28

2b

20

2d

2d1

2d2

3

3a

3a1

CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RELEVANT TO NLS USE IN THE COTCO SYSTEM

CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RELEVANT TO NLS USE IN THE COTCO SYSTEM

INTRODUCTION

ISI and ARPA have been studying military communication system needs during the past year, particularly those for the COTCO system. One conclusion of this study is that an online message system built around ARPANET technology can offer a number of advantages over other approaches, During this period ISI has also concluded that careful attention to user interface issues will be very important to acceptance of online computer technology in place of present manual operations.

ISI feels that the use of SRI-ARC's (ARC) online System (NLS) to provide at least core function primitives as building blocks can simplify and speed the development of an initial exploratory COTCO system and free them to concentrate more heavily on the user interface. ARC is also interested in the possibilities for the use of NLS in a COTCO message system.

There are three main parties involved in the possible collaboration: ARPA, ISI, and SRI=ARC (ARC). There are advantages and risks for each party to move forward in the collaboration. It is important for a successful collaboration for all parties to understand and agree on what needs to be accomplished and the relative roles.

The issues raised here that need to be clarified revolve around determining the exact roles of ARC and NLS in the COTCO system development. This document addresses these issues and indicates specific conditions for a fruitful collaboration and makes specific recommendations on two critical areas requiring a decision:

- i) The system level at which a COTCO interface should be made to NLS.
- 2) The role of the NLS Journal functions and development in the COTCO system.

ADVANTAGES OF AN ARC-ISI COLLABORATION

To ARPA:

1) Improved coherence to the various ARPA=supported "workshop" systems.

ARPA is supporting the development and application of two major new workshop systems, the National Software Works (NSW) and COTCO. Each contains developments useful to the other, and each has overlapping hardware-software components, One of ARPA's goals would seem to be to maximize the ability to easily use developments of one in the other and to minimize the expense of redundant developments. The use of NLS in COTCO and the collaboration between ISI and ARC that will result can significantly help achieve the above goals.

3a1a

Besides its use in NSW and proposed use in COTCO, NLS has a growing user clientele in ARPA and the DoD through the OFFICE-1 Utility, COTCO will be developing its own user clientele and the joint user communities and system developers can benefit greatly by each other's experience and coherent user interfaces to the tools provided.

3a1b

Just as ARPA has supported TENEX as a foundation on which other research and developments can build, it is probably useful to view the development of a well structured common workshop framework as a base for further research and evolution in its various aspects, the user interface, tool design, various forms of resource sharing, etc. Close collaboration between principals in the NSW and COTCO efforts can achieve such a base workshop framework.

3aic

2) The COTCO system should be able to be built more quickly and with less expense by use of ARPA's NLS investment.

3a2

3) Having two collaborating parties should force more well-specified documentation of requirements, designs, and planning.

3a3

To ISI:

3 b

 ISI can obtain in NLS a very large fraction of the debugged code needed for basic COTCO functions (probably as high as 75%).

3b1

2) ARC through its extensive experience with the NLS Journal message system can probably help the ISI designers avoid many mistakes ARC has already experienced in such a design and could provide basic message service primitives under conditions described below.

3b2

3) Having to work with an outside group will require clearer

	team, but that is probably desirable.	3b3
	4) Any NSW results will more easily be fit into the COTCO system, if deemed worthwhile.	364
To	SRI=ARC:	30
	1) A message system with NLS compatible files will be created useful in the NSW and NLS workshop environments.	3c1
	2) Wider exposure to ARC concepts will take place,	3c2
	3) valuable results of ISI user interface research will be more easily transferred to the NSW and NLS environments.	303
	4) ARC will have to be clearer in its documentation and design specifications than it might be if working alone.	3c4
	5) The many close discussions of user interface and other system design issues with another group should be stimulating and useful.	3c5
POSSI	BLE RISKS	4
To	ARPA:	4a
	1) ARPA contractor communication problems are possible if system expectations, requirements, design interfaces and other areas are not clearly specified from the beginning.	4a1
	2) Some slippage of ARC NSW developments is possible if ARC does not have support adequate to carry out its COTCO role. Exact support level required is not yet determined.	4a2
	3) Possible slippage of COTCO developments is possible due to poor interparty communications or inadequate planning.	4a3
To	ISI:	4b
	i) Failure to deliver on time because of possible ARC=caused bottlenecks.	461
	2) Problems from dependency on outside produced code, such as not being able to modify it quickly, not understanding it, etc.	462
	3) Intergroup hassies if expectations and interfaces are not clear,	4b3

6a1

4) Functional problems due to ARC system bugs, or other problems that harm ISI's reputation.	464
To ARC:	40
 If the COTCO system were not delivered on time or did not function properly, due to ISI problems, an unwarranted, negative reflection may result on ARC, 	401
2) To meet its COTCO commitments could drain ARC resources if not properly covered.	4c2
3) Intergroup hassles if expectations and interfaces are not clear.	4c3
APPARENT CONSTRAINTS	5
There are a number of constraints that seem to be in effect, as we understand Bob Kahn's comments in San piego and discussions with Steve Crocker in Washington.	5a
 Some set of CDTCD capabilities is needed by January 1975 to meet internal ARPA and ARPA=DoD political and other considerations. 	5a1
2) The initial system cannot have many, if any, adaptive or active tutorial user interface features or databases working if the tight time table is to be met.	5a2
3) The NSW frontend system must be usable with the COTCO system.	5a3
4) The COTCO body of tools should be usable within the NSW system.	5a4
5) The NLS code will be standard ARC released and maintained code (possibly with some modifications for COTCO, maintained by ARC).	5a5
6) The COTCO system will run on a standard BBN released TENEX.	5a6
PRESENT STATE	6
ARPA:	6a

firm.

1) The end user groups for COTCO are presently not completely

ARC:

1) NLS development is needed to complete the split between frontend and backend functions. What is required are:	6b1
a) an inter=host or cross fork procedure calling protocol.	6b1a
A design for a two fork NLS could be completed by September 1 and implemented by November 1, because the procedures in NLS have already been cleanly separated between frontend and backend functions,	6b1a1
b) separation of presently shared data structures between frontend and backend,	6b1b
The present NLS Journal, which could provide primitives for an initial COTCO message system, can occasionally get itself in a bad state due to a system crash, bad file or other event, that requires a knowledgeable systems programmer rather than just an operator to provide recovery. If there is interest we can provide a list of the types of tasks and problems involved in day to day Journal operations.	6b2
3) ARC has designed but not implemented a new version of the Journal that should:	6b3
a) be easier to maintain,	6b3a
b) provide for multi-host operation.	6b3b
c) provide many of the primitives needed by a CoTCo message system.	6b3c
d) would require a little over a man year of effort to implement, if desired.	6b3d
e) has many ideas that should at least be looked at by COTCO message function designers.	6b3e
A) ARC is expected for NSW to design and implement a frontend system that will run on both a PDP=11 and PDP=10. This system is also expected to interface to the COTCO message functions as well.	6b4
A machine like ARC's in configuration (160K and large, fast drum) exhibits the following statistics:	6b5
a) Percent of cpu available to user programs tends to vary	

around 60%. The remaining 40% is taken up with system overhead (scheduling, I/O wait, idle time etc.) and system jobs like the printer driver.

6b5a

b) Experienced typewriter NLS (TNLS) users require on average about 2% of the cpu when coming in over the ARPANET. Less experienced users can use as little as 1%.

6b5b

c) Experienced display NLS (DNLS) users require about 4% of the cpu because of the higher interaction rate the user can sustain because he is operating on a two dimensional screen and because of the extra display functions necessary.

6b5c

The above statistics imply that our machine could support about 30 Network TNLS users. We are currently supporting around twenty users, DNLS and TNLS. On a pDp=10 with more core this number might go higher.

6b6

We expect to gain a factor of two in PDP=10 use efficiency when we go to a PDP=11 frontend. Therefore, we would expect a PDP=10 to support about 60 network TNLS users.

6b7

In a COTCO environment, one would expect to support a similar load using NLS if

6b8

a) a similar PDP=10 configuration is used.

6b8a

b) COTCO adaptive or other functions are not a serious drain on cpu cycles.

6b8b

6) There presently exists in NLS a powerful frontend system built around a formal language for describing the user interface. This system was built because of ARC's long term interest in carefully human engineered user interfaces. This goal can only be achieved by providing a flexible facility for experimenting with different user interface features and by providing facilities that allow different interfaces to be created for different individuals and groups.

6b9

ISI:

6 C

1) ISI has made a number of site visits and studied the needs of the COTCO environment.

6c1

2) ISI planning and designs for the COTCO system are at present incomplete.

6c2

3) ISI seems primarily interested in the user interface,

frontend functions and feels this area is the most important to COTCO system success. Little detailed effort has gone into design of basic message functions or modules,

603

4) The COTCO system structure is planned to be very close to that of presently existing NLS.

604

AREAS REQUIRING A DECISION

...

1) At what level in the NLS system would interfacing to COTCO functions best be performed? There are two pole positions where the interface could be made and one in between. Let us consider them briefly.

7 a

a) Interface through the NLS Control Meta Language system (CML).

7a1

There is presently an operational NLS system for formally describing the user interface in a formal language, a compiler that produces a user interface grammar data structure, a control language interpreter (CLI) that interacts with the user under control of the grammar and another data base called the User Profile that holds user specific data. From this system, user interaction statistics are gathered, prompts and help are given in the form of next options and entry points into a passive Help database and query system are determined. The current CLI is working but contains some known design and implementation flaws that are currently being implemented around until redesigned for the NSW.

7a1a

Plans exist to generalize this system for NSW and the desire has been in existence for some time to provide hooks for AI=like processes == e.g., researchers such as the BBN group studying use of SCHOLAR techniques in the NLS interface for tutor=help functions, ISI's COTCO oriented user support, and so forth.

7a1b

ISI plans a command language system with very similar properties. There is much to be gained in NSW/COTCO coherence by close collaboration on one system rather than two. Further advantage would seem to be gained in using the NLS CML system for the initial COTCO system to speed development. We are preparing a description of the types of command language features supported and limitations of the present CML system that can be used as a base for further discussions.

7a1c

We plan to rewrite our CLI and cannot see, at this time, why ISI's need for statistics, initial entry point determination into their Tutor system, hooks for adaptive user profile parameters and variable command interaction (dialog) forms could not be met using a modified CML system as a base.

7a1d

The approach here would be for the COTCO system to run at least three forks, one for the frontend (driven by COTCO grammars and user profiles), one for COTCO message functions, one for core NLS, and others for other tools. The NSW frontend is being designed for just such an environment.

7a1e

b) Interface at the core NLS function level.

7a2

NLS is being split into two parts to run on two machines or in two forks, a frontend part and a backend or core function part. COTCO could build its own frontend, and by obeying the NLS conventions being established between the frontend and backend, easily utilize the NLS file system and function primitives such as those for editing, calculation, loading and executing user programs, etc.

7a2a

COTCO message system primitives could also utilize the same conventions to obtain NLS file and other services.

7a2b

This system organization would require one or more COTCO forks and a separate core NLS fork.

7a2c

The advantage of this approach over the one suggested above is that it gives ISI complete control over the frontend which may be psychologically and politically important.

7a2d

The disadvantage is that ISI research results on the adaptive interface would not be as portable to the NSW system and more problems might exist in getting the NSW and COTCO systems to work and evolve well together. There would be some redundancy in ISI and ARC efforts.

7a2e

If this approach is used, COTCO message functions would have to have an NSW user interface grammar defined in CML in any case to run with the NSW frontend and the NSW frontend will have to support appropriate COTCO user interface features.

7a2£

c) Another approach might utilize a different formal language for describing the user interface, if such language design is felt to be an important research area, but produce a grammar database that could drive an NSW CLI.

7a3

d) A final possibility is to drive NLS with a simulated user text string. This possibility has a number of difficulties that center around handling error message strings being returned to the user, efficiency, and sound software design practices.

784

2) What could be the role of NLS Journal primitives in the COTCO system?

7 b

It is our feeling that the COTCO message system functions should represent the next stage of evolution of both the NLS Journal and SNDMSG, we believe this goal can be achieved without seriously slowing down the development of an initial system.

7b1

There are two main ways to proceed:

762

a) ISI builds a set of low level message function modules that meet known COTCO needs and needs determined from experience to date with the NLS Journal and SNDMSG, particularly those that deal with the problems of a distributed multi-host environment, ISI also provides all nigher level processes necessary to create the COTCO message environment.

