1]
2		XX[0,0,0]	1	AB[0,1,0]
3	1	AB[0,0,1]	1	
4	2	AB[0,0,1]	1	AB(0,2,0)
5		XY[0,0,1]	1	
6	1	AB[0,1,0]	1	
7	2	AB(0,1,0	1	AB[0,3,1]
8		XY[0,1,0]	1	AB[1,0,0]
9	1	AB[0,1,1]	1	
10	2	AB[0,1,1]	1	AB[1,1,0]
11		XY[0,1,1]	1	AB(1,1,1)
12	1	AB[0,2,0]	1	AB[1,2,0]
13	2	AB[0,2,0]	1	AB[1,2,1]
14		XY(0,2,0)	1	AB[1,3,0]
15	1	AB[0,2,1]	1	AB(1,3,1)
16	2	AB[0,2,1]	1	AB(2,0,0)
17		XY(0,2,1)	1	AB[2,0,1]
18	1	AB[0,3,0]	1	AB[2,1,0]
19	2	AB[0,3,0]	1	AB[2,1,1]
20		XY[0,3,0]		AB[2,2,0]
21	1	AB(0,3,1)	1	
22	2	AB[0,3,1]	1	AB[2,3,0]
23	ASSES A	XY(0,3,1)	1	AB[2,3,1]
24	1	AB[1,0,0]	-	AB[0,0,0]
25	2	AB[1,0,0]	2	AB(0,0,1)
		, ,		
62		XY(2,2,0)		XY[1,3,0]
63	1	AB(2,2,1)		XY(1,3,1)
64	2	AB [2,2,1]		XY[2,0,0]
65	-	XY(2,2,1)		XY[2,0,1]
66	1	AB(2,3,0)		XY(2,1,0)
67	2	AB(2,3,0)		XY[2,1,1]
68	1 2	XY[2,3,0]		XY(2,2,0)
69	1	AB(2,3,1)		XY(2,2,1)
70	2	AB(2,3,1)		XY[2,3,0]
71		XY[2,3,1]		XY[2,3,1]
		And the second second		

Figure 10=1 Indexing and Storage Allocation

		Bits				Bits
0=1 22=31	2=11	12=21	22=31	0=1	2=11	12=21
0	DD	BB[0,0]	BB[0,1]	bB[0,2]		BB[0,0]
BB[0,1]	BB[0,2]	BB[0,3]	BB[0,4]	BB[0,5]		BB[0,3]
BB[0,4]	BB[0,5]	BB[0,6]	BB[0,7]			BB [0,6]
BB[0,7]	BB[1,0]	BB[1,0]		BB[1,2]		BB[1,1]
BB[1,2]	BB[1,3]	Annual trade				
BB [1,5]	BB[1,6]	BB[1,3]		BB[1,5]		BB[1,4]
5 BB[2,0]	BB (2,1)	BB[1,6]	BB[1,7]			BB[1,7]
6 BB[2,3]	BB[2,4]	BB[2,0]	BB[2,1]	BB[2,2]		BB[2,2]
7		BB[2,3]	BB[2,4]	BB [2,5]		BB[2,5]
BB[2,6]	BB[2,7]	BB[2,6]	BB[2,7]			BB[3,0]
BB [3,1]	BB[3,2]	BB[3,0]	BB[3,1]	BB [3,2]		BB[3,3]
BE[3,4]	BB (3,5)	BB (3,3)	BB[3,4]	BB (3,5)		BB[3,6]
BB[3,7]	BB[4,0]	BB[3,6]	BB[3,7]			BB[4,1]
BB[4/2]	BB[4,3]		SECTION OF SHEET			
12 BB[4,5]	BB[4,6]	BB[4,0]	BB[4,1]	BB[4,2]		BB[4,4]
13 BB[5,0]	BB (5,1)	BB [4,3]	BB[4,4]	BB[4,5]		BB[4,7]
14 BB (5,3)	BB [5,4]	BB [4,6]	BB[4,7]			BB [5,2]
15		BB [5,0]	BB[5,1]	BB [5,2]		BB [5,5]
BB [5,6] 16 17	BB [5,7]	BB [5,3] BB [5,6]	BB[5,4] BB[5,7]	BB [5,5]		

Figure 10-2 Indexing and Allocating Tight Structure Tables

34

0

4		
ВА	ACKGROUND:	
	Maj. Smith AFCS called IS at the suggestion of Col. McGinnis.	1
	Maj. Smith asked that someone from here call Sgt. Mixon, AFCS/DOMA, 465=2295 to provide AFCS with information on whether or not there is commercially available equipment at their price(about Sik per month per installation) that they can use in satisfying their requirement.	1
PF	ROBLEM:	
	AFCS has stations world-wide that they would like to replace. There are a total of 12 locations. The locations seem to consist of low data rate relay stations. The major job is to call up preformatted message forms which include the addresses. Teletypes which activate a tape punch directly are used To fill in the message information. After a number of messages have been put on tape the tape is read into a tape reader which puts out the info for the comm lines.	2
	The maximum message size is twelve 70 character lines. The tape itself is five level Baud 0 code which is 11/16 inch wide.	2
4	The baud rate is only 50.	2
	They would like to replace the present equipment, up to the point where the tape itself is punched, by three CRT's and a 'black box' that can be used to call up the formats, fill in the blancks and insert the messages, edit on line, store the work of three men in one location where the information can be output in a single stream to punch the tape that gets carried over to the tape reader and then out over the comm lines.	2
	They figure they can save 48 people if they can get this equipment set up and properly working.	2
cc	OURSE OF ACTION:	
	Start with the terminal study that was done in ISIM (the reason we were given this honor in the first place).	3
	Check with ISF to see what they can contribute.	3
	Check with jim pope who should be 'up' on these typees of things,	3
	Call Sgt, Mixon by this Friday or by Monday at the latest. If not by then, then the call should be the following Friday(TDY).	3

