1	Introduction	1
	1a Data structures will be encoded according to PCPB8 when the physical channel transmits messages which are streams of 8-bit bytes.	1a
2	Data Structure Encoding	2
	2a The first byte of a data structure is a type code, with the type zero having the special interpretation indicating that a key is present for this data structure, non-zero codes indicate element types.	2a
	2b Key	2b
	2b1 FLAG (1 byte) = 0	201
	2b2 VALUE (any element)	252
	2c Elements	2c
	2c1 EMPTY	201
	2Cla TYPE (1 byte) = 1	
	2c1b VALUE (none) empty	
	2C2 BOOLEAN	202
	2c2a TYPE (1 byte) = 2	
	2c2b VALUE (1 byte) boolean	
	2c2b1 FALSE=0	
	2c2b2 TRUE =1	
	2c3 INDEX	203
	2c3a TYPE (1 byte) = 3	

2c3b VALUE (2 bytes) index

2c3b1 The value represents a positive integer in the range 1 through 2\*\*15 = 1

2c4 INTEGER 2c4

2c4a TYPE (1 byte) = 4

2c4b VALUE (4 bytes) two's complement integer

2c5 BITSTR 2c5

2c5a TYPE (1 byte) = 5

2c5b COUNT (3 bytes)

2c5c VALUE (count bits) left adjusted in ((count+7)/8)
bytes)

2C6 CHARSTR 2C6

2c6a TYPE (1 byte) = 6

2c6b COUNT (3 bytes)

2c6c VALUE (count bytes) ascii text

2c7 LIST 2c7

2c7a TYPE (1 byte) = 7

207b COUNT (3 bytes)

2c7b1 Note: Lists of unspecified length are specified by setting the COUNT to all ones. The end of such a list is indicated by a byte of all ones in place of a TYPE field.

2c7c REPEAT (1 byte)

2c7c1 SPECIFIEDELEMENTS=0

2c7cla Count Data Structures

## 2c7c2 REPEATEDELEMENT=1

2c7c2a One Data Structure (representing count repeated instances)

## 2c7c3 REPEATEDVALUE=2

2c7c3a One Type (count, repeat) and count element values

3 Data Structure Format

3

3a

3a1 key

3a1

3a2 element

3a2

1 1

3a2c index

\* 3 \* index \* small positive integer

1 2

4

	2-01		laute-				
	3a2d		*			I-ment integer	
	integer	* 4	*	intege	r * two	s complement integer	
		1		4			
				*			
	3a2e		-		*	+	
		* 5	4	count	* bits *		
		****			**		
		1		3	count	((count+7)/8 bytes)	
	3a2f		-			*	
	charstr	# 6	*	count	* text *	Network ASCII	
		*			count		
		1		2	Counc		
	3a2g						
	list					* count-structures *	
		*			*		
		1		3	1		
4 Ex	amples						4
4	a Empty						4a
	4a1 *	*					
	* 1 *						
							4a1
4	Boolean "T	RUE"					4b
	451 *	*	-#				
	* 2 * 1	*					
	*	*					464
							4b1
							4c
12.1	c Index "7"						7.0
	4c1 *	×					
	* 3 * 0		7 4				
	*			E			
							401

4d	Integer "-3"	40
	4d1 ** * 4 * 255 * 255 * 255 * 253 * **	
		4d1
4e	Bit string "10001111101011"	4 e
	4e1 ** * 5 * 0 * 0 * 14 * 143 * 172 * **	
		4e1
4f	Character string "ABCDE"	4 f
	4f1 ** * 6 * 0 * 0 * 5 * A * B * C * D * E * **	
		4f1
49	List of a character string "ABC" and a boolean "FALSE"	49
	4g1 ********	
		491
	4g2 -****  * A * B * C * 2 * 0 *	
		492
4h	or	4h
	4h1 ** * 7 * 255 * 255 * 255 * 0 * 6 * 0 * 0 * 3	
	*	451

	4n2				
	*	A * B *	C # 2 *	0 * 255 *	
		*			
					4h2
41	List of	two the bool	eans "TRUE"	, "FALSE"	41
	411 *		**		
				2 * 1 * 2 * 0 *	
	**-			***	
					411
50					4.4
43	or				45
	444 N				
				2 * 1 * 0 *	
					411
4K	Boolean	"TRUE" with	character s	tring Key "X1"	4k
			0 * 2 *	X * 1 * 2 * 1 *	
	*				4k1

(J26152) 17-JUL-75 16:55;;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B.
Postel/JBP: Distribution: /NSW([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections:
SRI-ARC NSW; Clerk: JBP; Origin: < POSTEL, PCPB8.NLS;7, >,
17-JUL-75 16:44 JBP;;;;####;

1 26152 Distribution
1a Joseph L. Ehardt, Andy Poggio, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Elizabeth J. Feinler, Kirk Sattley, Ronald P. Unlig, James B. Lloyd,
Frank J. Natoli, Peter C. waal, Elizabeth K. Michael, William E.
Carlson, Steven D. Crocker, David L. Carlstrom, Robert M. Balzer,
Richard W. watson, Lawrence A. Crain, Anthony A.L. Baggiano, Mike A.
Wingfield, Jonathan B. Postel, Robert E. Millstein, Duane L. Stone,
James E. (Jim) White, Albert J. Mayhan, Albert Vezza, Charles H.
Irby, Eugene W. Stubbs, David L. Retz, Stephen T. Walker,

1 XXXXX JOURNAL MAIL

XXXXX

(J26153) 18-JUL-75 18:21;;; Title: Author(s): Andy Poggio/ANDY; Distribution: /ANDY([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: ANDY;

2 Jon, I would like to get from you drafts of milestones, Ehardt PDP 11 memory position paper, and Lehtman NLS WM interface paper by 2:00 today so I can review them and then meet with you and others if needed starting at 3:00 as I would like them to go out either by end of today or early next week while I'm gone and want chance to make one more pass at them. Thanks Dick ----18-JUL-75 10:58:40-EDT,1660:00000000000
Mail from BBN-TENEXB rcvd at 18-JUL-75 1058-EDT
Date: 18 JUL 1975 1056-EDT
Sender: WATSON at BBN-TENEXB
Subject: DEX
From: WATSON at BBN-TENEXB
To: BELLEVILLE, LEHTMAN
Cc: WATSON, Kremers at SRI-AI, POSTEL, MICHAEL, CORNISH

Message=ID: <[BBN=TENEXB]18=JUL=75 10:56:12=EDT.WATSON>

3 Harvey, Bob, Doug feels pretty strongly (I think very) that the think piece on the small 11 floppy disk type Dex paper needs to sit in a paper with whole dex spectrum of which he feels thats one probable end point, If possible I would like to get together with you two at 1:00 to talk about it and anyone else that might be interested . Norton considers Dex his number one development need. I see a staged sort of development plan. stage 0 just getting whats there working and its requirements on hardware etc clear, stage 1 to stage n where depending on how we feel n could be small or large more editing capabilities in cassette type Dex, stage n+1 pdp 11 floppy disk dex. Someplace in all this has to be better interface to sequential world I think or maybe that just falls out of NSW file things I do not know. Anyway in thinking about marketting over next n months I know Development has to have individuals with some time to deal with specific application areas. The DPCS area has three main components Graphics, Dex and evolution of Output Processor and Portrayal generaltion. Am assuming Bob and Elizabeth are key people to help formulate plans here awith help from others as needed. Jan K and C are involved as J K is doing something about stage 0, J C may get involved in building stage 1 with Utility funds but thats not clear vet. Dick ---18-JUL-75 11:11:02-EDT,586:000000000000

Mail from BBN=TENEXB rovd at 18=JUL=75 1111=EDT Date: 18 JUL 1975 1101-EDT sender: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB Subject: milestones From: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB To: POSTEL Cc: WATSON Message-ID: <[BBN-TENEXB]18-JUL-75 11:01:18-EDT.WATSON>

4 Jon, the sub NSW areas should probably have meetings next week so everyone knows what to charge and can review his individual milestones. Besides the milestones that go out to MCA I would like more detailed ones by individual so we have a better DEWline system to see if we are sliding off the plan and need to reformulate. Thanks Dick ----19=JUL=75 10:44:52=EDT,347:000000000000 Mail from BBN-TENEXB rovd at 19-Jul-75 1044-EDT

Date: 19 JUL 1975 1043-EDT Sender: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB Subject: distribution of PDP 11 core doc From: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB To: EHARDT, POSTEL

Cc: WATSON Message=ID: <[BBN=TENEXB]19=JUL=75 10:43:42=EDT.WATSON>

5 Please add waal isi and probably triols to distribution. Thanks Dick ----19=JUL=75 11:05:02=EDT,1338:00000000000 Mail from BBN=TENEXB rovd at 19=JuL=75 1105=EDT Date: 19 JUL 1975 1103-EDT Sender: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB Subject; proposed structured message protocol From: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB To: JWHITE, POSTEL, HOPPER CCI WATSON Message=ID: <[BBN=TENEXB]19=JUL=75 11:03:01=EDT.WATSON>

6 There is lots of Momentum building up behind the protocol. yezza has approved it. ISI is likely to use it. BBN is planning to implement it. If we are to impact it we need to reply the week after next.

The concerns I have about it are: 1) should we recommend that a second design pass be made to consider basing it on pPS entirely. 2) does it make sense for our multi host journal ideas as is. 3) does it handle NLS files with graphics and links as message bodies correctly or at all, 4) What would have to be added to DPS to handle the request reply ideas as proposed without all the introduce permanent connection approach of DPS. I guess given that if this thing goes through we are likely to have to live with it

Charles should make a pass through it also. There is another couple hardcopy versons on my desk. Jim can you please coordinate the views of the four of you and pass acopy of this to charles and we will get together a week from monday = it would even be useful if you could have a draft reply as a strawman. Thanks Dick -----

(J26161) 21=JUL=75 18:35;;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B. Postel/JBP; Distribution: /JBP( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: JBP;

vet. Dick ---

18=JUL=75 11:11:02=EDT,586:000000000000

2 Jon, I would like to get from you drafts of milestones, Ehardt PDP
11 memory position paper, and Lehtman NLS WM interface paper by 2:00
today so I can review them and then meet with you and others if
needed starting at 3:00 as I would like them to go out either by end
of today or early next week while I'm gone and want chance to make
one more pass at them. Thanks Dick ----18-JUL-75 10:58:40-EDT,1660;00000000000
Mail from BBN-TENEXB revd at 18-JUL-75 1058-EDT
Date: 18 JUL 1975 1056-EDT
sender: WATSON at BBN-TENEXB
subject: DEX
From: WATSON at BBN-TENEXB
To: BELLEVILLE, LEHTMAN
C: WATSON, kremers at SRI-AI, POSTEL, MICHAEL, CORNISH
Message-ID: <[BBN-TENEXB]18-JUL-75 10:56:12-EDT,WATSON>

3 Harvey, Bob, Doug feels pretty strongly (I think very) that the think piece on the small 11 floopy disk type Dex paper needs to sit in a paper with whole dex spectrum of which he feels thats one probable end point. If possible I would like to get together with you two at 1:00 to talk about it and anyone else that might be interested . Norton considers Dex his number one development need. I see a staged sort of development plan. stage 0 just getting whats there working and its requirements on hardware etc clear, stage 1 to stage n where depending on how we feel n could be small or large more editing capabilities in cassette type Dex, stage n+1 pdp 11 floppy disk dex, someplace in all this has to be better interface to sequential world I think or maybe that just falls out of MSW file things I do not know. Anyway in thinking about marketting over next n months I know Development has to have individuals with some time to deal with specific application areas. The DPCS area has three main components Graphics, Dex and evolution of Output Processor and Portrayal generaltion. Am assuming Bob and Elizabeth are key people to help formulate plans here awith help from others as needed, Jan K and C are involved as J K is doing something about stage 0, J C may get involved in building stage 1 with Utility funds but thats not clear

1

Mail from BBN=TENEXB rovd at 18=JUL=75 1111=EDT
Date: 18 JUL 1975 1101=EDT
Sender: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB
Subject: milestones
From: WATSON at BBN=TENEXB
To: POSTEL
Cc: WATSON
Message=ID: <[BBN=TENEXB]18=JUL=75 11:01:18=EDT.WATSON>

4 Jon, the sub NSW areas should probably have meetings next week so everyone knows what to charge and can review his individual milestones. Besides the milestones that go out to MCA I would like more detailed ones by individual so we have a better DEWline system to see if we are sliding off the plan and need to reformulate, Thanks Dick -----

Cc: WATSON

Message=ID: <[BBN=TENEXB]19=JUL=75 10:43:42=EDT.WATSON>

5 Please add waal isi and probably triclo to distribution. Thanks Dick ----19-JUL-75 11:05:02-EDT.1338;000000000000
Mail from BBN-TENEXB rovd at 19-JUL-75 1105-EDT Date: 19 JUL 1975 1103-EDT Sender: WATSQN at BBN-TENEXB Subject: proposed structured message protocol From: WATSON at BBN-TENEXB TO: JWHITE, POSTEL, HOPPER CC: WATSON MESSAGE-ID: <[BBN-TENEXB]19-JUL-75 11:03:01-EDT.WATSON>

6 There is lots of momentum building up behind the protocol. Vezza has approved it. ISI is likely to use it. BBN is planning to implement it. If we are to impact it we need to reply the week after next.

The concerns I have about it are:

The concerns I have about It are:

1) Should we recommend that a second design pass be made to consider basing it on DPS entirely. 2) does it make sense for our multi host journal ideas as is. 3) does it handle NLS files with graphics and links as message bodies correctly or at all. 4) What would have to be added to DPS to handle the request reply ideas as proposed without all the introduce permanent connection approach of DPS. I guess given that if this thing goes through we are likely to have to live with it

Charles should make a pass through it also. There is another couple hardcopy versons on my desk. Jim can you please coordinate the views of the four of you and pass acopy of this to charles and we will get together a week from monday - it would even be useful if you could have a draft reply as a strawman. Thanks Dick -----

6

(J26162) 21-JUL-75 18:35;;;: Title: Author(s): Jonathan B. Postel/JBP; Distribution: /JBP( [ INFO-ONLY ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: JBP;

1a

1a5

1b

10

101

102

16

10

1 The following restrictions currently apply to the use of the CASSETTE utility and DEX'. Software modifications to be made within the next weeks will offer greater security of data capture through the network and will permit a wider variety of cassette hardware to be used, but problems with the nature of the TIP device (which was not designed with the cassette process in mind; preclude major improvements without further developments which will be outlined in later notes.

la The Cassette recorder used must have the following remotely addressable controls:

1a1 1) rewind 1a1 1a2 2) record 1a2 1a3 3) stop record 103 1a4 4) read 184 1a5 5) stop read

1b Additional cassette hardware specifications may be found in the WORKSTATION EQUIPMENT REFERENCE MANUAL, NIC # 23809.

ic At present (7/15/75) the only (1) specific cassette drives supported are the following:

1c1 ICP=TERMICETTE 3100 1c2 TECHTRAN 4100

1d Operation of the CASSETTE UTILITY and hence DEX itself cannot be guaranteed when any other type of drive is used. This list will be expanded in the future.

le At the present time cassette input through line processor terminals is not supported. This facility will be available in the new version of the CASSETTE UTILITY.

if When the CASSETTE UTILITY is used on a TIP line one must be absolutely sure that the size of the TIP buffer for the line being used is greater than the size of the longest tape record to be processed. This restriction is necessary because the cassette drive cannot be stopped while it is reading a record. If this rule

1 €

1.0

1 h

is not followed, the program will hand, drop characters and in general behave in an unpredictable and unreliable manner. In some cases, modifications to the sizes of buffers used for cassette input will have to be arranged with the Network Control Center to follow specifications of ARC. If there is difficulty getting all data through the network, ARC should be consulted with information about the TIP used, the TIP port, and the tape record size.

ig when the CASSETTE UTILITY is used via a TELNET connection the TELNET escape Character must be Changed to something other that the default "Z. ("w is recommended). TELNET must also be told to operate in "transparent mode" to avoid interception of control and special characters from the tape.

in We are in the process of investigating the CASSETTE problem and hope to have a somewhat more reliable software package available within the next few weeks. The above restrictions, however, will remain in force. Problems and questions concerning the DEX and CASSETTE UTILITY systems should be forwarded to LEHTMAN@BBNB, or KREMERS@BBNB.

Current (15-JUL=75) Cassette Restrictions

(J26163) 21=JUL=75 19:24;;; Title: Author(s): Jan H. Kremers,
Harvey G. Lehtman/JHK HGL; Distribution: /SRI=ARC([ACTION ]) JHK([ACTION ]) JCN([ACTION ] Should this be sent to Crain or any Utility
customers?): Sub=Collections: NIC SRI=ARC; Clerk: HGL; Origin:
< LEHTMAN, CASETT.NLS;2, >, 21=JUL=75 19:20 HGL;;;;####;

1 26163 Distribution
1a Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H.
Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I.
Andrews, Jan H. Kremers, James C. Norton,
1p Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura
J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli,
Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko,
Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann
Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David
S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair,
Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L.
Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J.
Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White

i The following list of TIP buffer assignments was provided by Joel Malman of the Network Control Center on 16 and 18 July. They should be useful in the analysis of Cassette problems. The list of TIPs was obtained from Applications: there are a few others which should also be included (such as RADC?) Numbers are octal. Input buffers are measured in words at two characters per word. Output buffers are double buffered; therefore the output buffer listed may be taken as the octal buffer size in characters. Except for AMEs and Tymshare, the list was compiled over the phone and may be incorrect in places due to my stenographic ineptitude.

2 Note also the fact that Joel expressed shock that we would even consider putting the TIP which was designed "for people typing at keyboards" to use in the middle of a cassette based system. There is no flow control in the TIP and buffers will indeed overflow.

INBUF	OUTBUF	
253	527	(9600/9600 SCOPE)
34	71	(300 MODEMS)
1	1	(SPARE)
34	162	(150/1200 TTY)
1	1	(SPARE)
34	71	(300 MODEMS)
1	1	(SPARE)
34	71	(300 MODEMS)
	253 34 1 34 1 34	253 527 34 71 1 1 34 162 1 1 34 71 1 1

4 ARPA		
PORT	INBUF	OUTBUF
1	0	173
2	244	511
2 3	122	164
4	122	173
5=7	122	164
10	122	173
11	104	51
12-77	44	51

a sume!