7b2a

b) ARC provides the low level message functions known to be needed in a multi-host environment and by COTCO, ISI organizes these and produces the higher level processes necessary to create the COTCO environment.

7b2b

The former has the advantage that responsibility for all message functions at all levels rests with a single contractor. The latter has the advantages that more direct use can be made of ARC experience, responsibility for low level primitives could be centered in one contractor, and ISI resources could be concentrated on their user interface research interests.

753

In either case, close collaboration and design review would be required for both parties to ensure that known needs were being met.

764

3) The issue of a common implementation language between NSW and COTCO probably needs some thought.

70

Running services in separate TENEX forks or on different hosts and designing them to obey interprocess communication protocols to be developed, may obviate the need for a common language, There may, however, be arguments for a common language having

to do with ease of collaboration between COTCO and NSW groups, use of a shared code, etc, that may build a case for a common language environment. Presently, ISI is planning to use the BLISS language and ARC is planning to recommend BCPL as the NSW language. Other NSW contractor views on an implementation language are unknown at this point.

701

ARC AND ISI COLLABORATION CONDITIONS

All the above considerations lead us to feel that successful use of NLS in the COTCO system and successful ARC=ISI collaboration would be best served by fulfilling the following conditions:

8a

1) Requirements for the COTCO system need to be clearly documented and reviewed by ISI, ARPA, ARC, and expected COTCO user communities. Requirements should indicate stages in the system evolution compatible with planned milestones.

85

2) A set of plans with milestones is needed that can be reviewed by ISI, ARPA and ARC and believed by all.

8 C

3) Each group should put fairly detailed designs, particularly interfaces, in writing for review as appropriate by the other.

8d

4) ISI designers, and especially those members with responsibility for interfacing to NLS primitives or other features should spend some time at ARC learning the NLS system and actively using it so as to get a good gut feel for the base functions they could use in COTCO.

80

a) We would recommend strongly that a DNLS terminal be placed at ISI so that experience with two dimensional display use can be gained for future COTCO evolution.

Be1

b) The appropriate CoTCo staff should achieve familiarity with primitive NLS functions and CML, if interface is at that level.

8e2

5) ARPA should provide adequate support for needed ARC staff to

8f

a) interface with ISI staff

151

b) provide for NLS modifications and developments needed for COTCO,

8£2

c) maintain a version of NLS at ISI,

8£3

d) train ISI staff as users,

8£4

e) provide interfacing documentation,

8£5

6) We need to Understand in more detail the types of command language forms, measurements, and hooks to adaptive tutorial functions needed for ISI research interests and to satisfy ISI intuitions about the user interface.

89

RECOMMENDATIONS

9

Three areas requiring decision were outlined above. In this section we give ARC's recommendation relative to each. All recommendations are clearly open for further discussion.

9a

1) System level for an interface between NLS and other COTCO functions.

9a1

ARC and ISI should collaborate on a common frontend design for NSW and COTCO, using the NLS CML system for the initial COTCO version at least. ARC would build screen control, operating system interface, compiler, and interpreter. ISI would build tutor and adaptive functions. We feel interface at this level important for the reasons given earlier, namely,

9a1a

a) use of an existing system should increase the probability of having a version running in January 1975,

9alai

b) collaboration on a frontend to be operational in July of 1975 should insure NSW and COTCO compatiblity.

9a1a2

2) Role of the NLS Journal in COTCO.

96

Here we do not have any strong feelings about which of the two alternatives discussed above should be chosen. We do feel strongly that the resulting COTCO message system reflect the needs and experience of the NLS Journal and SNDMSG and be the next logical step in such systems and that serious attention be given to the design issues of the lower level message functions, that we believe to be substantial.

9b1

present NLS Journal primitives can probably be used on an initial exploratory COTCO system, but should not be planned for use for a more operational system because the NLS Journal is known to need redesign (a first pass redesign having been completed) and reimplementation to reduce maintenance costs, improve modularity, operate more effectively in a multi-host environment, and have improved privacy and security features.

962

If for some reasons, presently unknown, these needs cannot be met by the COTCO message functions either completely implemented by ISI or with our participation, then additional discussions with ARPA should be started to determine how to achieve them.

953

3) NSW and COTCO implementation language.

90

We have no firm commitments here but from what we know still think BCPL a good choice for our needs for the following main reasons:

901

a) The same version runs on a PDP=11 and a PDP=10. This is not the case for BLISS, Different organizations are involved with development and maintenance of each BLISS version.

9c1a

b) BBN is supporting BCPL for operation in a network based PDP=11 and PDP=10 environment that is to include cross net debugging and other facilities.

9c1b

c) BCPL produces more efficient PDP=10 code, as we understand it, but have not checked this first=hand yet.

9010

CONSIDERATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RELEVANT TO NLS USE IN THE COTCO SYSTEM

(J23394) 18=JUN=74 18:17; Title: Author(s): Richard W. Watson/RWW; Distribution: /NPG([INFO=ONLY]) JCN([INFO=ONLY]) DCE([INFO=ONLY]) SDC2([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC NPG; Clerk: RWW; Origin: (WATSON, COTCO, NLS; 13,), 18=JUN=74 18:07 RWW; ####;

stop printing control characters; suggestion

Is the control s functioning in the new NLs? also, may I suggest a control character to stop printing remainder of branch, that would be (I think) more meaningful than stopping statement printing snce most statements are short and by the time one types it the staement is done anyway. Robert,

stop printing control characters; suggestion

(J23396) 18-JUN=74 19:41; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

indicate last OK was accepted.

One should have a three dot response or <CR> after the final CA or <CR> in commands such as update file. I find myself unsure if it relly did hit the last confirmation, ture I could do a control t but that is more difficult. I a lazy especially at the TI.

indicate last CK was accepted.

(J23397) 18=JUN=74 20:40; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

will the real ms, x sign in pleese

hi people, just a word or two here to say that it would really be helpful if you would (not to extremes) tell me when you are leaving the area especially if you get frequent phone calls. it's just as much of a drag to wander up and down the halls aimlessly looking for you as it is for you to miss your calls, remember the alamo, and the picnic friday at noon, xxxmiranda

will the real ms, x sign in pleese

(J23398) 18=JUN=74 23:17; Title: Author(5): Sandy L. Johnson/SLJ; Distribution: /SRI=ARC([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: SLJ;

KIRK 14-JUN-74 16:29 23399

BUG with footers on last oversized page.

In a document whose page length is set to be below the perforation on the printer paper and whose last page does not have enough lines to over flow the perforation, the footer appears above the perforation instead of where it is set to appear.

ш

Bug with footers on last oversized page.

(J23399) 14-JUN-74 16:29; Title: Author(s): Kirk E. Kelley/KIRK; Distribution: /BUGS([ACTION]) HGL([ACTION]) NDM([ACTION]) EKM([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC BUGS; Clerk: KIRK;

Bug in WORK (TNLS) : period

Do you know about the garbage the system puts out with a period in this (work- version) 18 June 5:15 pacific time in the afternoon, e.g. *838aay6abc201820 +1* Where in fact the address is *7g5b1b* this known by pr ting out statement with numbers on (viewspec m), Will try it in the normal new NLS in a minute, Robert

Bug in WORK (INLS) : period

(J23400) 18=JUN=74 17:22; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

Another of those tests

(TODO) Things to be done

Compile loexec

Compile dspgen

ia

1b

the Cat, if you only walk long enough," and handling of all incoming mail, from and all record keeping related to this, a Hatter: and in THAT direction, waving In THAT direction, the Cat said, waving separate lines exist) which, including if coordination matters to you, i will extremes) tell me when you are leaving area, at about noon this friday, june and work interruption (10=30% of time) these involves telephone bills, which so she tried another question. "What in my diectory under johnson, yogurt, and associated record Keeping, which 6/19, no one to answer phones at 8:30 the alamo, and the picnic friday at accounting type motion and followup. Alice added as an explantion. "Oh, the other paw, lives a March Hare, the chips are in. first segmented said the Cat, "We're all mad here, and ozone and italian salami is in Title: Title: Also, going around and making sure want to bring, something which to shipping and followup on property paul again, jim norton jeff, etc. Received at: 18 mJUN m 74 15:36:43 within 24 hours, also, ordering way I ought to walk from here?". through divisional, contractual, sort of people live about here?" reception of visitors, escorting mil, reddy, ken, ed sandy, dave, hand carrying of purchase orders arachidic giratory hermaphridic Author(s): Sandy L. Johnson/SLJ; Author(s): Sandy L. Johnson/SLJ; ****Note: [INFO=ONLY] **** sandy=carmen, paul again, james but which has been consistantly actual on-line training, mostly [ACTION]) ; Sub-Collections: [ACTION]) ; Sub=Collections: SLJ 18=JUN=74 23:17 23398 will AUTHOR(S): SLJ

MESSAGE: "Would just a word or two here to say for significant wheel spinning don't care where"==said Alice, coordination and completion of Continual up=dates on property that people in fact do submit picnic goodbye party birthday noon. xxxmiranda *****Note: if i could it as i go along.

calls, it's just as much of and subsequent preparation of also editing on and off-line, I'm mad. You're mad, "How you're sure to do that, said which entails a good deal of that these clear accounting, secreatriat

Distribution: responsibility for receiving per week bookkeeping duties, norton, jeff, andd everybody miss your calls, remember mil, reddy divley, ken, ed, helpful if you would (not to first race and only a few of etc, et al ad everything in a drag to wander up and down 21, burgess park, bring what 18-JUN-74 1536-PDT JOHNSON: the park next door, burgess the halls aimlessly looking said Alice. "You must be," of paper will occur outside of new phone and data lines its right paw around, lives infront of my office, all for you as it is for you to you tell me, please, which self-induced, an on-going pleese

Message: hi people, my window. love, carmen, includes at least 4 hours i think it's called, bring and returned to accounting and property repairs, also SLJ 20=MAY=74 15:07 23053 (J23388) 17=JUN=74

1

it's high time . . .

09:31; (J23387) 17=JUN=74 09:14; writing messages accounts wouldn't have come here", their expense reports and rewriting of requisitions have a birthday or cosmic delineation can be found coordination is your idea by more urgent matters, and purchasing realms, a pot luck picnic boodbye "That depends a good deal top=down vision message: that maybe we can muster must be properly charged go to, said the Cat. "I else who has had or will answering telephones (48 17=JUN=74 09:31 23388"; 17=JUN=74 09:14 23387"; you can't help that, " the small smell of dirt the air. Holly Cost, that it would really be said the Cat. "So long put a piece of paper up inside and outside sri, if it isn't necessarily dear people; a pot=luck among mad people, Alice all travel arrangements Distribution; /SRI=ARC(Distribution; /SRI=ARC(

you get frequent phone understand, don't you? travel expense reports

it's high time . . .

this includes a myriad the real ms, x sign in the area especially if that really matters is so for . . . well, you said the Cat, "or you process which is vital party for paul, beau, on-line text input for looking for people and goodbye party birthday exit in the may, june, do you know I'm mad,", a little creativity. . Visit either you like: Then it doesn't matter But I don't want to go will be completing as which way you walk, "whatever you like, if various individuals. party for paul, beau, SRI-ARC; Clerk: SLJ; SRI-ARC; Clerk: SLJ; Alice felt that this . .love carmen-sandy, you is special, even on where you want to of projects. one of of paradise, a piece as I get somewhere," and susan blue eyed, timecard statements property management could not be denied VANNOUHUYS, johnson you really, really from lobby to arc, they're both mad. [ACTION] *****
do teas include: also love susan, remarked. "Oh, to cover same. HJOURNAL="SLJ .HJOURNAL="SLJ back-seated stabbs at