1

DISCUSSION WITH FRANK TROILO: 4 Frank gave the opinion that the technology is available to do this however, the equipment that is available is all eight level code which is not compatible with the five level code that is presently in use. 4a His first suggestion was that they get rid of the tape punchers and tape readers and go directly to the comm input. I explained that they didn't want to make waves that big. 4h His second suggestion was that they throw out the slow 50 baud network and substitute a modern high speed eight baud level net. I indicated that probably you couldn't do that for about \$1K per 4c month. I think that Frank's suggestions are good, or at least similar to the ones I would make myself, but ... 46 The next reasonable alternative is to build a converter to Cut eight baud back to five baud level. But this throws away a lot of capability that you paid for in the first place and limits the character reportory. 4e Frank indicated that he was quite heavily loaded and would prefer not to take the thing over at this time, but he said he would be glad to act as a consultant. 4 £ DISCUSSION WITH JIM POPE 5 The call to pope resulted in some interesting revelations: 5a 1. AFCS has already completed a study and come up with exactly what we were asked to do. The 'bosses' in NCA like it and want ot buy a version for checkou, but the Sergeants do not. So 5a1 things have come to a screeching halt. 2. Dave Griffin of Comm has done a study on the same problem for AFCS and come up with a \$50K solution for the first model. He has been checking wwith Jim Pope also. 5a2 3. We are apparently the ones that AFCS has chosen to go to for a third opinion. 5a3 Jim agreed to Call Sgt, Mixon to day, He will let me know what happens as a result. (Jim knows both Maj. Smith and Sgt. Mixon) 5b

Pso Schedule

The schedule	for Donna and Sharon is identical:	1
Monday	1030 = 1700 (every other week)	1a
Tuesday	1230 = 1700	1b
Wednesday	1030 = 1700	ic
Thursday	1230 - 1700	1d
Friday	1030 - 1700	1e
Duayna is sch	heduled for:	2
Monday	1430 - 1700	2a
Tuesday	0815 = 1000 and 1530 = 1700	26
Wednesday	1500 = 1700	20
Thursday	1430 - 1700	2d
Friday	1430 - 1700	2e

Me	eting with PTI 9=25=74	1
	Present were:	1a
	Dr. Elizabeth Cuthill (NSRDC)	141
	Larry Avrunin (NSRDC)	1a2
	Frank Brignoli (NSRDC	1a3
	Arleigh Marlsham (?) (PTI	1a4
	Stan Goldberg (PTI)	1a5
	Regional Manager	1a5a
	Urban Technology System	1a5b
	Public Technology Inc.	1a5c
	1140 Connecticut Ave. N.W.	1a5d
	Washington, D.C. 20036	145e
	Tel: 202=223=8240	1855
	Mr. Joseph D. Antinucci (NSF)	146
	Program Manager	1a6a
	Federal Laboratories Liaison	146b
	Office of Intergovernmental Science and Research Utilization	1a6c
	Room 601	1a6d
	National Science Foundation	1a6e
	1800 G St. N.W.	1a6f
	Washington, D.C. 20550	1869
	Tel: 202=632=5924	1a6h
	Discussed	1h

Mr. Antinucci of NSF is program manager for a DOD consortium of Army, Navy, and Air Force labs (approx. 30). This is becoming a federal consortium of labs and is expected to grow to include

non-DOD labs (maybe 700 throughout USA). They want technology transfer from DOD out. Currently such transfer occurs thru meetings, telephone calls, etc. They might like to automate it. (This is the part amenable to NLS). They also have an information acquisition and dissemination problem; mentioned NTIS & 1498"s as example. (this is the part not directly addressed by NLS; they will continue to have a problem for some time to come).

161

Messrs. Goldberg and Marlsham (?) are members of Public Technology Inc. (PTI), a not for profit organization organized by a number of state and local government associations. They are 3 months into an NSF funded 53 month experiment in which they have set up a network of 27 cities and counties with populations in the range of 50K to 500K. In each one, they have placed a staff technology agent (a technical manager if I understood correctly) who works with the city to identify problem areas, etc. amenable to technology transfer. They want a automated system that would allow their agents to communicate such items as problems, solutions existing and proposed, and background research material. (They fall, in my opinion, directly under the umbrella of NLS services).

162

I demonstrated NLS to them, offered to allow them experimental use of the system under our group, and suggested they contact ARC directly for a detailed explanation of the NLS service.