5 KIRKI	LAND	
PORT	INBUF	OUTBUF
1=3	266	554
4=7	133	266
10=17	55	133
20-37	26	55
40=77	13	26

6 MITRE	1	
PORT	INBUF	OUTBUF
2=7	17	37

٤

3

4

33

5

1	0	17	176
1	3	17	37
1	6,17 0=26	1 State of	2
2	0=20	17	37 176
2	7	77	37
3	0=33	77	104
- 3	4 5=37	17	37
	5 = 3 /	77	204
4	0,41	"	204
7	RML:		
P	ORT	INBUF	CUTBUF
		10	21
2		37	7.1
3	=14	10	21 42
1	5 6=25	21	21
1	6 # 25	10 37 10	77
2	6 7=34	10	77 21
2	1 = 3 4	E0	21
3	6-61	10	21
6	5 6=61	50 10 31	63
6	ru .		
6	6	31	63
6	6=77	10	21
8	RUTGER	S:	
p	ORT	TMBHF	OUTBUF
2	<b>=</b> 5	22	44
6	=10	4	6
1	1=12	22	44
1	3	4	6
1	4	22	44
1	5,16	22 4 22 4 22 4 4 4	6
1	7	44	111
2	0=33	4	6
3	7 0=33 4,35 6=63 4	111	223
3	6=63	4	6
6	4	0	156
6	5	0	223
6	6=63 4 5 6=71	4	6
7	2	111	215
7	3=77	4	6
9	SDACi		-
P	ORT	INBUF	CUTBUF
1		22	70
2		22	160
3	=5	22	70
4			

2

6 7	40	214		
10=12	40	214		
13	22	70 124		
17	151	1.6		
21,23,2	16	22	16	9
10 TYMS	A SHEET WATER OF THE REAL PROPERTY.			
PORT 1=14	INBUF 101	OUTBUF 64	(300 MODEMS)	
15,17	202	202	(208A EXTERNAL CLOCK MODEMS)	10

some TIP buffer sizes

(J26164) 21-JUL-75 20:44;;; Title: Author(s): Harvey G.
Lehtman/HGL; Distribution: /JCN([ACTION]) RWW([ACTION]) MEH([ACTION]) JHK([ACTION]) SGR([ACTION]) SRI-ARC([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: HGL;

1 26164 Distribution
1a Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White, Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H. Jrby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Feters, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I. Andrews, ib James C. Norton, Richard W. Watson, Martin E. Hardy, Jan H. Kremers, Susan Gail Roetter, Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick, Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli, Rita Hysmith, Loc Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair, Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L. Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael

1	Las	t Week	1
	1 a	nsw Protocols	16
		iai worked not at all on updating the file package document as agreed to at the june protocol meeting	iai
		1a2 worked on the "pseudo user telnet" program for old tool interaction	142
	16	project management	11
		1b1 Task/People/Time Chart	161
		1b2 Milestones	162
		1b3 Budget	163
	10	operations at isic	10
		ici JSYS Traps fixed (it was a hardware problem)	101
	1 d	arpa protocols	10
		1d1 Put 4 RFCs online at Office=1	141
		1d2 Read "Message protocol" report	1 1 2
		1d3 Read INWG notes	1 d 3
2	Nex	t Week	
	2a	nsw protecols	28
		2a1 get the "pseudo user telnet" program for old tool interaction to an operational state	2a
		2a2 complete updating the file package specification documents	2a2
	2b	project management	21
		2b1 send milestones to compass	26
	20	operations at isic	2
		2c1 Next goal is to have NLS 8.5 as standard NLS at ISIC	20
	2d	arpa protocols	2

JBP 21=JUL=75 22:47 26165

## Weekly Report

201	Work	on response to "Message Protocol" report	2d
2d2	Read	INWG notes	2 d
243	Read	Network Measurement notes	2d

Weekly Report

(J26165) 21=JUL=75 22:47;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B. Postel/JBP; Distribution: /ARC=DEV([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC ARC=DEV; Clerk: JBP;

1 One of the duties you inherited in my documentation regent hat was a vague general responsibility for this directory at BBN. I have a commands branch that does a copy directory on it with getting useful information like who wrote what and when and how big. It is <dvn,docyoudear). You are welcome to it, I just did a house cleaning an got BBN back more than 1000 pages. It still has some strange stuff like Dick's messages for the month of May.

4

(J26166) 22-JUL-75 11:53;;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /BEV([ACTION 1 ) DMB([ACTION 1 dirt notebook please) RWW([ACTION ]) DIRT([INFO=DNLY]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC DIRT; Clerk: DVN; 1 26166 Distribution
1 a Beverly Boli, Delorse M. Brooks, Richard W. Watson, Jonathan B.
Postel, Priscilla A. Wold, Rita Hysmith, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M.
Brooks, Elizabeth F. Finney, Beverly Boli, Lawrence A. Crain, Kirk
Sattley, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert N. Lieberman, Ann Weinberg,
Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Douglas C. Engelbart, James H. Bair,
Elizabeth K. Michael, Richard W. Watson, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Harvey
G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Laura E. Gould, Jeanne M. Beck, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuys, James C. Norton,

NSW Charge Numbers

1 we will have nnew charge numbers for NSW work starting this week so don't do you time card till you are told your new charge number --jon.

۲.

NSW Charge Numbers

(J26167) 22=JUL=75 12:45;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B. Postel/JBP; Distribution; /ARC=DEV( [ ACTION ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC ARC=DEV; Clerk: JBP;

1 26167 Distribution
1a Mary Ann Kellan, Andy poggio, David L. Retz, Jan A. Cornish, Larry
L. Garlick, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli, James E. (Jim) White,
Ann Weinberg, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, Jonathan
B. Postel, Elizabeth K. Michael, David S. Maynard, Karoïyn J. Martin,
Harvey G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Charles H. Irby, Joseph L. Ehardt,
Robert Louis Belleville, Don I. Andrews, Richard W. Watson, Douglas
C. Engelbart,

I have been looking over <sattley,wmmhelp,) I feel we are to the point we can hand over the real help file to KS. I have a number of detailed suggestions which I will journalize tomorrow when I am through looking through it. I would like to see KS's file become the file in xhelp. When he has read my suggestions and acted on them or not, he might say that file is ready for review and Ann, Beverly, Kirk Kelley, and I could review it in the way we usually do documentation. It akes me feel good that the help system has got to the point someone outside ARC can work with it.

1

Next Steps in Worksmanager Documentation

(J26168) 22=JUL=75 12:52;;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /KS( ( ACTION ) ) DMB( [ ACTION ] dirt notebook please) DIRT( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC DIRT; Clerk; DVN; 1 26168 Distribution
1a Kirk Sattley, Delorse M. Brooks, Jonathan B. postel, Priscilla A. Wold, Rita Hysmith, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Elizabeth F. Finney, Beverly Boli, Lawrence A. Crain, Kirk Sattley, Susan Gail Roetter, Robert N. Lieberman, Ann Weinberg, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Douglas C. Engelbart, James H. Bair, Elizabeth K. Michael, Richard W. Watson, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Harvey G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Laura E. Gould, Jeanne M. Beck, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, James C. Norton,

Available on-line to non-NLS users as [ISIC] < NSW-SOURCES>DPSERRS.TXT, and periodically updated.

1	Inti	roducti	on.			
	nui Eri	DPS=10 mbers 1 ror num	n o	thei decim	lists the errors currently detected and reported r mneumonics within the DPS source code, their al, and their associated diagnostic messages. eater than 1000 may occasionally be reported, and DPS' L10 runtime environment.	14
2	%da	ta stru	cti	res%		
	2 a	edddst	=	1	u 3," u	24
	26	eddkey	=	2	"Duplicate key."	21
	20	eddrky	=	3	"Key has key."	20
	2d	eddsto	=	4	"Duplicate data store name,"	20
	2e	ediidx	=	5	"Illegal INDEX."	2
	2f	edipd1	=	6	"Illegal PSEL/DSEL."	21
	20	ediuif	=	7	"Illegal USERINFO."	20
	2h	edmkey	=	8	"Missing key."	21
	21	edodc1	=	9	"LIST too long to decode,"	2
	21	edolst	=	10	"Maximum LIST size exceeded."	2:
	2k	edostr	=	11	"CHRSTR too long to decode."	2)
	21	edufty	=	12	"Undefined data type,"	23
	2 m	edulty	=	13	"Undefined informal data type."	21
	2n	edusto	=	14	"Undefined data store name."	21
	20	edwes1	=	15	"Non=LIST addressed by ESEL."	20
	20	edwidx	=	16	"No such index."	21
	29	edwkey	=	17	"No such key."	20
	21	edwtyp	=	18	"Wrong data type."	21
	25	edwpmc	=	19	"Incorrect number of parameters,"	2:
	2t	edoabc	=	20	"Data structure overflows source block."	21

## DPSERRS / DPS Error List

3	%erro	rs%				3
	3a e	efimp	=	51	"Not implemented."	3 a
	3b e	efops	=	52	"Operating system error."	3 b
	3c e	emerr	=	53	"unidentifiable operating system error."	30
	3d e	euern	=	54	"Undefined error number."	3 d
	3e e	ef110	=	55	"L10 run-time error."	3 e
4	%even	ts%				4
	4a e	vfacq	=	101	"Won't delete ALOCH event."	4a
	4b e	vĒjuv	=	102	"Won't delete SIPR event."	4 b
	4c e	vilen	=	103	"Illegal event length."	40
	4d e	voecb	=	104	"Event overflow."	4 d
5	%fold	ers%				5
	5a e	eodrn		151	"Won't create record while folder drained."	5a
	5b e	forun	=	152	"RUNFLD overrun."	5b
	5c e:	fulft	=	153	"Undefined record information type."	50
	5d e	furop	=	154	"Undefined RUNFLD operation."	5 d
6	%inte	r-proc	ces	ss col	mmunication%	6
	6a e	cdcce	=	201	"Channel already created."	6 a
	6b e	cutyp	=	202	"Undefined channel type."	6 b
	6c e	unmwe	=	203	"Channel type menu mismatch."	60
	6d e	cwpk1	=	204	"Inconsistent packet length."	66
7	%lock:	S %				7
	7a e	lided	=	251	"Deadlock."	7 a
	7b e	lfdel	=	252	"Sought lock deleted."	7 b
	7c e.	lilck	=	253	"Lock attempt failed,"	70

	7d elmswp = 254	"Non-existent LCB to be swapped,"	7
	7e elmstk = 255	"Lock stack underflow."	7€
	7f elostk = 256	"Lock stack overflow."	7 1
	7a elistk = 257	"Lock stack surplus."	70
8	%packages%		
	8a ekfded = 301	"Package dead."	86
	8b ekupkn = 302	"Undefined package."	88
9	%procedures%		5
	9a epfnoh = 351	"No help available."	98
	9b epfpio = 352	"No processor with sufficient priority,"	91
	9c epfsab = 353	"Won't abort system procedure,"	90
	9d epfsin = 354	"Won't interrupt system procedure."	96
	9e epiacg = 355	"Context prohibits ACQPE."	96
	9f epiaid = 356 procedure."	"Context prohibits any action by local	91
	9g epihlp = 357	"Context prohibits HLPPE."	99
	9h epiint = 358	"Context prohibits INTPE."	91
	91 epimsk = 359	"Illegal argument/result list mask."	91
	91 epinte = 360	"Context prohibits NTEPE."	95
	9k epiotc = 361	"Illegal system procedure outcome."	91
	91 epire1 = 362	"Context prohibits RELPE."	91
	9m epirsm = 363	"Context prohibits RSMPE."	91
	9n epixhp = 364	"Context prohibits call to XHLPPE,"	91
	90 epixin = 365	"Context prohibits call to XINTPE."	90
	9p epixnt = 366	"Context prohibits sending XNTEPE."	95

# DPSERRS / DPS Error List

	9g epixrc = 367	"Context prohibits sending XRECPE."	9 q
	9r epixrm = 368	"Context prohibits call to XRSMPE."	91
	9s epixrn = 369	"Context prohibits sending XRTNPE."	98
	9t epuote = 370	"Undefined procedure outcome."	9t
	9u epurtn = 371	"Undefined return type."	911
	9v epusyn = 372	"Undefined system procedure number."	94
	9w epwvis = 373	"Unplanned for visit'."	9 w
	9x epfgin = 374	"Procedure can't be interrupted."	9 x
10	%processes%		10
	10a esdpoh = 401	"POH already associated with process."	10a
	10b esfded = 402	Process dead."	10b
	10c esipsa = 403	"Syntax error in process addr."	10e
	10d esircv = 404	"No POH via which to receive message."	10d
	10e esisub = 405	"Not direct superior."	10e
	10f esmpoh = 406	"No PoH via which to send message."	10f
	109 esums9 = 407	"Undefined message number."	109
	10h esuser = 408	"Undefined user name."	10h
	101 esuwtd = 409	"Undefined watchdog code."	101
	10j eswqak = 410	17,1	101
11	%processors%		11
	11a erigtd = 451	"Context prohibits GTDPS."	11a
	11b eriptd = 452	"Context prohibits PTDPS."	115
	11c erisin = 453	"Not signed in."	110
	11d erorsb = 454	"ABF overflow."	11d
	11e erosm1 = 455	"Small block overflow."	11e

	11£	erowin	=	456	"Processor	window overflow,"	11f
	110	erualo		457	"Undefined	entity type allocated."	119
	iih	eruinf	=	458	"undefined	process information type."	11h
	111	eruopn	=	459	"Undefined	operation number."	111
	115	erupm1	=	460	"Undefined	parameter location."	115
	11k	erupsi	=	461	"Undefined	PSI channel number."	11k
	111	erurde	=	462	"Undefined	entity type read."	111
	11m	erusep	=	463	"Undefined	scope."	11m
	11n	erusyc	=	464	"undefined	system call number."	iin
	110	eruusc	=	465	"Undefined	user call number."	110
	11p	eruwre	=	466	"Undefined	entity type written."	. 11p
	119	erfded	=	467	"Processor	dead."	119
	iir	erdsin	=	468	"Processor	alread* signed in."	iir
12	%sto	rage%					12
	12a	emfexh	=	501	"CF storage	exhausted."	12a
	12b	emient	=	502	"Negative e	entity size."	12b
	120	emuent	=	503	"Undefined	entity type."	120
13	%und	efined	ha	ndle	5 %		13
	13a	eamhca	=	551	"Undefined	call handle."	13a
	13b	eamhan		552	"Undefined	channel handle."	13b
	13c	egmhát	=	553	"undefined	data store handle."	13c
	13d	egmhey		554	"Undefined	event handle."	13d
	13e	egmhlk	=	555	"Undefined	lock handle,"	13e
	13f	eamh1s	=	556	"Undefined	lockset handle'."	13£
	13g	eamhma	=	557	"Undefined	manager handle,"	13g

## DPSERRS / DPS Error List

	13h	eamhpk	=	558	"Un	defined package handle."	13h
	131	eamhpo	=	559	"Un	defined port handle,"	131
	135	eamhpr	=	560	"un	defined processor handle."	135
	13k	eamhps	=	561	"Un	defined process handle."	13k
	131	eamhsa	=	562	"Un	defined segment handle."	131
	13m	eamhsu	=	563	"un	defined subprocess handle."	13m
	13n	eamhsy	=	564	"Un	defined system call handle,"	13n
	130	egmhus	=	565	"Un	defined user call handle."	130
1	4 %no	more h	an	dles%			14
	14a	ehohca		601	"NO	call handle available,"	14a
	14b	ehohon	=	602	"No	channel handle available."	140
	14c	ehohdt	=	603	"NO	data store handle available."	14c
	14d	ehohev	=	604	"No	event handle available."	140
	14e	ehohlk	=	605	"NO	lock handle available."	14e
	14f	ehohls	=	606	"No	lockset handle available,"	14f
	149	ehohma	=	607	"NO	manager handle available."	14g
	14h	ehohok	=	608	"No	package handle available."	14h
	141	ehohpo	=	609	"NO	port handle available."	141
	145	ehohpr	=	610	"No	processor handle available,"	145
	14k	ehohps	=	611	"No	process handle available."	14k
	141	ehohsa	=	612	"No	segment handle available,"	141
	14m	ehohsu	=	613	"No	subprocess handle available."	14m
	14n	ehohsv	=	614	"No	system call handle available,"	14n
	140	ehohus	=	615	"No	user call handle available."	140

(J26169) 22=JUL=75 15:34;;; Title: Author(s): James E. (Jim)
White/JEW; Distribution: /SRI=ARC( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections:
SRI=ARC; Clerk: JEW; Origin: < JWHITE, DPSERRS.NLS;2, >,
22=JUL=75 15:31 JEW ;;;;####;

1 26169 Distribution
1a Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardv, J. D. Hopper, Charles H.
Irbv, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Morton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuvs, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I.
Andrews,
1b Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura
J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli,
Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko,
Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann
Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David
S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair,
Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L.
Keenev, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J.
Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White

DPSJSYS / DPS=10 Programmer's Guide

Available on-line to non-NLS users as [ISIC] < NSW = SOURCES > DPSJSYS.TXT, and updated periodically.

#### 1 Introduction

This document describes the internal structure of a Distributed Programming System (DPS) process on Tenex. My applicates for the terseness of the document, but time and computer resources are scarce. The reader is assumed to have as background for this present offering, a thorough understanding of the several more verbose pCp documents which have preceded it. The primary purpose of this document is to present to process implementers the details of their interface with DPS. The services provided by DPS are, roughly speaking, a superset of those described in previous documents. Comments, bug/deficiency reports, and questions are welcome.

#### 2 Fork structure

2a A DPS process consists of a "controlling fork" (CF) containing the DPS implementation, and one or more "processing forks" (PFs) running beneath the CF, which contain user code. A PF requests the DPS services it needs by executing JSYS 400, which the CF intercepts via Tenex's JSYS trap facility and processes. A process' PFs are partitioned into one or more "subprocesses", the first called the "process leader" and created as part of the process' creation. The first PF of each subprocess is called the "subprocess leader" and is created as part of the subprocess' creation. All PFs within a subprocess execute copies of the same SAV file, and the CF routes incoming procedure calls to the apropriate subprocess and schedules its execution in an available PF within that subprocess.