The Real Ms X

(J23402) 19=JUN=74 08:37; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /SLJ([ACTION]): Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: DVN; Origin: <VANNOUHUYS>SND.NLS;1, 19=JUN=74 08:33 DVN; ####;

```
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF GO JOBS FOR WEEK OF 6/9/74
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
     5.5
     5.0
     4.5
     4.0
     3,5
     3,0
     2,5
     2.0
    1.5
    1.0
    0.5
        0100
               5:00
                      10:00 15:00 20:00
                                                             1a
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE PER CENT OF CPU TIME CHARGED TO USER ACCOUNTS
FOR WEEK OF 6/9/74
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
    61.6
    53.9
    46.2
    38.5
    30.8
    23.1
    15.4
    7.7
                         ****
        +**********************************
       0:00 5:00 10:00
                              15:00
                                       20:00
                                                             2a
```

```
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF USERS FOR WEEK OF 6/9/74
                                                         3
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
     14
     13
     12
     11
     10
     9
      8
                      松松枝合於於於於於於於於於於於於於於於
      4
      3
             安全全有的产品的存在的存在的存在的存在的存在的存在的的现在分词的现在分词的
            按禁犯股票的证据 化化化物 化聚聚物 医皮肤 化聚基苯酚 医邻羟甲磺胺 化拉拉斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯斯
      *********************************
             5:00 10:00 15:00
                                                        3a
      0:00
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE IDLE TIME FOR WEEK OF 6/9/74
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
   60.0
   52.5
   45.0
   37.5 *********
   30.0 *********
   22.5 ***********
   15.0 安林林县林林林林林林林林林林林林林
    7.5 *********
                   计算程序 特許 医基伯洛斯氏腺 香藥 经转换保存款指数的收款额
    0:00 5:00 10:00 15:00
                                                        4a
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF NETWORK USERS FOR WEEK OF 6/9/74
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
                长龄林奈长春
       0:00 5:00 10:00 15:00 20:00
                                                        5a
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE PER CENT OF SYSTEM USED IN DNLS FOR WEEK OF
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
```

6a

(J23403) 19=JUN=74 09:28; Title: Author(s): Susan R. Lee/SRL;
Distribution: /JCN([INFO=ONLY]) RWW([INFO=ONLY]) DCE([INFO=ONLY]) DCE([INFO=ONLY]) DCE([INFO=ONLY]) DVN([INFO=ONLY]) DVN([INFO=ONLY]) DVN([INFO=ONLY]) DSM([INFO=ONLY]) BAH([INFO=ONLY]) WRF([INFO=ONLY]) DSM([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: SRL; Origin: (LEE, WEEK6/9GRAPHS.NLS;1,), 19=JUN=74 09:07 SRL; ####;

DDSI makes Microfiche

DDSI can and commonly does make microfiche. I could not read our copy of the relevant airforce Spec clearly enough on short notice to understand it excatly, but DDSI (Floyd Dozier) reports that hey have made fiche conforming to various airforce specs.

4

DDSI Makes Microfiche

(J23404) 19=JUN=74 10:59; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /DPCS([INFO=DNLY]) COM([INFO=DNLY]); Sub=Collections: DPCS COM SRI=ARC; Clerk: DVN; Where are the defaults?

I am wondering when the recommended initial defaults for new NLS are going to be implemented? At least the feedback conventions.

Where are the defaults?

(J23405) 19=JUN=74 11:02; Title: Author(s): James H. Bair/JHB; Distribution: /CHI([ACTION]) SRL([ACTION]) FDBK([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: JHB;

Missing Locator Item

The 1973 Number index, (catalog,barcjnichl,) is missing.

Missing Locator Item

(J23406) 19=JUN=74 11:31; Title: Author(s): Dirk H. Van Nouhuys/DVN; Distribution: /BAH([INFO=ONLY]) KIRK([INFO=ONLY]) JCN([INFO=ONLY]) JCP([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: DVN;

You Can't Write on Updates from Journal Files.

When you update rename from a journal file to make a copy to work on, the new file inherits the journal file's unwritability. If then update compact to a thrid file, you can write on the compacted file.

4

Apparent bug in New NLS Useroptions

When useroptions leveladjust prompts are turned off, I still get the L: on the other editing commands, move, copy, etc.. It seems off for only insert statement.

Apparent bug in New NLs Useroptions

(J23408) 19=JUN=74 13:19; Title: Author(s): James H. Bair/JHB; Distribution: /CHI([ACTION]) FDBK([ACTION]) BUGS([ACTION]) CHI([INFO=ONLY]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC BUGS; Clerk: JHB;

Frank, the ARPA proposal is 14946 of 31 March 73, but it is not online (at least at ARC). Try anyway at OFFICE=1and request it if you think it is necessary. I have hard copy here, the five items listed are (1) 16 hours a day, 6 days a week computer service (2) training in use of display, typewriter NLS, and DEX , the defferred execution system (cassettes), (3) technical assistance to the IPT office (that iss the office getting our service) architect, who is the liason between ARC and the office (his salary etc. is paid by the office and is not part of the slot service) (4) other help in setting up and assissting each user (5) assistance in developing strategies suitable to your environment, procedures to implement these strategies, and simple special-application NLS extensions for prticular IPT needs. (this would probably not be included for partial slots like half year ones). Additional, (not included in the proposal) offquota rights (what ever that would mean), directories for about 5 people or groups each with about 200 pages of disc. I cannot find the RADC proposal but will keep looking. Believe it says the same things.

ARPA proposal for the utility,

(J23409) 19=JUN=74 13:32; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FGB([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

refresh bug in LP

Using the line processor (Wash), while in Sendmail, I typed a long message of about 16 lines. Loaded file was deleted line pr line as it should be when inserting text of message. however when done, the message was cleared and the file text was not refreshed, that is I had about 16 or more lines that were blank. Did a refresh via mouse buttons and viewspec f. Got all lines back but when doing the message bit again in sendmail, the lines were not erased before the new text was typed. Since it is happening now I do not know if the line will reappear when I finish this message. Robert.

refresh bug in LP

(J23410) 19=JUN=74 13:39; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

helpdatabase correction

In the help data base, listed under the keyword subcollections (for sendmail subystem) there is a menu item called "subcollections", it is in fact the same thing as is currently shown. Guess it should be deleted, (using 1p in Wash.)

helpdatabase correction

(J23411) 19=JUN=74 13:50; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /FDBK([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

RLL 19-JUN-74 13:54 23412

control q as tip intercept char = no good

Dean, control q is nice but not a good choice for the IP, control q is the entrance into the weird world of the help database, SO, let us stick to another character. Robert

(J23412) 19=JUN=74 13:54; Title: Author(s): Robert N. Lieberman/RLL; Distribution: /NDM([ACTION]); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: RLL;

Schedule as of now for Jon Postel visit

If anyone else would like to talk with Jon please let me know. Thanks Dick

61	lednie to	r don roster visit	
	Thurs,		1 8
	9:00	RWW	1a1
	9:30	R. Wing	1a2
	10:00	Seminar	1a3
	11:00	CHI KEV HGL	1a4
	12:00	Lunch DCE RWW and others to be arranged	1a5
	1:00	DCE	146
	2:00	Bob Daley	1a7
	3:00	DSM EKM	148
	4:00	Jake	1a9
	Friday		11
	9:00	JHB DVN	161
	10:00	Bart Cox	162
	11:00	RWW JEW	1b3
	Rest	of time open, Jon probably has to leave after lunch	164

Schedule as of now for Jon Postel visit

(J23413) 19-JUN-74 16:14; Title: Author(s): Richard W. Watson/RWW; Distribution: /SRI-ARC([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: RWW;

Problem with DEX Over Network

We tried DEX over the network. IT DOES NOT WORK, TENEX asks for a line, the cassette puts it out, and that overruns the TIP buffer. We set the stop switch on the termicette to word, and didn't loose as much. It did the right thing when we set the switch to CHAR but of course took forever. I guess we need a bigger input buffer on the TIP but we are assigned a port randomly when we call in.

1

Problem with DEX over Network

(J23418) 20=JUN=74 08:48; Title: Author(s): N. Dean Meyer/NDM; Distribution: /RLL MEH(ACTION) JCN(info) RAB RJ(info); Sub=Collections: SRI=ARC; Clerk: NDM;

1a

2

Gerald Bailey from NSA, Fort George Meade, MD will be here for a half day sometime during MON 15-JULY to WED 17-JULY. NSA is planning an ARPA-like network and he is touring the country, talking to various sites about their experience with the ARPANET, and hopefully learning enough about the mistakes that were made to avoid their repetition.

His interests are primarily technical (his field is software), but he emphasizes his receptivity to inputs of all types == software, management, user interface, and so forth. In particular, NSA forsees the need for a NIC of some kind and so would be interested in comments on that == problems, what services we wanted to provide but couldn't, etc.

If you have input for Mr. Bailey and would like to join in the discussion, let me know by FRI 21-JUN, and tell me which half-days in that 3-day period are impossible for you, so I can schedule his visit.

NSA Visitor

(J23419) 20-JUN-74 15:08; Title: Author(s): James E. (Jim) White/JEW; Distribution: /SRI-ARC([ACTION]); Sub-Collections: SRI-ARC; Clerk: JEW;

After talking with Denicoff and Showalter of ONR, it is agreed that the ONR seminar that we (ARC) will be giving will be postponed til August or September. Since my preference is Sept and DOug's schedule would also indicate Sept. I will tell ONR August is out. the main reason for the delay is the ONR desire to 'really' have a high quality tape or film. Apparently, this is their overriding concern. I was willing to try for 9july but they were not, this means I will not be out in Menlo next week (23 June) but more like 7 July.

H

Robert: Acknowledging (23420,) and the apparent fact that ONR feels it very important to have quality package. Aside from some concern that they are not being realistic about what sort of quality can be gotten within their budget, it seems o.k. to me. Unfortunately, I have a trip still scheduled for the week (plus) following the 4th of July, so we'll be crossing paths. Regards, Doug

NSA Visitors

Jim, I could talk to Mr. Bailey Tues, or Weds, - not for a whole half day though!!! (An hour maybe). Am also free for lunch Tues, but not Mon, or Weds. Let me know when you schedule me if at all. Jake

1

Jim, Just a little info you may or may not be interested in...Marilyn Auerback Carder is looking for a possibility of doing part time consulting jobs in documentation in the very near future. (couple of months from now or sooner). Thought you might be able to use her in some capacity since she would not need training, etc.

Workshop on Structured Programming sept 4=6; call for participation

I received an invitation to paticipate at the 13th Annual Lake Arrowhead workshop on Structured Programming, (Sept 4=6) I guess they got my name from the list of attendees at the Monterey Workshop last Sept, at which you participated. If you got the same letter, then forget this message, Just wanted to inform you of it so if theree was any interest in sending someone you would know.

Laura Gould from BBN will be here Mon, the 24th to feedback her experiences with new NLS and her work in teaching it to novices and the development of a tutorial program for this purpose. She will be her around 10:00 and so I would like to set a semina for all who want to hear her at 10:30. We can break up into smaller groups for additional discussion the rest of the day. If we would like more of her time she can come back on Thurs. She's had a lot of good experience with NLS and has some definite opinions that should be valuable to hear.

Guest, Using, Help directories

Guest and Help are still available at OFFICE=1. These should go away by July 1 unless JCN wants them to remain. Also at one time USING had a directory both at SRI=ARC and at OFFICE=1. If these are still around they should go away too. Thanks, Jake

1

Enterprise numbers

The Enterprise phone numbers have been canceled = am now negotiating demise of xerox. We probably will need to give xerox people a month's notice so that will give us some time to clean up loose ends.

Response to Feedback Received as of 6/21/74

This is directed specifically to Dick, Dirk, Mike, and Jim Bair.

1
14
11
10
101
10
101
10
1e1

1a

1a1

1b

10

1d

1 e

INTRODUCTION

This document was prepared for the purpose of giving Development a concise list of items submitted to the ARC feedback mechanism needing their attention. It is a compilation of items which have been accumulating in the Needs and Possibilities branch related to the new command language. Additional older NP's falling into the following categories may be found at the corresponding links:

NLS Development = (feedback,fdbk,01338)

Operations & User Development = (feedback,fdbk,01248)

Network Information Development = (feedback,fdbk,01153)

Help & Documentation Development = (feedback,fdbk,01174

Analysis = (feedback,fdbk,01249)

I would like to see users comment on this document (using the Feedback mechanism) if they feel strongly for or against an item to give additional input to both Development and Analysis. The next course of action should probably be for a representative group of Development people to meet, possibly with myself and others, to discuss items on this list and decide how to act on these suggestions.

The items included in this file are issues to be resolved and not bugs and are therefore stated in the form of recommendations. Please view them as recommendations by an individual and not by Analysis.

Most items have links to the feedback file where either further explanations or a link to a journal item exist. In the feedback file, links sometimes follow an item, other times a file has been copied directly and the link will be in a usual Journal citation.

Suggestions have been divided into two groups in an initial attempt to assign priorities. The division is based on whether a suggestion consists of a fundamental change to the current system or an addition to it. An addition would be in the form of a new feature or an addition to an old command or feature which would not change its present form. I view the "CHANGES" group as having the highest priority.