163

7	This file has two purposes:	1
	Give me a file to play with while I learn NLS=8	1 8
	To document some of my findings as I go along.	11
77	NOTA BENE: THIS IS A PERSONAL FILE WHICH I MAY CHOOSE TO MAIL FOR THE PURPOSE OF LETTING SRI KNOW MY REACTIONS. TAKE THE COMMENTS IN THE SPIRIT IN WHICH THEY ARE OFFERED. THERE IS NO INTENT TO SLANDER OR LIBEL ANY INDIVIDUAL OR ORGANIZATION. EXCEPT POSSIBLY "THEY" WHICH IS UNDEFINED AND INDEFINITE.	
2	25 Sep 74	3
	The PROCESS command does not seem to exist in the TTY mode. All I am able to get is print and playback.	36
2	27 Sep 74	4
	I think Stoney reported that he was unable to get a printfile going in any of the modes. I too have managed nothing except to get the header in.	44
)	In addition I can't seem to find something in NLS=8, that works, that will do the old ODT,	41:
	In the SENDMAIL subsystem, I think I indicated earlier that the source request confused the hell out of me, but I got that squared away. Today I didn't use the interrogate Command as I usually do for mail. So I went through it by myself without the prompts from interrogate, when I got to the point where I wanted to tell it where the material was I typed an s and got sendamail. So went to HELP after looking over the menu that I got from the ?. While in the HELP I typed source and was told HELP couldn't find it. So I tried a ? scmetime later and was told ? is not available call ARC. Consider yourself called.	40
	I am finding the HELP helpful, now that I have gotten some experience and have a feel for its capabiltes and, forgive me, limitations.	40
	The new feature of having the command go away after it is executed takes a little bit of getting used to. BUT, at least it is a failsafe feature. I screwed up on occasion when I forgot that my last command was a jump or a delete using the "old" NLS.	4e
	Inserting statements, I find the control b and the control e options very helpful, particularly on the TTY, combining them with the feedback Option for levadj, should make it relatively	

	simple for novices to keep track of what they are doing on the TTY.	41
30	Sep 74	5
	My major complaint is that the system is so slow.	5 6
	Second comes the inability to make a print copy.	51
	Third I guess is the absence of the user-progs. Otherwise things seem to be running fairly smooth on the IMLAC. Of course, I have not gone too far into some of the new features. First I am trying to see what it takes to transition from NLS-7 to -8.	50
	My conclusions to this point are that the IMLAC user will not have too much trouble in doing his daily work in his accustomed manner. As he develops skill he will start using the new features in much the way people have been doing all along. The TTY user, flying blind as it were, is going to have a very difficult time. I feel that some intensive retraining is needed. Since most of our users here are TTY users I think we have a problem that calls for a	
	serious look at our training requirements.	50

Close=out Report = Maj. smith, Sgt. Mixon, AFCS

This is several pages long don't print it out on a teletype terminal.

3d

BACKGROUND: Maj. Smith AFCS called IS at the suggestion of Col. McGinnis. 1a Maj. Smith asked that someone from here call Sgt. Mixon, AFCS/DOMA, 465=2295 to provide AFCS with information on whether or not there is commercially available equipment at their price(about Sik per month per installation) that they can use in satisfying 1b their requirement. 2 PROBLEM: AFCs has stations world-wide that they would like to replace, There are a total of 12 locations. The locations seem to consist of low data rate relay stations. The major job is to call up preformatted message forms which include the addresses. Teletypes which activate a tape punch directly are used To fill in the message information. After a number of messages have been put on tape the tape is read into a tape reader which puts out the info for the comm lines. 2a The maximum message size is twelve 70 character lines. The tape itself is five level Baud O code which is 11/16 inch wide. 2b The baud rate is only 50. 20 They would like to replace the present equipment, up to the point where the tape itself is punched, by three CRT's and a "black box" that can be used to call up the formats, fill in the blancks and insert the messages, edit on line, store the work of three men in one location where the information can be output in a single stream to punch the tape that gets carried over to the tape reader and then out over the comm lines. 2d They figure they can save 48 people if they can get this equipment set up and properly working. 2e COURSE OF ACTION: 3 Start with the terminal study that was done in ISIM (the reason we were given this honor in the first place). 34 Check with ISF to see what they can contribute. 3b Check with Jim Pope who should be "up" on these typees of things. 3 C

Call Sgt. Mixon by this Friday or by Monday at the latest. If not

by then, then the call should be the following Friday(TDY),

DISCUSSION WITH FRANK TROILO:	
Frank gave the opinion that the technology is available to do this however, the equipment that is available is all eight level code which is not compatible with the five level code that is presently in use.	44
His first suggestion was that they get rid of the tape punchers and tape readers and go directly to the comm input. I explained that they didn't want to make waves that big.	41
His second suggestion was that they throw out the slow 50 baud network and substitute a modern high speed eight baud level net. I indicated that probably you couldn't do that for about slk per month.	40
I think that Frank's suggestions are good, or at least similar to the ones I would make myself, but	40
The next reasonable alternative is to build a converter to cut eight baud back to five baud level. But this throws away a lot of capability that you paid for in the first place and limits the character reportory.	46
Frank indicated that he was quite heavily loaded and would prefer not to take the thing over at this time, but he said he would be glad to act as a consultant.	41
DISCUSSION WITH JIM POPE:	5
The call to pope resulted in some interesting revelations:	5 a
1. AFCS has already completed a study and come up with exactly what we were asked to do. The "bosses" in NCA like it and want to buy a version for checkout, but the Sergeants do not, So things have come to a screeching halt.	5ai
 Dave Griffin of Comm has done a study on the same problem for AFCS and Come up with a \$50K solution for the first model. He has been checking wwith Jim Pope also. 	5a2
3. We are apparently the ones that AFCS has chosen to go to for a third opinion,	5a3
Jim agreed to call sgt. Mixon today. He will let me know what happens as a result. (Jim knows both Maj. Smith and Sgt. Mixon)	5 b

DISCUSSION BETWEEN JIM POPE AND SGT. MIXON:

Jim Pope told Sqt. Mixon of the work that they did at NCA on the problem of replacing the teletype/tape punch equipment with display terminals.

Although there are a number of of ways of soving their problem, the cleanest solution seems to be the Raytheon UPI Editing System. This consists of a mini-computer with as many CRT's as you want. Three is well within the capability of the mini-. The system uses Cassette tapes and is a five level Baud O system that was designed for such use. The kicker is that the system sells for about 50K and I have no idea of what it would rent for but 10 to 12K per year is not unreasonable.