### 3 operations

Three of the five low-level "operations" implemented by the CF and accessible via JSYS 400 == IVDPS, RRDPS, and DRDPS == provide the PFS with access to a whole set of DPS "virtual JSYSS" (VJSYSS) implemented by the CF. Another two operations == GTDPS and PTDPS == provide the CF with access to a set of "virtual JUSRS" (VJUSRS; virtual jump-to-user's) implemented by the PFS. The final operation == PGDPS == provides a simple mechanism for VJUSR dispath for "sequential processors" (SPS) which possess the following two Characteristics: they are active only while executing VJUSRs, and they execute one VJUSR at a time.

3b A PF declares itself to be a sequential processor by means of a fiag bit in the SIPR VJSYS, and then invokes PGDPS. PGDPS blocks the SP until the first VJUSR is required. The SP executes the VJUSR whose number it finds in AC 0 (arguments in ACs 1-4), and then returns its results via PGDPS, which again blocks the processor. An SP need never issue either RDYPR or TSTEV/WAIEV.

2

2a

3a

36

Procedures executed by SPs can be aborted, but cannot be interrupted. To abort a procedure invoked via the PECAL VJUSR, the CF interrupts the SP on a PSI channel specified via SIPR; the SP may either ignore the interrupt, or promotly make an abort return from PECAL. SPs need not supply the PEABR, PEINT, or PERSM VJUSRs.

30

3d some of the arguments and results of certain operations (and VJUSRs and VJSYSs) are stored in "blocks". A block is M+1 contiguous words of memory, of which the first contains a header (XWD M,L) and the next L, data. "ABC (x)s" stands for the Address of a Block CONTAINING zero or more x's (or exactly one, if "s" is absent). "ABF (x)s" stands for the Address of a Block FDR zero or more x's (or exactly one, if "s" is absent).

3 d

3e IVDPS (OP 0) Invokes VJSYS.

30

3e1 ACCEPTS IN

3e1

3ela Ol XWD

3ela

3e1a1 op [0],

3ela1

3e1a2 ABC (

3e1a2 3e1a2a

3e1a2a XWD

3eta2a1 event handle / 0 (meaning block)

3e1a2a1

3eia2aia (signalled upon completion of VJSYS
with completion code = XWD systemcall handle,
vJSyS number),

3e1a2a1a

3e1a2a2 VJSYS number

3e1a2a2

3ela2b XWD

3e1a2b

3e1a2b1 call handle on whose behalf the VJSYS is being executed) / 0

3e1a2b1

3ela2bla (meaning none),

3e1a2b1a

3e1a2b2 ABF (use by DPS in returning VJSYS results)

3e1a2b2

3e1a2b2a (if not blocking operation))

3e1a2b2a

3e1b 1-4; VJSYS arguments	3e1b
3e2 RETURNS +	3e2
3e2a systemcall handle in 0	3e2a
3e2b 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	3e2b
3e2c 2: successful, vJsYs results in 1=4	3e2c
3f RRDPS (OP 1) Retrieves results of VJSYS,	3 f
3f1 ACCEPTS IN	3£1
3fia 0: XWD op [i], systemcall handle	3f1a
3fib 1: ABF (use by DPS in returning VJSYS results)	3f1b
3£2 RETURNS +	3£2
3f2a 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	3f2a
3f2b 2: successful, VJSYS results in 1=4	3 f 2 b
3g DRDPS (OP 2) Discards results of VJSYS.	39
3g1 ACCEPTS IN	3g1
3gla 0: XWD op [2], systemcall handle	3g1a
3g2 RETURNS +	3 g 2
3g2a 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	3928
3g2b 2: successful	3g2b
3h GTDPS (OP 3) Gets VJUSR arguments from DPS'.	31
3h1 ACCEPTS IN	3h1
3hia 0: XWD op [3], usercall handle	3h1a
3h1b 1: ABF (use by DPS in returning VJUSR arguments)	3hit
3h2 RETURNS 4	3h2

3h2a 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	3h2a
3h2b 2: successful.	3h2b
3h2b1 XWD	3h2b1
3h2b1a VjUSR number,	3h2b1a
3h2b1b requesting process handle / 0	3h2b1b
3h2b1b1 (meaning local DPS environment) in 0,	3h2b1b1
3h2b2 VJUSR arguments in 1=4	3h2b2
31 PTDPS (OP 4) Returns VJUSR results to DPS.	31
311 ACCEPTS IN	311
311a 0: XWD	311a
3i1a1 op [4],	311a1
311a2 ABC (	311a2
311a2a XWD	311a2a
3i1a2a1 error code / 0 (meaning successful),	311a2a1
3i1a2a2 usercall handle)	311a2a2
311b 1=4: VJUSR results	311b
3iibi (or, if error code specified, byte pointer to ASCIZ diagnostic in 1)	31161
312 RETURNS +	312
312a 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	312a
312b 2: successful	312b
31 PGDPS (OP 5) [Returns previous VJUSR's results to DPS and] gets next VJUSR's arguments from DPS,	3 5
3j1 ACCEPTS IN	3 1 1
3j1a 0: XWD	351a

3j1a1 op [5],	3 j1 a 1
3j1a2 ABC (	311a2
3j1a2a XWD	3j1a2a
3j1a2a1 error code / 0	3j1a2a1
3j1a2a1a (meaning previous VJUSR successful/nonexistent),	3j1a2a1a
311a2a2 ABF (use by DPS in returning next VJUSR's arguments);	3j1a2a2
3jib i=4: previous VJUSR's results	3j1b
3j1b1 (or, if error code specified, byte pointer to ASC diagnostic in 1)	IZ 3j1b1
3j2 RETURNS +	312
3i2a 1: unsuccessful, error number in 1	3j2a
312b 21 successful	3 1 2 5
3j2b1 XWD next V <sub>J</sub> USR number, requesting process handle	31261
3j2bia (meaning local DPS environment) in 0	3j2b1a
3j2b2 next VJUSR's arguments in 1=4	3 1 2 5 2
4 VJSYSs for manipulating remote processes	4
4a Processes	4a
4a1 CRTPS (VJSYS 1) Creates remote process.	4a1
4a1a ACCEPTS IN	4a1a
4a1a1 1: byte pointer to ASCIZ process address	4a1a1
4a1e2 2: XWD	4a1a2
4a1a2a ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0	4a1a2a
4a1a2a1 (meaning EMPTY),	4a1a2a1

4e1a2b ABC (byte pointers to	4a1a2b
4a1a2b1 ASCIZ user name, password, and account)	4a1a2b1
4a1a3 3: XWD	4a1a3
4ala3a package scope / 0 (meaning open no packages),	4a1a3a
4a1a3b process scope	4a1a3b
4a1a4 4: XWD	4a1a4
4a1a4a ABC C	4a1a4a
4a1a4a1 ABC (PCPB36 package startup info) / 0	4aia4ai
4ala4ala (meaning EMPTY))s	4a1a4a1a
4818482 / O (meaning all EMPTY),	4a1a4a2
4a1a4b ABC (byte pointer to ASCIZ package name)s / 0	4a1a4b
4a1a4b1 (if no packages to be opened)	4a1a4b1
4a1b RETURNS IN	4815
4a1b1 1: XWD ABC (package handle)s, process handle	4a1b1
4a2 DELPS (VJSYS 2) Deletes previously created remote process,	4a2
4a2a ACCEPTS IN	4a2a
4a2a1 1: process handle / 0 (meaning all)	4a2a1
4e2b RETURNS IN	4a2b
4a2b1 1: cost in cents	4a2b1
4a3 ITDPS (VJSYS 3) Introduces two remote processes to one another.	4a3
4e3e ACCEPTS IN	4a3a
4e3e1 1: XWD	4a3a1
4a3a1a ABC (PCPB36 startup info 1) / 0	4a3a1a
4a3a1a1 (meaning EMPTY),	4a3a1a1

	4a3a1b	process handle 1	4a3a1b
	4a3a2 2:	XWD	4a3a2
	4a3a2a	ABC (PCPB36 startum info 2) / 0	4a3a2a
	4a3a	22a1 (meaning EMPTY),	4a3a2a1
	4a3a2b	process handle 2	4a3a2b
	4a3a3 3:	XWD	4a3a3
	4a3a3a	flags,	4a3a3a
	4a3	a3a1 B0 on: logical channel only	4a3a3a1
	4a3a3b	scope	4a3a3b
	4a3b RETURNS	IN	4a3b
	4a3b1 1:	introduction handle	4a3b1
	4e3b2 21	XWD ph12, ph21	4a3b2
	4e4 SEPPS (VJSY) Separates two p	s 4) reviously introduced remote processes.	4a4
	4848 ACCEPTS	IN	4848
	4a4a1 11	introduction handle / 0 (meaning all)	4a4a1
	484b RETURNS	IN	4a4b
	4a4b1 11	cost 1 in cents	4a4b1
	4a4b2 2:	cost 2 in cents	4a4b2
	4a5 INFPS (VJSY) Retrieves infor	5 52) mation about a remote process.	4a5
	4a5a ACCEPTS	IN	4a5a
	4a5a1 1:	XWD information type, process handle	4a5a1
	4a5b RETURNS	IN	4a5b
	4a5b1 1:	information	4a5b1
b	Packages		46

	4b1 OPNPK (VJSYS 5) Opens remote packages.	461
	4b1a ACCEPTS IN	4b1a
	4b1a1 1: XWD scope, process handle	4blai
	4b1a2 2: XWD	4b1a2
	4b1a2a ABC (	4b1a2a
	4b1a2a1 ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0	4b1a2a1
	4bla2ala (meaning EMPTY)	4b1a2a1a
	4bia2a2 )s / 0 (meaning all EMPTY),	4b1a2a2
	4b1a2b ABC (byte pointer to ASCIZ package	name)s 4b1a2b
	4b1b RETURNS IN	4616
	4b1b1 1: ABC (package handle)s	46161
	4b2 CLSPK (VJSYS 6) Closes previously opened remote packages.	462
	4b2a ACCEPTS IN	4b2a
	4b2a1 1: XWD process handle, ABC (package ha	ndle)s 4b2a1
	4b2b RETURNS IN	4626
	4b2b1 1: ABC (cost in cents)s	4b2b1
10	c Procedures	4c
	4c1 CALPE (VJSYS 7) Calls remote procedure.	4c1
	4c1a ACCEPTS IN	4c1a
	4c1a1 1: XWD	4c1a1
	4c1aia ABC (PCPB36 result list mask) / 0	4c1a1a
	4ctatat (meaning LIST (INDEX (CALLER)))	, 4clalai
	4c1a1b addr of Tenex-format procedure sele	ctor 4c1a1b

4c1a2 2: XWD		4c1a2
4c1a2a ABC (	PCPB36 argument list mask) / 0	4c1a2a
4c1a2a1 (r	meaning LIST (INDEX [CALLER])),	4c1a2a1
4cla2b ABC (/ EMPTY))s / 0	ABC (PCPB36 argument) / 0 (meaning	4c1a2b
4c1a2b1 (1	meaning none)	4c1a2b1
4c1a3 3: prior	ity	4c1a3
4c1b RETURNS IN		4c1b
4cibi 1: XWD		4c1b1
4c1b1a outco	me,	4c1b1a
4c1b1b ABC (/	ABC (PCPB36 result) / 0 (meaning EMPTY))s	4c1b1b
4015151 (	meaning none)	4c1b1b1
4c1b2 21 cost	in cents	4c1b2
c2 VISPE (VJSYS 10) isits remote callee/		4c2
4c2a ACCEPTS IN		4c2a
4c2a1 1: XWD		4c2a1
4c2ala ABC (	PCPB36 result list mask) / 0	4c2a1a
4c2a1a1 (	meaning LIST (INDEX [CALLER])),	4c2a1a1
4c2a1b call	handle	4c2a1b
4c2a2 2: XWD		4c2a2
4c2a2a ABC (	PCPB36 argument list mask; / 0	4c2a2a
4c2a2a1 (	meaning LIST (INDEX (CALLER))),	4c2a2a1
4c2a2b ABC ( EMPTY))s / 0	ABC (pCpB36 argument) / 0 (meaning	4c2a2b
4c2a2b1 (	meaning none)	4c2a2b1

4c2b RETURNS IN	4c2b
4c2b1 1: XWD	4c2b1
4c2b1a outcome,	4c2b1a
4c2b1b ABC (ABC (PCPB36 result) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	)s 4c2b1b
4c2b1b1 (meaning none)	4c2b1b1
4c3 ALOCH (VJSYS 11) Allocates call handle for remote procedure call.	4c3
4c3a ACCEPTS IN	4c3a
4c3a1 1: XWD	4c3a1
4c3a1a priority,	4c3a1a
4c3a1b addr of Tenex-format procedure selector	4c3a1b
4C3b RETURNS IN	4c3b
4c3b1 1: call handle	4c3b1
4c4 RELCH (VJSYS 12) [Aborts remote callee and] releases call handle.	4c4
4c4a ACCEPTS IN	4c4a
4c4a1 1: call handle / 0 (meaning all)	4c4a1
4C4b RETURNS IN	4c4b
4c4b1 1: cost in cents	4c4b1
4c5 ACOPE (VJSYS 13) Acquires control from remote callee/caller.	4c5
4c5a ACCEPTS IN	4c5a
4c5a1 1: call handle	4c5a1
4c5b RETURNS IN	4c5b
4c5b1 1: XWD	4c5b1
4c5bla outcome,	4c5b1a

4c5b1b ABC (ABC (PCPB36 result) / 0 (meaning EMPTY	())s 4c5b1b
4c5bib1 (meaning none)	4c5b1b1
4c6 RELPE (VJSYS 14) Releases control to remote callee/caller.	4c6
4c6a ACCEPTS IN	4c6a
4c6a1 1: XWD	4c6a1
4c6aia ABC (PCPB36 result list mask) / 0	4c6a1a
4c6aiai (meaning LIST (INDEX (CALLERI)),	4c6a1a1
4c6aib call handle	4c6a1b
4c6a2 2: XWD	4c6a2
4c6a2a ABC (PCPB36 argument list mask) / 0	4c6a2a
4c6a2a1 (meaning LIST (INDEX [CALLER])),	4c6a2a1
4c6a2b ABC (ABC (pCpB36 argument) / 0 (meaning EMPTY))s / 0	4c6a2b
4c6a2b1 (meaning none)	4c6a2b1
4c6a3 3: event handle	4c6a3
4c6a3a (signalled upon return of remote procedure completion code = XWp call handle, outcome)	with 4c6a3a
4c7 INTPE (VJSYS 15) Interrupts remote callee,	4c7
4c7e ACCEPTS IN	4¢7a
4c7ai 1: call handle / 0 (meaning all)	4c7a1
4c8 RSMPE (VJSYS 16) Resume previously interrupted remote callee,	4c8
4C8a ACCEPTS IN	4c8a
4c8a1 1: call handle / 0 (meaning all)	4c8a1

	4c9 NTEPE (VJSYS 17) Makes event known to remote caller.	409
	4c9a ACCEPTS IN	4c9a
	4c9a1 1: XWD	4c9a1
	4c9ala ABC (PCPB36 event description) / 0	4c9a1a
	4c9aiai (meaning EMPTY),	4c9aiai
	4c9a1b event code	4c9a1b
	4c10 HLPPE (VJSYS 20) Solicits help from remote caller.	4c10
	4c10a ACCEPTS IN	4c10a
	4c10a1 1: XWD	4c10a1
	4closia ABC (PCPB36 problem description) / 0	4c10a1a
	4c10a1a1 (meaning EMPTY),	4c10ala1
	4c10a1b problem code	4c10a1b
	4c10b RETURNS	4c10b
	4c10b1 1; ABC (PCPB36 solution) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	4c10b1
d	Data Stores	4d
	4d1 CRTDT (VJSYS 21) Creates remote data store.	4d1
	4d1a ACCEPTS IN	4d1a
	4d1a1 1: XWD	4diai
	4dlala scope,	4dia1a
	4d1a1b addr of Tenex=format data store selector	4d1a1b
	4d1a2 2: ABC (PCPB36 initial value) / 0	4d1a2
	4d1a2a (meaning EMPTY)	4d1a2a
	4d2 DELDT (VJSYS 22) Deletes previously created remote data store.	4d2

4d2a ACCEPTS IN		4d2e
4d2a1 1: ad	dr of Tenex-format data store	selector 4d2a1
4d3 RDDT (VJSYS 2		4d3
Reads remote data	store.	403
4d3a ACCEPTS IN		4d3a
4d3a1 1: ad	dr of Tenex=format data store	selector 4d3a1
4d3b RETURNS IN		4d3b
4d3b1 1: AB	C (PCPB36 value) / 0 (meaning	EMPTY) 4d3b1
4d4 WRDT (VJSYS 2	4)	
Writes remote data	store.	4d4
4d4a ACCEPTS IN		4d4a
4d4a1 1: XW	D	4d4a1
4d4a1a AB	c (PCPB36 value) / 0 (meaning	EMPTY), 4d4a1a
4d4a1b ad	dr of Tenex-format data store	selector 4d4a1b
4d5 LCKDT (VJSYS 2 Locks remote data		4d5
4d5a ACCEPTS IN		4d5e
4d5a1 1: XW	D	4d5a1
4d5a1a sc	ope,	4d5a1a
4d5alb ad	dr of Tenex=format data store	selector 4d5a1b
4d5a2 2: XW	D	4d5a2
4d5a2a f1	ags,	4d5a2a
4d5a2a immedi	1 BO on: abort if lock not se	ttable 4d5a2a1
4d5a2b 10	ck type	4d5a2b
4d5b RETURNS IN		4d5b
4d5b1 1: da	talock handle	4d5b1

4d6 ULKDT (VJSYS 26) Unlocks previously locked remote data store.	4d6
4d6a ACCEPTS IN	4d6a
4d6a1 1: XWD	4d6a1
4d6ala datalock handle,	4d6a1a
4d6alb addr of Tenex-format data store selector	4d6a1b
4e Channels	4e
4e1 CRICH (VJSYS 27) Creates channel between two remote processes.	4e1
4e1a ACCEPTS IN	4e1a
4e1a1 1: XWD process handle 1, process handle 2	4e1a1
4e1a2 2: scope	4e1a2
4e1b RETURNS IN	4e1b
4eibi i: channel handle	4eibi
4e1b2 2: XWD port handle 1, port handle 2	4e1b2
4e2 DELCH (VJSYS 30) Deletes previously created channel between two remote processes.	4e2
4e2a ACCEPTS IN	4e2a
4e2a1 1: channel handle / 0 (meaning all)	4e2a1
VJSYSs for manipulating local process	5
5a Subprocesses	5a
5a1 CRTSP (VJSYS 31) Creates local subprocess.	5a1
5ala ACCEPTS IN	5a1a
5alai 1: byte pointer to ASCIZ subprocess address	5alai
5a1a2 2: XWD	5a1a2