There has been no attempt to assign priorities according to how the author of an item feels. Obviously, authors felt very strongly about some items and not so about others. If there are any questions about an item's importance, I would suggest checking with the author.

The number of suggestions submitted to the FDBK file has been large and I have attempted to include everyone's suggestions.

However, if I have forgotten something which is important to you, let me know and I'll add it to this file before it is reviewed by Development.

1 £

CHANGES

GENERAL SYNTAX 2a

i. Many people have submitted varying complaints about command parsing. These range from comments such as commands now require too much typing, commands are not used now because of the amount of typing required, and commands could be simpler with more options. For example,

2a1

a. Set Case, Show File Markers, (feedback,fdbk,01915), (feedback,fdbk,02997) Update File, Split Window, Simulate Terminal, Institute Program, (feedback,fdbk,02658) Connect to Directory, and Output Quickprint (feedback,fdbk,02671) were submitted as commands requiring too much input.

2a1a

b. Typing *U before an address was also disliked by many. (feedback,fdbk,02664), (feedback,fdbk,02777), (feedback,fdbk,02790)

2alb

c. The syntax for Append and Break Statement could be made easier with more options. (feedback,fdbk,02667) (feedback,fdbk,02691) Break should also allow for the insertion of text. (feedback,fdbk,03277)

2a1c

2. The method used to repeat a command seems to be slower for many users. (feedback, fdbk, 02454), (feedback, fdbk, 03240)

2a2

One suggestion was to have the system recognize that characters being typed are filegal at that point and try to interpret them as commands, (feedback, fdbk, 02628)

2a2a

3, A set of commands should be available in any subsystem, for example, jump, show, set, reset, and split, (feedback,fdbk,02541)

2a3

4. The sequence Replace From To By seems to be clearer than Replace At Through By and similarly for other commands having Through By as noise words. (feedback,fdbk,02693)

2a4

5. To aid the beginning user, link syntax should appear in the same form required when typing in a link, i.e. no spaces after delimiters. (feedback,fdbk,02687)

2a5

6. After a user has gotten the message "illegal text entity" it

would be nice to be able to backspace over the missplaced bugs, (feedback, fdbk, 02726)	2a6
7. Change "record session" to "start recording=session" to correspond to "stop recording=session", (feedback,fdbk,03258)	2a7
8. In expert expert allow the option of CA for the second command word when there is only one option for the second command word. Another suggestion is to have more defaults like in the jump to Item command, such as in Break and Append where Statement could be the default. (feedback,fdbk,03162)	2a8
9. Let "p" be used for a top=level command (playback or protect) even though it's used for Print in TNLS, (feedback,fdbk,03243)	2a9
10. Let a user bug the tty window when using the Clear Window command,	2a10
SEARCHING	2b
i. It was felt that searching from current position to the end of a file was not as intuitive as searching from the beginning to the end or from the current position to the end, wraparound and search to the original position. (feedback,fdbk,02634).	261
2. Content searches would be easier to type in (especially in TNLS) if delimiters were a lowercase rather than uppercase character, (feedback,fdbk,02652)	2b2
3. A user should not get an error message after typing an alternative listed after typing a "?", For example, <tab> is listed as an alternative but if not typed in the proper context is responded to by "Illegal Search Type", It should probably not be listed as an alternative, (feedback,fdbk,02651)</tab>	263
4. When searching with a Content Analyzer pattern, why not have the compile command automatically institute the program. (feedback,fdbk,03286)	254
PROMPTS, NOISEWORDS, AND HERALDS	20
1. Incorrect prompts	201
a. L: should be replaced by L:/T:/B:/[A:]. (feedback,fdbk,02822)	2c1a
b. After the first option is typed in, the prompt should continue to be opt C: instead of C: (feedback,fdbk,02642)	2C1b

	2.	Length	2c2
		a. Default herald length should be 4 instead of 3. (feedback,fdbk,02647)	2c2a
		b. The herald could be set to zero length leaving just a prompt. (feedback,fdbk,02907))	2c2b
	3,	Appearance	203
		a. Repeating prompts is unnecessary, i.e. Update C: File OK:/C C: Compact OK:, the two C'S are not necessary. (feedback,fdbk,02828)	2c3a
		b. Fewer colons would look better, i.e. A/[T]: rather than A:/[T]:, (feedback,fdbk,02649)	2c3b
		c. In TNLS a space should be fedback after a prompt and a CR before a hera; d and prompt, (feedback,fdbk,02648)	2030
	4.	Miscellaneous	204
		a. Since in the copy with filter command you have to specify the filter before the level the prompt would better be [**]/L: rather than L:/[**], (feedback,fdbk,03380)	2c4a
		b. When multiple characters or words are allowed, the noiseword should be Character(s) or Word(s) etc. (feedback,fdbk,02900)	2c4b
N.	DMA	AIL	2d
	int	Several people have responded that they would rather enter terrogate immediately as the default. Several orders for mmands have been suggested, (feedback,fdbk,02629)	2d1
	2.	Action or Information?	2d2
		a. One suggestion was to make Information the default with Action an option, (feedback,fdbk,02823)	2d2a
		b. Another suggestion was to add a choice like none of the above, (feedback, fdbk, 02704)	2d2b
		c. Another was to use the syntax " for Action? Y/N" and if no, automatically "for Information", (feedback, fdbk, 02905)	2d2c
	3.	Trouble with specific commands: a=c(feedback,fdbk,02905)	2d3

a. It is difficult at first glance to determine which command is used for distribution,	2d3a
b. Send sounds like the choice to use when finished.	2d3b
c. Double verification should not be necessary after typing pone.	2d3c
d, Plex should be on the same level as branch, statement, message and file,	2d3d
4. It seems inconsistent that when in Sendmail you type "SH" and you get (Sh)ow Status when anywhere else you would have to type "SHS" for (Sh)ow (S)tatus, (feedback,fdbk,03340)	2d4
RECOGNITION	2e
1. Expert expert should not be the default recognition for new users, (feedback,fdbk,02714)	2e1
2. The space is not fedback to TNLS users when second=level commands are typed in expert=expert. One suggestion is to feed it back, another is to change the character required for second level recognition to period. (feedback,fdbk,02711), (feedback,fdbk,02712)	2e2
3. Naming	2e3
a, Expert recognition should be called efficient (feedback, fdbk, 02714)	2e3a
b. There should be fewer recogniton modes with more intuitive names like novice, expert and tenex=like, (feedback,fdbk,02715)	2e3b
4. In Demand Recognition initial spaces should be ignored. (feedback,fdbk,02709)	2e4
5. CONFIRM should work for recognition so that SP or ESC is not required when an entire command has been typed. (feedback,fdbk,02709)	2e5
6. A new recognition mode has been suggested which would consist of typing spaces instead of unique letters, for example the sequence, substitute, show, and set would be specified by S, <sp>s, and <sp><sp>s rather than s, sh, and se.</sp></sp></sp>	
(feedback,fdbk,03346), (feedback,fdbk,03363)	2e6
STATEMENT NAMES	2 f

1, Setting name delimiters should change the Statement Signature, (feedback,fdbk,02717)	2£1
LEVELS	29
1. Either upper or lowercase letters should be allowed as a typein for levels. (feedback,fdbk,02898)	2g1
FINAL CONFIRMATION	2h
1. In the Substitute command, when you have said you are finished, you should not have to type another confirmation character. [feedback,fdbk,02899]	2h1
2. Final confirmation in the Goto and Execute commands should be consistent. (feedback,fdbk,02804)	2h2
TENEX FUNCTIONS	21
1. It would be nice to be able to Execute a command in Tenex and return to another subsystem as when interacting with any subsystem. (feedback,fdbk,02722), (feedback,fdbk,03246)	211
2. How about putting all Tenex commands in a subsystem. (feedback,fdbk,02720)	212
VIEWSPECS	2 1
1. When using Jump to Link command with viewspecs specified, let viewspecs entered after the V: override those in the link, (feedback,fdbk,03271)	2j1
2. In Print Structure commands, when a link with viewspecs is used as an address, the viewspecs should effect the printout. (feedback,fdbk,03273)	2 1 2
3. Allow viewspec "o" and "p" to be set before the completion of freeze and release commands and let "release all" automatically result in viewspec "p", (feedback,fdbk,03249)	213
4. Let Output File obey viewspecs "i" and "k" to allow results of a content search to be saved without splitting screen, creating new file and copy filtered, (feedback,fdbk,03260)	214
NEW COMMANDS DESIRED	2k
1. Help instead of or in addition to Goto Help (feedback,fdbk,01104)	2k1

2. Link and Break Links in NLS (feedback,fdbk,03257)	2k2
3. Since you can set and reset link=default=directory you should be able to show it. (feedback,fdbk,03256) Also, since you can reset prompt, you should be able to set and show it. (feedback,fdbk,03251)	2k3
4. Logout Job instead of Logout, (feedback,fdbk,02688)	2k4
5. Execute Verbose = resulting in most verbose mode for all feedback for the single following command. (feedback,fdbk,02640)	2k5
SYSTEM MESSAGES	21
1. File verify in progress could be verifying File or just the could be sufficient. Also if a person is told a file is bad, he should also be told it is good. (feedback,fdbk,02764)	211
2, Exceed Capacity should probably be changed to something like "System error; string variable overflow" to eliminate confusion over disk allocation being exceeded, (feedback, fdbk, 02765)	212
3. When someone tries to load a file which is protected, he should not get the message that the file cannot be opened, (feedback,fdbk,02800)	213
4. Work needs to be done to make error messages more meaningful to an ordinary user (giving him some idea what his course of action should be) while still giving information useful to programmers, (feedback,fdbk,03298)	214
APPEARANCE	21
1. Rather than having left over prompts at the top of the screen, such as "Replace Text at through by through", put the actual text typed in following the prompt with an appropriate symbol for a bug mark (possibly the word or character bugged, if structure, the statement number, or a symbol such as <bug>). (This would more closely approximate TNLS. (feedback,fdbk,01927), (feedback,fdbk,03236)</bug>	2m3
SPLIT SCREENS	21
1. If there are to be limitations on how narrow a window may be, attempts to make it narrow should be met with an error message rather than the window disappearing.	
(feedback,fdbk,02802)	2n1

	Another suggestion was that the system give a user the smallest non=zero window rather than an error message, if a boundary position is bugged that's invalid.	2n1a
QUES	TION MARK	20
ti	, Do not list alternatives that are not implemented, or if hey must be listed, don't let the user type in the complete ommand before he gets the not=implemented message, feedback, fdbk, 03184)	201
2	. Alphabetize alternatives, (feedback,fdbk,03189)	202
(when the second command word is a phrase, e.g. (d)elete a)11 markers, list the entire phrase as an alternative, referably hyphenated. (feedback,fdbk,03248)	203
	, valid alternatives should be available in Help exactly as tated in questionmark, (feedback,fdbk,03191)	204
	a Alternatives are intuitively commands, not words, and should e specified as such when a question mark is typed.	205
	. Execute command in Help should not print the lengthy ntroduction, (feedback,fdbk,03244)	206
	. Unavailable alternatives should not appear after question ark, i.e. Load Busy file.	207
CONT	ROL CHARACTERS	2p
	. Change the default setting for ESC in TNLS to be ESC. feedback, fdbk, 02906)	2p1
	. Have Control=0 stop printing alternatives after a question ark has been typed, (feedback,fdbk,02796)	2p2
	. Change the option character from "U to <sp>. feedback, fdbk, 02795)</sp>	2p3
PI	, Altmode should cause filename recognition for a file in rograms directory without typing programs first, feedback, fdbk, 03018)	2p4
SUBS	YSTEMS	29
	Editor subsystem is too big resulting in unnecessary lphabetic conflicts. Suggested replacement: Editor,	