The Raytheon point of contact is Dan Kelly 443=9521 x2341. (Can get him through Hansoom switchboard)

STATUS:

There are of course, many alternatives. One of these is the use of the Beehive terminal with a suitable means of getting the formats stored so that they can be inputted to the internal logic of the display terminal and displayed so that the messages can be inserted. Then a sendprint type of command would output the stored messages to the punch.

Jim proposes the use of a Sykes recorder and Frank Troilo suggests the use of hardwired boards to provide the fixed formats.

I will do a little more homework and then call Sqt. Mixon.

Discussion with Frank Troilo

I reviewed the situation and what I had been able to determine with Frank. We agreed that the five level Baudot code was a limiting factor and the Raytheon approach, which seemingly was designed to do the job that AFCS was after, seemed to be the best one. It is possible by doing a lot of work, maybe 2 or 3 man-months we might be able to come up with something better. But this does not appear to be either desirable or cost-effective.

The Super-Bee/Tape Cassette seems an interesting possibility, but again there is a limit inasmuch as it is eight level and again we would need a way to cut back to five level.

Frank said he has the specs and will check to see if any of these machines have a five level option. I told him to cool it until after I called AFCS.

6

6b

0.4

7a

7.

70

R

8 a

...

86

8 C

		and the fact that it is outmode would ask about the code,	ed 8d
Telecall to Sgt. M	ixon		9
The only additi	onal innformation w per second rate is	eplay of what we already knew, as that the five level Baudot required by the Comm systems	
The two systems Communications		d the IKO (International	96
eight level cod The changeover the Sgt, to the	e for the last seve is however still pl fact that whatever	ing to update these to modern ral years it has not been done anned for the future. I alere they buy it must be capable then the time comes.	e. ted
		alternatives that I had picked ably available. These were:	9 9 4
The Raytheon	UPI System		9d1
	terminal = with so be would be my firs	me method of storing the t choice.	9d2
		ich was designed as a d the ruggedized 33,	9d3
The MILGO MO	del 40+, Designed	to compete with the model 40	944
The VT-50 DE	scope		9d5
The Bendix m	essage oriented CRT		9d6
I pointed out to	Sgt. Mixon that b	asically he faced two options:	9e
He could opt expensive min		rminals tied to a fairly	9e1
He could go	for the more expens	ive 'intelligent' terminals.	9e2
The cost of the be roughly compa		her of these two options would	91

In addition I Pointed out to him the dangers of selecting equipment and trying to configure a system using components not designed to play together. I suggested that the best Option for

him at this time is the Raytheon. Strangely enough he din't seem to be interested in anything except the cost. Then he indicated that AFCS needed to perform a cost-effectiveness study to get any approval to do anything. His major goal seems to have been to assure himself that something can be bought on the commercial market and to determine the approximate cost of that something. He stated that in any event AFCS would go out open bid.

90

I recommended to him that he get a copy of the current Modern Data 1978epfor a review of the current state of the art and a listing of the most prominent, and stable manufactureres. In fact, I offered to send him a copy but he wasn't interested. I guess he already had all he needed.

9h

FUTURE ACTION:

10

10a

It was left at the level of "Don't call us - We'll call you. He has my name and number and I fervently hope he loses them.

My travel this week == 10/2 =10/4=5

I am 'forced' to return to "God's" country, to attend meetings at the Army Labs located at NASA/AMES. I'll arrrive Wed. 10/2, around noon. I'll drop by to spend some time with you and your people. I'd like to work out a few mysteries on/in NNLS. Also have further conversations with JHB, et al, regarding BRL training sessions. I'll be glaad to spend late hours, after we finish oursessions at AMES = even Sat. am is a possibility. Will see you Wed, afternoon.

Well, Inez Mattiuz is now fully debriefed after your Architect's workshop on the West Coast; she related how a number of you arch's, were less than enthusiastic about the support provided to date by ARC.

She also mentioned that the arch's, were planning to get together informally to exhange information and opinions regarding use of the system, and I agreed with her that this seemed to be a fairly promising alternative given the current state of affairs, In this context, I was wondering if you or any of your staff would be incrested in speaking with either Inez, or Penny Napke, the programmer here who is developing the info. ret'l, package (based on the Content Analyzer subsystem) for our use in H.Q. Planning, I Know we talked about this possiblity when we last met (first met), and I'd like to know if you feel this would be an appropriate time. Inez and I would be interested in any othe ideas you might have relating to the exchange of information between our two groups. I think we all recognize that (whatever its shortcomings) we have a pretty valuable tool here, and we're interested in exploring its potential for putting groups such as yours and ours in closer touch. Look forward to hearing from you.

copy of Bedford to Ruggles memo re coop'n between groups

Well, Inez Mattiuz is now fully debriefed after your Architect's workshop on the West Coast; she related how a number of you arch's, were less than enthusiastic about the support provided to date by ARC.

She also mentioned that the arch's, were planning to get together informally to exhange information and opinions regarding use of the system, and I agreed with her that this seemed to be a fairly promising alternative given the current state of affairs. In this context, I was wondering if you or any of your staff would be incrested in speaking with either Inez, or Penny Napke, the programmer here who is developing the info. ret*1. package (based on the Content Analyzer subsystem) for our use in H.Q. Planning. I know we talked about this possiblity when we last met (first met), and I'd like to know if you feel this would be an appropriate time. Inez and I would be interested in any othe ideas you might have relating to the exchange of information between our two groups. I think we all recognize that (whatever its shortcomings) we have a pretty valuable tool here, and we're interested in exploring its potential for putting groups such as yours and ours in closer touch. Look forward to hearing from you.

insw

o This is a subset of on of the nsw reports, for your info, I have to journal it to free up some space.