	5a1a2a	scope,	5a1a2a
	5a1a2b	ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0	5a1a2b
	581	a2b1 (meaning EMPTY)	5a1a2b1
	50103 31	priority	5a1a3
	5aib RETURNS	IN	5alb
	Saibi it	subprocess handle	5a1b1
	5a2 DELSP (VJSY Deletes previou	S 32) sly created local subprocess.	5a2
	5a2a ACCEPTS	IN	5a2a
	5a2a1 1#	subprocess handle / 0 (meaning all)	5a2a1
	5a2b RETURNS	IN	5a2b
	5a2b1 11	cost in cents	5a2b1
5b	Processors		5b
	5b1 CRTPR (VJSY Creates local p	프로마 (1) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	5b1
	5bia ACCEPTS	IN	5b1a
	5b1a1 1:	XWD scope, subprocess handle	5biai
	5b1a2 21	XWD	5b1a2
	5b1a2a	ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0 (meaning EMPTY),	5b1a2a
	5b1a2b	priority	5b1a2b
	5bib RETURNS	IN	5b1b
	5b1b1 1#	processor handle	56161
	5b2 DELPR (VJSY Deletes local p		5b2
	5b2a ACCEPTS	IN	5b2a
	5b2a1 1:	processor handle / 0	5b2a1

5b2a1a (meaning all within subprocess but leader)	5b2a1a
5b2b RETURNS IN	5b2b
5b2b1 1: cost in cents	5b2b1
5b3 SIPR (VJSYS 35) Signs in local processor.	5b3
5b3a ACCEPTS IN	5b3a
5b3ai it byte pointer to ASCIZ process name	5b3a1
5b3ala (ignored except from first process=leader processor)	5b3a1a
5b3a2 2: XWD	5b3a2
5b3a2a flags	5b3a2a
5b3a2a1 B0 on: auto processor creation 5	b3a2a1
5b3a2a1a (CF to create/delete processors as required; ignored except from first process-leader processor) 5b	3a2a1a
5b3a2a2 B1 on: sequential processor 5	b3a2a2
5b3g2a2a (processor wil use the PGDPS operation	3a2a2a
5b3a2a3 B2 on: auto ready 5	b3a2a3
5b3a2a3a (CF will simulate a call to RDYPR after SIPR and after each PTDPS; processor need never invoke RDYPR explicitly) 5b	3a2a3a
5b3a2b ABC (byte bointer to ASCIZ package name)s / 0	5b3a2b
5b3a2b1 (meaning none; list index serves as an "internal package handle") 5	b3a2b1
5b3a3 3: QWD	5b3a3
5b3a3a first page of subprocess=global storage	5b3a3a
5b3a3a1 (ignored except from subprocess leader), 5	b3a3a1
5b3a3b last page of subprocess-global storage	5b3a3b

5c C

5b3a3bi (ignored except from subprocess leader; first greater than last implies none),	5b3a3b1
5b3a3c 0,	5b3a3c
5b3a3d PSI channel / +1 (meaning none)	5b3a3d
5b3a3d1 (either for VJUSR request event, or, for sequential processors, to abort a procedure)	5b3a3d1
5b3b RETURNS IN	5b3b
5b3b1 1: XWD	55351
5b3b1a ABC (PCPB36 [sub]process(or) startup info) / 0	5b3b1a
5b3b1a1 (meaning EMPTY),	5b3b1a1
5b3b1b event handle	5b3b1b
5b3b1b1 (signalled by CF to request a VJUSR with completion code = XWD usercall handle, VJUSR	
number),	5636161
5b3b2 2: flags	56362
5b3b2a B0 on: local process is at root of tree	5b3b2a
5b3b2b B1 on: local subprocess is process leader	5b3b2b
5b3b2c B2 on: local processor is subprocess leader	5b3b2c
Sb4 RDYPR (VJSYS 36) Readys local processor for next service request (INIPK / TRMPK / PECAL / LRDDT / LWRDT).	5b4
hannels	50
c1 SNDCH (VJSYS 37) Outputs portion of PCPB36 data structure on local channel.	501
Scia ACCEPTS IN	5c1a
Scial 1: XWD ABC (portion), port handle	5c1a1
	36741
nputs next portion of PCPB36 data structure from local hannel.	5e2

5c2a ACCEPTS IN	5c2a
5c2a1 1: port handle	5c2a1
SC2b RETURNS IN	5c2b
5c2b1 1: ABC (portion)	50261
5d Locks	5 d
5d1 CRTLK (VJSYS 41) Creates local lock.	5d1
Sdia ACCEPTS IN	5d1a
Sdiai i: scope	5dia1
Sdib RETURNS IN	5d1b
5dibi 1: lock handle	5d1b1
5d2 DELLK (VJSYS 42) Deletes local lock.	5d2
5d2a ACCEPTS IN	5d2a
5d2a1 1: lock handle / 0 (meaning all)	5d2a1
5d3 SETLK (VJSYS 43) Sets local lock.	5d3
Sd3a ACCEPTS IN	5d3a
5d3e1 1: XWD	5d3a1
5d3ala scope (value ALL illegal),	5d3a1a
5d3alb lock handle	5d3a1b
5d3a2 2: XWD	5d3a2
5d3a2a flags,	5d3a2a
5d3a2a1 BO on: abort if lock not settable immediately	5d3a2a1
5d3a2b lock type	5d3a2b
5d3b RETURNS IN	5d3b

5d3b1 1: lockset handle	5d3b1
5d4 REMLK (VJSYS 44) Unsets local lock.	5d4
5d4a ACCEPTS IN	5d4a
5d4a1 1: XWD	5d4a1
5d4aia lock handle,	5d4a1a
5d4aib lockset handle	5d4a1b
5e Events	5 e
5e1 CRTEV (VJSYS 45) Creates local event,	5e1
5e1a ACCEPTS IN	5eia
Seiai i: XWD	5elai
5elala scope (value ALL illegal),	Seiaia
5elaib PSI channel to be interrupted when event signalled / =1	5eiaib
Setaibi (meaning none)	5elalb1
5e1a2 2: max length	5e1a2
5e1b RETURNS IN	5e1b
5eibi i: event handle	5eibi
5e2 DELEV (VJSYS 46) Deletes local event.	5e2
5e2a ACCEPIS IN	5e2a
5e2a1 1: event handle / 0 (meaning all)	5e2a1
5e3 SIGEV (VJSYS 47) Signals a local event.	5e3
5e3a ACCEPTS IN	5e3a
5e3a1 1: event handle	5e3a1

5e3a2 2: completion code (non-zero)	5e3a2
5e4 TSTEV (VJSYS 50) Tests for and clears signalled local event.	5e4
5e4a ACCEPTS IN	5e4a
Se4a1 1: event handle	5e4a1
5e4b RETURNS IN	5e4b
5e4b1 1: completion code / 0 (meaning unsignalled)	5e4b1
5e4b2 2: new length	5e4b2
5e5 WATEV (VJSYS 51) Waits for to be signalled and clears [anv] one of a list of local events.	5e5
SeSa ACCEPTS IN	5e5a
5e5a1 1: ABC (event handle)s	5e5a1
5e5b RETURNS IN	5e5b
5e5b1 1: XWD	5e5b1
5e5bia block offset to left=most signalled event handle,	5e5b1a
5e5b1b new total length	5e5b1b
5e5b2 2: completion code for left-most signalled event	5e5b2
6 VJUSRs implemented by (every processor in) every subprocess	6
6a Processors	6a
6ai SOPR (VJUSR 1) Signs out local processor.	6a1
6b Packages	6b
6b1 INIPK (VJUSR 2) Initializes local package for subprocess.	661
6bia ACCEPTS IN	6b1a
6ble1 1: internal package handle	6b1a1

	6b1b RETURNS	IN	6b1b
	66161 1:	package version number	6b1b1
	6b2 TRMPK (VJUS Terminates loca	R 3) 1 package for subprocess.	6b2
	6b2a ACCEPTS	IN	6b2a
	6b2a1 1:	internal package handle	6b2a1
6 c	procedures		6c
	6c1 PECAL (VJUS Calls local pro	R 4) cedure on behalf of remote caller,	601
	6c1a ACCEPTS	IN	6c1a
	6c1a1 1:	internal package handle	6c1a1
	6c1a2 2:	byte pointer to ASCIZ procedure name	6c1a2
	6c1a3 3:	XWD	6c1a3
	6c1a3a	call handle,	6c1a3a
	6c1a3b	ABC (	6c1a3b
	601	a3b1 ABC (PCPB36 argument) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	6c1a3b1
	601	a3b2 )s / 0 (meaning none)	6c1a3b2
	6c1b RETURNS	IN	6c1b
	6c1b1 1:	XWD	60161
	6c1b1a	outcome,	6cibia
	6clb1b	ABC (	6c1b1b
	6cibic	ABC (PCPB36 result) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	6cibic
	60161d	)s / 0 (meaning none)	6c1b1d
	6c2 PEINT (VJUS) Interrupts previon behalf of re	iously called local procedure	6c2
	602a ACCEPTS	IN	6c2a

6c2a1 1: call handle	6c2a1
6c3 PERSM (VJUSR 6) Resumes previously interrupted local procedure on behalf of remote caller.	6c3
603a ACCEPTS IN	6c3a
6c3a1 1: call handle	6c3a1
6c4 PEABR (VJUSR 7) Aborts previously called local procedure on behalf of remote caller.	604
6c4a ACCEPTS IN	6c4a
6c4a1 1: call handle	6c4a1
6c5 PENTE (VJUSR 10) Makes avent detected by remote Callee known to local Caller.	605
6c5a ACCEPTS IN	6c5a
6c5a1 1: XWD	6c5a1
6c5aia cell handle for local caller,	6c5a1a
6c5alb call handle for remote callee	6c5a1b
6c5a2 2: XWD	6c5a2
6c5a2a ABC (PCPB36 event description) / 0 (meaning EMPTY),	6c5a2a
6c5a2b event code	6c5a2b
6C6 PEHLP (VJUSR 11) Solicits help from local caller on behalf of remote callee.	606
6C6e ACCEPTS IN	6¢6a
6c6a1 1: XWD	6c6a1
6c6ala call handle for local caller,	6c6a1a
6c6aib call handle for remote callee	6c6alb
6c6a2 21 XWD	6c6a2

6c6a2a ABC (PCPB36 problem description) / 0 (meaning	
	6c6a2a
6c6a2b problem code	6c6a2b
6C6b RETURNS IN	606b
6C6b1 1: ABC (PCPB36 solution) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	6¢6b1
6d Data Stores	68
6d1 LYRDT (VJUSR 12) Verifies existence of local data store.	6d1
6dla ACCEPTS IN	6d1a
6diai 1: internal package handle	6d1a1
6d1a2 2: byte pointer to ASCIZ data store name	6d1a2
6d2 LRDDT (VJUSR 13) Reads local data store on behalf of remote process.	6d2
6d2a ACCEPTS IN	6d2a
6d2a1 1: XWD	6d2a1
6dZa1a ABC (PCPB36 element selector) / 0	6d2a1a
6d2a1a1 (meaning whole data store), 6	d2a1a1
6d2aib internal package handle	6d2a1b
6d2a2 2: byte pointer to ASCIZ data store name	6d2a2
6d2b RETURNS IN	6d2b
6d2b1 1: ABC (PCPB36 value) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	6d2b1
6d3 LWRDT (VJUSR 14) Writes local data store on behalf of remote process,	6d3
6d3a ACCEPTS IN	6d3a
6d3a1 1: XWD	6d3a1
6d3a1a ABC (PCPB36 element selector) / 0	d3a1a
6d3aiai (meaning whole data store), 6d	i3a1a1

6d3a1b internal package handle	6d3a1b
6d3a2 2: byte pointer to ASCIZ data store name	6d3a2
6d3a3 3: ABC (PCPB36 value) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	6d3a3
7 VJUSRs implemented by process leader	7
7a Processes	7a
7a1 OKIPS (VJUSR 15) OKs introduction of remote process to local process.	7a1
7a1a ACCEPTS IN	7aia
7a1a1 1: XWD	7aiai
7alala ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0	7aiaia
7aiaiai (meaning EMPTY),	7aiaiai
7alaib new process handle	7alaib
7a2 OKSPS (VJUSR 16) OKs separation from local process of previously introduced remote process.	7a2
7a2a ACCEPTS IN	7a2a
7a2a1 1: old process handle	7a2a1
7a3 NTDPS (VJUSR 24) Notes impending deletion of local process.	7a3
7b Packages	7b
7b1 OKOPK (VJUSR 17) OKS opening of [and initializes] local package by remote process.	761
7bla ACCEPTS IN	7b1a
7b1a1 1: XWD scope, new package handle	7b1a1
7b1a2 2: byte pointer to ASCIZ package name	7b1a2
7b1a3 3: XWD	7b1a3

	7b1a3a 0,	internal package handle (meaning INIPK too) /	7b1a3a
	7b1a3b	ABC (PCPB36 startup info) / 0 (meaning EMPTY)	7b1a3b
	7b1b RETURNS	IN	7515
	76161 1:	package version number / 0	75151
	75151a	(if no internal package handle specified)	7b1b1a
	7b2 OKCPK (VJUS) OKS closing of process.	R 20) [and terminates] local package by remote	752
	7b2a ACCEPTS	IN	7b2a
	75281 11	XWD	7b2a1
	7b2a1a 0,	internal package handle (meaning TRMPK too) /	7b2a1a
	7b2a1b	old package handle	7b2a1b
	7b2a2 21	byte pointer to ASCIZ package name	7b2a2
7 c	Channels		70
	7c1 OKCCH (VJUSE OKs creation of	channel to local process.	701
	7c1a ACCEPIS	IN	7c1a
	7c1a1 1:	new port handle	7c1a1
	7c2 OKDCH (VJUSE OKs deletion of	22) previously created channel to local process.	702
	7c2a ACCEPTS	IN	7c2a
	7c2a1 1:	old port handle	7c2a1
	7c3 NTLCH (VJUSE Notes loss of ch	2 23) pannel to remote process.	7c3
	7c3a ACCEPTS	IN	7c3a
	7c3a1 1:	ZWD	7c3a1

		7c3ala flags,	7c3a1a
		7c3aiai BO on: process, rather than port handle	7c3a1a1
		7c3a1b handle	7c3a1b
8	Date	a Type Assignments	8
	8 a	Argument list mask LIST (INDEX [CALLER=1] / DSELECTOR*,)	8 a
	8 b	Code INDEX	86
		8b1 (event, problem, error)	861
	80	Completion code INTEGER (non-zero)	80
	8 d	Cost INTEGER	8 d
	8 e	Data store selector	8 e
		8e1 LIST (%ph% INDEX, %pkh% INDEX, %data store% CHARSTR, %element% ESELECTOR*))	8e1
	8 f	Depth INTEGER	8 £
	8 9	Diagnostic CHARSTR	8 g
	8 h	Element selector	8 h
		8h1 LIST ( <boolean [key="TRUE" index="FALSE)"> %element% any/INDEX,)</boolean>	8h1
	81	Handle INDEX	81
		8i1 (systemcall, usercall, process [SELF=1/SUPER=2], Subprocess [SELF=1/LEADER=2], processor [SELF=1/LEADER=2], package, internal package, call, introduction, channel, port, lock, lockset, datalock, event)	s 811
	8 9	Lock type INDEX [SHARE=1/EXCLUSIVE=2]	8 5
	810	Login parameter CHARSTR	8 k
		8K1 (user, password, account)	8k1
	81	Name CHARSTR	81
		811 (process, package, data store)	811

	8 m	Number INDEX	811
		8m1 (VJSYS, VJUSR)	8 m 1
	8 n	Outcome INDEX (VISIT=1 / SUCCESS=2 / FAILURE=3)	81
	80	Priority INDEX	80
	8 p	Procedure selector	80
		8P1 LIST (%ph% INDEX, %pkh% INDEX, %pname% CHARSTR)	8p1
	8 q	Process address CHARSTR	80
		8q1 <action> [<sp> <host address="">] <sp> <intrahost address=""></intrahost></sp></host></sp></action>	8 q 1
		8gia Action is either "CRT", meaning create a new process, or "SPL", meaning splice to an existing process.	8918
		8gib Host address is a decimal host addr or standard host name (defaulting to that of the local host).	8916
		Sgic Intrahost address is a SAV filename on Tenex (for CRT), or a decimal ICP contact socket number (for SPL).	8910
	81	Process information type INDEX [HOSTADDR=1]	81
		Result list mask LIST (INDEX [CALLER=1/DISCARD=2] / ELECTOR*,)	85
	8t	scope INDEX [PROCESSOR=1/SUBPROCESS=2/PROCESS=3/ALL=4]	8 t
	8 u	Startup info any	8 0
	8 v	Subprocess address CHARSTR	8 v
		8v1 <intrahost address=""></intrahost>	8 v 1
1	PCPI	B36 Data Structure Format	9
	9 a	Bit 0 If set, key data structure follows	9 a
	96	Bits 1=13 Unused (zero)	9 b
	90	Bits 14=17 Data type	90
		9c1 EMPTY =1 INTEGER=4 LIST=7	901
		9c2 BOOLEAN=2 BITSTR =5	902

9c3 INDEX =3 CHARSTR=6	903
9d Bits 18=20 Unused (zero)	9d
9e Bits 21=35 Value or its length	9e
9e1 EMPTY unused (zero)	9e1
9e2 BOOLEAN 14 zero-bits + 1=bit value (TRUE=1 / FALSE=	(O) 9e2
9e3 INDEX unsigned value	9e3
9e4 INTEGER unused (zero)	9e4
9e5 BITSTR unsigned bit count	9e5
9e6 CHARSTR unsigned character count	9e6
9e7 LIST unsigned element count	9e7
9f Bits 36=?? Value	9 f
9f1 EMPTY unused (nonexistent)	9£1
9f2 BOOLEAN unused (nonexistent)	9£2
9f3 INDEX unused (nonexistent)	9£3
9f4 INTEGER two's complement full-word	9£4
9f5 BITSTR bit string + zero padding to word boundary	9£5
9f6 CHARSTR ASCII string + zero padding to word boundar	y 9£6
9f7 LIST element data structures	917
Tenex Data Structure Formats	10
10a Procedure selector	10a
10a1 Block containing process handle, package handle, an pointer to ASCIZ procedure name	d byte
10b Data store selector	106
10b1 Block containing process handle, package handle, by pointer to ASCIZ procedure name, and ABC (PCPB36 element selector) or zero (meaning whole data structure)	

11	Appendix Change summaries	11
	11a Summary of 16=JUL=75 Changes (26100.)	118
	iiai i) Operation PGDPS has been added to simplify VJUSR dispatch for "sequential" processors (see discussion) who so identify themselves via a new SIPR flag bit.	iiai
	11a2 2) If B2 of AC 2 is raised in SIPR, PTDPS (and the SIPR itself) will thereafter be understood to imply RDYPR,	11a2
	11a3 3) The calling sequences for OKOPK/OKCPK have been modified to allow calls to INIPK/TRMPK to be piggybacked on them for packages in the Subprocess leader.	11a3
	11a4 4) The calling sequence for CRTPS has been modified to allow a call to OPNPK to be piggybacked on it, with a savings of two inter-process messages.	11a4
	11a5 5) The event provided in IVDPS is signalled with the following completion code (formerly 1):	11a5
	11a5a XWD systemcal1 handle, VJSYS number	11a5a
	11a6 6) The event provided in RELPE is signalled with the following completion code (formerly 1):	11a6
	11a6a XWD call handle, outcome	11868
	11a7 7) The following entities are converted to upper-case by DPS whenever accepted from the user (in one process), and therefore will appear in upper-case if ever presented to the user (in another process):	1187
	11a7a process addresses and names; package, procedure, and data store names; and elements of user information (i.e. user, password, and account),	11a7a
	1148 8) Subprocesses with no packages may present a zero, rather than the address of a zero-length list of package names, in the RH of AC 2 in SIPR,	1188
	11a9 9) The "all" option has been deleted from CLSPK.	11a9
	11a10 10) GTDPS returns the VJUSR number in the LH of AC 0.	11a10
	11a11 11) Clarification: To indicate to SIPR that no (zero) address space pages are to be shared by processors within the subprocess, make the "first" page greater than the "last".	