File=Handler, and Terminal Handler, and possibly more. See (feedback,fdbk,03196) for a detailed description.	2q1
2. Programs subsystem should be renamed Userprograms and Useroptions should be renamed Profile, (feedback,fdbk,02767)	2 q 2
USERPROGRAMS	2r
1. Userprograms are too difficult for users to use. Why not have commonly used ones such as inmes and letter instituted as a regular subsystem and simply say Goto subsystem message or whatever. (feedback,fdbk,03335)	2r1
RETURNING	25
1. Some people preferred rings to stacks especially in TNLS. (feedback,fdbk,02655)	251
2. Some people dislike having to type Y/N after CA in the Jump to Return command. (I think it's good as is.) (feedback,fdbk,02654)	252
ADDITIONS	3
GENERAL SYNTAX	3 a
1. Load File = be able to bug a visible for filename and enter viewspecs. (feedback,fdbk,03270)	3a1
2. Can the simulate terminal command "know" which mode you're in so it won't offer you your current mode as an alternative. (feedback,fdbk,03245)	3a2
3. Have the Load Program command automatically set Buffer Size, (feedback,fdbk,03261)	3a3
4. Upgrade sort and merge commands as per (jjourna1,17234,). (feedback,fdbk,03265)	3a4
SENDMAIL	3 b
1. It should be possible to add journal items to new subcollections and to add comments when using secondary distribution, (feedback,fdbk,02702)	3b1
RECOGNITION	30
1. You should be able to do a backspace character to delete a space when in expert expert mode, (feedback, fdbk, 02724) Also,	

	after typing a space and one character, a backspace character should result in you being able to type another second=level command, (feedback,fdbk,03151)	301
S	TATEMENT NAMES	3 d
	1. The validity of name delimiters should be checked as they are typed in, (feedback,fdbk,02718)	3d1
T	ENEX FUNCTIONS	3 e
	1. Have the system automatically write a branch in initial files containing a listing of the person's directory upon login. (feedback,fdbk,02721)	3e1
V	IEWSPECS	3 f
	1, When viewspecs B and 1 or g are used the view on the screen and on a printout are different and they should be the same, (feedback,fdbk,03284), (feedback,fdbk,02731)	3£1
	2. Since ;filter; is defined as a viewspec in a link or an address it should be a valid viewspec whenever the prompt V: appears. (feedback,fdbk,02741)	3 £ 2
	3, New Viewspecs (feedback,fdbk,02742)	3 f 3
	a. A viewspec to limit the display of viewspecs unless they were different than a set of default values.	3f3a
	b. A viewspec to indicate the number of lines to display.	3£3b
N	EW COMMANDS DESIRED	39
	1. Append Branch and Group (feedback,fdbk,0920), (feedback,fdbk,02755)	3g1
	2. Break Branch and Group (feedback,fdbk,02756), (feedback,fdbk,02757)	3g2
	3. Transpose Window (feedback,fdbk,02758)	393
	4. Jump to Line (feedback,fdbk,02759)	394
	5, Quit Tenex and Quit Job (feedback,fdbk,02754)	395
	6. Show Return Stack (feedback, fdbk, 03390), (feedback, fdbk, 03159)	396

7, Jump to previous screen composition, including windows that have been moved away, (feedback,fdbk,03395)	397
PRINTING	31
1, Names on the right look different on an output quickprint than on a display, (feedback,fdbk,02761)	3h1
USEROPTIONS	3.5
1. The control characters command should allow you to define any number of characters to be a single control character, (feedback, fdbk, 02770)	311
2. In TNLS, the Show Feedback command should show the feedback mode as well as length and indenting, (feedback,fdbk,03156)	312
APPEARANCE	3 5
1. Have a user-settable field in the feedback area where a user can choose to have certain alternatives displayed, i.e. various information obtained with the Show File Status command, unusual viewspecs, etc. (feedback,fdbk,02752), (feedback,fdbk,02773)	311
2. Let words containing hyphens break at the hyphen when it is at the end of a line. (feedback,fdbk,02775)	312
3. In TNLS let control characters echo as <lf> or whatever to help users remember what has been typed to achieve a certain result. (feedback,fdbk,02772)</lf>	3 5 3
4. Have putput Quickprint put the heading only on the first page of a document with "Page #" on each succeeding page, (feedback,fdbk,03263)	3 5 4
ADDRESSING	31
1, ! should be used instead of & for jump to name external, (feedback,fdbk,02781)	3k1
2. <sp> in front of a statement name should specify searching for "name in branch" and no space would imply "jump to name any" and would also search external files. (feedback, fdbk, 02784)</sp>	3k2
QUESTION MARK	31

1. Key alternatives to a person's recognition, i.e. specify

<SP> as an alternative when in expert=expert.
(feedback,fdbk,03187), (feedback,fdbk,01362)

311

RETURNING

3 m

1. Allow bugging of an item in a return stack. (feedback, fdbk, 03390)

3 m 1

ONR RINS report timing

I haven't gotten to JBN's draft yet; talked to her at noon and she said that she will be guite ready and willing (like maybe she expects it) to confer etc. after 30 June. She will be gone on a vacation trip sometime in July, so this doesn't offer us unlimited timing relief == I will get to it as soon as I can. Regards, Doug

4

SUBJECT: Network Information Center for the Arpanet

SUMMARY:

The Network Information Center (NIC) of SRI will be restructured to provide a subset of the network-based information services previously provided by the NIC. These services will include the Arpanet Resource Handbook online and in hardcopy, the Arpanet Directory in hardcopy, the Official Host Names List online, and other user-oriented information services as time and funds permit.

Initially these products will follow already established formats, but an attempt will be made to continue their evolution into a more efficient package with respect to both information input and retrieval.

OBJECTIVES:

PRIME OBJECTIVE

The prime objective of this proposed work is to produce hardcopy versions of the Arpanet Resource Handbook and the Arpanet Directory.

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

Although an important part of the proposed effort, producing and maintaining an online version of the Arpanet Resource Handbook is considered a secondary objective because user access to this product will be limited officially to one host (OFFICE=1) and one user slot. (In actuality other Arpanet users with directories at OFFICE=1 will be able to access the files also.) As time and funding permit, an attempt will be made to introduce design concepts that will lead to more extensive online distribution and access of the contents of this data base.

The NIC will continue to provide the Official Host Names List. This list will be maintained as an ASCII sequential file accessible to all in a well defined, easily readable format (see Refs. 1, 2, 7, and 8).

The NIC will also continue to maintain the SRI=ARC Identfile or other appropriate files that are needed for the production of the Arpanet Directory.

We will produce an abbreviated version of the Arpanet

News, if time and funds permit, Hopefully this will be produced on a bimonthly basis and contain information supplied by interested parties around the network.

An attempt will be made to further define and standardize procedures for gathering information from information contributors (such as Liaison).

In addition we will contribute to user *oriented design features for the NIC/Query language.

BACKGROUND AND TECHNICAL NEED:

The Arpanet Working environment has the potential for creating, organizing, distributing, and sharing information more rapidly than ever before. It also has the potential for making computer processing more readily accessible than ever before. On the other hand, the abundance of information and resources available on the network creates a particular need for a mechanism to collect and organize this abundance into a usable entity.

Originally most server sites on the Arpanet maintained their own information and information handling procedures. When users from a given host began trying to use resources on other hosts, it soon became apparent that more information and easier access were needed. Finding the right process or piece of information on the right host, then learning all the commands and procedures needed to locate and access the process or piece of information, presented a task destined to discourage all but the most persistent network users. The result was that users were not very adventuresome about using resources available on hosts other than their own.

During the last two years many new nodes have been added to the Arpanet. The majority of these are users only of the network, and do not have interactive computer resources of their own. Invariably, the first questions asked by these new users are:

- What is there to use on the Arpanet?
- Where is it available?
- How do I go about using it?

These conditions then have brought together three concepts that have been evolving separately throughout the history of the Arpanet. One concept is that of a network information center that gathers and channels information to network users about the people, the computer resources and, the knowhow available

on the Arpanet. The second concept is that of network information and procedure-handling protocols. The third concept addresses itself to data and resource distribution.

The Arpanet has now arrived at a degree of sophistication and maturity where it becomes advantageous to merge these three concepts into a whole new system of handling information about network resources that is tailored to the unique conditions of the networking environment.

The NIC and the Augmentation Research Center (ARC) of SRI have been involved in furthering all three of these concepts for several years (see Refs. 3 and 4). Both NIC and ARC have contributed substantially to procedures and mechanisms needed to develop the three concepts outlined above. Because of this we feel we can provide ARPA with valuable expertise needed to merge these separate concepts into a new unified concept for making network information available. We propose that this be done through continuation of the NIC by evolving present services into new, more appropriate services based upon user interests and needs.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

The Augmentation Research Center has maintained the Network Information Center since its inception in 1969. During this time the NIC performed these five main roles for the Arpanet:

- 1. Served as a prototypical information center in a networking environment.
- Supported dialog among the geographically distributed individuals and research teams through use of the SRI-ARC Journal, the Network Working Groups, and the SRI-ARC Ident System.
- 3. Published and distributed the functional documents, RFCs, and other information vital to the growth of the network.
- 4. Provided reference information about network resources and facilities both offline and online through use of the user-oriented NIC/Query language.

5. Stimulated the use of and interest in the network by assisting in marketing the Arpanet and by contributing to the development of network protocols.

During this time the NIC has served as the network's information nucleus, and has played a major role in providing for the network's rapidly changing information needs (see Refs. 3, 5, 5, 9 and 10).

PROPOSED EFFORT:

INTRODUCTION

Our experience has shown that users of the Arpanet are primarily interested in three major activities - computation and data structuring, data transmittal, and data storage and retrieval. Successfully carrying out these activities across the network, requires knowledge of and access to network hardware, software, and communication resources. Before a user can proceed to use these resources he must know where to find them, how to use them, and when they are available (see Ref. 11).

His need for information about the Arpanet often ranges from nightly specific to global in scope and is best met by his having access to a variety of online and offline products.

For instance a user may want to compose an extensive document online, but he may not want to read a similar length document online. A user may want to use a program online, but unless he is a programmer, he will probably never wish to see a hardcopy printout of the program he is using.

The challenge of the networking environment, then, is to provide a design for handling information and resources that allows the user to easily access as much information or as many resources as he cares to use online, while at the same time permitting him to create, store, transmit, and reproduce information that he would prefer to use offline.

The proposed effort presented below outlines a plan that attempts to meet both the online and offline needs of users with respect to information about the Arpanet.

THE ARPANET RESOURCE HANDBOOK

The Arpanet Resource Handbook data base will be built and maintained online in a series of files corresponding to hosts on the network. Altogether these files will form a compendium of hardware, software, available protocols, and other pertinent information about the Arpanet. The format of these files will be that of a concise outline with well labeled and easily identified data elements.

The online resource handbook data base will provide the following:

- An easily edited master file containing information about resources available on specific hosts.
- 2. Information for the online browsing version of the Arpanet Resource Handbook that runs under the NIC/Query language at OFFICE=1.
- 3. Basic data for production of the host-description portion of the hardcopy version of the Arpanet Resource Handbook.

In addition simple user programs can be applied to this data base to produce the tables that summarize host data.

The online data base will be produced at SRI-ARC using the NLS system since NLS has unique capabilities for fast input and ease of organizing and editing. Initially, the online version will be maintained as an NLS file, but ways will be investigated to distribute part or all of this data base in some other form (as an ASCII sequential file, for instance) compatible with the systems of other hosts on the network that wish to make this data further accessible to their users.

The hardcopy version of the Arpanet Resource Handbook will follow a terse handbook format and will no longer be produced as a loose leaf and continuously updated hardcopy document. Instead, the handbook will be published annually as a bound issue. In the interim new information will be added to the online data base, and important changes will be announced through a newsletter or message medium. (See Arpanet News below.)

THE ARPANET DIRECTORY

The Arpanet Directory will be produced semiannually in

hardcopy from information taken from both the Resource Handbook files and the SRI-ARC Identfile. We propose that the hardcopy format be similar to the current version of the Arpanet Directory = that is, an inexpensive, widely distributed document designed for easy look-up.

Production of the current directory is contingent upon upkeep of the SRI Identfile. At present information is entered by a clerk as it is received from individuals or hosts. We propose to continue producing the directory in this manner, but we will attempt to implement procedures that will make it easier for users to input and access data.

THE ARPANET OFFICIAL HOST NAMES LIST

The Official Host Names List will be provided online as an ASCII sequential file accessible to all in a well defined and easily readable format (see Refs. 1, 2, 7 and 8). Information will be added as it is supplied to the NIC by the ARPA IPT Office or its designated representative. Other pertinent attributes such as FTP byte, apropriate line length, etc., will be added to this file if time and funds permit.