THE NATIONAL SOFTWARE WORKS

1

INTRODUCTION

2

The production and maintenance of large programs is still an outrageously expensive activity. The costs are not only high, but also difficult to predict or control. Aside from the manifest payoffs derived from the use of compilers and (some) operating systems and a certain amount of improvement experienced by programmers who code interactively, it is not at all clear that the last twenty years of research and development in programming technology have made any serious dent in the problem.

2 a

This situation is particularly interesting in the light of a general suspicion that, in principle, the problem ought to be eased by the creation of better software to support the program production and maintenance process for surely a great deal has been spent in the effort to invent just such software. The reasons for our failure are arguable and a variety of hypotheses have been put forward:

2b

- that the necessary tools -- or, at least, many of them -- exist in the research centers but are not being effectively delivered to the practical programming community

251

that feedback from the user community has insufficient influence on the research laboratories, so that research emphasis if unrelated to user needs

2b2

- that the necessary tools exist, but are diffused over a variety of hardwares in many physical locations; the problem is that of difficulty of access.

2b3

Each of these hypotheses == and the list may readily be extended == doubtless contains a certain amount of truth, and collectively they surely suggest that dramatic improvements in the way programs are built are less likely to come from marginal improvements in present tools (or the invention of some magical new one) than from better methods of tool access and delivery, and better communication between research laboratory and end user.

20

The idea of a National Software Works (NSW) on the ARPANET [1] arose fairly maturally from these considerations. If some number of end users were put on the network, and enough additional off-the-shelf software were brought up on the network to supply a complete set of conventional tools == compilers, documentation aids, debugging systems, etc. == for normal program development work, some useful results might be expected to follow:

2 d

- * The user would immediately have more convenient access to standard tools unavailable on his own hardware (or seldom available if his hardware is often tied up running production). 2d1
 -
- * The user would find it easy to access novel tools in use at research facilities presently on the network, but not otherwise available to him.
- 2d2
- * Contact between the research laboratories and the user community Would naturally improve.

283

In sum, the NSW might both immediately improve the present situation of the user, and in the long term, provide an effective vehicle for the communication of need from user to researcher and of responsive tool from researcher to user.

2 e

It was soon recognized, however, that a view of the NSW as a mere lash-up of tools which happened to reside on the ARPANET would be extremely short-sighted. The fact that all programmer contact with tools would pass through a common communication mechanism with immense computing resources created a golden opportunity for the study -- and perhaps control -- of the whole process of large program creation and maintenance. This thought was particularly attractive in the light of our feeling that one Of the most weakly supported areas in the production and maintenance process is project management: the absence of any tool which keeps track of what is going on, relating particular programmer activities to each other or to the overall picture, appears on the face of it rather a bad idea.

24

To clarify the sort of support we have in mind and to suggest its influence upon the NSW design, we will digress briefly to talk in general about the program production process.

29

THE PROGRAMMING PROCESS

3

In the production of a large program, numerous programmers cooperate in a venture whose end product is, in some sense, a single entity. In the course of their work toward this goal, programmers prepare, edit, and manipulate a very large number of pieces of "text" of various types: routines in a programming language, data descriptions, structured data objects, modules of object code produced by a compiler, assemblages of such modules linked together by a link editor, items of program documentation, and so on.

3 a

To the degree that all of these types of text are either machine-processable or machine-producible, it is reasonable to say that they are all either prepared (and repaired) by programmers or

produced by "tools", by which we mean elements of support software invoked by programmers to operate on pieces of text.

36

The number of such pieces of text which come into existence in the course of a large project can be astronomical, and even the number in some kind of active status at a particular time is likely to be huge. It ought to be clear that any absence of control over this large and shifting inventory material is an invitation to confusion and the almost total absence of any support software for "inventory control" might have something to do with the high and uncontrolled cost of program production (and perhaps something to do with our difficulties in figuring out what we are doing wrong).

30

Suppose by contrast that the total inventory of text pieces were explicitly regarded as one logically integrated data base == the Project File == and that some piece of support software were charged with the responsibility of managing that data base. This piece of software = for the moment, let us call it the File Manager = would, of course, keep books on the contents of the Project File. These books would include not only the character and status of each item in the Project File, but also its relationship to other items in the File (that A is a later version of B, that C is the object code module corresponding to COBOL text D, and so on).

3 d

It should be obvious that, if we have designed the books correctly and arranged matters so that they are always kept accurately and completely, they provide the data crucial to any serious attempt by management to explore or control what is happening in the project.

3e

It is, of course, essential to any interesting use of the project books that they be always complete and correct, that there be no path on entry to the Project Files unquarded by the File Manager. This suggests strongly that an individual programmer's use of his tools == at least when that use yields a non-transitory (filed) result == must always be reported to (and, perhaps, controlled by) the File Manager.

.

To arrange matters so that this requirement is met is extremely difficult when the support software designer is confined to the resources of a particular local hardware: to keep the File Manager and its books effectively on line at all times may be insupportably expensive. Indeed, if a projects development work is performed on several computers with no communication among them, it may be logically impossible to create a reasonable File Manager. Thus, it is not surprising that there has been no serious attempt to provide a facility of the sort we have described: at least the naturalness, if not the feasibility, of

the idea depends on a unification and scale of computing resource found only in gigantic machines or in networks.