Setting both to zero implies that one page (namely, page 0) to be shared.	is 11a11
iiai2 12) Clarification: Whenever a byte pointer contains = its left half, the usual Tenex default (namely 440700) is assumed.	1 as 11a12
iiai3 i3) Clarification: Whenever an address is presented t DPS in a full word, the LH is ignored and may (as far as DP concerned) contain trash.	o S is 11a13
11a14 14) Clarification: If in doubt, set scope to ALL=4, priorities to 1, and version numbers (INDEXs) to 1.	11414
11b Summary of 10=JUN=75 Changes	115
11b1 1) Claimed change to PECAL (see 30=MAY # 11), ommitted mistake, actually made.	by 11b1
11c Summary of 30=MAY=75 Changes	110
iici i) pF requests CF services via JSYs 400, rather than JSYs. Sorry for the flipflop, but note that it's a one-instruction change.	11c1
11C2 2) The length (L) field of all ABF's should be zero w supplied by the programmer.	hen 11c2
11c3 3) In GTDPS, the usercall handle and ABF are placed in the ACs, rather than in an ABC.	n 11c3
11c4 4) INFPS (VJSVS 52) has been added for use by the NVT package in locating a process within the network.	11c4
11C5 5) The priority argument has been moved from VISPE an RELPE to ALOCH.	d 11c5
11c6 6) The event handle argument has been moved from ALOC RELPE.	H to 1106
11c7 7) INTPE and RSMPE accept zero as a call handle, mean all.	ing 11e7
11C8 8) The call handle argument has been deleted from NTE and HLPPE, being redundant (1.e. supplied in IVDPS).	PE 11c8
11c9 9) Abort provisions have been eliminated from SNDCH a	nd 1109

iici0 10) CRTEV requires an additional "length" argument specifying the number of completions simultaneously storable within the event. TSTEV and WAIEV return the number of completions which remain stored in the specified event(s) after the operation.	11010
iicii ii) Wherever DPS communicates an ASCIZ string to the PF, whether as a VJSYS result or as a VJUSR argument, it supplies a byte pointer to the ASCIZ string, rather than an ABC. Specifically, this change is made to the calling sequences of PECAL (procedure name); LVRDT, LRDDT, LWRDT (data store name); and DKOPK, OKCPK (backage name).	11011
11C12 12) The subprocess handle result has been deleted from OKOPK.	11012
11c13 13) NTDPS (VJUSR 24) has been added to inform the process leader of the process' termination, prior to initiation of signout.	11013
11c14 14) Process addresses must contain one of the following verbs: "CRT", meaning create a new process; or "SPL", meaning splice to an existing process.	11014
11c15 15) The Tenex format for a data store selector contains ABC (PCPB36 element selector), rather than "zero or more ABC (element of PCPB36 element selector)".	11c15
1d Summary of 27-APR-75 Changes	11d
11d1 1) PF requests CF services via HALTF, rather than JSyS.	11d1
11d2 2) PF provides a single block of storage for use by DPS in returning parameters to the PF, rather than a separate block for each VJSYS result / VJUSR argument. If insufficient storage is provided, the operation is aborted and the supplied block's L set to the size required; a second call to RRDPS (providing increased storage) may be employed to recover from	
the error,	11d2
11d3 3) CALPE, INTPE replaced by CALPE, VISPE, ALOCH, RELCH, ACQPE, RELPE, INTPE, RSMPE, NTEPE, HLPPE'.	11d3
11d3a = CALPE is basically shorthand for the sequence ALOCH = VISPE = RELCH.	11d3a
11d3b - VISPE is basically shorthand for the sequence RELPE - ACQPE.	11d3b

11d3c - Help (HLPPE) and note (NTEPE) returns have been factored out into separate VJSYSs.	11d3c
11d3d = Return type and subtype have been combined into a single "outcome", except that abort returns are represented as VJSYS / VJUSR failures (i.e. return +1).	11d3d
11d3e = The EVH supplied to ALOCH designates an event to be signalled whenever the remote caller/callee returns to the local procedure and therefore acts as a cue to issue ACQPE.	11d3e
11d3f = INTPE suspends the remote caller and must be followed by RSMPE/RELCH.	11d3f
11d3g = LCAPE, LINPE replaced by PECAL, PEINT, PERSM, PEABR, PENTE, PEHLP.	11d3g
11d4 4) LVRDT VJUSR added to verify existence of local data store.	11d4
11d5 5) SOPR changed from a VJSYS to a VJUSR; signout event eliminated from SIPR.	11d5
11d6 6) CALPE, VISPE, RELPE, CRTSP, CRTPR allow priority.	11d6
11d7 7) LCKDT, SETLK allow abort if lock can't be set immediately,	11d7
11d8 8) CRTLK, CRTPS, ITDPS, CRTCH require scope.	1148
11d9 9) REMLK requires lock handle.	1149
11d10 10) ULKDT requires data store selector, rather than process handle.	11d10
11d11 11) SIPR allows automatic processor creation.	11011
11d12 12) WAIEV returns number of signalled events.	11012
11d13 13) OPNPK returns package handles (omitted by accident).	11013
11d14 14) VJSYSs, VJUSRs renumbered.	11014

DPSJSYS / DPS=10 Programmer's Guide

(J26170) 22=JUL=75 15:40;;;; Title: Author(s): James E. (Jim)
White/JEW; Distribution: /SRI=ARC( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections:
SRI=ARC; Clerk: JEW; Origin: < JWHITE, DPSJSYS.NLS;2, >,
22=JUL=75 15:27 JEW ;;;;####;

1 26170 Distribution
1a Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H.
Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I.
Andrews,
1b Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura
J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli,
Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko,
Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann
Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David
S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair,
Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L.
Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J.
Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White

1 26170 Distribution
1a Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H.
Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I.
Andrews,
1b Mary Ann Kellan, Buddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura
J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K, Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli,
Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko,
Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann
Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David
S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair,
Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L.
Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J.
Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White

Journalized help transfer procedures

Files in xhelp should have default directory for links; xhelp. Files in help should have defalt directory for links; help. When 8.5 is brought up, we should put a command branch in <xhelp>helpd.nls that 1) deletes directives 2) sets the default directory for links 3) updates to a new name in help and 4) ftp's a copy to isic if that's possible

- 1 All the files in <help> directory have been moved to <xhelp> so that they can be de=bugged while 8.5 is still experimental.
- 2 When 8.5 is brought up as the running system, all output processor directives should be deleted from a tool description file and copied over to the <help> directory if it is a tool in 8.5. NSW tool description files should stav in xhelp until NSW is the running system.
- 3 After 8,5 is brought up, no changes should be made in the help directory. All changes should be made in xhelp and then a new version copied to help.
- 4 No help files should be updated in the xhelp directory at isic. when 8.5 is brought up at isic, all the files in the help dir there should be copied from xhelp at bonb as outlined above for bonb.
- 5 Sorry about any inconvenience this may cause. Let me know of any problems with these new procedures.

Journalized help transfer procedures

(J26171) 22=JUL=75 16:44;;;; Title: Author(s): Kirk E. Kelley/KIRK; Distribution: /DMB( [ ACTION | dirt) DIRT( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC DIRT; Clerk: KIRK;

126171 Distribution
1a Delorse M. Brooks, Jonathan B. Postel, Priscilla A. Wold, Rita
Hysmith, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Elizabeth F. Finney,
Beverly Boli, Lawrence A. Crain, Kirk Sattley, Susan Gail Roetter,
Robert N. Lieberman, Ann Weinberg, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, Douglas
C. Engelbart, James H. Bair, Elizabeth K. Michael, Richard W. Watson,
Elizabeth J. Feinler, Harvey G. Lehtman, Kirk E. Kelley, Laura E.
Gould, Jeanne M. Beck, Dirk H. Van Nouhuys, James C. Norton,

1 This note summarizes a series of meetings about the NSW Front End (FE) implementation.	1
2 The attendees were : rww dir jie chi jew lig jbp andy dia	2
3 The main issues is space; the pieces of code and data that need to be present in the FE wont fit together in the address space of the pdp11.	3
4 Our current estimates of the sizes of the various code and data modules are given in the file <ehardt, fe="memory=consumption,">.</ehardt,>	4
5 The following issues and tasks have evolved over the series of meetings, under each issue or task is the current status or resolution.	5
5a (1) CHI - reduce to size of the CLI context: 90al 8K	5 a
5ai 8K is ok	5a1
5b (2) CHI JEW LLG - combine DPS and CLI into a single process	5 b
5b1 case 1 = B4700 code also works with DPS	5b1
5b2 case 2 = only CLI uses DPS	5b2
5b2a This appears not to be promising, rather a design utilizing a shared mage between DpS and CLI containing both code and data, and using signals or EMTs to communicate events to each other looks more interesting, (seenumber 11)	5b2a
5c (3) DIA = fix Li011 compliler to parse large Segments of code to generate more optimal code (this version of the compiler will not run under his but from the exec)	5 c
5c1 This looks to be more work that previously discussed, but is still being explored, (See = number 18)	501
5d (4) JEW - reduce the size of the DPS context: doal 2K	5 d
5d1 2K appears to be fine.	541
5e (5) JLE DLR - investigate the buffer and memory magement in ELF, investigate buffer and code optimization in exec and telnet.	5 e
5el Suggested that demand paging system would be a win, but this appears to be far more work than we want to take on.	5e1

	5e2 For system with no normal TELNET, only special TELNET for CLI old tool access the TELNET code could be reduced from 1000 to 600 words for a savings of 400 words. In such a system if the CLI were to play the role of the EXEC the 3000 word EXEC would be eliminated.	5 e 2
	(6) JLE = talk to DEC about prices for disks, memory etc, for /40, 11/45, and 11/70.	5 f
	5f1 Price List Obtained	5f1
	(7) CHI - figure out the size of the code for CLI mannaged ntext switching and for display featurets	5 9
	501 Display Code on 10 takes 4K, so estimate 6-8K on 11.	591
	5g2 Context switch code should be small a few hundred words at the most.	5 9 2
5h re	(8) LLG = investigate the ELF facilities that can be used to duce the size of the DPS code	51
	5hi There is not very much to be saved here, but the ELF primitives will be used where appropriate. An estimate of 20=24K for the DPS code is reasonable.	5h1
	5h2 This goes with (2) above.	5 h 2
51	(9) DLR JEW CHI - investigate eliminating ELF'.	51
	511 There is not much to be saved, but it would be useful to list the primitives along with the amount of space that would be saved if the primitive were deleted and the programs that would be impacted if the primitive were deleted.	511
51 RS)	(10) JLE DLR - Evaluate the relative merits of ELF, UNIX and X11 for our needs.	5 5
	5j1 prepare a note for our internal use that compares these systems and our requirements especially noting their facilities relating to:	5 1 1
	5dia real and virtual address space management	5j1a
	5j1b disk management	5116
	5jic process structure	5110
	5jid interprocess communication	5110

5k	(11) LLG - DPS-CLI communication	5k
	5k1 prepare a note on the design for the DPS = CLI communication considering both the shared page and message mapping techniques, incorporating comments by CHI and JEW.	5k1
51	(12) JLE - Prepare order for disk	51
	511 ???	511
	(13) RWW - Send note stating our general problem to nsw eering committee.	5 m
	5m1 A note was sent (see == 26099,).	5 m 1
des	(14)CHI JEW LLG - Review of the proposed DPS/CLI interface sign with attention to the single vs. multi- process ternatives.	5 n
	5n1 Done (see== number 11)	5n1
	(15) DLR JLE = Investigate alternative memory management hemes	50
	Soi Rough estimate is that 4 man months would be required to revise ELF to use variable size real pages, and that this would be desirable for other reasons (eg input output management). To revise ELF to allow variable size virtual pages might be accomplished with 1 man month of effort.	501
	Soia (to be scheduled) note on implications and effort involved if this task is undertaken.	501a
5p ste	(16) JLE = Continue developing the position paper for the earing committee, deliver a draf version to COMPASS.	5p
5 q	(17) CHI - Breakdown of CLI code.	5 q
	5q1 Done (see==26144)	591
	(18) DTA - Li011 status report: what remains to be done, rrent expectations of savings.	5r
	5r1 Done (seeandrews, 11011status,)	5r1
	(19) [to be scheduled] Study the relative efficiency of L1011 assembly code,	5 s
5t	(20) LLG DLR = Storage Management	5t

	be a choice between the following:	5t1
	Stia current ELF primitives	5tia
	5t1b L10 runtime	5t1b
	5tic new (or modified) routines	5t1c
	5t2 if the 110 routines were chosen and compiled by 11011 the savings to be made by hand coding are estimated to be 20% by CHI and 50% by JLE	5t2
5 u	(21) JLE - B4700 code	5 u
	5u1 Estimate received from Triolo that the "null=IP" will take 7000-8000 words.	5u1
5 y	(22) ??? - Coordinate KEV's debugger with PP=11 testing.	5 v
to co	was also resolved at the second meeting that we should proceed nstruct a test version of the system even tho it may only rt one or two users.	6
6 a	This requires several peices to be operational:	6a
	6e1 VM ELF - DLR	6a1
	6a2 Loader - ANDY	682
	6a3 Flea DDT = DLR	6a3
	684 CLI-11 - JLE & ANDY	6a4
	6a5 DPS=11 = LLG	6a5
7 The	next meeting is scheduled for 10 am Tuesday 29th July,	7

(J26172) 22-JUL-75 18:04;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B.