ARPANET NEWS

An Arpanet News will be produced if time and funds permit, we propose that this newsletter have a brief format and be maintained online in such a fashion that any network user can transfer a copy via FTP or other network procedure to his own host or terminal for viewing. The newsletter should also be made available for distribution to sites that wish to maintain viewing copies in their own systems,

The newsletter will cover such items as new resources; new network programs; notes and memos from ARPA, hosts, and working groups; references to pertinent network documents and papers; and announcements, and will also serve as a mechanism for reporting updates to the Arpanet Resource Handbook.

RELEVANCE TO DOD/ARPA MISSION AND FUNCTIONS

The present concept of the Arpanet is that of a group of diverse computers being connected by a sophisticated communications network for the purpose of transmitting information and sharing resources. To realize this concept most effectively, there is a need to know who and what is on the network. This is particularly true now because so many new users ahave recently joined the network. SRI proposes to collect this needed information for the Arpanet while continuing to evolve a more efficient and effective distribution system for this information.

WORK STATEMENT: Covering a one-year period from July 1974 to July 1975

SRI will provide:

- 1. One hardcopy edition of the Arpanet Resource Handbook the contents of which are contingent upon receipt of valid information from the network host Liaison or other host or ARPA appointed representatives.
- 2. An online version of the Arpanet Resource Handbook to be available for access through a NIC account on one network slot at OFFICE=1 separately funded by ARPA. (Other Arpanet users of OFFICE=1 may also access this fileset from their own directories.) Production of this fileset will have the same contingency as the hardcopy version.
- 3. Two hardcopy editions of the Arpanet Directory the contents of which are contingent upon receipt of information from the individuals wishing to be listed within the directory.
- 4. The Official Arpanet Hostname File containing official Arpanet hostnames, nicknames, and host addresses. All information for this file will be provided by ARPA or its appointed representative. The file will meet the criteria outlined in RFC 608 (see Ref. 7). If time and funds permit, other pertinent attributes will be added.
- 5. Other services and publications, such as production of a brief newsletter, design of a more efficient information gathering and distributing mechanism, etc., will be attempted to the extent that time and funds are available.

PERSONNEL:

Biographies of personnel likely to be involved with the proposed tasks follow. In addition ARC will hire two to three additional software personnel; one will be assigned to this project.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Cerf, V. G. "Standard Host Name Policy Announcement," NIC 20479, ARPANET News, Issue 10, 37=8 (Dec. 1973).
- 2. Deutsch, L. P. "Host Names On-line," RFC 606, NIC 21246, Palo Alto Research Center, Xerox Corp., Dec. 29, 1973.
- 3. Engelbart, D. C., et al. "Online Team Environment, Network Information Center and Computer Augmented Team Interaction," final report on SRI Proj. 8457, Augmentation Research Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., June 8, 1972, pp. 109-162
- 4. Engelbart, D. C. "Co-ordinated Information Services for a Discipline- or Mision-Oriented Community," paper presented at the second Annual Computer Communications Conference, San Jose, Calif., Jan. 24, 1973.
- 5. Feinler, E. J. "Resource Notebook Future Plans," NIC 12425, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Nov. 29, 1972.
- 6. Kudlick, M. D. "NIC Programming Needs," NIC 21504, Network Information Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Jan. 1974.
- 7. Kudlick, M. D. "Host Names On=Line," RFC 608, NIC 21256, Network Information Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Jan. 10, 1974.
- 8. Kudlick, M. D. and Feinler, E. J. "ASCII Text File of Hostnames," RFC 627, NIC 22360, Network Information Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Mar. 25, 1974.
- 9. Lehtman, H. G. "New Description of Help Version of Guery," NIC 19624, Network Information Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Oct. 11, 1973.
- 10. Lentman, H. G. "Response to Kirk's Note on Things Missing in the Current Version of HELP [NIC/Query]," NIC 22753, Network Information Center, Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif., Apr. 18, 1974.
- 11. Neigus, N. J., et al. "Some Initial Suggestions for Network Information Management", Draft of USING Subcommittee on Network Information Management, to be published.

CONTRACTUAL PROVISIONS:

I ESTIMATED TIME AND CHARGES

The estimated time required to complete this project and report its results is twelve months starting 1 July 1974. The Institute could begin work on receipt of a fully executed contract.

Pursuant to the provisions of ASPR 16-206.2, attached is a cost estimate and support schedules in lieu of the DD Form 633-4. Also enclosed is a signed form complete except for the "Detail Description of Cost Elements."

II CONTRACT FORM

It is requested that any contract resulting from this proposal be written on a cost-plus-fixed fee basis.

III ACCEPTANCE PERIOD

This proposal will remain in effect until 30 June 1974. If consideration of the proposal requires a longer period, the Institute will be glad to consider a request for an extension of time.

IV REPORTS

production of one issue of the Arpanet Resource Handbook and two issues of the Arpanet Directory within the twelve month period will fulfill the reporting schedule.

V SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

SRI holds a Top Secret facility clearance, which may be verified through the cognizant military security agency: San Francisco Defense Contract Administration Services Region, Attn: Office of Industrial Security, 866 Malcolm Road, Burlingame, California 94010. Staff assignments will be in accordance with the level of security assigned to the work.

Cost Estimate:

S XX,XXX

XXXXX

COST ESTIMATE

(For the one year period starting 7/1/74)

PERSONNEL COSTS

Project supv (1760 hrs @x.xx/hr) Sxx,xxx Programmer (582 hrs @x.xx/hr) x.xxx Clerical (1480 hrs 0x.xx/hr) XXXXX Total direct labor SXXXXXX Payroll burden 028,0% * XXXXX Total salaries and wages XX/XXX Overhead 9107% salaries * XXXXXX Total personnel costs SXX,XXX

DIRECT COSTS

Travel * S X XXXX Facility costs for NIC staff work xx,xxx Computer time xx,xxx
Line processor x,xxx
TI terminal a100/mo x,xxx Hazeltine terminal @100/mo x,xxx Communications XxXXX Mailing costs X,XXX Report costs * x,xxx Total direct costs S XX,XXX Total estimated cost

TOTAL ESTIMATED COST-PLUS-FIXED FEE \$xxx,xxx

* See following Schedules

Fixed fee

Cost Schedules:

. . .

SCHEDULE A

DIRECT LABOR

Direct labor charges are based on the actual salaries for the staff members contemplated for the project work plus a 4% judgmental factor of base salary for merit increases during the contract period of performance. The precise factor applied is dependent on the estimated period of performance. Frequency of salary reviews and level of merit increases are in accordance with the Institute's Salary and Wage Payment Policy as published in Topic No. 505 of the SRI Administration Manual and as approved by the Defense Contract Administration Services Region.

SCHEDULE B

OVERHEAD AND PAYROLL BURDEN

These rates have been found acceptable by the Department of Defense for billing and bidding purposes for the calendar year of 1974. We request that these rates not be specifically included in the contract, but rather that the contract provide for reimbursement at billing rates acceptable to the Contracting Officer, subject to retroactive adjustment to fixed rates negotiated on the basis of historical cost data, Included in payroll burden are such costs as vacation, holiday and sick leave pay, social security taxes, and contributions to employee benefit plans.

SCHEDULE C

TRAVEL COSTS

2 trips to Washington, D.C. and Boston @416/fare	\$832
5 trips to Los Angeles and San Diego @55/fare	275
Subsistence 5 days at Washington, D.C 042.50/day	213
5 days at Boston @38.00/day	190
10 days at Los Angeles @30.00/day	300
Car Rental 5 days at 15/day	75
Total Travel	\$1,885

Air fares and car rental rates are based on prices established in the current Official Airline Guide. Domestic subsistence rates and travel by private auto are quoted at published standards.

* 17 K

SCHEDULE D

REPORT COSTS

Report costs are estimated on the basis of the number of pages of text and illustrations and the number of copies of reports required in accordance with the following rates per page which have been reviewed by DCAA:

Editing	\$ 2.29
Composition	2,22
Report coordination	.63
Proofreading	.92
Illustration	19,14
Press and Bindery	.021 per impression

Following is a breakdown of the estimated cost of report production:

Resource Notebook,

1 issue, 300 pp/issue, 1000 copies/issue \$x,xxx

Arpanet Directory,

2 issues, 50 pp/issue, 1000 copies/issue x,xxx

Arpanet News,

6 issues, 5 pp/issue, xxx copies/issue xxx

Total Estimated Report Costs \$x,xxx

> 1 May 74 SRI=ARC 22849

Proposal For Research SRI No. ISU 74=84

NETWORK INFORMATION CENTER FOR THE ARPANET

Part One -- Technical Proposal

Prepared for:

Information Processing Techniques Office Advanced Projects Research Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

Attn: Craig Fields

prepared by:

Elizabeth Feinler Information Specialist Network Information Center

Approved:

Douglas C. Engelbart, Director Augmentation Research Center

Bonnar Cox, Executive Director Information Science and Engineering Division Stanford Research Institute

> 1 May 74 SRI=ARC 22849

Proposal For Research SRI No. ISU 74-84

NETWORK INFORMATION CENTER FOR THE ARPANET
Part Two=-Contractual Provisions

Prepared for:

.

Information Processing Techniques Office Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209

Attn: Craig Fields

18-JUN-74 22:09:32,1450

Date: 18 JUN 1974 2209 PDT

From: NORTON

To: hardy

Subject: Hudson Tip Connection Approval

cc: norton

Martin:
The following sndmsg tells all about ARPA position. Can you call Dave Walden at BBN telling him ARPA is ready to support a 4800 baud line connectin (in pprinciple) to the Rutgers tip if it will not adversely affect other rutgers tip users. Craig Fiels needs a note from Walden. Also call a man named Amarel at Rutgers for me (and for Rudy Ruggles of Hudson) and tell him the story and ask that he send a note (sndmsg is ok) to fields @ISI saying he wont mind the line? Probably bet to call Rudy Ruggles first and tell him we will help him with these details if its ok with him If HE wants to call ok, the main thing is need for 2 sndmsgs from BBN and Rutgers to Fields@isi, ok? Thanks It will sure help to facilitate Hudson's getting the 4800 baud line. The actual cost will be paid by Hudson, not ARPA directly if I implied that, here's Craig's msg:

Net mail from site USC=ISI rovd at 18=JUN=74 13:49:11

Date: 18 JUN 1974 1348-PDT

From: FIELDS at USC=ISI

Subject: HUDSON=RUTGERS LINE

To: NORTON at SRI-ARC

JIM.

APPROVAL FOR THE LINE WILL DEPEND ON TWO THINGS,

1. I NEED A NOTE FROM WALDEN AT BBN SAYING THAT CONNECTING THE LINE WILL NOT

ADVERSELEY IMPACT ON OTHER TIP USERS.

2. I NEED A NOTE FROM AMAREL AT RUTGERS SAYING HE WON'T MIND THE LINE.

3

2

3

6a

6a1

6a2

6a3

6a4

6a5

6a5a

6a5a1

6a5b

6a5c

6a5d

BEST

CRAIG

6a5e

6a5£

6a5g

6a5h

6a51

6a5j

6a6

8

9

Bob Belleville is getting his PhD at Purdue, under Dick Garrett. He has done a lot of work, at many levels, in the Computer Aided Design area; he is very productive and inventive, and seems genuinely interested in the basic aim of augmenting people (as opposed to the relatively narrow interests of many people in the CAD area). RWW and I feel that he is a promising candidate and want to have him visit us. Pending his submitting an SRI application form (sent to him today), we have a tentative arrangement for him to come on 3 July. He is visiting INTEL on 2 July, and can thus split travel expenses between two recruiting organizations.