30

THE NSW ENVIRONMENT

Against the background of our feeling that serious progress in rationalizing large program production will come less from the polishing of particular tools than from a frontal attack on the issue of improved access to tools and centralized management of the vast inventory of text floating around a large project, the logic of our strategy for the National Software Works becomes easy to see.

- First of all, it is our intent to put a projects programmers on-line to the ARPANET. This has the immediate effect of giving them access to many tools unavailable on their own local hardware.

4a1

- Second, we will supply interactive editing packages, both a general text editor and editors which "speak" one or two common programming languages; the effect of such tools in facilitating program preparation and modification is too well known to require any defense here.

4a2

= Third, projects will be able to store these files on very inexpensive on-line mass storage devices (the Datacomputer (2)). This should relieve a considerable part of a projects local off-line file maintenance problems, and facilitate load-sharing, when the projects local computer is busy.

4a3

- Fourth, a File Manager will be always on-line monitoring the content and structure of the projects files and keeping the books up to date, as text pieces are created and manipulated.

4a4

The presence of the first three facilities will permit the project to conduct its business more or less as it does now (using the same languages, the same tools, etc.) with certain improvements in ease of tool access and foreign hardware access, editing, and file management. In addition, the project may, at its option, experiment with the use of different tools scattered around the network.

4b

The fourth fecility opens the door to some genuinely new ways of controlling projects in the future. To begin with, a fairly powerful query system will be provided to answer questions about any filed entity: what it is, where it came from, what other entities depend on it, etc. Later we will introduce a variety of experimental tools for project control which use the File Manager's books as their primary data or use the fact of the File

Manager's existence as their means of invocation (after all, the later provides a single control point "awakened" every time anything interesting happens). Here are some proposed tools:

40

* Project Status Reporter: This relates the present status of the files to the Overall project plan (in machine-readable form), identifying bottlenecks, critical paths, etc.

401

* Project Accountant: This produces reports on the frequency and cost of various patterns of activity interesting to project management.

402

* Policy Enforcer: Everybody in Section A must use the same version of function X; no programmer may link up two routines until each is adjudged debugger by a section manager; no programmer may start debugging until all his code is written; no programmer may write any code for phase 2 of the project until he has written all his code for phase 1: no programmer may start writing a new routine until his last is documented, The above list of (rather inane) policy dicta are meant to suggest a large family of more reasonable policies which might apply to some or all programmers at various phases of a project. If a plausible way of expressing such dicta in machine-readable form can be developed, it is no great trick to devise a tool which is invoked by the File Manager to verify that the present action of some programmer is consistent with policy, so that the action may be inhibited or permitted accordingly.

4c3

The use of such new tools by the project would

4d

of course, be optional. In any event, the research community can make use of such tools to collect the data it needs to discover what makes program development and maintenance so expensive.

4e

SUPPORTING TECHNOLOGY

5

Virtually all multi-program operating systems have attempted to create a suitable programming environment by providing a set of tools. Some merely provided a library from which tools could be selected one at a time by the programmer. Others, like MULTICS [3], CP=67 [4], VS [5], and TENEX [6], have provided an on-line environment for program building and debugging.

5a

All of these systems have been built on a single computer and this has severely limited their capability to provide the type of environment described in the previous section. In fact, until recently a combination of several such hardware and software technical problems existed which prevented the conception and

implementation of this type of environment. These problems and their solution in the NSW are given below.

5b

i. Single machine implementation (all tools provided had to exist on the same machine): Computer networks, such as the ARPA Network [i], have established a communication mechanism whereby cooperating programs in different machines can function together as a single system (the technical basis for eliminating these problems are provided by computer networks, centralized mass storage, the Programmer's Interface [7], ACTORS [8], and Execution Machines (see System Description section below). The Programmer's Interface has utilized this net technology to create an on-line programming environment combining tools which run on different machines.

50

2, Non-integrated "tool-at-a-time" systems: current systems either segregate their tools into non-interacting components which are invoked one at a time or else provide highly complex integrated versions of these with the interactions between them built into the systems themselves. The type of programming environment we envision requires that actions or events in one part of the system permeate throughout the rest to maintain consistency and coordination between the component parts. The concept of ACTORS, by externalizing and removing the control and communication between the component parts, greatly simplifies constructing an integrated and coordinated system.

5 d

3. Machine Independence: although tools running on different machines may be integrated into a single one the technology does not exist to run a single program on several different machines and obtain the same results. Therefore, software being produced must be executed and tested on the machine for which it is intended to run in production mode. Thus, if the software environment is to be used to produce programs for more than one machine, each of these must be hooked in through the computer network and a small portion of the system replicated on each Execution Machine to provide for translation and run=time monitoring capabilities. The rest of the software environment is common and can be shared independent of the machine for which execution is intended.

.

4. Language Independence: currently, if software is to be produced for more than one language then the tools must either be duplicated in separate and distinct integrated programming environments, or else available in a non-integrated tool-at-a-time mode. The programmer's Interface has shown that many of these tools are language independent or only slightly language dependent and has demonstrated how such tools can be extended to handle a wide set of programming languages. It utilizes the programming

tools (editors, file systems, debuggers, Programmer's Assistant [9], etc.) developed for one language (LISP [10]) for the development of software in other languages (e.g., ECL [11]). It has established interface requirements for other languages which would greatly reduce the effort required to transform these from simple interactive programming languages into an extensive programming environment.

5£

5. Economics: in addition to the costs of creating an appropriate programming environment, addressed above, there are several economic factors which currently limit the use and utility of existing programming environments. Most machines are sized for their production requirements not their development ones. Hence, typically they do not contain enough mass storage for the files that would be required in an on-line environment, nor enough memory to support both the code being developed and the tools for that development.