Postel/JBP; Distribution: /RWW([INFO-ONLY]) DLR([INFO-ONLY])

JLE([INFO-ONLY]) CHI([INFO-ONLY]) JEW([INFO-ONLY]) LLG([INFO-ONLY]) DLA([INFO-ONLY]) DIA([INFO-ONLY]) DIA([INFO-ONLY]) DIA([INFO-ONLY]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk; JBP; Origin: <
POSTEL, FE-PROBLEMS.NLS;8, >, 22-JUL-75 17:59 JBP;;; ####;

1 26172 Distribution
1a Richard W. Watson, David L. Retz, Joseph L. Ehardt, Charles H.
Irbv, James E. (Jim) White, Larry L. Garlick, Jonathan B. Postel,
Andv Poggic, Don I. Andrews,

1	NSW	Pro	too	01	5	we	ek	11	, 8	ita	ati	us	R	ep	or	t		22	-0	UI		75												1
	1a	JIN	WH	IT	E																												1	a
		181	Ма	10	r	Re	SF	or	151	bi	1	it	y:	D	PS	-	10																1 a	1
		1a2	Ac	00	пр	11	sh	red	L	as	s t	W	ee	k																			1 a	2
			1a2	a		co	nt	īr	ue	d	d	еь	na.	gi	no	. (	L	I/	DF	S	N1	S	5	yst	tem	W	ith	n I	DSN	1/0	HI,		1a2	a
			1a2	b		Re	vi	ew	lec	1	L	g.	5	Dp	S	1	1	us	er		in	e	ř	ace	9 8	pe	c.						1a2	b
			1a2																		I	SIC		n	we	th	at	t	he	JS	YS		1a2	
			1a2 Sub																		r	ans	s m	is	sic	n	Pro	ot	000	1			142	d
			1a2 by wit	DP	S+	10		qi	vi	no	1	th	e	er	re	T	n	um	be	1	al	br	d.	ia	ano	st	ic	a	550	oci	rte	ed ed	182	9
			1a2	Ě		De	si	gr	nec	1	5 e	ve	ra	1	ne	w	D	PS	Í	ea	ati	re	25										1a2	Í
				1a re	tu	rn	t	he	2 1	15	er	t	0	th	9	E	χE	C	ir	w	11: e:	i e	en:	ab:	to	th	e P	FE	t (f:	111	ind	q	1a2f	1
				1a ca us	n	be	9	Te	ent	e	3	ac	ce	55	. 1	0	D	PS	E	T	im:	lt:	LVI	sso	or=	or	eat	te	dire	for	ks		1a2f	2
				1a en sy	ca	ps	11.1	at	s:	11	ts	n el	op	ti (w	or	h	r	es	hi	ci	1	0	i	ts	us	es e	s of	t	n he	fi	1e		1a2f	3
				1a me	2f	4	> e	a	ne	w c	Dia	PS te	0	pe wi	ra	t	io	n	wh	1	i i	re	DI	PS	ns er	th	e c	di	agr	nos	tic	0	1a2f	4
				pa	ra	me	te	rs	it	0	1	ts	F	en	ot	e	C	al	10	r	101	111	le	9 1	e c	ho			uri	2			1a2f	55
					n	be	6	X E	CU	ite	e d	1	n.	56	r	e											te			ged	iur	es	1a2f	. 6
	1		1a2	a	-	No	0	e	ouc	a	in	g	of	C	L	1	OP	S/	WN	1 5	sy	ste	em	W	itt	S	tu	S	ch	aff	ene	r		

this past week; understand from CHI that Schaffner's busy checking out DPs HELP, etc. features in the shared-page mode of interaction with the FE.	1a29
1a3 Scheduled Next Week	1a3
1a3a - Continue debugging CLI/DPS/NLS system with DSM/CHI.	1a3a
1a3b - Continue debugging CLI/DPS/WM system with Stu- Schaffner, who will be exercising ITDPS and the data store primitives for the first time (carry over from last week).	1a3b
<pre>ia3c = Review second draft of LLG's DPS=11 user interface spec,</pre>	1a30
1a3d - Draft strawman critique of report of Message Transmission Protocol Subcommittee at request of RWW,	1830
ia3e - Review Journal-related final report section at request of RWW.	1836
1a3f - Begin implementing newly-designed DPs features.	1a3
1a4 Queued	184
1848 - Code inter-host inter-process communication (this is not critical path).	1a4a
1b LARRY GARLICK	15
1b1 Mator Responsibility: DPS=11	151
1p2 Accomplished Last Week	162
<pre>ib2a = Completed second draft of DPS=11 user interface document, Expect one more pass to add an alternate message passing scheme == message mapping.</pre>	1b2a
1b2b = DLR and I are evaluating storage management requirements using PLF primitives rather than LiO storage management. Will prepare a note for the 29-JUL meeting.	1b2b
1b2c = Coding DPS=11 event and manager management.	1620
1b3 Scheduled for Short Term	163
1b3a - Prepare final draft of DPS=11 user interface document.	1b3a

1b3b - Continue DPS-10 => DPS-11 conversion:	1b3b
1b3b1 > Install new vJSYS/VJUSR call mechanisms.	16361
1b3b2 > Remove subprocess and processor management.	16362
1b3b3 > Code data format conversion routines for PCPB8.	1b3b3
1b3c - Shooting for a test of DPS-11 with CLI-11 by 1-SEP.	1b3c
1b4 Scheduled for Long Term	164
1h4a - Thetall DPc=11	1b4a

NSW Protocols Weekly Status Report: 22-JUL-75

(J26173) 22=JUL=75 18:33;;;; Title: Author(s): James E. (Jim)
White/JEW; Distribution: /SRI=ARC( [ INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub-Collections:
SRI=ARC; Clerk: JEW; Origin: < JWHITE, PROSTS.NLS;6, >,
22=JUL=75 18:31 JEW ;;;;\*\*\*\*\*;

1 26173 Distribution
1a Douglas C. Engelbart, Martin E. Hardy, J. D. Hopper, Charles H.
Irby, Harvey G. Lehtman, James C. Norton, Jeffrey C. Peters, Dirk H.
Van Nouhuys, Kenneth E. (ken) Victor, Richard W. Watson, Don I.
Andrews,
1b Mary Ann Kellan, Byddie J. Pine, Andy Poggio, David L. Retz, Laura
J. Metzger, Karolyn J. Martin, Jan A. Cornish, Larry L. Garlick,
Priscilla A. Wold, Pamela K. Allen, Delorse M. Brooks, Beverly Boli,
Rita Hysmith, Log Augmentation, Joseph L. Ehardt, Raymond R. Panko,
Susan Gail Roetter, Robert Louis Belleville, Rene C. Ochoa, Ann
Weinberg, Joan Hamilton, Adrian C. McGinnis, Robert S. Ratner, David
S. Maynard, Robert N. Lieberman, Sandy L. Johnson, James H. Bair,
Jeanne M. Leavitt, Rodney A. Bondurant, Jeanne M. Beck, Marcia L.
Keeney, Elizabeth K. Michael, Jonathan B. Postel, Elizabeth J.
Feinler, Kirk E. Kelley, N. Dean Meyer, James E. (Jim) White

1 RLL has brought to my attention the fact that a "short course" on NLS is being planned for late August, i see this as a worthy undertaking and wish it to be maximally successfull, however i an concerned that we perhaps do not have the resources (computer cycles, terminals, meeting rooms, etc.) to devote to the task with out generating serious conflicts within ARC. ==jon.

(J26174) 22=JUL=75 21:18;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B.
Postel/JBP; Distribution: /DCE([INFO=ONLY]) JCN([INFO=ONLY])
RWW([INFO=ONLY]) RLL([INFO=ONLY]) JHB([INFO=ONLY]);
Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: JBP;

1 26174 Distribution 1a Douglas C. Engelbart, James C. Norton, Richard W. Watson, Robert N. Lieberman, James H. Bair,

1	Gna	rterly Report for period ending 1/ July /5	TOPE.
	1a	File Package	16
		iai The set procedures to be implemented in each host to facilitate the movement of files between workspaces in either the same computer or different computers is called the "File Package".	iai
		102 The File Package specification was greatly rewritten and enlarged to include specifics on file types and scenarios for file movement.	102
	16	Protocol Format	11
		ibi The communication between the Distributed programming system modules in each host is in accordance with either a 36 bit format or a 8 bit format, The 8 bit format, called "PCPB8", was documented.	1b:
	10	Protocol Meeting	10
)		ici SRI hosted a meeting to discuss the protocols needed in the NSW. The major topic of the meeting was the Distributed programming System designed by SRI to meet the needs of NSW and perhaps other large multi-computer programming systems. Also discussed were specifics of the communication protocol format (PCPB8) and the file moving procedures (the File Package).	10:

Quarterly Report Input

(J26175) 22=JUL=75 22:25;;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B. Postel/JBP; Distribution: /BEV( [INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: JBP;

1 26175 Distribution 1a Beverly Boli,

1 Current status of L1011 improvement effort.	1
ia NOTE: Since memory on the NSW frontends is precious, it appears worthwhile to spend some time shrinking the amount of code produced by LiOii. This is a survey of methods and status of improvements made so far.	1a
1b These are the code improvement areas:	16
1b1 register allocation:	161
ibia This involves modifying every rule that uses/requires/releases a register (i.e. produces code that uses a register). This improvement is necessary because the current algorithm is not only inefficient but full of holes. (Some have been stuffed with thumbs, some not). A large coding and debugging effort (compared to following improvements), but will result in better and less code produced by compiler.	ibia
1b2 BR to a JMP:	152
ib2a The branch forward relative instruction is a bugaboo for Li0ii since it is a one pass compiler. Also, it is the only way a test of the condition code can be made. The obvious thing to do is put out a BR (of appropriate condition testing) around a JMP to the desired place (result= 3 words where i might be enough). BR to a JMP means that the compiler remembers where it produced a JMP forward and, if possible, when it needs to produce a BR to the same label, it produces a BR to the previously produced JMP instruction.	1b2a
1b3 Shrink INVOKE:	163
1b3a special cases of the INVOKE command and perhaps some LIST procedure calls can be made shorter. (Primarily here because DPS does many INVOKES).	1b3a
1b4 Short JMP fwd:	164
1b4a Another way to reduce branch forward code: Where it is known that a forward jump is going over a single simple statement, demand that that statement fit within 256 words and do a branch relative (by fixing it up later),	1b4a
1b5 Destination Scheme:	165

1b5a Another way to reduce jumps: Each control flow

statement (e.g. WHILE, CASE, LOOP), knows where the exit and looping locations are, only with respect to the start and end of the control flow statement itself. Code could be improved if each statement-compiling rule knew the destination of that statement == i.e. what was going to happen when control exits that statement, such as branching back to a loop, going to next statement, or going around an ELSE part, etc. This improvement will reduce the number of imps produced and replace some forward jumps with backward jumps.

1b5a

1b5b NOTE: The destination cannot be passed inside the last statement of a block since the compiler does not know it was the last until AFTER it is compiled. See large parse tree plan below.

1b5b

## 1b6 Record defs/Field refs:

156

ib6a This involves making the compiler smart about records that are declared in the file that is being compiled. Fields that are same pattern of bits in different records can be implemented as the same field reference code to save space. Also, fields that are words, bytes or bits can be referenced by fewer instructions than the current blinds field reference technique.

1b6a

## 1b7 Parse large tree:

157

1b7a The compiler currently parses one statement and then produces code for it. The following things could be done if large portions of procedures were parsed, then compiled:

1b7a

1b7ai Better register allocation (by counting refs and keeping often used things in registers),

1b7a1

1b7a2 Counting instructions that a branch represents so that a branch forward can be done where possible.

157a2

1b7a3 Better destination scheme by passing the destination inside the last statement of a block.

1b7a3

ic As of 7/22 AM, this is the status of the L1011 improvements:

10

ici register allocation: implemented, partly debugged.

101

ic2 record definition/field reference: implemented, partly debugged.

102

1c3 BR to a JMP: implemented, partly debugged.

1e3

1d Estimate of time to imple estimate of typical average	ment (ma	n days)	and very rough percentages.	16
idi improvement impi	debug*	% reduc	etion	161
1d2 reg allocation:	done	3	1=3%	1d2
id3 shrink invoke:	,5	.5	1=5% (depends on use)	1d3
1d4 BR to a JMP:	done	.5	1=2%	1d4
1d5 short JMP fwd:	1	1.5	1-4%	1 1 5
1d6 dest scheme: .5	1	1=3%		1d6
1d7 records/fields:	done	1	1=3% (depends on use)	1d7
1d8 large parse: 3	3	- (not	recommended)	1d8
1d9 and reg alloc: recommended)	ī	2	.5-2% (not	1d9
1d10 and fwd bran: recommended)	?	?	.5=2% (not	1610
idii and dest plan: recommended)	1	1	.5=2% (not	1011
1d12 SUM (recommended)	2	7.5	6=20%	1d12
1d12a *initial test-ca available for general		ging bei	fore compiler is	1d12a
1e We will proceed with the are not recommended).	above it	ems (but	excluding those that	1e

(J26176) 22-JUL-75 11:30;;; Title: Author(s): Don I. Andrews/DIA; Distribution: /RWW( [ ACTION ] ) JBP( [ ACTION ] ) JEW( [ ACTION ] ) DIA( [ ACTION ] ) CHI( [ ACTION ] ) LLG( [ ACTION ] ) ANDY( [ ACTION ] ) JLE( [ ACTION ] ) KEV( [ ACTION ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: DIA; Origin: < ANDREWS, L1011STATUS.NLS;4, >, 22-JUL-75 11:26 DIA;;;;####;

1 26176 Distribution
ia pichard W. Watson, Jonathan B. Postel, James E. (Jim) White, Don
I. Andrews, Charles H. Irby, Larry L. Garlick, Andy Poggio, Joseph L.
Ehardt, Kenneth E. (Ken) victor,

1	FROM: Robert Lieberman (RLL)	1
2	Will you be able to attend?	2
	2a The Friday 12 Sept 75 is personnally bad and thus I would have to leave Thursday in order to be home for Friday AM. This leaves me only three days for that week.	2 a
	2b Monday 15 September is a Holiday for me and I would not be able to travel until Tuesday, again leaving only three days for the week. Since I don't know of anyone else effected by Yom Kippur, this might not be too bad for most.	26
	2c If I had to choose between the weeks, I would take the week beginning the 15th.	20
	201 I could possibly leave Monday night; arriving in Boston Tuesday AM.	201
	2d Week beginning:	2 d
	2d1 1 Sept: Labor day is the Monday; Saturday is a holiday (Rosh Hashanah)	2d1
	2d2 8 Sept: Friday personnally bad, would miss Thursday also for flying.	2d2
	2d3 15 Sept: Monday is holiday, otherwise OK	2d3
	2d4 22 sept: OK	2d4
3	Would you like to have the meeting in Cambridge?	3
	3a yes	3 a
4	Do you prefer a 4 or 5 day meeting?	4
	4a sightseeing can be done on weekends, but a mid week break is not too bad. Would think night sessions would be neat if we had Wednesday off.	4a
5	What is you choice of dates?	5
	Sa NOT the week of 8 Sept.	5 a
	5b FAIR for week of 15 Sept.	5 b
	5c OK for rest of month and October.	50

# RESPONSE to KWAC Fall 75 meeting questionnaire RLL 23-JUL-75 14:56 26177

6	Would you like me to arrange your hotel/motel room?	6
	6a Probably SRI-ARC contingent will book its rooms as a block via SRI.	68
7	Would you like a list of hotels and prices in the Boston-MIT area?	7
	7a Yes, but only the most convenient.	7 8

(J26177) 23=JUL=75 14:56;;; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /RMS2( ( ACTION ) ) JCN( ( INFO-ONLY ) ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: RLL; Origin: < LIEBERMAN, KWAC75.NLS:2, >, 23-JUL-75 14:49 RLL;;;:####;

1 26177 Distribution 1a pobert M. Sheppard, James C. Norton, This is covering letter to OSIS (NSF) for the standard Utility proposal. A copy of the OSHA proposal was enclosed. We expect to draft a NSF proposal in September.

Robert N. Lieberman Stanford Research Institute Augmentation Research Center 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, California 94025

Dr. Harold Bamford National Science Foundation Office of Scientific Information Services Washington, DC 20550

Dear Dr. Bamford:

I felt that it would be best to send you a copy of a recent proposal instead drafting one especially for you, since it is not yet known what our standard proposal will be for January 1976.

Changes in our pricing of the Workshop Utility Services are likely to depend upon new hardware configurations and the availability of a new "pie Slice scheduler."

The new scheduler makes it possible to dynamically allocate computer cycles to groups on a percentage basis. Thus, one user group can be guaranteed a minimum of X percent of the computer system (based on CPU cycles) no matter how many other users are logged on the computer.

This will now allow more than one person at time to be logged on in a "slot" (currently 3% of the available CPU cycles) if they are willing to share the fixed percentage of the machine with other users in their group.

We do not believe, however, that these changes will account for much of a difference in the per share cost we are currently charging,

TERMINALS

I have also enclosed a report on various terminals that can be used in conjunction with our system. It is somewhat out-of-date since this technology has changed rapidly in the last year.

With regard to the Hewlitt Packard 2640A:

2a

26

3

4a

4b

The Lineprocessor does not support this terminal although it looks like it could with about one man-month of effort.	4b1
The major drawback would be the 9 characters needed to position our pointing device, called a mouse. The Data Medias require only 2 characters. This could make the movement of the cursor appear jerky on the HP=2640A screen.	4b2
The Line drawing and symbol options for the HP=2640A are not supported by our system. No estimate is available on how much effort it would take, assuming it could be done,	463
At last check this terminal only supported 2400 baud lines; for display NLS a 4800 baud terminal is most desirable.	464
COMMUNICATIONS	5
We believe that the new managers of the ARPANET, DCA, are allowing any government agency the use of the net. Please contact Bob Brownfield, DCA Code 531 at 202-692-7583, for detail information.	5a
Another alternative would be WATS telephone service (band 5 = full country) which would cost \$1675 plus 7% excise tax per month for two incoming lines (240 hours per month with \$4.65 for each additional hour of connect time).	5b
WATS service will limit use to voice grade lines, Display terminals could be used with VADIC modems which cost \$975 each to purchase, (This runs at 1200 baud as opposed to a recommended 4800 baud for display terminals)	5b1
Wide band leased lines from ATT would cost about \$2300 per month.	5c
Private communication companies using Satellite or special ground lines would cost about \$1200 to \$1300 per month for wide band service.	5d
The new commercial network, TELENET, would cost anywhere from \$8000 to \$10,000 per year for an active user on a display terminal.	5e
I hope this information is helpful. Please contact me if you have any questions,	6
Bamford/Lieberman	Page 2

Sincerely,

Robert N. Lieberman

## Enclosures

SRI proposal No. ISU 75-116 NLS Workshop Support for OSHA

Workstation Equipment Reference Manual

RLL 12=AUG=75 15:13 26178 14 AUG 75 7:36PM 26178

(J26178) 12=AUG=75 15:13;;; Title: Author(s): Robert N.
Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /JCN([INFO=ONLY]]) ARC=LOG([INFO=ONLY]])
DCE([INFO=ONLY]]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC ARC=LOG; Clerk:
RLL; Origin: < ARC=LOG, NSF,NLS;6, >, 25=JUL=75 17:07 RLL;;;;
####;

1 In the course of debuggong NLs-9 last week, Karolyn and I came accross a bug in code in FILMNP which I think reflects a bug in the XL10 compiler.

2 By mistake, there were multiple invocations of the same catchphrase (global to the file, if it makes any difference) in a single procedure without dropping the first invocation. There were also three other catchphrases invoked (which were local to the procedure). Upon exit (before returning or terminating) the catchphrases were explicitly dropped except for the second invocation of the multiply invoked catchphrase. Upon execution, the system blew up at the return. By removing the redundant invocation, we got the code to work.

Possible XL10 Bug

(J26179) 23-JUL-75 11:40;;; Title: Author(s): Harvey G. Lehtman/HGL; Distribution; /DIA( ( ACTION 1 ) NPG( ( INFO-ONLY ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC NPG; Clerk: HGL;

1 26179 Distribution
1a Don I. Andrews, Andy poggio, David L. Retz, Jan A. Cornish, Larry
L. Garlick, Robert Louis Belleville, Elizabeth J. Feinler, Joseph L.
Ehardt, Jonathan B. Postel, Kirk E. Kelley, Karolyn J. Martin, David
S. Maynard, Kenneth E. (Ken) Victor, James E. (Jim) White, Elizabeth
K. Michael, Don I. Andrews, J. D. Hopper, Charles H. Irby, Harvey G.
Lehtman,

1 Your idea of a variant of the demand mode is appreciated. Thanks it will be put in with other suggestions.

2 In speaking to you about the recognition modes I did not mention the secondary mode one can select when TERSE is picked as the primary mode. In essence, after typing <Sp> while in terse, you can select any of the four modes again as the secondary mode. Terse in this case will look like demand except will not permit first level command words as alternatives. Anticipatory and fix will behave the same as usual. In this way you can have the most frequently used command recognized by one letter and the other commands recognized in any of the modes.