We have a resume", and a publication of his. MDK is their custodian,

1

1a

2

2a

5:00

0:00

TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE IDLE TIME FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74

```
x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes
   60.0
   52,5
      * ** ***
  45.0
  37.5
      ***
                                 **
  30.0
      ****
                                ***
  22,5
      ***
  15.0
      ***
      医食物性性性性性 化水管管 故 水谷縣 大大樓
   7.5
   0.0 *************
```

10:00

15:00 20:00

TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF GO JOBS FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74 x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes

```
5,5
                  44
5,0
                  **
4,5
                  *****
4,0
3,5
3,0
2,5
2,0
1,5
1.0 *
0,5 ***** ** *******************
0.0 **
          ****
  0:00
       5100
            10:00
                  15:00
                        20:00
```

3

3a

4a

```
TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF USERS FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74 x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes

14 ***
```

```
13
12
            ***
11
10
9
8
      ****
7
      *******
6
      *****
5
3
 20100
0:00
    5:00
        10100
            15:00
```

TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE PER CENT OF CPU TIME CHARGED TO USER ACCOUNTS FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74 x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes

```
69.3
61,6
53,9
46.2
        *****
38.5 #
        ***
30.8 *
        ********************************
15.4 *************
7.7 *************
0.0
 20:00
     5:00 10:00
            15:00
 0:00
```

6a

6.0 4.0 2,0

0.0 ******

5:00

0:00

5 x axis labeled in units of hr:min, xunit = 30 minutes 6 44 5 4 3 2 *** 0100 5:00 10:00 15:00 20:00 5a TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE PER CENT OF SYSTEM USED IN DNLS FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74 x axis labeled in units of hrimin, xunit = 30 minutes 6 8.0

15:00 20:00

10:00

TIME PLOT OF AVERAGE NUMBER OF NETWORK USERS FOR WEEK OF 6/16/74

VISITORS: (15 JUNE 74)	
Jon D. Brommeland	1
Program Manager	18
Command and Control Activities	1a
Electronic Navel Systems Command, Western Division	1 a
Mare Island Navel Shipyard, Vallejo, CA.	1a
Robert Nalingberg,	1
Electronic Engineer,	1 b
Same Address.	16
REASON FOR VISIT:	
To evaluate our PDP=10 equipment ARPA intends to buy and transfer to Control Systems, == ENSC is the contracting office that will administer Control Systems contract.	2
They were interested mainly in equipment condition and maintenance history; particularly the Bryant drum, pager, and tape drives.	2
They reviewed the DEC maintenance log, and sampled our journalized up=time records and were quit impressed with what they saw and left convinced the equipment was in good transfer condition. Before leaving I showed them around and Jim Norton gave them a short Demo.	
martin	2

re <GJOURNAL, 23429, 2k3> New NLS NPs

Under CHANGES-New Commands Desired, No.3 - Those features DO presently exist! In Editor, use Show File Default (directory for links). In Useroptions, Prompt and Show Prompt and Reset Prompt are the commands wanted.

4

I think that #3 Show Return Stack which is under the New Commands Desired section of ADDITIONS should be moved up in priority to the New Commands Desired section of CHANGES, because the lack of such a command, or an alternative solution, is an inconsistency (there is a Show File Return Stack command) and a serious frustration in TNLS (there is no way to see where you will find yourself before you go there, changing your stack==slash in an address now works only after you give the <CA>), and is even more than an NP in my opinion.

Toward the cause of clear, simple filenames the old <USERGUIDES>
ARCLOCATOR now contains only a link (with appropriate instructions)
to <userguides,locator,> wherein all of the document=locating links
now exist. You might want to update your personal link list to
<userguides,locator,> and save an extra step. This change will not
take place at OFFICE=1 immediately. Those users will be notified by
user development when the change occurs.

Gerald Bailey Visit

Mr. Bailey from NSA will be here for as much of Tuesday afternoon, 16=JUL as is required. Those who ve expressed an interest in talking with him are JEW, JAKE, KIRK, and MIL. I don't think I'll structure the afternoon (unless one of you needs a more definitive time schedule). -=Jim

1

1a

some thoughts about you, capability theory, and help

Harvey,
I don't know how you feel about Capability Theory, but I would expect
it to turn you off. Capability theory is for people who require some
sort of system to make decisions for them, be it christianity, est,
or whatever, you do not seem to be that type of person, you are not
enslaved to a "philosophy" like the majority of people.

I think the reason most people are enslaved to some religeous like decision making system is that natural selection has resulted in mostly humans that are well adapted to being slaves. Due to the lack of capabilities, the vast majority of people in the past have been slaves.

As the process of life increases capabilities to the place where slave-like behavior is not only no longer necessary, but downright harmful to life, the philosophical frameworks for human action have not progressed correspondingly. I see capability theory as a necessary step in the direction of freeer people. I hope you can understand this and see it in that light, not as a new fad like est to which I am enslaved. Though this is hypocritical, I do not expect to consciously live by a standard such as Capability Theory, even though it was created by me via a process that determined what best filled my needs in this area.

I come from the midwest where people, almost to a person, are enslaved to some religeon of the more traditional sort. I find people in California are different only in that their religeons are less traditional. There also seem to be more people like myself who don't need to be enslaved to such things. This tendancy towards freedom from doctrines appears to be happening all over, but much more so here than in the midwest. As evidenced by the new types of standards that are gaining popularity here, the old ones no longer work for a growing number of people. I think capability theory can provide a better standard than any of these others. This is using the standard to measure the standard against other standards.

I think the goal in this area is for each individual to be as free as possible. In capability theory, one's freedom is measured by the capabilities one posseses. I think capability theory will create more capabilities than any of the current "religeons". However, it is just a theory. I have a lot of development to do on it before I can ever expect to communicate it to very many other people. I would like to foster debate in these areas and eventually see capability theory grow into a science with practical applications in many fields, including everyday decisions.

As for the whole universe catalog, I see it as a necessary step in the directon of maximizing capabilities and I see capability theory as a necessary ingredient of this typy of technology if it is to be some thoughts about you, capability theory, and help

used to increase human freedom rather than eliminate human freedom which is equivalent to death.

The WUC accessing system was designed from this philosophy of maximizing capabilities and I think it is evident that it contains more capabilities than the current help system. It has drawbacks to be sure. The major drawback expressed by it's critics comes from the apparent inconsistency with nls. This has been expressed by jhb primarily and by you. This argument just doesn't make sense to me when comparing the wuc accessing system to the current system because in many more ways, the current system is inconsistent with nls.

I'm afraid I see so many capabilities in my system compaired to the current system that I can't place much weight in arguments against inconsistencies between my system and the current view in people's heads of what his traveling around, viewing, and information retrieval interfaces should be.

Of what good is consistency when it limits capabilities?? Of course one must count the capabilities gained by consistency. I have measured both sides by my standards, and I think if you measured both systems by your standards you would determine, as I have, that the capabilities gained in the WUC system would vastly outweigh any capabilities lost due to whatever view of inconsistancy and the total capabilities would be much greater than the current system.

some thoughts about you, capability theory, and help

Dirk,
I don't know how you feel about Capability Theory, but I would expect
it to turn you off. Capability theory is for people who require some
sort of system to make decisions for them, be it christianity, est,
or whatever. You do not seem to be that type of person. You are not
enslaved to a "philosophy" like the majority of people.

I think the reason most people are enslaved to some religeous like decision making system is that natural selection has resulted in mostly humans that are well adapted to being slaves. Due to the lack of capabilities, the vast majority of people in the past have been slaves.

As the process of life increases capabilities to the place where slave-like behavior is not only no longer necessary, but downright harmful to life, the philosophical frameworks for human action have not progressed correspondingly. I see capability theory as a necessary step in the direction of freeer people. I hope you can understand this and see it in that light, not as a new fad like est to which I am enslaved. Though this is hypocritical, I do not expect to consciously live by a standard such as Capability Theory, even though it was created by me via a process that determined what best filled my needs in this area.

I come from the midwest where people, almost to a person, are enslaved to some religeon of the more traditional sort. I find people in California are different only in that their decision making standards are less traditional. There also seem to be more people like myself who don't need to be enslaved to such things. This appears to be happening all over, but much more so here than in the midwest. As evidenced by the new types of standards that are gaining popularity here, the old ones no longer work for a growing number of people. I think capability theory can provide a better standard than any of these others. This is using the standard to measure the standard against other standards.

I think the goal in this area is for each individual to be as free as possible. In capability theory, one's freedom is measured by the capabilities one posseses. I think capability theory will create more capabilities than any of the current "religeons". However, it is just a theory. I have a lot of development to do on it before I can ever expect to communicate it to very many other people. I would like to foster debate in these areas and eventually see capability theory grow into a science with practical applications in many fields, including everyday decisions.

As for the whole universe catalog, I see it as a necessary step in the directon of maximizing capabilities and I see capability theory as a necessary ingredient of this typy of technology if it is to be

5

some thoughts about you, capability theory, and help

used to increase human freedom rather than eliminate human freedom which is equivalent to death.

The WUC accessing system was designed from this philosophy of maximizing capabilities and I think it is evident that it contains more capabilities than the current help system. It has drawbacks to be sure. The major drawback expressed by it's critics comes from the apparent inconsistency with nls. This has been expressed by jhb primarily and by hgl. This argument just doesn't make sense to me when comparing the wuc accessing system to the current system because in many more ways, the current system is inconsistent with nls.

I'm afraid I see so many capabilities in my system compaired to the current system that I can't place much weight in arguments against inconsistencies between my system and the current view in people's heads of what his traveling around, viewing, and information retrieval interfaces should be.

Of what good is consistency when it limits capabilities?? Of course one must count the capabilities gained by consistency. I have measured both sides by my standards, and I think if you measured both systems by your standards you would determine, as I have, that the capabilities gained in the WUC system would vastly outweigh any capabilities lost due to whatever view of inconsistancy and the total capabilities would be much greater than the current system.

subject: Air Force Manual = Schedule	1
rk and I talked with Elizabeth Riddle and learned that they want ly microfiche for this manual. They would like the fiche as on as possi ble.	1 a
DDSI does microfiche,	1b
Do you have the information you need to put in directives?	10
If so, when can you get started and when do you think you will have something worthwhile to run a test and sample for Carlson's appoval?	14

PDP=10, letter from DEC confirming buy=out price and rent if we do not buy.

This is a copy of the letter received from DEC quoting 10 purchase Price if purchased by Aug 31 1974.

2

1

TO: Mr Tom Little, Purchasing (SRI).

.

Dear Mr Little:

2a

Per our earlier conversations, this letter is confirming the system buy=out balance of \$404,263 (less taxes and assuming all rental invoices are paid). It is my understanding that SRI will issue to DEC a purchase order for that amount before June 28, 1974.

2b

The purchase order will be contingent upon ARPA approval. If ARPA should approve, SRI may buy the machine at the above price through August 31, 1974. If ARPA should not approve the buy-out, SRI may at it's option continue the rental through Augest 31, 1974 at the current monthly rate \$22,105 (less taxes and maintenance). After August 31, 1974, the monthly rental rate will increase to \$28,868 (less taxes and maintenance).

20

Should you have any questions, please give me a call.

2d

Sincerely, Donald R. Farrow (DEC)

2e

MEH 24=JUN=74 17:17 23446

2

3a

PDP=10, buy=out, what we need to do by Thursday june 27,

Jim:
Dec has given us a letter (23445,) quoting sale price of the 10 at \$404,263 if ARPA purchases by Aug 31 1974. The letter also states that if ARPA does not buy, WE MAY ELECT to rent it until Aug 31 at the existing rate of \$22,105 per month; not including maintenance, and any tax if applicable.

What DEC needs from us is a purchase order confirming our intent to buy by Aug 31 SUBJECT TO ARPA APPROVAL, == if they do not approve we are not obligated, It is very important and critical that DEC get this po by Thursday this week (27 June) to confirm acceptance. This means that we have to get it through all the proper approval signatures by wednesday so that the order can be cut and phoned in on Thursday.

Wing says that before the Division office will sign someone will have to talk to Bart about what will happen if ARPA does not buy (where the rent will come from), perhaps you can do this by phone tomorrow?, -- or should I ask Doug or Watson (I think Watson will be gone)??

Personally I see no problem here because we do not have to obligate oursives to DEC to rent after July 1. If the contract does not come through (Facility) buy or rent, which we most likely will know by July 1 ?, we just turn off the machine and inform DEC.

... martin..

3

34

PDP=10, Buy=out, WWhat we need to do by Thursday June 27.

Jim:
Dec has given us a letter (23445,) quoting sale price of the 10 at \$404,263 if ARPA purchases by Aug 31 1974. The letter also states that if ARPA does not buy, WE MAY ELECT to rent it until Aug 31 at the existing rate of \$22,105 per month; not including maintenance, and any tax if applicable.

What DEC needs from us is a purchase order confirming our intent to buy by Aug 31 SUBJECT TO ARPA APPROVAL, == if they do not approve we are not obligated. It is very important and critical that DEC get this PO by Thursday this week (27 June) to confirm acceptance. This means that we have to get it through all the proper approval signatures by Wednesday so that the order can be cut and phoned in on Thursday.

Wing says that before the Division office will sign someone will have to talk to Bart about what will happen if ARPA does not buy (where the rent will come from), perhaps you can do this by phone tomorrow?, -- or should I ask Doug or watson (I think watson will be gone)??