50

Additionally, access to the system is limited by the priorities of the production work load. Networking and economies of scale provide solutions by providing access to a system specifically designed and sized for software development and on which no production workload exists. Changes would be based on usage and development costs for the system spread over a much wider community of users because of the language and machine independence aspects of the system. In addition, very cost effective mass storage can be provided (by the Datacomputer [2]) which provides a trillion bit on-line memory at a cost of about a dollar per megabit per year.

5h

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The hardware for the NSW, shown in Figure 1, consists of three logical components interconnected by the ARPA Network: The data computer, composed of a trillion bit store and a file management system in the Mass Storage component. The Execution Machine component is a set of machines responsible for running the program being developed and for collecting data on its execution. For each program being developed, the Execution Machine chosen is automatically the same as the production machine for that program. Thus, during development, a program is executed on the same (actually a copy of the) machine it will run on during production. This mechanism eliminates all machine=dependence compatibility issues at the cost of replicating the execution software in each machine for which this capability is desired and the cost of having that machine available in the NSW, On the other hand, it provides the great advantage of allowing the final component, the Interactive Machine (or machines), to be independent of the choice of production machine, thereby allowing it to handle a wider set

of implementation efforts. This component contains most of the system's software and provides all of the facilities of the NSW except those described above.

6a

The ARPA Network not only interconnects the NSW components, it also provides access for users to the system and supports a variety of terminals. However, the NSW will be oriented towards the use of high capacity video terminals.

6 b

Although the system is distributed across the ARPA Network, it is organized so that neither the user nor the component software modules are aware of this. The user sees a single integrated facility. The mechanisms described in the Framework Section enable modules either locally or remotely connected to communicate without knowing each others precise location.

60

TOOL INTEGRATION

.

Our discussion so far has concentrated on issues of tool access and integration of project data management; we have not yet talked about tools themselves. It should be clearly understood that it is not the intention of the NSW to go into massive tool production. Initially, standard tools will be brought up on the various machines in the network, and novel ones will continually be brought up by the research organizations as they have been in the past. In time, improved versions of old tools or quite new ones may be developed specifically for the NSW, as the user's needs become clearer, but there is no present plan for major activity along these lines.

7a

This reliance upon tools which already exist = or will develop = outside the NSW creates the obvious problem of integration of such foreign objects into the NSW. Here there are a number of issues:

* Arranging communication between the tool and the rest of the system (the project Files, the local console, etc.).

7b1

* Providing standard linguistic conventions for programmers to use when talking to any tool: Surely the highest level command language utterances which invoke tools should be standard over the whole tool population, whether lower level transactions with various tools can be standardized at reasonable expense is debatable.

752

* Providing, for each tool, on line instruction in its use.

7b3

* Constraining tool contact with the Project Files to be consistent with the File Manager's role as infallable guard.

Certain of these problems must be met squarely: thus, the NSW will enable communication to happen, and will provide a consistent high-level command language. Others may be impractical to solve in any complete or consistent way: thus some tool may have so idiosyncratic a pattern of on-line communication that it would be prohibitively expensive to distort it to what might be called a standard form; on-line instruction might plausibly be quite complete for a small, unusual tool, but we have no intention of demanding a while CIA course in COBCL programming as a necessary accompaniment for a COBOL compiler.

7 c

The last issue raised may deserve some discussion. The point is that every effort to deliver filed text to a tool or to a file text produced by a tool be subjected to whatever inhibitions the File Manager may then be authorized to impose and particularly by the requirements that enough information be suppplied to permit the books to be kept up to date.

78

Ideally, foreign tools should be "naturalized", by which we mean that they should be so modified that this information all gets transmitted behind the programmer's back, through negotiation between the tool and the File Manager: thus a tool might accompany each piece of text produced by a standard chunk of descriptive information. In practice, the modification of some tools may be impractically expensive; in this situation, attempts to file tool output will invoke a standard conversational program which extracts the necessary information from the programmer and blocks filing until it is sufficiently well informed. In effect, we will naturalize tool outputs, if it is too expensive to naturalize the tools themselves.

7 e

FRAMEWORK

.

This naturalization and integration will be effected by the framework which is designed: to allow the system to be quickly put together, to obscure the difference between local and remote communication, and to provide a mechanism for smooth, long=term growth for the inclusion of new facilities and improved cooperation and coordination among the tools within the NSW. This framework consists of two components, The first is a slight extension to the traditional subroutine invocation mechanism. It enables subroutine calls both within the same machine and across the ARPA Network to another machine. This mechanism determines which type of linkage to perform, utilizing the name of the routine being invoked to look up its location. Using this location determines which type of linkage should be performed.

8a

The second mechanism, and Executive, is more complex and is based on a combination of Actors [8], Ports [12], and Co-routines [13]

(see especially Ref. [8]). The Executive acts as an exchange between what is happening and the modules that need to be informed. The Executive, when notified that an action has occurred (or is about to occur), is responsible for invoking (using the mechanism described above) all those modules within the NSW that need to know about this event.

86

Each of the modules within the NSW can be thought of as a self-contained asynchronous unit which utilizes these communication mechanisms to keep informed about events which affect it and to announce its behavior to those concerned. Within this framework each module has four responsibilities: to identify those actions that it performs; to notify the Executive when one of these occurs (or is about to occur); to supply appropriate information to the Executive for interpreting this action; and to request notification from the Executive of other actions of concern.