3 About the XED edit controls, I don't know if it is easily changed but will find out and let you know.

4 Installing another editor on OFFICE=1 is VERy unlikely. First, we don't want another system (editor or not) running (it can have serious effects on system response for all). Second, I believe having another editor would only serve to confyse people (of course not for those who know the other editor). It is our intention to have an integrated and coherent interface. Our aim should be to improve NLS in every way possible. We mentioned some while you were here, I believe. Ron T sent me a cony of the codes. I will send him a note thanking him.

5 Thanks again; please keeping suggesting. Send them to FEEDBACk@office=1 or FEED via the journal system. Copies to those you think are appropriate.

gED and recognition modes.

(J26180) 23-JUL=75 19:34;;;: Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /JGN([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

Introduction

1

This document describes the current problems that result from the limited memory resources of the PDP-11. Since there is difficulty in trying to fit into the PDP-11 all those functions that are deemed desirable by the NSW Steering Committee, some consideration of the various issues seems useful toward achieving some compromise solution.

1 a

Therefore this memorandum considers various strategies for the implementation of the NSW Frontend (FE) code. It should be noted that the strategies discussed here are not mutually exclusive and that the final solution will result from the selection of the most complementary set of strategies described.

16

ic The memorandum first discusses 3 implementation domains where alternative strategies are being developed: 1) the implementation language, 2) process interaction, and 3) main memory resource management. This material represents the framework within which solutions have been sought during the design phase. Then the memorandum describes in detail the current status of PDP-11 resource management. Finally, it makes some general observations about the performance of the PDP-11 as a consequence of this limited resource situation.

1 -

Id We have not made any final decisions yet. This document is a status report of our current thinking and is intended to communicate to the members of the NSW Steering Committee (and other relevant parties) generally complete information about the substantial issues that have been identified. If we come to a place that might require NSW management attention, this background information should aid them in arriving at a decision. We welcome any questions (or any other form of dialogue, for that matter; regarding the information presented in this memorandum.

1d

ie Some readers may prefer to skip to the section of the memorandum that deals with the current resource requirements. This is fine, but we encourage the reader to return to this part later and read the document sequentially.

1e

Various Implementation Strategies

-

2a Implementation Language Strategy

2a

2ai Whenever main memory resources are perceived to be limited during the course of the implementation of a system, the question of whether or not a high-level language should be used as the implementation language naturally arises. The responses to this question are well known, but a quick summarization of them as they apply to the NSW Frontend effort is reasonable.

281

2a2 Often it is generally desirable to implement systems in a high-level language. Doing so significantly reduces the costs associated with the development, debugging, and maintenance phases of any piece of software. This situation is further enhanced in the case of the Frontend software since verious pieces of code that are being written by SRI will run in both the PDP-10 and the PDP-11. This approach makes it possible for terminals connected to a PDP-10 via some TIP to obtain most of the advantages of the Frontend that terminals directly coupled to the NSW PDP-11 will receive. The use of a high-level language whose compiler(s) can produce machine code for either machine greatly simplifies this task.

2a2

2a3 However, two costs are exacted upon the system implementors when writing in a high-level language: 1) increased storage requirements, and 2) some performance degradation. There can be no argument that these costs hurt in a system with limited physical resources. The significant question remains as to whether or not these costs override the notable advantages of writing in high-level languages.

2a3

2a4 It is our observation that our Li0/ii compiler is currently generating code that requires about 25-30% more storage than hand-written assembly code. So that we can try to minimize the storage penalties associated with Li0/ii code, we are currently re-working the compiler to improve its code generation up to 15% over what we are experiencing to-date. We feel that code efficiency gains beyond what we expect from our current plans can only be had at costs

beyond what the benefits can justify in a developmental project.

284

2a5 We think that the implementation of the Frontend code in a high-level language is the correct approach when considering all costs, and we plan no changes in strategy in this area. Since the target date for an operational (as opposed to developmental) NSW is 1978, the final choice of the Frontend machine is not confined to the PDP=11 and writing assembly language code at this point would only require re-implementation at that time.

2a5

### 2b Process Interaction Strategy

26

2bi In this category, the issues are particularly complex and therefore more difficult to clearly present. Due to the extremely tight fit in the PDP=11, considerable attention is focused at this time in the design strategies affecting the interaction between the Distributed Programming System (DPS), Command Language Interpreter (CLI), and Display Terminal Handler (DTH). We expect to achieve a solution where the different processes can interact as efficiently as possible with one another=-thereby reducing overall storage requirements--while not greatly impairing their generality.

. .

2b2 The use of shared pages is one such strategy that is being explored as one mechanism for interaction between processes. Another strategy is to make maximum use of the operating system facilities for main memory management within and between processes. Undoubtedly other strategies will be developed as we proceed further in the implementation of the Frontend.

252

2b3 But to return the discussion to DPS, we have been reluctant to "strip" it of features (and thereby reduce memory requirements) since packages other than the CLI are presumed to require its services. The 84700 code is an example of such a package. Even though we are experiencing limited memory resources in the 11/40 and the possibility exists of not being able to put the 84700 code in the same machine as the Frontend code, it is still our hope that the adoption of an appropriate memory management strategy will permit us to retain the 84700 package in the Frontend processor.

2b3

2c Main Memory Resource Management Strategy

20

2c1 General

201

2cia The discussion that follows requires that the reader have some knowledge of the memory management facilities of the PDP=11. The maximum amount of physical main memory that can be configured on the 11/40 or 11/45 is 124K words (128K less the 4K reserved for the device registers). Because of the 16-bit word architecture of the PDP=11, individual processes possess a virtual address space that may contain up to 32K words on the 11/40. (On the 11/45 and 11/70, code and data have separate virtual address spaces of 32K each.) Memory relocation registers provide the hardware mechanism for mapping a virtual address space into the physical memory of the PDP=11.

2cib Given this memory management philosophy, there are a number of strategies that can be used in the implementation of the Frontend. What follows, then, is a discussion of some of the ways in which we might "fold" the various pieces of Frontend code into the available physical memory of the 11/40. (This is necessary since it is not possible to have all the code and data for 20 terminals actually reside permanently in main memory. The preferred strategy undoubtedly will be one that can be implemented within certain cost constraints, but also achieves some of our performance goals.

# 2c2 1) Swap Virtual Address Space

202

2C2a This strategy simply swaps the entire contents of a virtual address space between main memory and disk storage. This strategy seemingly would make it quite easy for the job scheduler to automatically and entirely control the swapping activity. Moreover, this strategy permits the maximum re-use of the available physical memory.

2c2b However, the amount of information that must be swapped (up to 32K words) can cause considerable delay==even when a very high=speed disk is used as the swapping device. Additionally, it requires a large area of contiguous physical storage to be available before the swap can be performed.

2c3 2) Swap Data Context

203

2c3a This strategy assumes that rementrant code permanently resides in main memory and that only the writable data unique to a given terminal or process is swapped between main memory and disk storage when needed. Its chief virtue is that this strategy reduces to an absolute minimum the amount of main memory that is swapped. This results in the least opportunity for time being lost due to disk transfer time. On the other hand, it only permits re-use of that area of main memory actually used for swapable data. Currently, this only represents a little over 10% of physical storage.

2c4 3) Independently Swap Data Context and Overlay Code

204

2C4a This strategy also assumes that the writable data unique to a given terminal is swapped between main memory and disk storage when needed. Additionally, this strategy includes the notion that code is overlaid by the operating system at the explicit request of user code. Its chief advantage is that it reduces the amount of physical storage actually required by that code, thereby permitting a larger number of swapable data contexts to be resident in storage at any one time. Its disadvantages include the increased amount of swapping between main memory and disk storage, and the increased complexity of the user code that must now specifically preside over its code residency.

2c5 4) Demand Paging

205

2c5a This strategy assumes that only referenced pages need to be swapped between disk and main memory. This philosophy is attractive as long as the system is careful to avoid the classical deadlock and thrashing conditions that can arise. Clearly some responsibility lies with the implementors of user code if page faults are to be held to a minimum. Failure to do so can result in particularly poor performance of the overall system.

2c5b A special footnote should be made about the demand paging strategy: the 11/45 and 11/70 can implement this strategy with little difficulty. However, the 11/40 does not officially possess a page faulting capability. In spite of this, investigation has shown that demand paging can be partially implemented on the 11/40 as long as certain instruction forms are absent from Frontend code.

2c6 Operating System Selection

206

2C6a Concurrent with our consideration of main memory management stategies, we been investigating the various operating systems currently available for implementing these strategies.

2C6b UNIX implements the first strategy of swapping the entire contents of an address space. Although UNIX possesses Considerable attributes (such as an operational file system and demonstrated reliability in supporting multiple terminals), its swapping strategy introduces too much delay for it to be practical as the PDP=11 Frontend operating system.

2c6c R8X11D implements a strategy similar to but not exactly like the third one described above. However, R5X11D also possesses limitations that are slowly emerging. There is a municipal project in another group at SRI that is currently engaged in overseeing the installation of a multi-terminal 11/45 system running under R8X11D. It has been determined that design decisions internal to R5X11D have resulted in a strategy that is biesed against high-speed display terminals in favor of slow-speed TTYs. Since this is not compatible with long-range NSW goals, the selection of this operating system is suspect.

2c6d Since ELF was developed under ARPA sponsorship, however, the source code is readily available to permit the introduction of new facilities useful to the entire ARPA community. Thus it seems most likely that a modified ELF system will be used that implements an efficient main memory management scheme as defined by NSW Frontend requirements.

Current Resource Management Estimates

3

#### 3a General

3

3a

3al This part brings together information concerning the use of memory resources within the 11/40. It contains our most recent estimates --estimates that result from compilation of appropriate Frontend modules or careful estimation where compilation is not yet possible. Care is taken to identify the requirments of resident code, resident data, and swapable data so that the effects of increasing or decreasing the number of users can be more closely observed. 3ai

3b Disk Estimates

3b

3bi The following map describes the disk storage requirments of a Frontend supporting 20 ysers. If our estimates are correct, 20 ysers should fit comfortably on a single 512K word disk drive.

3b1

#### 3b2 Disk needs:

20 users

362

3b2a Frontend code	117	2K
CLI state 0 8K	160K	
DPS state @ 2K * 2 events	80K (V	worst case)
Display data @ 2K	40K	
Grammars @ 2K	40K	
User/node profiles a 1K	20K	
TOTAL	452K	

3b2b NOTE: This assumes that all users have different grammars and that all terminals are high-speed displays.

3c Main Memory Estimates

3 C

3c1 General

3c1

3cia The following man describes the main memory requirements of the Frontend 11/40 as required by strategy 2 defined above (i.e., code and certain data are resident, while swapping is performed on the remaining terminal-dependent data). The reader will note that memory is portrayed as a number of virtual address

spaces. This is done to improve comprehension since it more clearly presents how code and data might be mapped into the physical and virtual address spaces of the 11/40.

3cib This strategy assumes that each terminal (or job) will have several processes associated with it == the CLI and DPS are examples of such processes. The operating system is responsible for activating the appropriate process when information is waiting to be consumed. The use of pages shared between different address spaces permits these processes to exchange information. The use of semaphores and/or signals permit these processes to coordinate their activities in response to some user or network introduced event.

3cic The reader is cautioned that the information presented here is simply a working hypothesis. It does not necessarily portray the actual approach that will be used in the 11/40 Frontend, since that has not been completely decided at this time.

3c2 Address Space O:

3c2

3c2a ELF KERNEL

Code

(includes estimates for swapping disk device driver, swapping primitives, & look-ahead swap scheduler)

3c2b UTILITY Page 4K Resident
Code
(includes VM ELF Loader,
ELF Kernel Debugger)

3c2c KERNEL data for 20 users 8K Resident Data

TOTAL 24K

	3c3 Address Space 1:				303
	3c3a NCP Code		4K	Resident	
	EXEC/TELNET Modified for NSW	4K	Resid	dent Code	
	Printer process	.5K		dent Code	
	User Crossnet debugger	3.5K		ient Code	
		1K	100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ient Code	
	User Address Space Loader	11	Keste	Jene code	
	3c3b NCP buffers		6K	Resident	
	EXEC buffers	5K	Resto	ient Data	
	- 10 (T) カル・カルラ (2) (日本人) (E) (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10	7 K	100000-00000	ient Data	
	TELNET buffers	175K		ient Data	
	User Crossnet debugger data	101	Resid	dent Date	
	printer buffers (2)		Resid	dent Data	
	TOTAL	32K			
1	3c4 Address Space 2:				3c4
				Deed done	
	3c4a Display Terminal Handler		6K	Resident	
	Code		and the same		
	DEX support	2K	Resid	dent Code	
	3c4b L10 runtime		3 K	Shared w/	
	Frontena Storage Management	.2K	DPS	& CLI	
	CLI/DPS communication buffer	. 8K			
	CDIPPE COMMUNICATION POLICE	. 0.0			
	3c4c CLI/DTH communication buffer		4K	Shared w/	
			411	Olid Land	
	CTI				
	TOTAL	16K			
					3-8
1	3c5 Address Space 3:				3c5
				a value	
	3c5a DPS		23K	Resident	
	Code				
	L10 List runtime	.5K	Resid	dent Code	
	DPS data	.5K	Resid	dent Data	
	DFD dd44				
	3c5b DPS disk window		2 K	Swapable	
			-	all abase	
	Data				
	(includes stack=1.25K,				
	110runtime data=.75K				
			-		
	3c5c L10 runtime			Shared W/	
	Frontend Storage Management	.2K	CPI	& DTH	
	CLI/DPS communication buffer	.8K			

JLE 23=JUL=75 20:09 26181

Issues in PDP=11 Frontend Development

TOTAL

30K

3c6 Address Space 4:

306

3c6a CLI Code 14K Resident

Swapable

3c6b CLI shared grammar
Data
CLI disk window
(includes stack=2,75K,
Li0runtime data=.75K,
FE data=.5K,
FE free storage zone=2K,

SK Swapable Data

2K

FE free storage zone=2K CLI/OSI data=.75K, writable grammar=.25K, user/node profile=1K) 3C6c L10 runtime

3K Shared w/

Frontend Storage Management CLI/DPS communication buffer

4K Shared W/

3c6d CLI/DTH communication buffer DTH

\*\*

TOTAL

32K

3c7 Summary

307

3c7a These estimates are relatively complex (because of the use of shared pages and such), and its seems worthwhile to attempt to develop some perspective regarding the way in which this information reflects a coherent strategy.

3c7b First of all, the subtotal that one finds at the end of the estimate for each address space defines how much storage is used in that address space. This subtotal includes any information stored in shared pages since we are defining the requirements of that address space and it is important that the number not exceed 32k words. But this means that one cannot simply accumulate the sizes of each address space so as to determine the physical memory requirements of the Frontend code in the 11/40.

3c7c For the reader's convenience, we offer the

following summarization of physical main memory requirements:

3c7d			Resi	de	nt S	wapp	ed	Sha	red
Total									
Address	Space	0	24K						24K
Address		1	32K						32K
Address	Space	2	8 K				8 K		16K
Address	Space	3	24K		2K		4K		30K
Address	Space	4	14K		10K		8 K		32K
							***		
			102K	+	12K	+	BK	=	122K

3c7d1 [Note: Since ELF currently performs memory management using 4K word pages, we actually require 124K words for the 11/40 Frontend code.]

3c7e A point of particular concern is the scarcity of main memory resources and the possible effects of that condition. For example, the above table indicates that 12k words of storage are required to store the swappable data that is associated with an individual user terminal, we would much prefer to have several instances of swappable data resident in memory at one time so that context switching between users would be improved (from the point of view of time). However, this clearly is not possible given the physical constraints of the 11/40 physical memory and a swapping philosophy that includes only swapping of unique terminal data. For this reason, it is almost certain that some strategy which includes the swapping of code as well as data will be adopted in the Frontend.

3d Some observations regarding these Estimates

3d

3d1 Disk Limitations

3d1

3dia Performance Characteristics of Candidate Swap Devices

3dial There exist several mass storage devices that are candidates as a swapping peripheral. The following information portrays the basic characteristics of each of these devices:

3d1a1a Characterís RP04	tics	RS04	RP03
type device: price of 1 unit:	fixed= \$19200	moving= s33500	moving-head \$35000
words per disk:	512K	20480K	44000K
word tfr rate:	4.0	7.5	2.5 usec
time for 1/2 rev:	8.5	12.5	8.3 msec
next track seeks	-	7.5	7.0 msec

3dia2 Although a fixed-head disk like the RS04 is recarded historically as superior to a moving-head disk like the RP04 as a swapping peripheral, there are circumstances under which a moving-head disk can be very competitive. This situation can arise when head motion is held to a minimum (thereby minimizing the penalty of seek time; and transfers between disk and main memory are accomplished using large block lengths (thus maximizing the effectiveness of high-speed transfer).

## 3dib Effects of Swap Length on Performance

3d1b1 Since these different mass storage devices have different transfer rates, it is useful to measure the effect of transfer length on their performance. Though it is self-evident that the smallest elapsed time is required to transfer a swap block when it is done as a single physical I/O transfer, other considerations sometimes preclude that the swap be performed in that manner. Therefore we submit a table that describes the time required to transfer a storage block of specified length:

3d1b	1a Len	ath	RS04	RP03	RP04
				****	
1K	words	12,5	32.0	22.5	msec
2K	words	16,5	40.0	25.0	msec
4K	words	24,5	55.5	30.0	msec
8.K	Words	40,5	85.0	40.0	msec
16K	Words	72,5	145.0	60.0	msec
24K	Words	104,5	205,0	80.0	msec
32K	words	136.5	265.0	100.0	msec

3d1b2 Several assumptions were made in the above calculations. The moving-head disk columns contain an extra 11.7 msec (for the RP04) or 12.5 msec (for the RP03) to allow for the appropriate head positioning

time. All columns contain the appropriate average latency between transfers == thereby requiring 2 transfers per revolution of the disk if the figures are to be accurate.