Personally I see no problem here because we do not have to obligate oursives to DEC to rent after July 1. If the contract does not come through (Facility) buy or rent, which we most likely will know by July 1 ?, we just turn off the machine and inform DEC.

... martin..

The USGS is interested in less than one slot, perhaps half of one, according to Elizabeth. In addition to the availability of a half slot, they need to access Office-1 from Denver through some medium, preferably, Tymnet. Thus we need to provide answers to the following:

Is Tymnet available?
What is the cost of using it?
Will, or are there any plans to hook Office-1 into the Tymnet?
In addition, they want to know about printer sevices. I'm aware that there wer some arrangements made to have Tymshare send printouts to us and would like to know if this can be made available as a service to Utiltiy users? I think the timing is fairly critical, so please let us know or tell me who to contact (if I should) at Tymshare.
Thanks, JHB

PDP=10, SCI and IBM visitors, discussion about word conversion and archiving.

VISITORS: (20 JUNE 74)

1

H, Robert Downs

1a

Manager, Computer Science Division System Control Inc Palo Alto Ca, (415) 494=1165

1a1

Jon o. Brommeland

1b

Program Manager Command Control Activities ENSC, Western Div Mare Island Navy Ship Yard, Vallejo, Ca.

1b1

And IBM frends (8).

10

Program Manager, and programmers.

101

REASON FOR VISIT:

2

To look see at our PDp=10 and discuss our proposed operation and their use.

2a

IBM may become a subcontractor for SCI in support of their ARPA contract. If they do, SCI intends for them to use resources here until the 10 is moved.

2a1

What they were concerned about: Converting their IBM 32 bit words to PDP=10 36, if they gave us a physically compatable tape with 32 bit words, do we have a utility program that convert it?, and, would we provide archive storage, like 7 or 8 tapes per month.

2a2

IBM intend to pack their data on tapes physically compatible the 10 tape drives, but with shorter word lengths, and, after conversion and some computing (which they would discuss with us later) have us dump and store until the transfer.

2a2a

I talked to Elizeith Michael and Freguson, they seemed to think that there exists a utility program that could be used, if not, scI said they could make special arrangements for developing a program or have IBM do the conversion before sending the tape to us.

2a3

PDP=10, SCI and IBM visitors, discussion about word conversion and archiving.

In addition, I told them how our archiving worked and that we intended to provide it as a normal service to all customers, they said that would be fine for their needs.

2a4

... martin.,

26

MEH 24=JUN=74 21:54 23450 Hudson Institute, Walden's approval to connect to Rutgers TIP'

MEH 24=JUN=74 21:54 23450 Augmentation Research Center Menio Park, California 94025 Stanford Research Institute 24 June 74

Rudy Ruggles Hudson Institute Croton=on=Hudson New York, 10520

Dear Mr Ruggles:

The attached page is a copy of a sendmessage from Walden at BBN to Fields at ISI giving his approval to connect to the Rutgers TIP; still necessary before you can make the physical connection is approval from Saul Ameral at Rutgers. I expect to hear from him by end of this week, and when I do, I will send you a copy.

I might note, to make a pitch, that if you were an Office=1 user, you would have received this sendmessage Fri June 21, the same day Fields and I received it.

Menlo Park, california 94025

Sincerely,

Augmentation Research Center

Martin Hardy Supervisor, Computer Facility (415) 326=6200 X3921

21=JUN=74 12:13:01,918

Net mail from site BBN=TENEX rcvd at 21=JUN=74 12:12:57

Date: 21 JUN 1974 1512=EDT

From: WALDEN at BBN=TENEX

Subject: 4800 BAUD CONNECTION TO THE RUTGERS TIP
To: FIELDS at ISI
cc: HARDY at SRI=ARC, WALDEN

......

CRAIG, HARDY HAS TOLD ME THAT THE NCC MUST OK CONNECTION OF A FULL DUPLEX 4800 BAUD CONNECTION TO THE RUTGERS TIP. A QUICK CHECK SHOWS THAT THERE IS PLENTY OF SPARE BUFFER CAPACITY (AT THIS TIME) ON THE RUTGERS TIP AND THE 4800 BAUD CONNECTION SHOULD THEREFORE BE POSSIBLE WITH OUT ADVERSLY AFFECTING OTHER USERS WITH REGARD TO BUFFERING. WITH REGARD TO TIP BANDWIDTH THERE SHOULD ALSO BE NO PROBLEM. FINALLY, THE PROPSED CONNECTION IS AS I UNDERSTAND IT A FULL DUPLEX CONNECTION LIKE ONE WHICH ALREADY EXISTS ON THE MITRE TIP AND THEREFORE SHOULD CAUSE THE NCC MINIMAL EXTRA WORK. IN SHORT, I DON'T SEE ANY REASON WHY YOU SHOULDN'T ALLOW THIS CONNECTION. REGARDS. DAVE

3

I have been working on formatting the Air Force Document for two days. I found I needed a program to do some figuring, so it was easier to put all the directives in the program and let it work through the file. The program was an easy modification of the already existing Content Analyzer SRIFORM,

The fiche format is expressed as a modification of the regular CDM publication format, so I did the regular one first, then modified it piece by piece to fit my perception of the fiche format. I'm not sure I understood all the specs well enough, but we may come prety close for a first try. The programs are (meyer, afcom, nls,) and (meyer, afffiche, nls,).

I will produce COM files as soon as EKM and DVN tell me the DDSI and our Output Processor are ready with matching character width tables.

Office=1, MF=10, Purchasing Explanation,

MEH 25-JUN=74 08:18 23455

SRI

MEMO

TO: PURCHASING

DATE: 14 JUNE 74

FROM: Martin Hardy

LOCATION: J=2072, X3921

SUBJECT: Memory addition to Office=1, Explanation.

These memories are needed to increase the operating capacity of our Office=1 PDP=10 Computer leased from Tymshare and operated by them at their Cupertino facility. This lease add-on must be coterminous with existing lease

terminating Feb 10 1975. The MF=10 memories are the only reliable memories of that class compatable with the PDP=10

computer.

Martin Hardy

Yes, and thanks

Yes, I was refferring to TNLS in 23397. In fact almost all my comments relate to TNLS since that is where these things are bothersome. Sorry I did not specify that in the last message, will do in future, thnaks and keep up the great work. Especially the recent collectin of NP, that must have been hard to organize, It really is great, thanks again.

1

WUC VT announcement

Many people have expressed an interest in seeing the video tape of the Whole Universe Catalog prototype who did not get to see it last thursday. It will be shown again this thursday in the conference room at 5:00. It lasts 25 minutes.

1

WUC VT announcement

Many people have expressed an interest in seeing the video tape of the Whole Universe Catalog prototype who did not get to see it last thursday. It will be shown again this thursday in the conference room at 5:00. It lasts 25 minutes.

Summary of trip to Wash DC with Jim Norton 20=Jun and 21=Jun 1974 NOTE This summary is from MDK's notes; JCN will undoubtedly have things to add/subtract.	1
Purpose to determine potential needs and applications for NLS i various governmental office environments	n 2
Visited at SRI-WASH, 1611 N. Kent, Arlington Va. approx two hours each with:	3
DCA Defense Communication Agency Capt. Jefferson (USN), Dr. Defiore, plus two representatives from SRI=WASH	3 a
OSHA === Occupational Safety and Health Administration Howard Forsyth, Bob Gluck (Cluck?) Bob Dehn (SRI=WASH) and RLL joined us	31
DOT === Department of Transportation Greg Haugan Fran Greehan (SRI=WASH) joined us	30
HRRO Human Resource Research Office Tom O'Sullivan	36
DCA	4
Within this agency, Jefferson is respons for the Defense Communication Engineering Center (DCEC) in Reston, a part of the Defense Communication System (DCS). This is in the larger technical support section of the NMCS organ (Nat'l Military Command System), under Adm Capone.	44
One of their main responsibilities is the use of WWMCCS (Worldwin Military Command and Control System)	de £
They are interested in improving and enhancing internal DCA community dialog, and in providing some computer=based, management=oriented systems for SENIOR Executive officers	40
Following JCN's demo, keen interest expressed by Jefferson to ha a demo given to his Admirals, oriented to their decision making processes, information gathering and dissemination problems, etc	
OSHA	5

4

Background of these two men is that Gluck is relatively new to OSHA i.e., w/i last six months, and enthusiatic; Forsyth was a

retired govt employee --- had worked in NBS Center for Comp Sci and Techn --- and is now a consultant to OSHA.

5a

"There is no immediate interest", says Forsyth; "I'm very excited, and want to know costs, availability, procedures, etc," intimates Gluck.

5 b

Their work is concerned with creating many documents (initially about 300-400, eventually some several thousand) each about 5 to 10 pages long, describing WORKADAY PROCEDURES AND STANDARDS for industrial use in preventing and/or reporting occupational safety and health problems.

50

They'd eventually like to do this on-line.

5d

Gluck is at (703) 961-4243.

5e

DOT

Haugan is very competent, a graduate of IIT, with MS from U of Wash at St Louis and Php from U of Md.

6a

Haugan's boss is Robt Cannon, an Ass't Secty in DoT, formerly the Dean of Engr School at Stanford Univ.

6b

Haugan's group operates with LIMITED staff; primarily works by getting jargish budget and then subcontracting their tasks,

60

Their responsibility wthin the \$1 billion 6=yr development project for high-speed passenger rail service (30,000 miles of RR in 17 states in the Northeast and Midwest) is the 450 mile WashDc = Boston circuit.

6d

They need economically feasible, technologically attractive communications among their scattered offices.

6e

several ideas for achieving such a state were discussed:

6£

Haugan thought that DOT might pay for a grad student to look at pblms of DOT from fresh, not necessarily end-item-oriented viewpoint. This would be similar to DOT's on-going univ research program, of \$2 to \$3 million a year, that is designed to build up knowledge of transportation problems throughout the university community, without being limited to implementation constraints.

6£1

Haugan also wanted one of his staff -- a Ms. Nadonna Coffee --to go to SRI-WASH to get a brief but thorough initial
indoctrination into NLS, learning how to use it herself, and

then report back to Haugan on what she has found. She is purported to be an experienced computer buff, and a very competent quick learner, trusted implicitly by Haugan. The tutoring should stress such fundamentals as switching the terminal on (making conections), handling the mouse and keyset, and switching from one system to another on the ARPANET.

6£2

Fran Greehan, in discussions after Haugan left, suggested we might consider a totally different tack, putting TI terminals in local offices along the RR route (in mayor's offices, say) so that the many small towns along the route could be in communication with the DOT == even if only via sndmsg == about problems during the construction phases. This would give a PR type of advantage both to DOT and to the mayors, who could be demonstrating to local citizens what was taking place.

613

Other features that might prove to be of benefit would be incorporation of a bar-graph type of NLS output (a user-invokable "super-watch" graph operating on user data).

614

HRRO

7

O'sullivan wants to build a CBI-oriented NIC at the Educational Testing Service (gTS) in Princeton NJ, using NLS and based on some of the concepts and technology that we used at the NIC. ETS contacts are Ernie Anastasio and Bryan McNally.

7a

The CBI=NIC would not provide all the services that ARPA=NIC did, concentrating instead on agressive acquisition of external documents, and dissemination of these to CBI community members, along with formatted bibliographies.

7b

The bibliographies should include both final reports etc and work-in-progress.

70

The format should be carefully designed to be compatible with the bibliographic formats currently in use at Wright Patterson AFB, CONTACT = COTTERMAN at AFHRL. These formats include abstracts and annotations.

7.d

Several constraints exist:

7e

There must be interaction among ETS, ISI, and ARC.

7e1

ETS still needs to get authorization to USE the ARPANET.

7e2

wm, C. Mann at ISI is responsible for certain behavioral studies under CBI contract, and will be ETS's main programming

and technical support, including consulting help on the use of NLS.

7e3

O'Sullivan wants CHI to use NLS from the outset; wants us to tutor Mann to get im up to speed, so that he can handle ETS user questions, bould appropriate user programs, etc. He suggested but did 't push the idea that ISI might get a line-processor to use DNLS.

7e4

ETS will use the Rutgers TIP (15 miles away) and could possibly be given a line processor. But pblms exist in making the connection of mouse and keyset to a PLATO terminal, which the CBI community uses. PLATO is being interfaced to the ARPANET technology, but it is a weird terminal in many technical respects and Tom wasn't certain that our line processor design concepts could be adaptable to the PLATO. In particular, PLATO has only a single I/O port.

7e5