80

This control structure is highly asynchronous, being driven by the actions that occur and the modules that need to be informed. Although it is specified in an interpretive form, the control structure can be "compiled" into normal intermodule invocations. The important point is that each module does not need to know what other modules are affected by its actions, but only has to agree to announce those actions.

84

Initially the NSW will be composed of large modules with few, if any, actions identified. As such, it will operate in a largely conventional manner without much cooperation between the modules. Over time, the coordination and cooperation between the modules will be gradually tightened through the replacement of these modules and the incorporation of new ones that identify and report more of their behavior and which utilize the framework to keep informed of the behavior of other modules. In this way, the NSW can smoothly evolve toward a more unified and comprehensive set of capabilities.

8 e

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

9

The design reported here is the result of an extensive committee effort spanning several months and involving an on-going network conference as well as frequent meetings. We would like to acknowledge the effort and contribution to the NSW of these committee members:

9a

Barry Boehm (TRW)

0 . 1

John Brown (TRW)

9a2

Michael Busch (CSC)	9a3
F. J. Corbito (MIT)	9a4
Peter Deutsch (XERQX PARC)	9a5
Jerry Feldman (Stanford)	946
Cordell Green (Stanford)	9a7
J. C. R. Licklider (MIT)	9a8
Tom Lippiatt (Rand)	989
Barbara Liskov (MIT)	9a10
Richard Watson (SRI)	9811
Clark Weissman (DaSDC)	9812
We would also like to acknowledge the inputs from the following people who took the time and effort to enlighten us on their software production problem and requirements:	9b
William Clark (NAVSHIPS)	9b1
L/C Robert D Keefe (USAF=ESD)	952
Majer Harold Arthur (USAF-ESD)	963
Norman Glick (NSA)	954
John Mott-Smith (USAF-ESD)	955
Major Zara (USAF=ESD)	956
REFERENCES	10
(1) Roberts, L. G., Wessler, B. D., "Computer Network Development to Achieve Resource Sharing," AFIPS Conference Proceedings, Vol. 36, 1970, pp. 543-549.	10a
(2) Data computer Software Architecture, Data computer Project Working Paper, 5 Febuary 1972, Computer Corporation of America,	10b
(3) Corbato, F. J., and Vyssotsky, V. A., "Introduction and Overview of the Multics System," AFIPS Conf. Proc. 27 (1965 FJCC), Spartan Bocks, Washington, D. C., 1965, pp. 185=196.	100

(4) International Business Machines Corp. 1969 (June), CP=67/CMS, Program 360D=05,2,005, Hawthorne, New York,	100
(5) Auslander, M.A., Jaffee, J. F., Scherr, A. L., and Birch, J. P., "Functional Structure of IBM Virtual Storage operating Systems," IBM Systems Journal, Vol. 12, No. 4, 1973, pp. 368-413.	, 10e
(6) Bobrow, D. G., Burchfiel, J.D., Murphy, D. L., and Tomlinson, R. S. (Bolt Beranek and Newman Inc., Cambridge, MA), TENEX, A Paged Time Sharing System for the PDP=10, August 1971, 29p.	10£
(7) Balzer, R. M., A Language-Independent Programmer's Interface, USC/Information Sciences Institute RR=73=15, November 1973.	109
(8) Hewitt, C., Bishop, P., and Steiger, R., A Universal Modular ACTOR Formalism for Artificial Intelligence, 3IJCAI, 1973, pp. 235=245.	10h
(9) Teitelman, W., Automated Programming = The Programmer's Assistant, FJCC 1972, pp. 917-921.	101
(10) Teitelman, W., Bobrow, D. G., Hartley, A. K., and Murphy, D. L., BBN-LISP TENEX reference manual, BBN Report July 1971.	103
(11) Wegbriet, B., "The ECL Programming System," FJCC 1971, pp. 253-262.	10k
(12) Balzer, R. M., Ports - A Method for Dynamic Interprogram Communication and Job Control, The RAND Corporation, August 1971,	101
(13) Conway, M., "Design of a Separable Transition=Diagram Compiler," CACM, Vol. 6, No. 7, July 1963, pp. 396=398.	10m

Evaluation of Singer's 'The Social Functions of the Telephone,' October 1/74

On the whole it is a neat sort of a document = full of all sorts of interesting stuff of things like party=lines, yellow pages, weather services, crank calls etc.

Also, there's a lot of statistical data of importance to somebody interested in describing average phone use, but little use to most (no. of phones in the bedroom, police reaction to reported crank calls, and no. of calls to a gov't office, etc.

Actually, that second category is sort of interesting, in the sense that each individual item relates to a potential money-making service.

I would have liked to see that the document commissioning this study, to see if it was less academic in nature. The document itself would be more valuable if they introduced some relationships other than purely telephone-oriented ones. (i.e. it is difficult to tell what type of people he was talking to, whether they were real or not). The report needs a different perspective to flash it out, make it more substantial. The text does little more than reproduce the data with appropriate verbs were needed.

The report seems "down" on the phone company in the few instances where the authors' opinions do show through.

pg 155 = public would demand more of company if they knew it was not government controlled (not clear if sample is solely Bell Canada territory).

pg 158 = "surprising" that over 50% L.D. rates were "just right" pg 163 = "only 35 percent" would pay \$.25 for a weather call = "only" 35 percent ???

I think it is a neat document that we might use as a source book for a lot of stuff we do; I presume Bell already has much of this data (from a more reliable sample, too!)

Numbers and NLS-8

I think that the current design for moving copying etc numbers is BAD. One often wants to move numbers etc around that are mixed in with text. I think it should be changed...back to what it was in old NLS.