3dic Observations on Swap Performance

adici An initial observation is that there are relatively few swaps/second possible given the size of the CLI disk window. The number of CLI disk window swaps is even further reduced when we introduce the CLI shared grammar or DPS disk window swaps of 2K words each, which are assumed to be independent from the CLI disk window swaps. Given that the CLI disk window is 8K words and it will be transferred as 2 blocks of 4K words, we will be able to perform about 20 swaps per second (ignoring the issue of whether or not other swaps occur during that time). Since a context switch amounts to swapping someone out to disk as well as swapping another in from disk, there can be on the order of 10 process activations per second before the disk completely saturates with I/O traffic.

3dic2 one conclusion is that some way must be developed that permits faster activation of the CLI process. For example, a smaller swap length would have an immediately beneficial effect upon performance. Unfortunately, the various disk windows currently seem to be as small as possible since they have already undercone as much reduction in size as seems reasonable at this point.

3d1c3 However, there does appear to be a favorable solution to this process activation situation. It concentrates on the perception that CLI process activations are in either of 2 categories: 1) collecting keystrokes that further the specification of a command, and 2) collecting those keystrokes that are arguments (the textual ones in particular) of the command. In the first case, it is necessary to activate the CLI process for each keystroke so that it can perform the command parsing function for which it is designed. In the second case, however, control can be given to a smaller process that can collect literal text Keystrokes on behalf of the CLI until an activation event occurs == whereupon it can return control to the CLI with everything that has been previously entered.

3dic4 Given that this strategy is implemented, the number of times that process activation must occur is considerably reduced. As a consequence of this, performance as perceived by the user should be improved considerably when compared with our current mode where users are TELNETed to some host running NLS. We would like to be able to report what performance will be like for perhaps 10 or 20 users based upon some absolute scale. Regretfully, it is beyond our power to produce such an estimate at this time. Rather, it can be said more simply that performance will degrade as the number of users increases. In any case, it is our hope that degradation in the 11/40 Frontend as a result of a large number of users will not cause delay that is perceived by the users.

#### 3d2 Future Considerations

3d2

3d2a There are a number of considerations that suggest that future Frontend systems should employ a processor other than the 11/40, For example, if the 11/45 were used, address space limitations would be alleviated considerably since an address space can contain up to 32K words in code and 32K words in data. In contrast, the 11/40 permits 32K words for the storage of both code and data. Moreover, the 11/45 permits a demand paging strategy to be cleanly implemented (unlike the 11/40) and this strategy seems to be the one that we consider the most promising for main memory management in the Frontend.

3d2b Long=range consideration should be given to the 11/70 as an eventual successor to the 11/40 since We currently require the maximum memory size on the 11/40 (and even the 11/45) as the minimum Frontend configuration. With the opportunity to configure more main memory on the 11/70, higher performance can be achieved since less swapping is required. The cache store of the 11/70 would increase the effective instruction execution rate, thereby indefinitely forestalling the time when there are inadequate CPU resources as a result of system demands. Finally, the RS04 swapping disk almost doubles in performance when attached to the 11/70 since I/O is done on a 32-bit (rather than a 16-bit) bus.

3d2c Perhaps more controversial, but a long=range

consideration nonetheless, would be the use of some new computer mainframe whose architecture is based upon a 32-bit word. An example of such a system might be the Interdata 8/32 or perhaps a DEC product that might be introduced at some later time. Although this is not realistic within a short timeframe because of the need for APPANET-related hardware and software, it is clearly within the timeframe circumscribed by long-range planning.

3d2d In conclusion, some computer possessing characteristics superior to the 11/40 would permit more capabilities to be installed in the Frontend--currently desirable capabilities that are best postponed and future capabilities that will eventually come under consideration.

Issues in PDP=11 Frontend Development

(J26181) 23-JUL-75 20:09;;; Title: Author(s): Joseph L. Ehardt/JLE; Distribution: /SRI-ARC( ( INFO-ONLY ) ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: JLE; Origin: < EHARDT, NSW-POSITION-PAPER.NLS;3, >, 23-JUL-75 20:06 JLE ;;;

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

1	Introduction	
	ia This is a statement of milestones for SRI=ARCs NSW effort, these are our current best estimates, we expect that as we get into the various tasks our estimates may change and this note will be updated.	14
	1b Terms	11
	1b1 NLS 8.0	16
	1bia The existing NLS at Office-1 and BBNB.	1616
	1b2 NLs 8.5	1b2
	1b2a The NLS with the file structure and Graphics initial capabilities.	1624
	1b3 NLS 9.0	163
	1b3a The NLs with the front-end back-end split, using the CLI and DPS for communications, and integrated into the NSW.	1636
	1b4 CLI	16
	1b4a Command Language Interpreter	1648
	155 DPs 2.5	165
	155a The Distributed Programming System as defined in June 1975.	165
	1b6 DPS 3.0	1 b
	ib6a the Distributed Programming System as revised and redesigned by April 1976,	156
	1b7 Applications Packages	1b
	1b7a Sets of related procedures for carrying out system applications, for example the File Package.	167
	158 FE=10	16
	1b8a The Front-End on the PDP-10 Tenex: this is principally the CLI, but is dependent on DPS and Terminal Control modules as well as the operating system.	158
	(50 FP-11	16

			CL	I,	1	bu	t		d	ie	per	be	en	t	OT	1	P	S	and									ly todu		as	1)	b9a
		b1	0	110	h	ar	t	ty	11																						13	510
			us	ir	19	h	ar		op	y	te	P	mi												ti pr			tera	eti	on	16:	10a
		101	1	117	na	1 £	c	lup	16	x	ti	У	ff																		13	011
			ec	h	os	ta	he	on	ha	b	out	e	rs	w a	mu	ue	1	ly	t y	pe	d,	typ	nd ed	th (	e C	LI	ha: ne	tern s no at ing,	a	1	16:	ija
		161	2	11 0	11	sp	18	y"																							11	12
			al	pl	na		me	ri																	dim						1b:	12a
		161	3	Ba	35	e																									11	013
			16	13	3a	T	he	C	en	tı	al		col	re	e	d i	t	In	1 0	OM	ma	nds	0	£	NLS						16	13a
2 PI	ot	000	15																													2
	a I	ois	tr	i	u	te	d	Pr	og	re	mn	i	na	S	Уs	te	m	2	5	co	de	-10	d	ebi	199	ed	15	s=se	0=7	5		2a
2	26 1	ois	tr	it	u	te	d	PI	09	re	mn	i	na	S	YS	te	m	2	, 5	CO	de	-11	d	ebi	naa	ed	15	5 = S e	p=7	5		26
2	e 1	Dis	tr	it	u	te	đ	Pr	og	re	mn	ii	na	s	Ys	te	m	V	er	2.	5	doc	um	en	tat	ion	15	-00	t=7	5		20
2	d	App	11	Ce	t	io	ns	P	ac	ke	qe	s	v	er	si	or	1	2 , 5	6	00	um	ent	at	101	1		15	-00	t=7	5		2 d
2	e '	roo	1	Be	a	ri	ng	Н	os	t	y e	r	sī	n	2	. 5		doc	ип	en	ta	tic	n				15	-No	v = 7	5		2 e
2	i	vea	su	re	m	en	t	an	d	Ef	£1	c	ier	10	y	st	u	iy	re	po	rt						15	s=De	c=7	5		2f
2	9 1	15	tr	it	u	te	d	pr	09	ra	mn	i	na	S	ys	te	m	Ve	r	3.	0	doc	um	ent	tat	ion	1	-Ap	r = 7	6		29
2	h	App	11	Cé	t	io	ns	P	ac	ka	ge	s	ve	Pr	sí	or		3 , (	d	00	um	ent	at	101	1			-Ap	r = 7	6		2h
3 Fr	on	E	nd																													3
3	a I	E=	10	(	cl	nai	-	tt	y,	F	ew	1	too	1	)	ru	ns	3									15	-Au	g=7	5		3 a

# NSW Milestones

	3 b	FE=10 (char tty, old tool) runs	15=0ct=75	3b
	3 c	FE=10 (half duplex tty, new & old tool) runs	15-0ct=75	3 c
	3 d	FE-10 (display, new tool) runs	15-Oct-75	3 d
	3 e	FE-11 (char tty, new tool) runs	1=Jan=76	3 e
	3 £	FE-11 (char tty, old tool) runs	1-Jan-76	3 f
	3 g	FE-11 (display, new tool) runs	15=Feb=76	39
	3h	Measurement and Efficiency study report	1-Apr-76	3h
	31	Command Meta Language Evaluation report	1-Apr-76	31
	31	New Front End features study report	1-Apr-76	3 5
	4 NLS			4
	48	WM-NLS file interaction design document	1=Aud=75	48
ı	46	NLS 8.5 ready for experimental use	15=Aug=75	4b
4	40	Identification system specification document	15=Aug=75	4c
	4d	Base in NSW accessed in char tty mode	21=Aug=75	4d
	4 e	journal design document	1=Sep=75	4e
	4.5	programs subsystem	1-0ct-75	4 f
	4 g	Cobol Output subsystem in 8.0 or 8.5	1=0ct=75	49
	4h	Base in NSW accessed in display mode	15=Nov=75	4h
	41	modify, format, letter, publish subsystems in 8.5	15=Nov=75	41
	45	Calculator Subsystem	1=Dec=75	45
	4k	NLS 8.5 ready to be used by the utility	1=Jan=76	4k
	41	Output Processor modifications	1=Mar=76	41
	4 m	modify, format, letter, publish subsystems in 9.0	1=Mar=76	4 m
	4n	sendmail and Journal	1-Apr=76	4n
)	40	Graphics in NLS 9.0	1=Apr=76	40

# NSW Milestones

	4p LSI 11 terminal controller	1=Apr=76	40
5	Documentation		5
	5a NLS Base subsystem documentation	1=0ct=75	5 a
	5b NLS Programs subsystem documentation	1=Nov=75	5 b
	5c NLS Modify, Format, Letter, Publish subsystem doc	15=Dec=75	50
	5d NLS Calculator subsystem documentation	15=Dec=75	5 d
	5e Front End user documentation (on line)	1=Jan=76	5 e
	5f Front End user documentation (off line)	1=Jan=76	5 f
	5g Front End system documentation	15=Jan=76	50
	5h Front End tool suppliers information document	1=Feb=76	5 h
	51 Debugger Document	1=Feb=76	51
	51 NLS Journal documentation	1=Apr=76	5 5

(J26183) 24=JUL=75 12:42;;; Title: Author(s): Jonathan B.
Postel/JBP; Distribution: /RWW( [ INFO=ONLY ] ) CHI( [ INFO=ONLY ] )
JEW( [ INFO=ONLY ] ) EKM( [ INFO=ONLY ] ) BEV( [ INFO=ONLY ] ) JBP( [
INFO=ONLY ] ); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: JBP; Origin: <
POSTEL, MILESTONES.NLS:9, >, 24=JUL=75 12:39 JBP ;;; ####;

1 26183 Distribution 1a Richard W. Watson, Charles H. Irby, James E. (Jim) White, Elizabeth K. Michael, Beverly Boli, Jonathan B. Postel, Two New Idents, One Real and One Fake

1 Marcia, would you create two idents for me. One is Beth Sherman, her address is room K1096 at SRI, extension 2633, she is part of the Information Sciences Lab. Delivery hardcopy. She wants to be BS, if that is taken BETH, if that is taken ELS. The other is a fake ident for use in user documentation. Lets make him Hypothetical (Hy) O User, ident HGU. Address is Augmentation Reserach Center. No delivery....Thanks.

1

Two New Idents, One Real and One Fake

(J26184) 24-JUL-75 13:47:;; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Neuhuys/DVN; Distribution: /MLK( [ ACTION ] ) PWO( [ INFO-ONLY ] ) POOH( [ INFO-ONLY ] ) BEV( [ INFO-ONLY ] ) JHB( [ INFO-ONLY ] ) KIRK( [ INFO-ONLY ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: DVN;

1 26184 Distribution 1a Marcia L. Keeney, pat Whiting O'Keefe, Ann Weinberg, Beverly Boli, James H. Bair, Kirk E. Kelley, 1 After reading your memo <iiournal,32066> and large parts of the B4700 coBoL manual I have several comments to make and questions to ask:

4

## 2 Comments

2a On page 56-5 of the manual AFC 171-121(c1) vol III, it states that items which begin in area A start in Col 8 and items which begin in area B start in col 12. Hence your positioning strategy is invalid. Here is the strategy Elizabeth and I propose for the first source line of an NLS statement.

2a

2ai Level 1 statements will start in column 8. Except within the data division, all other statements will start in column 12. Within the data division, statements in the file section are either ( my terminology follows ) FD statements or SD statements or grouped in record descriptions.

2a1

Zaia Ali FD and SD statements will be handled similarly to statements outside the data division. The first statement of a record description should be level 1 and as such will begin in column 8. Subsequent statements in the same record description will be indented two columns per level. The COBOL level number will be inserted using the formula

2a1a

## 2a1a1 COBOL level = 2\*r NLS level 1 = 1

2a1a1

2a2 Statements in the data division which are not in the file section are in the working-storage section. Statements here are either 66, 77 or 88 statements or grouped in record descriptions.

2a2

2222 All 66,77 and 88 statements will be handled similarly to statements outside the data division. Statements in record descriptions will be handled similarly to record descriptions in the file section.

2828

2b Here is our strategy for continuations lines. Our philosophy is that the programmer will not think in terms of continuations at all; rather, continuations are handled solely by COBOLAID. In other words, no NLS statement will be a continuation of the preceding NLS statement. The programmer will be able to think solely in terms of NLS statements. Thus what follows is a description of what COBOLAID will do, not what the programmers must do.

2 b

2b1 Comments will be broken at word boundary into several comments. Note that for COBOLAID, a comment is any NLS statement, regardless of level, whose first non-blank character

	is "*". A word which is too big for one source line will be simply split across comments.	2b
	2b2 All other continuation circumstances involve a continuation character,"=", being placed in column 7 and the continuation commencing in column 12.	26
3	Questions	
	3a Do you still wish to handle the identification area as you originally stated ?	31
	3b Do you still wish to handle the sequence numbers as you originally specified ?	31
	3bi I think it is sufficient to have the sid of the corresponding NLS statement appear in columns 1=6 right justified with zero fill as the sequence number for a source card. It seems superfluous to me to have column 6 essentially be a counter for continuations, thus placing an implicit limit on the number of source cards an NLS statement can generate.	3b1
	3c Do you still want page throws to be implicitly generated before the data and procedure divisions ?	30
	3d In your discussion of sequence numbers, I do not understand what you meen by "A card inserted between statements( on the direction of the programmer)".	30

(J26185) 24=JUL=75 17:24;;; Title: Author(s): Jan A. Cornish/JAC3; Distribution: /LAC( [ ACTION ] ) HGL( [ INFO-ONLY ] ) EKM( [ INFO-ONLY ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: JAC3; Origin: < CORNISH, RESPONSE.NLS;2, >, 24=JUL=75 14:07 JAC3;;;;####;

1 26185 Distribution 1a Lawrence A. Crain, Harvey G. Lehtman, Elizabeth K. Michael. 1 After reading your memo <ijournal,32066> and large parts of the B4700 coBoL manual I have several comments to make and questions to ask:

1

## 2 Comments

2a On page 56-5 of the manual AFC 171-121(c1) vol III, it states that items which begin in area A start in Col 8 and items which begin in area B start in col 12. Hence your positioning strategy is invalid. Here is the strategy Elizabeth and I propose for the first source line of an NLS statement.

2a

2a1 Level 1 statements will start in column 8. Except within the data division, all other statements will start in column 12. Within the data division, statements in the file section are either ( my terminology follows ) FD statements or SD statements or grouped in record descriptions.

2a1

2a1a All FD and SD statements will be handled similarly to statements outside the data division. The first statement of a record description should be level 1 and as such will begin in column 8. Subsequent statements in the same record description will be indented two columns per level. The COBOL level number will be inserted using the formula

2a1a

## 2a1a1 COBOL level = 2\*( NLS level ) = 1

2a1a1

2a2 Statements in the data division which are not in the file section are in the working-storage section. Statements here are either 66, 77 or 88 statements or grouped in record descriptions.

2a2

2a2a All 66,77 and 88 statements will be handled similarly to statements cutside the data division. Statements in record descriptions will be handled similarly to record descriptions in the file section.

2a2a

2b Here is our strategy for continuations lines. Our philosophy is that the programmer will not think in terms of continuations at all; rather, continuations are handled solely by COBOLAID. In other words, no NLS statement will be a continuation of the preceding NLS statement. The programmer will be able to think solely in terms of NLS statements. Thus what follows is a description of what CoBOLAID will do, not what the programmers must do.

26

2bi Comments will be broken at word boundary into several comments. Note that for COBOLAID, a comment is any NLS statement, regardless of level, whose first non-blank character

	is "*". A word which is too big for one source line will be simply split across comments.	261
	2b2 All other continuation circumstances involve a continuation character, "=", being placed in column 7 and the continuation commencing in column 12.	262
3	Questions	3
	3a Do you still wish to handle the identification area as you originally stated?	3 a
	3b Do you still wish to handle the sequence numbers as you originally specified ?	3 b
	3bi I think it is sufficient to have the sid of the corresponding NLS statement appear in columns 1=6 right justified with zero fill as the sequence number for a source card. It seems superfluous to me to have column 6 essentially be a counter for continuations, thus placing an implicit limit on the number of source cards an NLS statement can generate.	361
	3c Do you still want page throws to be implicitly generated before the data and procedure divisions ?	30
	3d In your discussion of sequence numbers, I do not understand what you mean by "A card inserted between statements( on the direction of the programmer)".	3 d

response to your cobol memo

(J26186) 24-JUL-75 17:27;;; Title: Author(s): Jan A. Cornish/JAC3; Distribution: /LAC( [ ACTION ] ); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: JAC3; Crigin: < CORNISH, RESPONSE.NLS;2, >, 24-JUL-75 14:07 JAC3;;;;####;

1 26186 Distribution la Lawrence A. Crain,